EXHIBIT 43

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
3	AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TESTING AND) Case No. MATERIALS d/b/a ASTM INTERNATIONAL;) 1:13-cv-01215-EGS
4	NATIONAL FIRE PROTECTION) ASSOCIATION, INC.; and)
5	AMERICAN SOCIETY OF HEATING,) REFRIGERATING, AND)
6	AIR-CONDITIONING ENGINEERS, INC.,)
7	Plaintiffs,)
8	vs.
9	
	PUBLIC.RESOURCE.ORG, INC.,)
10	
	Defendant.)
11)
12	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.)
)
13	
14	RULE 30(B)(6) VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF AMERICAN SOCIETY OF HEATING, REFRIGERATING, AND AIR-CONDITIONING ENGINEERS, INC.
15	
	BY AND THROUGH ITS DESIGNEE,
16	
	STEPHANIE REINICHE
17	
18	MONDAY, MARCH 30, 2015
	9:10 a.m.
19	
20	VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS
	1075 PEACHTREE STREET
21	SUITE 3625
	ATLANTA, GEORGIA
22	
23	Reported By:
24	SHARON A. GABRIELLI, CCR B-2002
25	Job No. 2035289
	Page 1
	1090 1

1	1 INDEX
2 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:	2
3	EXAMINATION
4 FOR THE READITIES ACTN	3
FOR THE PLAINTIFF ASTM: 5	Witness Name Page
6 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	4
7 BY: J. KEVIN FEE, ESQ.	5 STEPHANIE REINICHE
8 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW	6 By Mr. Becker 10
9 Washington, D.C. 20004 10 (202) 739-5353	7 By Mr. Cunningham 223
10 (202) 759-3535 11 JKFEE@MORGANLEWIS.COM	o EXHIBIT
12	9
13	Exhibit Description Page
14 FOR THE PLAINTIFF ASHRAE:	10
15 KING & SPALDING	Exhibit 1119 Status Report and Proposed Order 55
16	11 on Outstanding Discovery Requests
BY: J. BLAKE CUNNINGHAM, ESQ.	12 Exhibit 1120 ASHRAE0002835-02841 55
17	13 Exhibit 1121 ASHRAE0002553-2560 55 14 Exhibit 1122 ASHRAE0002561-2568 62
101 Second Street 18	14 Exhibit 1122 ASHRAE0002301-2508 62 15 Exhibit 1123 ASHRAE0002610-2617 62
Suite 2300	15 Exhibit 1125 ASHRAE0002010-2017 02 16 Exhibit 1124 ASHRAE0002578-2585 62
19	17 Exhibit 1125 ASHRAE0002847-2854 62
San Francisco, California 94105	18 Exhibit 1126 ASHRAE0002872-2879 63
20 (415) 218 1218	19 Exhibit 1127 ASHRAE0002895-2901 63
(415) 318-1218 21	20 Exhibit 1128 ASHRAE0013632-13639 63
bcunningham@kslaw.com	21 Exhibit 1129 ASHRAE0002902-2909 63
22	22 Exhibit 1130 ASHRAE0016583-16591 64
23	23 Exhibit 1131 ASHRAE0005359-5367 64 24 Exhibit 1132 ASHRAE0002467-2468 65
24 25	24 Exhibit 1132 ASHRAE0002407-2408 05 25 Exhibit 1133 ASHRAE0002407-2470 68
Page	
	1 EXHIBITS (CONTINUED)
3 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (CONTINUING):	2 Exhibit Description Page
4	3 Exhibit 1134 ASHRAE0022821-22822 69
5 6 FOR THE DEFENDANT:	4 Exhibit 1135 ASHRAE0022819 71
7	5 Exhibit 1136 ASHRAE0022823-22824 74
FENWICK & WEST LLP	6 Exhibit 1137 ASHRAE0022825-22826 75
8 BY: MATTHEW BECKER, ESQ.	7 Exhibit 1138 ASHRAE0022820 76
9	8 Exhibit 1139 ASHRAE0022814-22816 76
555 California Street	9 Exhibit 1140 ASHRAE0022817-22818 78
10 12th Floor	10 Exhibit 1141 ASHRAE0022813 79
12th Floor 11	11 Exhibit 1142 ASHRAE0001618 80
San Francisco, California 94104	12 Exhibit 1143 ASHRAE0001601 80
12	13 Exhibit 1144 ASHRAE0001602-1603 81
(415) 875-2389 13	14 Exhibit 1145 ASHRAE0001606 81
mbecker@fenwick.com	14 Exhibit 1145 AISHRAE0001600 81 15 Exhibit 1146 ASHRAE0001600 82
14	
15	
16 17 ALSO PRESENT:	17 Exhibit 1148 ASHRAE0001608-1609 83 10 E Lilit 1140 ASHRAE0001610 1611 84
17 ALSO I RESERVI. 18	18 Exhibit 1149 ASHRAE0001610-1611 84 10 Exhibit 1150 ASHRAE0001610-1611 84
Carl Malamud, Public.Resource.Org (via telecon)	19 Exhibit 1150 ASHRAE0001605 85
19 Corynne McSherry, Electronic Frontier Foundation	20 Exhibit 1151 ASHRAE001613-1615 85
20	21 Exhibit 1152 ASHRAE0001616-1617 86
21oOo	22 Exhibit 1153 ASHRAE0001612 87
22	23 Exhibit 1154 ASHRAE0022827 90
23 24	24 Exhibit 1155 ASHRAE0001598-1599 93
25	25 Exhibit 1156 ASHRAE0026227-26228 107
Page	3 Page 5

2 (Pages 2 - 5)

1	
1	1 EXHIBITS (CONTINUED)
2 EXHIBITS (CONTINUED)	2 ExhibitDescriptionPage3 Exhibit 1201ASHRAE0029650-29651202
3 Exhibit Description Page	4 Exhibit 1203 ASHRAE0024592-24597 206
4 Exhibit 1157 ASHRAE0026229-26231 107	5 Exhibit 1202 ASHRAE000024591 206
5 Exhibit 1158 ASHRAE0005856-5867 117	6 Exhibit 1204 Email chain - top email from 206
6 Exhibit 1159 ASHRAE0003496-3510 122	Steven Emmerich to Andrew Persily
7 Exhibit 1160 ASHRAE0025561-25562 127	7 dated April 29, 2014 8 Exhibit 1205 Email chain - top email from 207
8 Exhibit 1161 ASHRAE0005677-5705 131	Steve Ferguson to Steve Ferguson,
9 Exhibit 1162 ASHRAE0026233-26234 134	9 et al., dated April 28, 2008
10 Exhibit 1163 ASHRAE0024558-24589 136	10 Exhibit 1206 Email chain - top email from Mark 209
11 Exhibit 1164 ASHRAE0024245-24257 148	Weber to APersily, et al., dated 11 June 4, 2008
12 Exhibit 1165 ASHRAE0024235-24242 148	12 Exhibit 1207 Email chain - top email from Mark 211
13 Exhibit 1166ASHRAE Standards Errata153	Weber to Rhonda Dickerson dated
14 Exhibit 1167 Errata Sheet for Reprint GG 8/96 154	13 March 13, 2009
and Earlier Copies of ANSI/ASHRAE	14 Exhibit 1208 ASHRAE0024209-24216 213
15 Standard 41.3-1989	15
16 Exhibit 1168 ASHRAE0029501-29502 155	Exhibit 1209 ASHRAE0027383-27384 219
17 Exhibit 1169 ASHRAE0029503-29504 155	16
18 Exhibit 1170 ASHRAE0029496-29497 155	Exhibit 1210 ASHRAE0027817-27818 221
19 Exhibit 1171 ASHRAE0029498-29500 156	17 18oOo
20 Exhibit 1172 ASHRAE0029505-29507 157	19
21 Exhibit 1173 ASHRAE0029508-29511 157	20
22 Exhibit 1174 ASHRAE0029512-29513 157	21 22
23 Exhibit 1175 ASHRAE0001590-1591 158	22 23
24 Exhibit 1176 ASHRAE0001592-1597 159	24
25 Exhibit 1177 ASHRAE0013961-13985 159	25
Page 6	Page 8
1 EXHIBITS (CONTINUED)	1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. 09:09
2 Exhibit Description Page	2 Please note that the microphones are 09:09
3 Exhibit 1178 ASHRAE0011934-11966 162	3 sensitive and may pick up whispering and 09:09
4 Exhibit 1179 ASHRAE0016488 163	
4 EXHIBIT 1179 ASTIKAE0010488 105	4 private conversations. 09:09
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0010488 105	 4 private conversations. 09:09 5 Please turn off all cell phones or place 09:09
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0002471-2474 164	5 Please turn off all cell phones or place 09:09
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0002471-2474 164 6 Exhibit 1181 ASHRAE0012324-12327 165	 5 Please turn off all cell phones or place 09:09 6 them away from the microphones, as they can 09:10
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0002471-2474 164 6 Exhibit 1181 ASHRAE0012324-12327 165 7 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE0020220-20227 167	 5 Please turn off all cell phones or place 09:09 6 them away from the microphones, as they can 09:10 7 interfere with the deposition audio. 09:10 8 Recording will continue until all parties 09:10
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0002471-2474 164 6 Exhibit 1181 ASHRAE0012324-12327 165 7 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE0020220-20227 167 8 Exhibit 1183 ASHRAE0012340-12344 167	5Please turn off all cell phones or place09:096them away from the microphones, as they can09:107interfere with the deposition audio.09:108Recording will continue until all parties09:109agree to go off the record.09:10
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0002471-2474 164 6 Exhibit 1181 ASHRAE0012324-12327 165 7 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE002020-20227 167 8 Exhibit 1183 ASHRAE0012340-12344 167 9 Exhibit 1184 ASHRAE0012247-12253 169	5Please turn off all cell phones or place09:096them away from the microphones, as they can09:107interfere with the deposition audio.09:108Recording will continue until all parties09:109agree to go off the record.09:1010My name is Isaac Horner, representing09:10
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0002471-2474 164 6 Exhibit 1181 ASHRAE0012324-12327 165 7 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE002020-20227 167 8 Exhibit 1183 ASHRAE0012340-12344 167 9 Exhibit 1184 ASHRAE0012247-12253 169 10 Exhibit 1185 ASHRAE0018975-18980 171 11 Exhibit 1186 ASHRAE0027814-27815 173	 5 Please turn off all cell phones or place 09:09 6 them away from the microphones, as they can 09:10 7 interfere with the deposition audio. 09:10 8 Recording will continue until all parties 09:10 9 agree to go off the record. 09:10 10 My name is Isaac Horner, representing 09:10 11 Veritext Legal Solutions. Today's date is 09:10
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0002471-2474 164 6 Exhibit 1181 ASHRAE0012324-12327 165 7 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE002020-20227 167 8 Exhibit 1183 ASHRAE0012340-12344 167 9 Exhibit 1184 ASHRAE0012247-12253 169 10 Exhibit 1185 ASHRAE0018975-18980 171 11 Exhibit 1186 ASHRAE0027814-27815 173	 5 Please turn off all cell phones or place 09:09 6 them away from the microphones, as they can 09:10 7 interfere with the deposition audio. 09:10 8 Recording will continue until all parties 09:10 9 agree to go off the record. 09:10 10 My name is Isaac Horner, representing 09:10 11 Veritext Legal Solutions. Today's date is 09:10 12 March 30th, 2015, and the time is 09:10
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0002471-2474 164 6 Exhibit 1181 ASHRAE0012324-12327 165 7 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE002020-20227 167 8 Exhibit 1183 ASHRAE0012340-12344 167 9 Exhibit 1184 ASHRAE0012247-12253 169 10 Exhibit 1185 ASHRAE0018975-18980 171 11 Exhibit 1186 ASHRAE0027814-27815 173 12 Exhibit 1187 ASHRAE0024373 174 13 Exhibit 1188 ASHRAE0024374-24378 174	5Please turn off all cell phones or place09:096them away from the microphones, as they can09:107interfere with the deposition audio.09:108Recording will continue until all parties09:109agree to go off the record.09:1010My name is Isaac Horner, representing09:1011Veritext Legal Solutions. Today's date is09:1012March 30th, 2015, and the time is09:1013approximately 9:10.09:10
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0002471-2474 164 6 Exhibit 1181 ASHRAE0012324-12327 165 7 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE002020-20227 167 8 Exhibit 1183 ASHRAE0012340-12344 167 9 Exhibit 1184 ASHRAE0012247-12253 169 10 Exhibit 1185 ASHRAE0018975-18980 171 11 Exhibit 1186 ASHRAE0027814-27815 173 12 Exhibit 1187 ASHRAE0024373 174 13 Exhibit 1188 ASHRAE0024374-24378 174 14 Exhibit 1189 ASHRAE0027781-27783 177	5Please turn off all cell phones or place09:096them away from the microphones, as they can09:107interfere with the deposition audio.09:108Recording will continue until all parties09:109agree to go off the record.09:1010My name is Isaac Horner, representing09:1011Veritext Legal Solutions. Today's date is09:1012March 30th, 2015, and the time is09:1013approximately 9:10.09:1014This deposition is being held at09:10
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0002471-2474 164 6 Exhibit 1181 ASHRAE0012324-12327 165 7 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE002020-20227 167 8 Exhibit 1183 ASHRAE0012340-12344 167 9 Exhibit 1184 ASHRAE0012247-12253 169 10 Exhibit 1185 ASHRAE0018975-18980 171 11 Exhibit 1186 ASHRAE0027814-27815 173 12 Exhibit 1187 ASHRAE0024373 174 13 Exhibit 1188 ASHRAE0027781-27783 177 14 Exhibit 1189 ASHRAE0029704 180	5Please turn off all cell phones or place09:096them away from the microphones, as they can09:107interfere with the deposition audio.09:108Recording will continue until all parties09:109agree to go off the record.09:1010My name is Isaac Horner, representing09:1011Veritext Legal Solutions. Today's date is09:1012March 30th, 2015, and the time is09:1013approximately 9:10.09:1014This deposition is being held at09:1015Veritext Legal Solutions, located at 107509:10
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0002471-2474 164 6 Exhibit 1181 ASHRAE0012324-12327 165 7 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE002020-20227 167 8 Exhibit 1183 ASHRAE0012340-12344 167 9 Exhibit 1184 ASHRAE0012247-12253 169 10 Exhibit 1185 ASHRAE0018975-18980 171 11 Exhibit 1186 ASHRAE0024373 174 12 Exhibit 1187 ASHRAE0024373 174 13 Exhibit 1189 ASHRAE0027781-27783 177 15 Exhibit 1190 ASHRAE0029704 180 16 Exhibit 1191 ASHRAE0029659-29661 184	5Please turn off all cell phones or place09:096them away from the microphones, as they can09:107interfere with the deposition audio.09:108Recording will continue until all parties09:109agree to go off the record.09:1010My name is Isaac Horner, representing09:1011Veritext Legal Solutions. Today's date is09:1012March 30th, 2015, and the time is09:1013approximately 9:10.09:1014This deposition is being held at09:1015Veritext Legal Solutions, located at 107509:1016Peachtree Street, Northeast, Atlanta,09:10
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0002471-2474 164 6 Exhibit 1181 ASHRAE0012324-12327 165 7 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE002020-20227 167 8 Exhibit 1183 ASHRAE0012340-12344 167 9 Exhibit 1184 ASHRAE0012247-12253 169 10 Exhibit 1185 ASHRAE0018975-18980 171 11 Exhibit 1186 ASHRAE0024374-27815 173 12 Exhibit 1187 ASHRAE0024373 174 13 Exhibit 1188 ASHRAE0024374-24378 174 14 Exhibit 1189 ASHRAE0027781-27783 177 15 Exhibit 1190 ASHRAE0029704 180 16 Exhibit 1191 ASHRAE0029734-29735 188	5Please turn off all cell phones or place09:096them away from the microphones, as they can09:107interfere with the deposition audio.09:108Recording will continue until all parties09:109agree to go off the record.09:1010My name is Isaac Horner, representing09:1011Veritext Legal Solutions. Today's date is09:1012March 30th, 2015, and the time is09:1013approximately 9:10.09:1014This deposition is being held at09:1015Veritext Legal Solutions, located at 107509:1016Peachtree Street, Northeast, Atlanta,09:1017Georgia, and is being taken by counsel for09:10
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0002471-2474 164 6 Exhibit 1181 ASHRAE0012324-12327 165 7 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE002020-20227 167 8 Exhibit 1183 ASHRAE0012340-12344 167 9 Exhibit 1184 ASHRAE0012247-12253 169 10 Exhibit 1185 ASHRAE0018975-18980 171 11 Exhibit 1186 ASHRAE0027814-27815 173 12 Exhibit 1187 ASHRAE0024373 174 13 Exhibit 1188 ASHRAE0024374-24378 174 14 Exhibit 1189 ASHRAE0029704 180 16 Exhibit 1191 ASHRAE0029704 180 16 Exhibit 1192 ASHRAE0029734-29735 188 18 Exhibit 1193 ASHRAE0001628-1673 195	5Please turn off all cell phones or place09:096them away from the microphones, as they can09:107interfere with the deposition audio.09:108Recording will continue until all parties09:109agree to go off the record.09:1010My name is Isaac Horner, representing09:1011Veritext Legal Solutions. Today's date is09:1012March 30th, 2015, and the time is09:1013approximately 9:10.09:1014This deposition is being held at09:1015Veritext Legal Solutions, located at 107509:1016Peachtree Street, Northeast, Atlanta,09:1017Georgia, and is being taken by counsel for09:1018the defendants.09:10
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0002471-2474 164 6 Exhibit 1181 ASHRAE0012324-12327 165 7 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE002020-20227 167 8 Exhibit 1183 ASHRAE0012340-12344 167 9 Exhibit 1184 ASHRAE0012247-12253 169 10 Exhibit 1185 ASHRAE0018975-18980 171 11 Exhibit 1186 ASHRAE0027814-27815 173 12 Exhibit 1187 ASHRAE0024373 174 13 Exhibit 1188 ASHRAE0024374-24378 174 14 Exhibit 1189 ASHRAE0029704 180 16 Exhibit 1190 ASHRAE0029704 180 16 Exhibit 1191 ASHRAE0029734-29735 188 18 Exhibit 1193 ASHRAE0001628-1673 195 19 Exhibit 1194 ASHRAE006892-6899 196	5Please turn off all cell phones or place09:096them away from the microphones, as they can09:107interfere with the deposition audio.09:108Recording will continue until all parties09:109agree to go off the record.09:1010My name is Isaac Horner, representing09:1011Veritext Legal Solutions. Today's date is09:1012March 30th, 2015, and the time is09:1013approximately 9:10.09:1014This deposition is being held at09:1015Veritext Legal Solutions, located at 107509:1016Peachtree Street, Northeast, Atlanta,09:1017Georgia, and is being taken by counsel for09:1018the defendants.09:1019The caption of this case is American09:10
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0002471-2474 164 6 Exhibit 1181 ASHRAE0012324-12327 165 7 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE0012324-12327 167 8 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE0012340-12344 167 9 Exhibit 1183 ASHRAE0012247-12253 169 10 Exhibit 1184 ASHRAE0018975-18980 171 11 Exhibit 1186 ASHRAE0027814-27815 173 12 Exhibit 1187 ASHRAE0024373 174 13 Exhibit 1188 ASHRAE0024374-24378 174 14 Exhibit 1189 ASHRAE0027781-27783 177 15 Exhibit 1190 ASHRAE0029704 180 16 Exhibit 1191 ASHRAE0029734-29735 188 18 Exhibit 1193 ASHRAE0001628-1673 195 19 Exhibit 1194 ASHRAE0002892-6899 196 20 Exhibit 1195 ASHRAE0024267-024268 197	5Please turn off all cell phones or place09:096them away from the microphones, as they can09:107interfere with the deposition audio.09:108Recording will continue until all parties09:109agree to go off the record.09:1010My name is Isaac Horner, representing09:1011Veritext Legal Solutions. Today's date is09:1012March 30th, 2015, and the time is09:1013approximately 9:10.09:1014This deposition is being held at09:1015Veritext Legal Solutions, located at 107509:1016Peachtree Street, Northeast, Atlanta,09:1017Georgia, and is being taken by counsel for09:1018the defendants.09:1019The caption of this case is American09:1020Society for Testing and Materials, et al., v.09:10
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0002471-2474 164 6 Exhibit 1181 ASHRAE0012324-12327 165 7 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE0012324-12327 165 7 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE002020-20227 167 8 Exhibit 1183 ASHRAE0012340-12344 167 9 Exhibit 1184 ASHRAE0012247-12253 169 10 Exhibit 1185 ASHRAE0018975-18980 171 11 Exhibit 1186 ASHRAE0027814-27815 173 12 Exhibit 1187 ASHRAE0024373 174 13 Exhibit 1188 ASHRAE0024374-24378 174 14 Exhibit 1189 ASHRAE0029704 180 16 Exhibit 1190 ASHRAE0029659-29661 184 17 Exhibit 1191 ASHRAE0029734-29735 188 18 Exhibit 1193 ASHRAE0001628-1673 195 19 Exhibit 1194 ASHRAE0024267-024268 197 21 Exhibit 1196 ASHRAE0024287 197	5Please turn off all cell phones or place09:096them away from the microphones, as they can09:107interfere with the deposition audio.09:108Recording will continue until all parties09:109agree to go off the record.09:1010My name is Isaac Horner, representing09:1011Veritext Legal Solutions. Today's date is09:1012March 30th, 2015, and the time is09:1013approximately 9:10.09:1014This deposition is being held at09:1015Veritext Legal Solutions, located at 107509:1016Peachtree Street, Northeast, Atlanta,09:1017Georgia, and is being taken by counsel for09:1018the defendants.09:1019The caption of this case is American09:1020Society for Testing and Materials, et al., v.09:1021Public.Resource.Org, Incorporated.09:10
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0002471-2474 164 6 Exhibit 1181 ASHRAE0012324-12327 165 7 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE002020-20227 167 8 Exhibit 1183 ASHRAE0012340-12344 167 9 Exhibit 1184 ASHRAE0012247-12253 169 10 Exhibit 1185 ASHRAE0012247-12253 169 10 Exhibit 1185 ASHRAE0027814-27815 173 12 Exhibit 1186 ASHRAE0024373 174 13 Exhibit 1188 ASHRAE0024374-24378 174 14 Exhibit 1189 ASHRAE0029704 180 16 Exhibit 1190 ASHRAE0029704 180 16 Exhibit 1191 ASHRAE0029734-29735 188 18 Exhibit 1192 ASHRAE0029734-29735 188 18 Exhibit 1193 ASHRAE0029734-29735 188 18 Exhibit 1194 ASHRAE0024267-024268 197 20 Exhibit 1195 ASHRAE0024287 197 21 Exhibit 1196 ASHRAE0024287 197 22 Exhibit 11	5Please turn off all cell phones or place09:096them away from the microphones, as they can09:107interfere with the deposition audio.09:108Recording will continue until all parties09:109agree to go off the record.09:1010My name is Isaac Horner, representing09:1011Veritext Legal Solutions. Today's date is09:1012March 30th, 2015, and the time is09:1013approximately 9:10.09:1014This deposition is being held at09:1015Veritext Legal Solutions, located at 107509:1016Peachtree Street, Northeast, Atlanta,09:1017Georgia, and is being taken by counsel for09:1018the defendants.09:1019The caption of this case is American09:1020Society for Testing and Materials, et al., v.09:1021Public.Resource.Org, Incorporated.09:1022This case is being held in the United09:10
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0002471-2474 164 6 Exhibit 1181 ASHRAE0012324-12327 165 7 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE0012324-12327 167 8 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE0012340-12344 167 9 Exhibit 1183 ASHRAE0012247-12253 169 10 Exhibit 1185 ASHRAE0018975-18980 171 11 Exhibit 1186 ASHRAE0027814-27815 173 12 Exhibit 1187 ASHRAE0024373 174 13 Exhibit 1188 ASHRAE0024374-24378 174 14 Exhibit 1189 ASHRAE0029704 180 16 Exhibit 1190 ASHRAE0029734-29735 188 18 Exhibit 1193 ASHRAE0029734-29735 188 18 Exhibit 1193 ASHRAE0024267-024268 197 20 Exhibit 1194 ASHRAE0024267-024268 197 21 Exhibit 1196 ASHRAE0024388 198 23 Exhibit 1198 ASHRAE0024393 199	5Please turn off all cell phones or place09:096them away from the microphones, as they can09:107interfere with the deposition audio.09:108Recording will continue until all parties09:109agree to go off the record.09:1010My name is Isaac Horner, representing09:1011Veritext Legal Solutions. Today's date is09:1012March 30th, 2015, and the time is09:1013approximately 9:10.09:1014This deposition is being held at09:1015Veritext Legal Solutions, located at 107509:1016Peachtree Street, Northeast, Atlanta,09:1017Georgia, and is being taken by counsel for09:1018the defendants.09:1019The caption of this case is American09:1020Society for Testing and Materials, et al., v.09:1021Public.Resource.Org, Incorporated.09:1023States District Court for the District of09:10
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0002471-2474 164 6 Exhibit 1181 ASHRAE0012324-12327 165 7 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE002020-20227 167 8 Exhibit 1183 ASHRAE0012340-12344 167 9 Exhibit 1183 ASHRAE0012247-12253 169 10 Exhibit 1184 ASHRAE0018975-18980 171 11 Exhibit 1186 ASHRAE0024373 174 12 Exhibit 1187 ASHRAE0024373 174 13 Exhibit 1188 ASHRAE0024374-24378 174 14 Exhibit 1189 ASHRAE0029704 180 16 Exhibit 1190 ASHRAE0029734-29735 188 18 Exhibit 1191 ASHRAE00029734-29735 188 18 Exhibit 1192 ASHRAE0001628-1673 195 19 Exhibit 1194 ASHRAE0024267-024268 197 21 Exhibit 1196 ASHRAE0024287 197 22 Exhibit 1196 ASHRAE0024388 198 23 Exhibit 1198 ASHRAE0024393 199 24 Exhibit 1199 <td>5Please turn off all cell phones or place09:096them away from the microphones, as they can09:107interfere with the deposition audio.09:108Recording will continue until all parties09:109agree to go off the record.09:1010My name is Isaac Horner, representing09:1011Veritext Legal Solutions. Today's date is09:1012March 30th, 2015, and the time is09:1013approximately 9:10.09:1014This deposition is being held at09:1015Veritext Legal Solutions, located at 107509:1016Peachtree Street, Northeast, Atlanta,09:1017Georgia, and is being taken by counsel for09:1018the defendants.09:1020Society for Testing and Materials, et al., v.09:1021Public.Resource.Org, Incorporated.09:1022This case is being held in the United09:1023States District Court for the District of09:1024Columbia, Case Number 1:13-CV-01215-EGS.09:10</td>	5Please turn off all cell phones or place09:096them away from the microphones, as they can09:107interfere with the deposition audio.09:108Recording will continue until all parties09:109agree to go off the record.09:1010My name is Isaac Horner, representing09:1011Veritext Legal Solutions. Today's date is09:1012March 30th, 2015, and the time is09:1013approximately 9:10.09:1014This deposition is being held at09:1015Veritext Legal Solutions, located at 107509:1016Peachtree Street, Northeast, Atlanta,09:1017Georgia, and is being taken by counsel for09:1018the defendants.09:1020Society for Testing and Materials, et al., v.09:1021Public.Resource.Org, Incorporated.09:1022This case is being held in the United09:1023States District Court for the District of09:1024Columbia, Case Number 1:13-CV-01215-EGS.09:10
5 Exhibit 1180 ASHRAE0002471-2474 164 6 Exhibit 1181 ASHRAE0012324-12327 165 7 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE0012324-12327 167 8 Exhibit 1182 ASHRAE0012340-12344 167 9 Exhibit 1183 ASHRAE0012247-12253 169 10 Exhibit 1185 ASHRAE0018975-18980 171 11 Exhibit 1186 ASHRAE0027814-27815 173 12 Exhibit 1187 ASHRAE0024373 174 13 Exhibit 1188 ASHRAE0024374-24378 174 14 Exhibit 1189 ASHRAE0029704 180 16 Exhibit 1190 ASHRAE0029734-29735 188 18 Exhibit 1193 ASHRAE0029734-29735 188 18 Exhibit 1193 ASHRAE0024267-024268 197 20 Exhibit 1194 ASHRAE0024267-024268 197 21 Exhibit 1196 ASHRAE0024388 198 23 Exhibit 1198 ASHRAE0024393 199	5Please turn off all cell phones or place09:096them away from the microphones, as they can09:107interfere with the deposition audio.09:108Recording will continue until all parties09:109agree to go off the record.09:1010My name is Isaac Horner, representing09:1011Veritext Legal Solutions. Today's date is09:1012March 30th, 2015, and the time is09:1013approximately 9:10.09:1014This deposition is being held at09:1015Veritext Legal Solutions, located at 107509:1016Peachtree Street, Northeast, Atlanta,09:1017Georgia, and is being taken by counsel for09:1018the defendants.09:1019The caption of this case is American09:1020Society for Testing and Materials, et al., v.09:1021Public.Resource.Org, Incorporated.09:1023States District Court for the District of09:10

3 (Pages 6 - 9)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 5 of 98

1 Reiniche. 09:11	1 Q And who was the party that was opposing 09:12
2 At this time, the attorneys present in 09:12	2 ASHRAE in that case? 09:16
3 the room and everyone attending remotely will 09:13	3 A Thermal Design. 09:17
4 identify themselves and the parties they 09:16	4 Q Thermal Design. 09:18
5 represent, after which our court reporter, 09:19	5 And was ASHRAE the plaintiff or the defendant 09:16
6 Sharon Gabrielli, representing Veritext Legal 09:11	6 in that case? 09:18
7 Solutions, will swear in the witness and we 09:13	7 A Defendant in that case. 09:11
8 can proceed. 09:13	8 Q And what was the what was the nature of 09:10
9 MR. BECKER: This is Matthew Becker of 09:16	9 the restraint of trade claim against ASHRAE in that 09:14
10 Fenwick & West. And with me is Corynne 09:19	10 case? 09:17
11 McSherry from Electronic Frontier Foundation, 09:11	11 A It had to do with our Standard 90.1 and 09:17
12 and we're representing the defendant, 09:14	12 whether or not the the information in a table 09:14
13 Public.Resource.Org. And also listening by 09:16	13 prevented Thermal Design from being able to conduct 09:10
14 telephone is Carl Malamud. 09:18	14 business. That's the short story. 09:12
15 MR. CUNNINGHAM: This is Blake 09:12	15 Q Why did they think that the information in a 09:14
16 Cunningham of King & Spalding, representing 09:13	16 table would prevent them from being able to conduct 09:17
17 the American Society of Heating, 09:16	17 business? 09:19
18 Refrigerating, and Air-Conditioning 09:19	18 A They they were saying that it 09:13
19 Engineers. 09:19	19 basically their product was being excluded from the 09:18
20 MR. FEE: Kevin Fee on behalf of ASTM 09:10	20 marketplace, and so they were losing, they claimed, 09:13
21 International. 09:14	21 millions of dollars in revenue because of what of 09:16
22 STEPHANIE REINICHE, 09:16	22 the numbers that were in the table. 09:19
23 having been first duly sworn, was deposed and testified 09:16	23 Q And how was it exactly that the numbers in 09:11
24 as follows: 09:16	
25 EXAMINATION 09:16 Page 10	25 marketplace? 09:10 Page 12
1 BY MR. BECKER: 09:19	1 A Since it's technical, I can only kind of give 09:12
2 Q Hi, Ms. Reiniche. My name is Matthew Becker 09:12	2 you a summary of it, since I'm not technical in nature. 09:15
3 of Fenwick & West, and I'm representing the defendant 09:11	3 It had to do with U factors and R factors, and their 09:18
4 Public.Resource.Org. Have you ever taken have you 09:16	4 they were claiming that it gave an unfair advantage to 09:14
5 ever been deposed before? 09:10	5 other competitors in the marketplace, because they 09:16
6 A Yes. 09:11	6 could say theirs met the U factors and R factors in 09:10
7 Q Okay. And when was that? 09:11	7 that table, and they claimed those those numbers 09:16
8 A There's been two times. One was over ten 09:12	8 were erroneous. 09:18
9 years ago, and the other one was, I think it's been 09:11	9 Q And what was the reason that they had you 09:13
10 about two years now. 09:16	10 appear for a deposition in that case? 09:16
11 Q And what were those were you deposed in 09:12	3
12 your personal capacity? 09:15	12 THE WITNESS: I was testifying gosh, 09:11
13 A Yes. 09:16	13 it's been so long. I can't remember what I 09:13
14 Q Okay. And what kind of matters were those 09:17	14 testified about. It was a limited capacity 09:15
15 that you were deposed in? 09:10	15 as to some process questions. 09:19
16 A The first one was a wills and trust issue. 09:11	16 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Okay. Thank you. 09:10
17 And the second one was a lawsuit that ASHRAE was 09:17	17 So you it sounds like you have previous 09:11
18 involved in, so it was my capacity of my job at ASHRAE. 09:13	18 experience being deposed. We'll review the rules 09:14
19 Q And that was the lawsuit that was two years 09:18	19 anyway just so that you're aware of them. 09:18
20 ago? 09:10	20 So as you know, in a deposition, I'll be the 09:11
21 A Yes. 09:11	21 one who will be asking questions, and you'll be 09:14
22 Q Okay. And what was the what was that 09:12	22 providing answers on the record. And those answers 09:17
23 ASHRAE lawsuit about? 09:16	23 do you understand that the answers that you are giving 09:19
24 A It was restraint of trade. It was against 09:17	24 are being provided under oath, like in a court of law? 09:12

4 (Pages 10 - 13)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 6 of 98

1 Q Okay. And you understand that the court 09:18	1 A No. 09:18
2 reporter is taking down everything that you say? 09:10	2 Q Thank you. 09:18
3 A Yes. 09:12	3 Ms. Reiniche, could you tell me about your 09:
4 Q And for that reason, we need audible 09:13	4 educational background? 09:18
5 responses, which means no nods or gestures? 09:16	5 A I have a bachelor's degree from Eastern 09:1
6 A Yes. 09:13	6 Michigan University, and a juris doctor degree from
7 Q Thank you. 09:13	7 DePaul University College of Law. 09:18
8 So if at any point you don't understand a 09:14	8 Q And what was the bachelor's in? 09:18
9 question, will you please let me know, and then I can 09:15	9 A Political science. 09:18
0 try to rephrase the question? 09:18	10 Q Okay. And what year was that? 09:18
1 A Yes. 09:10	11 A '94. 09:18
2 Q Thank you. 09:11	12 Q And when did you graduate with a JD? 093
3 And if you come to realize that one of your 09:14	13 A 1998. 09:19
4 answers isn't completely correct or if you have 09:18	14 Q And do you have any experience with legal 09
5 information to add to it, will you please tell me that 09:11	15 practice? 09:19
6 and tell me what information you have to add or 09:13	A I did wills and trusts and family law and 09:19
7 correct? 09:16	17 bankruptcy. 09:19
8 A Yes. 09:17	18 Q And how long was that for? 09:19
9 Q And that can come after breaks and so forth. 09:18	19 A Four years. 09:19
0 So if you go on a break and you recall that there was 09:10	20 Q Four years. 09:19
1 something else you wanted to add, you can please let me 09:13	20QFour years.21And did you practice with a firm?09:19
2 know. 09:15	22 A Yeah, two it was one-person firm, me and 09
3 A Yes. 09:18	23 one other person. 09:19
	24 Q Okay. And what was the name of that law 09
	25 firm? 09:19
5 of the deposition is prepared, that you'll have a 09:12 Page 14	
1 chance to review it and make changes to it, right? 09:16	1 A Kathleen Krumm & Associates. 09:19
2 A Yes. 09:10	2 Q And was Kathleen I'm sorry, is that 09:19
3 Q And that if you make any changes, I'll be 09:11	2 K (11 K 2) 00.10
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3 Kathleen Krumm? 09:19
4 able to comment on those changes? 09:13	4 A Yes. 09:19
4 able to comment on those changes? 09:13	4 A Yes. 09:19
4 able to comment on those changes?09:135 A Yes.09:15	4AYes.09:195QAnd Kathleen Krumm, was she the the other 09:19
4 able to comment on those changes?09:135 A Yes.09:156 Q Thank you.09:15	4AYes.09:195QAnd Kathleen Krumm, was she the the other09:196person you worked with?09:19
4 able to comment on those changes?09:135 A Yes.09:156 Q Thank you.09:157 Ms. Reiniche, is there anything that would be 09:16	4AYes.09:195QAnd Kathleen Krumm, was she the the other09:196person you worked with?09:197AYes, she owned she owned the firm.09:19
4 able to comment on those changes? 09:13 5 A Yes. 09:15 6 Q Thank you. 09:15 7 Ms. Reiniche, is there anything that would be 09:16 8 preventing you from giving your best testimony today, 09:19	4AYes.09:195QAnd Kathleen Krumm, was she the the other09:196person you worked with?09:197AYes, she owned she owned the firm.09:198QAnd do you have any other graduate or09:19
4 able to comment on those changes? 09:13 5 A Yes. 09:15 6 Q Thank you. 09:15 7 Ms. Reiniche, is there anything that would be 09:16 8 preventing you from giving your best testimony today, 09:19 9 such as illness or medication? 09:12	4AYes.09:195QAnd Kathleen Krumm, was she the the other09:196person you worked with?09:197AYes, she owned she owned the firm.09:198QAnd do you have any other graduate or09:199technical degrees?09:19
4 able to comment on those changes?09:135 A Yes.09:156 Q Thank you.09:157 Ms. Reiniche, is there anything that would be 09:168 preventing you from giving your best testimony today, 09:199 such as illness or medication?09:120 A No.09:141 Q Ms. Reiniche, could you please state your09:19	4AYes.09:195QAnd Kathleen Krumm, was she the the other 09:196person you worked with?09:197AYes, she owned she owned the firm.09:198QAnd do you have any other graduate or09:199technical degrees?09:1910ANo.09:1911QAnd let's see, so you said you from it 09:19
4 able to comment on those changes?09:135 A Yes.09:156 Q Thank you.09:157 Ms. Reiniche, is there anything that would be 09:168 preventing you from giving your best testimony today,09:199 such as illness or medication?09:120 A No.09:141 Q Ms. Reiniche, could you please state your09:192 full name for the record?09:12	4AYes.09:195QAnd Kathleen Krumm, was she the the other 09:196person you worked with?09:197AYes, she owned she owned the firm.09:198QAnd do you have any other graduate or09:199technical degrees?09:1910ANo.09:1911QAnd let's see, so you said you from it 09:1912was four years that you were working at that firm?09:20
4 able to comment on those changes?09:135 A Yes.09:156 Q Thank you.09:157 Ms. Reiniche, is there anything that would be 09:168 preventing you from giving your best testimony today,09:199 such as illness or medication?09:120 A No.09:141 Q Ms. Reiniche, could you please state your09:192 full name for the record?09:123 A Stephanie Church Reiniche.09:15	4AYes.09:195QAnd Kathleen Krumm, was she the the other 09:196person you worked with?09:197AYes, she owned she owned the firm.09:198QAnd do you have any other graduate or09:199technical degrees?09:1910ANo.09:1911QAnd let's see, so you said you from it 09:1912was four years that you were working at that firm?09:2013AYes. I didn't start there until 1999, I09:20
4 able to comment on those changes?09:135 A Yes.09:156 Q Thank you.09:157 Ms. Reiniche, is there anything that would be 09:168 preventing you from giving your best testimony today,09:199 such as illness or medication?09:120 A No.09:141 Q Ms. Reiniche, could you please state your09:192 full name for the record?09:123 A Stephanie Church Reiniche.09:154 Q And have you gone by any previous names?09:17	 4 A Yes. 09:19 5 Q And Kathleen Krumm, was she the the other 09:19 6 person you worked with? 09:19 7 A Yes, she owned she owned the firm. 09:19 8 Q And do you have any other graduate or 09:19 9 technical degrees? 09:19 10 A No. 09:19 11 Q And let's see, so you said you from it 09:19 12 was four years that you were working at that firm? 09:20 13 A Yes. I didn't start there until 1999, I 09:20 14 think or 19 sorry '89. Right? No, '90 09:20
4 able to comment on those changes?09:135 A Yes.09:156 Q Thank you.09:157 Ms. Reiniche, is there anything that would be 09:168 preventing you from giving your best testimony today, 09:199 such as illness or medication?09:120 A No.09:141 Q Ms. Reiniche, could you please state your 09:192 full name for the record?09:123 A Stephanie Church Reiniche.09:154 Q And have you gone by any previous names?09:17	 4 A Yes. 09:19 5 Q And Kathleen Krumm, was she the the other 09:19 6 person you worked with? 09:19 7 A Yes, she owned she owned the firm. 09:19 8 Q And do you have any other graduate or 09:19 9 technical degrees? 09:19 10 A No. 09:19 11 Q And let's see, so you said you from it 09:19 12 was four years that you were working at that firm? 09:20 13 A Yes. I didn't start there until 1999, I 09:20 14 think or 19 sorry '89. Right? No, '90 09:20 15 '99, sorry. A year after I graduated law school. 09:20
4 able to comment on those changes?09:135 A Yes.09:156 Q Thank you.09:157 Ms. Reiniche, is there anything that would be 09:168 preventing you from giving your best testimony today,09:199 such as illness or medication?09:120 A No.09:141 Q Ms. Reiniche, could you please state your09:192 full name for the record?09:123 A Stephanie Church Reiniche.09:154 Q And have you gone by any previous names?09:175 A My maiden name was Jenkins.09:106 Q Was that Stephanie Church Jenkins?09:13	 4 A Yes. 09:19 5 Q And Kathleen Krumm, was she the the other 09:19 6 person you worked with? 09:19 7 A Yes, she owned she owned the firm. 09:19 8 Q And do you have any other graduate or 09:19 9 technical degrees? 09:19 10 A No. 09:19 11 Q And let's see, so you said you from it 09:19 12 was four years that you were working at that firm? 09:20 13 A Yes. I didn't start there until 1999, I 09:20 14 think or 19 sorry '89. Right? No, '90 09:20 15 '99, sorry. A year after I graduated law school. 09:20 16 Q Okay. And did you do anything between the 09:20
4 able to comment on those changes?09:135 A Yes.09:156 Q Thank you.09:157 Ms. Reiniche, is there anything that would be 09:168 preventing you from giving your best testimony today, 09:199 such as illness or medication?09:120 A No.09:141 Q Ms. Reiniche, could you please state your 09:192 full name for the record?09:123 A Stephanie Church Reiniche.09:154 Q And have you gone by any previous names?09:175 A My maiden name was Jenkins.09:106 Q Was that Stephanie Church Jenkins?09:137 A Yes.09:16	 4 A Yes. 09:19 5 Q And Kathleen Krumm, was she the the other 09:19 6 person you worked with? 09:19 7 A Yes, she owned she owned the firm. 09:19 8 Q And do you have any other graduate or 09:19 9 technical degrees? 09:19 10 A No. 09:19 11 Q And let's see, so you said you from it 09:19 12 was four years that you were working at that firm? 09:20 13 A Yes. I didn't start there until 1999, I 09:20 14 think or 19 sorry '89. Right? No, '90 09:20 15 '99, sorry. A year after I graduated law school. 09:20 16 Q Okay. And did you do anything between the 09:20 17 year that you graduated from law school and when you 09:20
4 able to comment on those changes?09:135 A Yes.09:156 Q Thank you.09:157 Ms. Reiniche, is there anything that would be 09:168 preventing you from giving your best testimony today, 09:199 such as illness or medication?09:120 A No.09:141 Q Ms. Reiniche, could you please state your 09:192 full name for the record?09:123 A Stephanie Church Reiniche.09:154 Q And have you gone by any previous names?09:175 A My maiden name was Jenkins.09:106 Q Was that Stephanie Church Jenkins?09:137 A Yes.09:168 Q And when did you change your name?09:16	 4 A Yes. 09:19 5 Q And Kathleen Krumm, was she the the other 09:19 6 person you worked with? 09:19 7 A Yes, she owned she owned the firm. 09:19 8 Q And do you have any other graduate or 09:19 9 technical degrees? 09:19 10 A No. 09:19 11 Q And let's see, so you said you from it 09:19 12 was four years that you were working at that firm? 09:20 13 A Yes. I didn't start there until 1999, I 09:20 14 think or 19 sorry '89. Right? No, '90 09:20 15 '99, sorry. A year after I graduated law school. 09:20 16 Q Okay. And did you do anything between the 09:20 17 year that you graduated from law school and when you 09:20 18 started at the firm? 09:20
4 able to comment on those changes?09:135 A Yes.09:156 Q Thank you.09:157 Ms. Reiniche, is there anything that would be 09:168 preventing you from giving your best testimony today, 09:199 such as illness or medication?09:120 A No.09:141 Q Ms. Reiniche, could you please state your 09:192 full name for the record?09:123 A Stephanie Church Reiniche.09:154 Q And have you gone by any previous names?09:175 A My maiden name was Jenkins.09:106 Q Was that Stephanie Church Jenkins?09:137 A Yes.09:168 Q And when did you change your name?09:169 A I got married in June of 1994.09:18	4AYes.09:195QAnd Kathleen Krumm, was she the the other 09:196person you worked with?09:197AYes, she owned she owned the firm.09:198QAnd do you have any other graduate or09:199technical degrees?09:1910ANo.09:1911QAnd let's see, so you said you from it 09:1912was four years that you were working at that firm?09:2013AYes. I didn't start there until 1999, I09:2014think or 19 sorry '89. Right? No, '9009:2015'99, sorry. A year after I graduated law school.09:2016QOkay. And did you do anything between the09:2017year that you graduated from law school and when you09:2018started at the firm?09:2019ANo.09:20
4 able to comment on those changes?09:135 A Yes.09:156 Q Thank you.09:157 Ms. Reiniche, is there anything that would be 09:168 preventing you from giving your best testimony today,09:199 such as illness or medication?09:120 A No.09:141 Q Ms. Reiniche, could you please state your09:192 full name for the record?09:123 A Stephanie Church Reiniche.09:154 Q And have you gone by any previous names?09:175 A My maiden name was Jenkins.09:106 Q Was that Stephanie Church Jenkins?09:137 A Yes.09:168 Q And when did you change your name?09:169 A I got married in June of 1994.09:180 Q Okay. Thank you.09:10	4AYes.09:195QAnd Kathleen Krumm, was she the the other 09:196person you worked with?09:197AYes, she owned she owned the firm.09:198QAnd do you have any other graduate or09:199technical degrees?09:1910ANo.09:1911QAnd let's see, so you said you from it 09:1912was four years that you were working at that firm?09:2013AYes. I didn't start there until 1999, I09:2014think or 19 sorry '89. Right? No, '9009:2015'99, sorry. A year after I graduated law school.09:2016QOkay. And did you do anything between the09:2017year that you graduated from law school and when you09:2018started at the firm?09:2019ANo.09:2020QAnd you said you were at the firm for four09:20
4 able to comment on those changes? 09:13 5 A Yes. 09:15 6 Q Thank you. 09:15 7 Ms. Reiniche, is there anything that would be 09:16 8 preventing you from giving your best testimony today, 09:19 9 such as illness or medication? 09:12 0 A No. 09:14 1 Q Ms. Reiniche, could you please state your 09:19 2 full name for the record? 09:12 3 A Stephanie Church Reiniche. 09:15 4 Q And have you gone by any previous names? 09:17 5 A Yes. 09:10 6 Q Was that Stephanie Church Jenkins? 09:13 7 A Yes. 09:16 8 Q And when did you change your name? 09:16 9 A I got married in June of 1994. 09:18 0 Q Okay. Thank you. 09:10 1 And could you please state your business 09:14	4AYes.09:195QAnd Kathleen Krumm, was she the the other 09:196person you worked with?09:197AYes, she owned she owned the firm.09:198QAnd do you have any other graduate or09:199technical degrees?09:1910ANo.09:1911QAnd let's see, so you said you from it 09:1912was four years that you were working at that firm?09:2013AYes. I didn't start there until 1999, I09:2014think or 19 sorry '89. Right? No, '9009:2016QOkay. And did you do anything between the09:2017year that you graduated from law school and when you09:2018started at the firm?09:2019ANo.09:2020QAnd you said you were at the firm for four09:2021years; is that correct?09:20
4 able to comment on those changes? 09:13 5 A Yes. 09:15 6 Q Thank you. 09:15 7 Ms. Reiniche, is there anything that would be 09:16 8 preventing you from giving your best testimony today, 09:19 9 such as illness or medication? 09:12 0 A No. 09:14 1 Q Ms. Reiniche, could you please state your 09:19 2 full name for the record? 09:12 3 A Stephanie Church Reiniche. 09:15 4 Q And have you gone by any previous names? 09:17 5 A Yes. 09:10 6 Q Was that Stephanie Church Jenkins? 09:13 7 A Yes. 09:16 8 Q And when did you change your name? 09:16 9 A I got married in June of 1994. 09:10 11 And could you please state your business 09:14	4AYes.09:195QAnd Kathleen Krumm, was she the the other 09:196person you worked with?09:197AYes, she owned she owned the firm.09:197AYes, she owned she owned the firm.09:198QAnd do you have any other graduate or09:199technical degrees?09:1910ANo.09:1911QAnd let's see, so you said you from it 09:1912was four years that you were working at that firm?09:2013AYes. I didn't start there until 1999, I09:2014think or 19 sorry '89. Right? No, '9009:2015'99, sorry. A year after I graduated law school.09:2016QOkay. And did you do anything between the09:2017year that you graduated from law school and when you09:2018started at the firm?09:2020QAnd you said you were at the firm for four09:2021years; is that correct?09:2022AYes.09:20
4 able to comment on those changes?09:135 A Yes.09:156 Q Thank you.09:157 Ms. Reiniche, is there anything that would be 09:168 preventing you from giving your best testimony today, 09:199 such as illness or medication?09:120 A No.09:141 Q Ms. Reiniche, could you please state your 09:192 full name for the record?09:123 A Stephanie Church Reiniche.09:154 Q And have you gone by any previous names?09:175 A My maiden name was Jenkins.09:106 Q Was that Stephanie Church Jenkins?09:137 A Yes.09:168 Q And when did you change your name?09:169 A I got married in June of 1994.09:180 Q Okay. Thank you.09:101 And could you please state your business09:142 address?09:163 A 1791 Tullie Circle, Northeast, Atlanta,09:17	 4 A Yes. 09:19 5 Q And Kathleen Krumm, was she the the other 09:19 6 person you worked with? 09:19 7 A Yes, she owned she owned the firm. 09:19 8 Q And do you have any other graduate or 09:19 9 technical degrees? 09:19 10 A No. 09:19 11 Q And let's see, so you said you from it 09:19 12 was four years that you were working at that firm? 09:20 13 A Yes. I didn't start there until 1999, I 09:20 14 think or 19 sorry '89. Right? No, '90 09:20 15 '99, sorry. A year after I graduated law school. 09:20 16 Q Okay. And did you do anything between the 09:20 17 year that you graduated from law school and when you 09:20 18 started at the firm? 09:20 19 A No. 09:20 20 Q And you said you were at the firm for four 09:20 21 years; is that correct? 09:20 22 A Yes. 09:20 23 Q Okay. So that was until 2003, was that? 09:20
4 able to comment on those changes? 09:13 5 A Yes. 09:15 6 Q Thank you. 09:15 7 Ms. Reiniche, is there anything that would be 09:16 8 preventing you from giving your best testimony today, 09:19 9 such as illness or medication? 09:12 0 A No. 09:14 1 Q Ms. Reiniche, could you please state your 09:19 2 full name for the record? 09:12 3 A Stephanie Church Reiniche. 09:15 4 Q And have you gone by any previous names? 09:17 5 A Yes. 09:10 6 Q Was that Stephanie Church Jenkins? 09:13 7 A Yes. 09:16 8 Q And when did you change your name? 09:16 9 A I got married in June of 1994. 09:10 11 And could you please state your business 09:14	4AYes.09:195QAnd Kathleen Krumm, was she the the other 09:196person you worked with?09:197AYes, she owned she owned the firm.09:197AYes, she owned she owned the firm.09:198QAnd do you have any other graduate or09:199technical degrees?09:1910ANo.09:1911QAnd let's see, so you said you from it 09:1912was four years that you were working at that firm?09:2013AYes. I didn't start there until 1999, I09:2014think or 19 sorry '89. Right? No, '9009:2015'99, sorry. A year after I graduated law school.09:2016QOkay. And did you do anything between the09:2017year that you graduated from law school and when you09:2018started at the firm?09:2020QAnd you said you were at the firm for four09:2021years; is that correct?09:2022AYes.09:20

5 (Pages 14 - 17)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 7 of 98

1 A I moved from Michigan to Georgia. 09:21	1 A That title, till 2014. 09:21
2 Q And what was your first job that you took 09:29	2 Q And so were you promoted once again in 2014? 09:27
3 once you moved to Georgia? 09:23	3 A Yes. 09:20
4 A ASHRAE. 09:25	4 Q And what is the title that you were promoted 09:21
5 Q Okay. Did you move to Georgia to work at 09:26	5 to? 09:23
6 ASHRAE? 09:28	6 A Senior manager of standards. 09:24
7 A No. 09:29	7 Q And is that the title that you hold today? 09:21
8 Q Okay. And when was it that you started 09:29	8 A Yes. 09:24
9 working at ASHRAE? 09:21	9 Q Okay. And do you have any other roles at 09:24
10 A November 2003. 09:23	10 ASHRAE, other than senior manager of standards? 09:24
11 Q Okay. How was it that you came to start 09:23	11 A No. 09:29
12 working at ASHRAE? 09:24	12 Q Have you served on any of the committees in 09:29
13 A I applied online. I I saw a job posting, 09:27	13 ASHRAE? 09:21
14 at that time it was for a procedures administrator, and 09:21	14 A No. 09:23
15 I submitted a résumé. 09:23	15 Q Have you what involvement in the design of 09:24
16 Q Had you ever heard of ASHRAE before that 09:21	16 standards have you played? 09:21
17 point? 09:23	17 A I oversee the development of all the 09:23
18 A No. 09:23	18 standards at ASHRAE. 09:26
19 Q Were you familiar with the air-conditioning, 09:24	19 Q And what does that involve? 09:26
20 heating and cooling industry prior to that point? 09:26	20 A It involves a lot of things. It involves 09:27
21 A No. 09:21	21 reviewing all the documentation for membership, 09:22
22 Q What was it that made you qualified for the 09:22	22 overseeing the documentation for public reviews, could 09:27
22 g what was it that made you quantical for the 05.22 23 job at ASHRAE? 09:26	23 be change proposals, could be minutes, the publication 09:24
24 A I suppose because it was at that time, it 09:20	24 drafts, editing and reviewing those, working with the 09:20
25 was about procedures and process, and so just legal 09:23	25 appeals. 09:27
Page 18	Page 20
1 hashannad and ability to the multi- and things tills 00.27	1 0 And when you come of a 00.25
1 background and ability to to write and things like09:272 that.09:29	1 Q And when you say you oversee the 09:25
	2 documentation for membership and for public reviews and 09:29
3 Q And what how long were you a procedures 09:24 4 administrator at ASHRAE for? 09:20	3 change proposals and publication drafts, what does that 09:23 4 entail? 09:26
5 A I want to say until December 2004. 09:27	5 A It can entail well, making sure that the 09:28
6 Q And were you promoted at that time? 09:24	6 document for membership, that the documentation is all 09:22
7 A Yes. 09:25	7 complete, meaning every all the, you know, parts are 09:25
8 Q And what was what position were you 09:26	8 filled out, everything is properly signed. And it 09:29
9 promoted to? 09:29	9 could involve talking with the chairs of project 09:23
10 A Standards administrator. 09:20 11 Q A III III	10 committees to help them make sure their committee is 09:29
11 Q And how long did you hold the title of 09:26	11 balanced. 09:24
12 standards administrator for? 09:22	12 Q What do you mean by making sure the project 09:27
13 A I think it was about three years. 09:24	13 committees are balanced? 09:20
14 Q And were you promoted after three years? 09:21	14 A Under our ANSI rules, our committees have to 09:22
15 A Yes. 09:23	15 be balanced, meaning for nonsafety standards, no more 09:25
16 Q And what title were you promoted to? 09:24	16 than 50 percent of the people can be in any one 09:29
17 A Assistant manager of standards 09:27	17 interest category; and for safety standards, no more 09:23
18 administration. 09:28	18 than one-third in each interest category. 09:26
19 Q And how long did you hold that position for? 09:27	19 Q And what is an interest category? 09:22
20AA year or two.09:20	20 A It's it describes the the role a person 09:25
21 Q And were you promoted again after that point? 09:26	21 plays typically in their job or their duties that 09:22
22 A Yes. 09:29	22 they're doing, and that shows their bias for that 09:25
23 Q And what title were you promoted to? 09:20	23 particular standard that's being developed. 09:20
24 A Manager of standards. 09:22	24 Q Could you list for me the interest 09:27
25 Q And how long did you hold that position for? 09:29	25 categories? 09:29
Page 19	Page 21

6 (Pages 18 - 21)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 8 of 98

1 A For which standard? 09:21	1 A For which part of the process? After 09:29
2 Q So the interest categories are different for 09:23	2 membership? 09:22
3 particular standards? 09:25	3 Q Let's talk about membership applications. 09:23
4 A They can be, yes. 09:26	4 A So for membership applications, there is an 09:27
5 Q Okay. Do you know the off the top of your 09:27	5 application form that would list the you know, what 09:20
6 head the interest categories for the 90.1 standards? 09:20	6 project committee they're applying for, their name, 09:24
7 A I can list some of them, but I would have to 09:26	7 what interest category they believe they should be 09:27
8 look at a roster to verify they're all correct. 09:28	8 categorized. And then they would have then there is 09:33
9 Q Okay. 09:22	9 a signature at the bottom and their voting status, what 09:35
10 A There's compliance, industry, utility, 09:22	10 they would like to be on that committee. 09:39
11 general, and I think user. 09:21	11 There's a bias/conflict of interest form, 09:32
12 O And what does what are the those 09:27	12 which gives background on where they've worked for the 09:36
13 categories? Excuse me, let me rephrase. 09:25	13 last five years, other organizations that they've been 09:30
14 What what kind of a person would a 09:29	14 involved with, who pays their way to participate, and 09:34
15 would fall into the compliance category? 09:22	15 any public statements they would have made in regards 09:38
16 A I would need to look at the application that 09:26	16 to the particular standard they're applying for, and 09:31
17 shows the definition to give you an exact person, the09:2818 exact definition: but for example, somebody that's09:22	
18 exact definition; but for example, somebody that's09:2219 involved in codes would be a compliance person.09:26	18 And then there's a biographical record that 09:36
* *	19 is done through the ASHRAE website which gives their 09:38
	20 background, like where they you know, their degrees 09:33
21 like what what kind of role do you mean by that? 09:24	21 and things like that, whether other committees 09:38
22 A A code official. 09:27 23 O A code 09:29	22 they've been involved in within ASHRAE, awards; things 09:31
	23 like that. $09:36$
 A A building code person; that type of person. 09:20 O Would that be something like a regulator? 09:23 	24 Q Are you the person who makes sure that all of 09:36 25 these fields are filled out? 09:39
25 Q Would that be something like a regulator? 09:23 Page 22	25 these fields are filled out? 09:39 Page 24
1 A Could be. 09:26	1 A I have a staff person that does that, but 09:31
2 Q Okay. So that would that would encompass 09:27	2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32
2 Q Okay. So that would that would encompass 09:27 3 somebody in a government position, then, would be under 09:21	2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:323 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35
 2 Q Okay. So that would that would encompass 09:27 3 somebody in a government position, then, would be under 09:21 4 compliance? 09:27 	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39
2 Q Okay. So that would that would encompass 09:27 3 somebody in a government position, then, would be under 09:21 4 compliance? 09:27 5 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 09:28	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30
2 Q Okay. So that would that would encompass 09:27 3 somebody in a government position, then, would be under 09:21 4 compliance? 09:27 5 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 09:28 6 THE WITNESS: I would have to look at 09:29	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32
2QOkay. So that would that would encompass09:273somebody in a government position, then, would be under09:214compliance?09:275MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:286THE WITNESS: I would have to look at09:297the roster to see how a government employee09:20	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32 7 A It's varied over the years. 09:35
2QOkay. So that would that would encompass09:273somebody in a government position, then, would be under09:214compliance?09:275MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:286THE WITNESS: I would have to look at09:297the roster to see how a government employee09:208would be listed. It depends on where they09:22	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32 7 A It's varied over the years. 09:35 8 Q What is the name of the person today? 09:37
2QOkay. So that would that would encompass09:273somebody in a government position, then, would be under09:214compliance?09:275MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:286THE WITNESS: I would have to look at09:297the roster to see how a government employee09:208would be listed. It depends on where they09:229work, what they do. Without looking at an09:26	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32 7 A It's varied over the years. 09:35 8 Q What is the name of the person today? 09:37 9 A Katrina Shingles. 09:30
2QOkay. So that would that would encompass09:273somebody in a government position, then, would be under09:214compliance?09:275MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:286THE WITNESS: I would have to look at09:297the roster to see how a government employee09:208would be listed. It depends on where they09:229work, what they do. Without looking at an09:2610individual, I can't tell you for sure that09:20	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32 7 A It's varied over the years. 09:35 8 Q What is the name of the person today? 09:37 9 A Katrina Shingles. 09:30 10 Q And is there does Katrina Shingles have a 09:36
2QOkay. So that would that would encompass09:273somebody in a government position, then, would be under09:214compliance?09:275MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:286THE WITNESS: I would have to look at09:297the roster to see how a government employee09:208would be listed. It depends on where they09:229work, what they do. Without looking at an09:2610individual, I can't tell you for sure that09:2011they would go under compliance.09:21	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32 7 A It's varied over the years. 09:35 8 Q What is the name of the person today? 09:37 9 A Katrina Shingles. 09:30 10 Q And is there does Katrina Shingles have a 09:36 11 specific position? 09:31
2QOkay. So that would that would encompass09:273somebody in a government position, then, would be under09:214compliance?09:275MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:286THE WITNESS: I would have to look at09:297the roster to see how a government employee09:208would be listed. It depends on where they09:229work, what they do. Without looking at an09:2610individual, I can't tell you for sure that09:2011they would go under compliance.09:2112Q(BY MR. BECKER) Okay. What other categories09:24	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32 7 A It's varied over the years. 09:35 8 Q What is the name of the person today? 09:37 9 A Katrina Shingles. 09:30 10 Q And is there does Katrina Shingles have a 09:36 11 specific position? 09:31 12 A She's a secretary. 09:32
2QOkay. So that would that would encompass09:273somebody in a government position, then, would be under09:214compliance?09:275MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:286THE WITNESS: I would have to look at09:297the roster to see how a government employee09:208would be listed. It depends on where they09:229work, what they do. Without looking at an09:2610individual, I can't tell you for sure that09:2011they would go under compliance.09:2112Q(BY MR. BECKER) Okay. What other categories 09:2413could a government official go under, other than09:25	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32 7 A It's varied over the years. 09:35 8 Q What is the name of the person today? 09:37 9 A Katrina Shingles. 09:30 10 Q And is there does Katrina Shingles have a 09:36 11 specific position? 09:31 12 A She's a secretary. 09:32 13 Q Is there a specific position for the person 09:38
2QOkay. So that would that would encompass09:273somebody in a government position, then, would be under09:214compliance?09:275MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:286THE WITNESS: I would have to look at09:297the roster to see how a government employee09:208would be listed. It depends on where they09:229work, what they do. Without looking at an09:2610individual, I can't tell you for sure that09:2011they would go under compliance.09:2112Q(BY MR. BECKER) Okay. What other categories 09:2413could a government official go under, other than09:2514compliance?09:28	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32 7 A It's varied over the years. 09:35 8 Q What is the name of the person today? 09:37 9 A Katrina Shingles. 09:30 10 Q And is there does Katrina Shingles have a 09:36 11 specific position? 09:31 12 A She's a secretary. 09:32 13 Q Is there a specific position for the person 09:38 14 who has always checked the the forms? 09:32
2 Q Okay. So that would that would encompass 09:27 3 somebody in a government position, then, would be under 09:21 4 compliance? 09:27 5 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 09:28 6 THE WITNESS: I would have to look at 09:29 7 the roster to see how a government employee 09:20 8 would be listed. It depends on where they 09:22 9 work, what they do. Without looking at an 09:26 10 individual, I can't tell you for sure that 09:20 11 they would go under compliance. 09:21 12 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Okay. What other categories 09:24 13 could a government official go under, other than 09:25 14 compliance? 09:28 15 A Depending on the it depends on what the 09:29	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32 7 A It's varied over the years. 09:35 8 Q What is the name of the person today? 09:37 9 A Katrina Shingles. 09:30 10 Q And is there does Katrina Shingles have a 09:36 11 specific position? 09:31 12 A She's a secretary. 09:32 13 Q Is there a specific position for the person 09:38 14 who has always checked the the forms? 09:37
2 Q Okay. So that would that would encompass 09:27 3 somebody in a government position, then, would be under 09:21 4 compliance? 09:27 5 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 09:28 6 THE WITNESS: I would have to look at 09:29 7 the roster to see how a government employee 09:20 8 would be listed. It depends on where they 09:22 9 work, what they do. Without looking at an 09:26 10 individual, I can't tell you for sure that 09:21 12 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Okay. What other categories 09:24 13 could a government official go under, other than 09:25 14 compliance? 09:28 15 A Depending on the it depends on what the 09:29 16 definition is. I really probably should look at the 09:21	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32 7 A It's varied over the years. 09:35 8 Q What is the name of the person today? 09:37 9 A Katrina Shingles. 09:30 10 Q And is there does Katrina Shingles have a 09:36 11 specific position? 09:31 12 A She's a secretary. 09:32 13 Q Is there a specific position for the person 09:38 14 who has always checked the the forms? 09:37 15 A It's been a secretary or an administrative 09:37 16 assistant. 09:30
2 Q Okay. So that would that would encompass 09:27 3 somebody in a government position, then, would be under 09:21 4 compliance? 09:27 5 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 09:28 6 THE WITNESS: I would have to look at 09:29 7 the roster to see how a government employee 09:20 8 would be listed. It depends on where they 09:22 9 work, what they do. Without looking at an 09:26 10 individual, I can't tell you for sure that 09:20 11 they would go under compliance. 09:21 12 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Okay. What other categories 09:24 13 could a government official go under, other than 09:25 14 compliance? 09:28 15 A Depending on the it depends on what the 09:29 16 definition is. I really probably should look at the 09:21 17 definitions to tell you for sure. 09:24	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32 7 A It's varied over the years. 09:35 8 Q What is the name of the person today? 09:37 9 A Katrina Shingles. 09:30 10 Q And is there does Katrina Shingles have a 09:36 11 specific position? 09:31 12 A She's a secretary. 09:32 13 Q Is there a specific position for the person 09:38 14 who has always checked the the forms? 09:32 15 A It's been a secretary or an administrative 09:37 16 assistant. 09:30 17 Q And you said there's also a committee that 09:37
2 Q Okay. So that would that would encompass 09:27 3 somebody in a government position, then, would be under 09:21 4 compliance? 09:27 5 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 09:28 6 THE WITNESS: I would have to look at 09:29 7 the roster to see how a government employee 09:20 8 would be listed. It depends on where they 09:22 9 work, what they do. Without looking at an 09:26 10 individual, I can't tell you for sure that 09:20 11 they would go under compliance. 09:21 12 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Okay. What other categories 09:24 13 could a government official go under, other than 09:25 14 compliance? 09:28 15 A Depending on thei it depends on what the 09:29 16 definition is. I really probably should look at the 09:21 17 definitions to tell you for sure. 09:24 18 Q And where would the definitions be found? 09:25	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32 7 A It's varied over the years. 09:35 8 Q What is the name of the person today? 09:37 9 A Katrina Shingles. 09:30 10 Q And is there does Katrina Shingles have a 09:36 11 specific position? 09:31 12 A She's a secretary. 09:32 13 Q Is there a specific position for the person 09:38 14 who has always checked the the forms? 09:37 15 A It's been a secretary or an administrative 09:37 16 assistant. 09:30 17 Q And you said there's also a committee that 09:37 18 looks over that? 09:39
2QOkay. So that would that would encompass09:273somebody in a government position, then, would be under09:214compliance?09:275MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:286THE WITNESS: I would have to look at09:297the roster to see how a government employee09:208would be listed. It depends on where they09:229work, what they do. Without looking at an09:2610individual, I can't tell you for sure that09:2112Q(BY MR. BECKER) Okay. What other categories09:2413could a government official go under, other than09:2514compliance?09:2815ADepending on the it depends on what the09:2916definition is. I really probably should look at the09:2117definitions to tell you for sure.09:2418QAnd where would the definitions be found?09:2519AThe definitions would be as part of the09:28	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32 7 A It's varied over the years. 09:35 8 Q What is the name of the person today? 09:37 9 A Katrina Shingles. 09:30 10 Q And is there does Katrina Shingles have a 09:36 11 specific position? 09:31 12 A She's a secretary. 09:32 13 Q Is there a specific position for the person 09:38 14 who has always checked the the forms? 09:32 15 A It's been a secretary or an administrative 09:37 16 assistant. 09:30 17 Q And you said there's also a committee that 09:37 18 looks over that? 09:39 19 A Yes. There's a staff liaison, and then there 09:30
2QOkay. So that would that would encompass09:273somebody in a government position, then, would be under09:214compliance?09:275MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:286THE WITNESS: I would have to look at09:297the roster to see how a government employee09:208would be listed. It depends on where they09:229work, what they do. Without looking at an09:2610individual, I can't tell you for sure that09:2112Q(BY MR. BECKER) Okay. What other categories09:2413could a government official go under, other than09:2514compliance?09:2815ADepending on the it depends on what the09:2916definition is. I really probably should look at the09:2117definitions to tell you for sure.09:2418QAnd where would the definitions be found?09:2820application.09:20	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32 7 A It's varied over the years. 09:35 8 Q What is the name of the person today? 09:37 9 A Katrina Shingles. 09:30 10 Q And is there does Katrina Shingles have a 09:36 11 specific position? 09:31 12 A She's a secretary. 09:32 13 Q Is there a specific position for the person 09:38 14 who has always checked the the forms? 09:32 15 A It's been a secretary or an administrative 09:37 16 assistant. 09:30 17 Q And you said there's also a committee that 09:37 18 looks over that? 09:39 19 A Yes. There's a staff liaison, and then there 09:30 20 is in addition to that, and then there is 09:34
2QOkay. So that would that would encompass09:273somebody in a government position, then, would be under09:214compliance?09:275MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:286THE WITNESS: I would have to look at09:297the roster to see how a government employee09:208would be listed. It depends on where they09:229work, what they do. Without looking at an09:2610individual, I can't tell you for sure that09:2011they would go under compliance.09:2112Q(BY MR. BECKER) Okay. What other categories 09:2413could a government official go under, other than09:2514compliance?09:2815ADepending on the it depends on what the09:2916definition is. I really probably should look at the09:2117definitions to tell you for sure.09:2418QAnd where would the definitions be found?09:2519AThe definitions would be as part of the09:2021QQThe membership application?09:21	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32 7 A It's varied over the years. 09:35 8 Q What is the name of the person today? 09:37 9 A Katrina Shingles. 09:30 10 Q And is there does Katrina Shingles have a 09:36 11 specific position? 09:31 12 A She's a secretary. 09:32 13 Q Is there a specific position for the person 09:38 14 who has always checked the the forms? 09:32 15 A It's been a secretary or an administrative 09:37 16 assistant. 09:30 17 Q And you said there's also a committee that 09:37 18 looks over that? 09:39 19 A Yes. There's a staff liaison, and then there 09:30 20 is in addition to that, and then there is 09:34 21 depending the process has changed slightly. There 09:37
2QOkay. So that would that would encompass09:273somebody in a government position, then, would be under09:214compliance?09:275MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:286THE WITNESS: I would have to look at09:297the roster to see how a government employee09:208would be listed. It depends on where they09:229work, what they do. Without looking at an09:2610individual, I can't tell you for sure that09:2011they would go under compliance.09:2112Q(BY MR. BECKER) Okay. What other categories 09:2413could a government official go under, other than09:2514compliance?09:2815ADepending on the it depends on what the09:2916definition is. I really probably should look at the09:2519AThe definitions would be as part of the09:2820application.09:202121QThe membership application?09:2122AYes, sir.09:20	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32 7 A It's varied over the years. 09:35 8 Q What is the name of the person today? 09:37 9 A Katrina Shingles. 09:30 10 Q And is there does Katrina Shingles have a 09:36 11 specific position? 09:31 12 A She's a secretary. 09:32 13 Q Is there a specific position for the person 09:38 14 who has always checked the the forms? 09:32 15 A It's been a secretary or an administrative 09:37 16 assistant. 09:30 17 Q And you said there's also a committee that 09:37 18 looks over that? 09:39 19 A Yes. There's a staff liaison, and then there 09:30 20 is in addition to that, and then there is 09:34 21 depending the process has changed slightly. There 09:37
2QOkay. So that would that would encompass09:273somebody in a government position, then, would be under09:214compliance?09:275MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:286THE WITNESS: I would have to look at09:297the roster to see how a government employee09:208would be listed. It depends on where they09:229work, what they do. Without looking at an09:2610individual, I can't tell you for sure that09:2011they would go under compliance.09:2112Q(BY MR. BECKER) Okay. What other categories 09:2413could a government official go under, other than09:2514compliance?09:2815ADepending on the it depends on what the09:2916definition is. I really probably should look at the09:2117definitions to tell you for sure.09:2418QAnd where would the definitions be found?09:2519AThe definitions would be as part of the09:2820application.09:202121QThe membership application?09:2122AYes, sir.09:2223QYou also said that one of your jobs is to09:21	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32 7 A It's varied over the years. 09:35 8 Q What is the name of the person today? 09:37 9 A Katrina Shingles. 09:30 10 Q And is there does Katrina Shingles have a 09:36 11 specific position? 09:31 12 A She's a secretary. 09:32 13 Q Is there a specific position for the person 09:38 14 who has always checked the the forms? 09:32 15 A It's been a secretary or an administrative 09:37 16 assistant. 09:30 17 Q And you said there's also a committee that 09:37 18 looks over that? 09:39 19 A Yes. There's a staff liaison, and then there 09:30 20 is in addition to that, and then there is 09:34 21 depending the process has changed slightly. There 09:37 22 could be up to two oversight committees. 09:33
2 Q Okay. So that would that would encompass 09:27 3 somebody in a government position, then, would be under 09:21 4 compliance? 09:27 5 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 09:28 6 THE WITNESS: I would have to look at 09:29 7 the roster to see how a government employee 09:20 8 would be listed. It depends on where they 09:22 9 work, what they do. Without looking at an 09:26 10 individual, I can't tell you for sure that 09:21 12 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Okay. What other categories 09:24 13 could a government official go under, other than 09:25 14 compliance? 09:28 15 A Depending on the it depends on what the 09:29 16 definition is. I really probably should look at the 09:21 17 definitions to tell you for sure. 09:24 18 Q And where would the definitions be found? 09:25 19 A The definitions would be as part of the 09:21 20 application. <t< td=""><td> 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32 7 A It's varied over the years. 09:35 8 Q What is the name of the person today? 09:37 9 A Katrina Shingles. 09:30 10 Q And is there does Katrina Shingles have a 09:36 11 specific position? 09:31 12 A She's a secretary. 09:32 13 Q Is there a specific position for the person 09:38 14 who has always checked the the forms? 09:32 15 A It's been a secretary or an administrative 09:37 16 assistant. 09:30 17 Q And you said there's also a committee that 09:37 18 looks over that? 09:39 19 A Yes. There's a staff liaison, and then there 09:30 20 is in addition to that, and then there is 09:34 21 depending the process has changed slightly. There 09:37 22 could be up to two oversight committees. 09:33 24 When did the process change? 09:37 </td></t<>	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32 7 A It's varied over the years. 09:35 8 Q What is the name of the person today? 09:37 9 A Katrina Shingles. 09:30 10 Q And is there does Katrina Shingles have a 09:36 11 specific position? 09:31 12 A She's a secretary. 09:32 13 Q Is there a specific position for the person 09:38 14 who has always checked the the forms? 09:32 15 A It's been a secretary or an administrative 09:37 16 assistant. 09:30 17 Q And you said there's also a committee that 09:37 18 looks over that? 09:39 19 A Yes. There's a staff liaison, and then there 09:30 20 is in addition to that, and then there is 09:34 21 depending the process has changed slightly. There 09:37 22 could be up to two oversight committees. 09:33 24 When did the process change? 09:37
2QOkay. So that would that would encompass09:273somebody in a government position, then, would be under09:214compliance?09:275MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:286THE WITNESS: I would have to look at09:297the roster to see how a government employee09:208would be listed. It depends on where they09:229work, what they do. Without looking at an09:2610individual, I can't tell you for sure that09:2011they would go under compliance.09:2112Q(BY MR. BECKER) Okay. What other categories 09:2413could a government official go under, other than09:2514compliance?09:2815ADepending on the it depends on what the09:2916definition is. I really probably should look at the09:2117definitions to tell you for sure.09:2418QAnd where would the definitions be found?09:2519AThe definitions would be as part of the09:2820application.09:202121QThe membership application?09:2122AYes, sir.09:2223QYou also said that one of your jobs is to09:21	 2 then they are reviewed by another committee. And when 09:32 3 there's a question, then I'm the one that helps work 09:35 4 with that. 09:39 5 Q And what is the name of the staff person who 09:30 6 checks these forms? 09:32 7 A It's varied over the years. 09:35 8 Q What is the name of the person today? 09:37 9 A Katrina Shingles. 09:30 10 Q And is there does Katrina Shingles have a 09:36 11 specific position? 09:31 12 A She's a secretary. 09:32 13 Q Is there a specific position for the person 09:38 14 who has always checked the the forms? 09:32 15 A It's been a secretary or an administrative 09:37 16 assistant. 09:30 17 Q And you said there's also a committee that 09:37 18 looks over that? 09:39 19 A Yes. There's a staff liaison, and then there 09:30 20 is in addition to that, and then there is 09:34 21 depending the process has changed slightly. There 09:37 22 could be up to two oversight committees. 09:33

7 (Pages 22 - 25)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 9 of 98

1QAnd how did it change? $09:39$ 1QWhen reviewing the membership applications, $09:32$ 2AThere is - the only extra - there's only $09:31$ a higher body. So there's only two approving - $09:35$ 2 has has anyone ever been rejected by ASHRAE for $09:38$ 3a higher body. So there's only two approving - $09:30$ 3 membership? $09:38$ 6the membership. $09:37$ 7 QSo it used to be that all the membership $09:38$ 7QSo it used to be that all the membership $09:38$ 7 QBy any of them? $09:36$ 9sorry, I think I'm confused. Could you please $09:36$ 7 QBy any of them? $09:33$ 10explain - $09:38$ 11 limbo. $09:32$ 12Q how that how that works? $09:39$ 11 sending it back to the person who had submitted the $09:31$ 13AThere's two types of committees. $09:32$ 12 QAnd when you say you send it back, are you $09:31$ 14level and nonpolicy level. For nonpolicy level, $09:33$ 14 applications? $09:32$ 14bech chair and only SPLS, and standards committee would $09:32$ 16 QAnd does anyone else who reviews membership $09:31$ 15originally it was the standards project limison $09:34$ 16 QAnd does anyone else whor review as as to incomplete $09:32$ 16subcommittee, or SPLS and the standards committee approves </th
3 oversight for the chair of a policy-level committee at 09:35 3 membership? 09:38 4 a higher body. So there's only two approving
4 a higher body. So there's only two approving09:304A Do you mean by me or do you mean by the chair 09:5 there's two approving bodies for a chair instead of all 09:346fm project committee the chair of the project 09:326 the membership.09:377Q By any of them?09:367Q So it used to be that all the membership09:387Q By any of them?09:368 would have would approve these forms and now I'm 09:309is' incomplete and make it make them finish09:3310explain09:3810completing it. If they don't, it just sits there in 09:391111A Sure.09:3811limbo.09:3212Q how that how that works?09:3912Q And when you say you send it back, are you 09:3113A There's two types of committees: Policy 09:3013sending it back to the person who had submitted the 09:3214level and nonpolicy level. For nonpolicy level, 09:3315A Yes.09:3716subcommittee, or SPLS, and standards committee would 09:3216Q And does anyone else who reviews membership 0917approve the chair and only SPLS would approve the 09:3718what you described your behavior was as to incomplete09:3220SPLS and standards committee approves 09:3321THE WITNESS: Do you mean do they reject 09:3723the chair.09:342324Q (BY MR. BECKER) Yes.09:3124Q What's the difference between nonpolicy-level 09:3324A If the forms
5there's two approving bodies for a chair instead of all 09:345of the project committee the chair of the project 09:326the membership.09:377QSo it used to be that all the membership09:387QBy any of them?09:368would have would approve these forms and now I'm09:308AI don't reject anyone. I send it back if09:399sorry, I think I'm confused. Could you please09:3610completing it. If they don't, it just sits there in09:3911ASure.09:3810completing it. If they don't, it just sits there in09:3912Q- how that how that works?09:3911limbo.09:3213AThere's two types of committees:09:3011limbo.09:3614level and nonpolicy level. For nonpolicy level, 09:3312QAnd when you say you send it back, are you09:3115originally it was the standards project liaison09:3216QAnd does anyone else who reviews membership09:3216subcommittee, or SPLS, and standards committee would opprove the09:3118what you described your behavior was as to incomplete09:3220SPLS and the standards committee approves09:3321THE WITNESS: Do you mend do they reject09:3321and the chair, but now SPLS approves the members and 09:3621THE WITNESS: Do you mend do they reject09:3723the chair.09:342324A<
6the membership.09:376committee or the approving bodies?09:347QSo it used to be that all the membership09:387QBy any of them?09:368would have would approve these forms and now I'm09:308AI don't reject anyone. I send it back if09:399sorry, I think I'm confused. Could you please09:3699it's incomplete and make it make them finish09:3310explain09:3810completing it. If they don't, it just sits there in09:3911ASure.09:3911limbo.09:3212Q- how that how that works?09:3013sending it back to the person who had submitted the09:3414level and nonpolicy level. For nonpolicy level, 09:3315AYes.09:3716subcommittee, or SPLS, and standards committee would09:3216QAnd does anyone else who reviews membership0917approve the chair and only SPLS would approve the 09:3718what you described your behavior was as to incomplete09:3220SPLS and standards committee would approve so 09:3320MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:3321and the chair, but now SPLS approves the members and 09:3621THE WITNESS: Do you mean do they reject 09:3323the chair.09:3423QBY MR. BECKER) Yes.09:3124QWhat's the difference between nonpolicy-level 09:3324AIf
7QSo it used to be that all the membership09:387QBy any of them?09:368would have would approve these forms and now I'm09:308AI don't reject anyone. I send it back if09:399sorry, I think I'm confused. Could you please09:3699it's incomplete and make it make them finish09:3310explain09:3810completing it. If they don't, it just sits there in09:3911ASure.09:3911limbo.09:3212Q how that how that works?09:3912QAnd when you say you send it back, are you09:3113AThere's two types of committees: Policy09:3013sending it back to the person who had submitted the09:3414level and nonpolicy level. For nonpolicy level,09:3714applications?09:3716subcommittee, or SPLS, and standards committee would09:3216QAnd does anyone else who reviews membership19And then for policy-level committees, both09:3318what you described your behavior was as to incomplete0920SPLS and standards committee would approve membership09:3320MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:3321and the chair, but now SPLS approves the members and09:3621THE WITNESS: Do you mean do they reject09:3723the chair.09:3423Q(BY MR. BECKER) Yes.09:3024AIf the for
8 would have would approve these forms and now I'm 09:309 sorry, I think I'm confused. Could you please09:368A I don't reject anyone. I send it back if09:399 sorry, I think I'm confused. Could you please09:369 it's incomplete and make it make them finish09:3310 explain09:3810 completing it. If they don't, it just sits there in09:3911 A Sure.09:3911 limbo.09:3212 Q how that how that works?09:3912 Q And when you say you send it back, are you09:3113 A There's two types of committees: Policy09:3013 sending it back to the person who had submitted the09:3414 level and nonpolicy level. For nonpolicy level, 09:3315 A Yes.09:3716 subcommittee, or SPLS, and standards committee would09:3216 Q And does anyone else who reviews membership0917 approve the chair and only SPLS would approve the09:3418 what you described your behavior was as to incomplete0919 And then for policy-level committee would approve membership09:33120 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:3321 and the chair, but now SPLS approves the members and09:3621 THE WITNESS: Do you mean do they reject09:3723 the chair.09:3423 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Yes.09:3124 Q What's the difference between nonpolicy-level 09:3324 A If the forms are not complete; them yes.09:3125 committees and policy-level committees?09:3825 Q So so who is it who would reject them if09:3525 level requires more oversight. Typically, it's
9 sorry, I think I'm confused. Could you please09:369 it's incomplete and make it make them finish09:3310 explain09:3810 completing it. If they don't, it just sits there in09:3911 A Sure.09:3811 limbo.09:3212 Q how that how that works?09:3911 limbo.09:3213 A There's two types of committees: Policy09:3013 sending it back to the person who had submitted the09:3414 level and nonpolicy level. For nonpolicy level, 09:3315 A Yes.09:3716 Q And does anyone else who reviews membership16 subcommittee, or SPLS, and standards committee would 09:3216 Q And does anyone else who reviews membership0917 approve the chair and only SPLS would approve the09:3418 what you described your behavior was as to incomplete0919 And then for policy-level committees, both09:3420MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:3321 and the chair, but now SPLS approves the members and09:3621THE WITNESS: Do you mean do they reject09:3723 the chair.09:342323Q (BY MR. BECKER) Yes.09:3124 Q What's the difference between nonpolicy-level 09:3324A If the forms are not complete; 409:332525 committees and policy-level committees?09:3824A If the forms are not complete; 409:3721 A So the easiest way to describe it is policy 09:3021 the forms were not complete?09:372 level requires more oversight. Typically, it's09:332A Myself, another staff person that's reviewing 09:30<
10explain09:3810completing it. If they don't, it just sits there in09:3911ASure.09:3811limbo.09:3212Q how that how that works?09:3912QAnd when you say you send it back, are you09:3113AThere's two types of committees: Policy09:3013sending it back to the person who had submitted the09:3214level and nonpolicy level. For nonpolicy level,09:3314application?09:3615originally it was the standards project liaison09:3215AYes.09:3716subcommittee, or SPLS, and standards committee would09:3216QAnd does anyone else who reviews membership0917approve the chair and only SPLS would approve the09:3316what you described your behavior was as to incomplete0919And then for policy-level committees, both09:3419applications?09:3220SPLS and tha standards committee approves09:3321THE WITNESS: Do you mean do they reject09:3321and the chair, but now SPLS approves the members and09:3322them because the forms are not complete?09:3723the chair.09:3423Q(BY MR. BECKER) Yes.09:312325committees and policy-level committees?09:3824AIf the forms are not complete, then yes.09:3524QWhat's the difference between nonpolicy-level
11ASure.09:3811Imbo.09:3212Q how that how that works?09:3912QAnd when you say you send it back, are you09:3113AThere's two types of committees: Policy09:3013sending it back to the person who had submitted the09:3214level and nonpolicy level. For nonpolicy level, 09:3309:3814application?09:3615originally it was the standards project liaison09:3816QAnd does anyone else who reviews membership0917approve the chair and only SPLS would approve the09:3316QAnd does anyone else who reviews membership09:3418membership.09:3316QAnd does anyone else who reviews as to incomplete0919And then for policy-level committees, both09:3420MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:3320SPLS and standards committee approves the members and09:3621THE WITNESS: Do you mean do they reject09:3723the chair.09:3423Q(BY MR. BECKER) Yes.09:3024AIf the forms are not complete, then yes.09:3125committees and policy-level committees?09:3825QSo so who is it who would reject them if09:351ASo the easiest way to describe it is policy09:301the forms were not complete?09:372level requires more oversight. Typically, it's09:332AMyse
12Q how that how that works?09:3912QAnd when you say you send it back, are you09:3113AThere's two types of committees: Policy09:3013sending it back to the person who had submitted the09:3414level and nonpolicy level. For nonpolicy level, 09:3309:3815AYes.09:3716subcommittee, or SPLS, and standards committee would 09:3216QAnd does anyone else who reviews membership 0917approve the chair and only SPLS would approve the09:3716QAnd does anyone else who reviews membership 0919And then for policy-level committees, both09:3419applications?09:3220SPLS and standards committee approves the members and09:3621THE WITNESS: Do you mean do they reject09:3723the chair.09:3423Q(BY MR. BECKER) Yes.09:312324AIf the forms are not complete, then yes.09:3125committees and policy-level committees?09:3824AIf the forms were not complete?09:3721ASo the easiest way to describe it is policy09:301the forms were not complete?09:3722Level requires more oversight. Typically, it's09:3324AMyself, another staff person that's reviewing 09:30
13AThere's two types of committees: Policy09:3013sending it back to the person who had submitted the09:3414level and nonpolicy level. For nonpolicy level,09:3314application?09:3615originally it was the standards project liaison09:3815AYes.09:3716subcommittee, or SPLS, and standards committee would09:3216QAnd does anyone else who reviews membership0917approve the chair and only SPLS would approve the09:3716QAnd does anyone else who reviews as to incomplete0919And then for policy-level committees, both09:3419applications?09:3209:3220SPLS and standards committee would approve membership09:3120MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:3321and the chair, but now SPLS approves the members and09:3621THE WITNESS: Do you mean do they reject09:3723the chair.09:3423Q(BY MR. BECKER) Yes.09:3125QSo so who is it who would reject them if09:3524QWhat's the difference between nonpolicy-level 09:3324AIf the forms were not complete?09:3722level requires more oversight. Typically, it's09:3321the forms were not complete?09:372level requires more oversight. Typically, it's09:332AMyself, another staff person that's reviewing 09:30
14level and nonpolicy level. For nonpolicy level,09:3314application?09:3615originally it was the standards project liaison09:3815AYes.09:3716subcommittee, or SPLS, and standards committee would09:3216QAnd does anyone else who reviews membership0917approve the chair and only SPLS would approve the09:3716QAnd does anyone else who reviews membership0917approve the chair and only SPLS would approve the09:3716QAnd does anyone else who reviews membership0919And then for policy-level committees, both09:3419applications?09:3209:3220SPLS and standards committee would approve membership09:3120MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:3321and the chair, but now SPLS approves the members and09:3621THE WITNESS: Do you mean do they reject09:3723the chair.09:3423Q(BY MR. BECKER) Yes.09:312324QWhat's the difference between nonpolicy-level 09:3324AIf the forms are not complete, then yes.09:3125committees and policy-level committees?09:3024AIf the forms were not complete?09:372level requires more oversight. Typically, it's09:332AMyself, another staff person that's reviewing 09:30
15originally it was the standards project liaison09:3815AYes.09:3716subcommittee, or SPLS, and standards committee would 09:3216QAnd does anyone else who reviews membership0917approve the chair and only SPLS would approve the09:3716QAnd does anyone else who reviews membership0918membership.09:3316QAnd does anyone else who reviews membership0919And then for policy-level committees, both09:3418what you described your behavior was as to incomplete0920SPLS and standards committee would approve membership09:3120MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:3321and the chair, but now SPLS approves the members and09:3621THE WITNESS: Do you mean do they reject09:3723the chair.09:3423Q(BY MR. BECKER) Yes.09:3024AIf the forms are not complete, then yes.09:3125committees and policy-level committees?09:3825QSo so who is it who would reject them if09:351ASo the easiest way to describe it is policy09:301the forms were not complete?09:372level requires more oversight. Typically, it's09:332AMyself, another staff person that's reviewing 09:30
16subcommittee, or SPLS, and standards committee would 09:3216QAnd does anyone else who reviews membership 0917approve the chair and only SPLS would approve the 09:3717applications act in any manner that's different than 09:3418membership.09:3318what you described your behavior was as to incomplete 0919And then for policy-level committees, both 09:3419applications?09:3220SPLS and standards committee would approve membership 09:3120MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:3321and the chair, but now SPLS approves the members and 09:3621THE WITNESS: Do you mean do they reject 09:3723the chair.09:3423Q(BY MR. BECKER) Yes.09:3024QWhat's the difference between nonpolicy-level 09:3324AIf the forms are not complete, then yes.09:3125committees and policy-level committees?09:3024AIf the forms were not complete?09:372level requires more oversight. Typically, it's09:331the forms were not complete?09:372AMyself, another staff person that's reviewing 09:30
17 approve the chair and only SPLS would approve the 18 membership.09:3717 applications act in any manner that's different than 09:3409:3418 membership.09:3318 what you described your behavior was as to incomplete 090919 And then for policy-level committees, both 20 SPLS and standards committee would approve membership 21 and the chair, but now SPLS approves the members and 22 standards SPLS and the standards committee approves 22 standards SPLS and the standards committee approves 23 the chair.09:3420MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 09:3309:3323 the chair.09:3423Q(BY MR. BECKER) Yes. 09:3009:3024 Q What's the difference between nonpolicy-level 09:33 25 committees and policy-level committees?09:38 09:3824A If the forms are not complete, then yes. 09:3109:351 A So the easiest way to describe it is policy 2 level requires more oversight. Typically, it's09:331 the forms were not complete?09:372 A Myself, another staff person that's reviewing 09:30
18 membership. 09:33 18 what you described your behavior was as to incomplete 09 19 And then for policy-level committees, both 09:34 19 applications? 09:32 20 SPLS and standards committee would approve membership 09:31 20 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 09:33 21 and the chair, but now SPLS approves the members and 09:36 21 THE WITNESS: Do you mean do they reject 09:37 23 the chair. 09:34 23 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Yes. 09:30 24 Q What's the difference between nonpolicy-level 09:33 24 A If the forms are not complete, then yes. 09:31 25 committees and policy-level committees? 09:30 24 A If the forms were not complete, them if 09:35 1 A So the easiest way to describe it is policy 09:33 1 the forms were not complete? 09:37 2 level requires more oversight. Typically, it's 09:33 2 A Myself, another staff person that's reviewing 09:30
19And then for policy-level committees, both09:3419applications?09:3220SPLS and standards committee would approve membership09:3120MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:3321and the chair, but now SPLS approves the members and09:3621THE WITNESS: Do you mean do they reject09:3322standards SPLS and the standards committee approves09:3322them because the forms aren't complete?09:3723the chair.09:3423Q(BY MR. BECKER) Yes.09:3009:3024QWhat's the difference between nonpolicy-level 09:3324AIf the forms are not complete, then yes.09:3125committees and policy-level committees?09:3825QSo so who is it who would reject them if09:351ASo the easiest way to describe it is policy09:301the forms were not complete?09:372level requires more oversight. Typically, it's09:332AMyself, another staff person that's reviewing 09:30
20 SPLS and standards committee would approve membership 09:31 20 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 09:33 21 and the chair, but now SPLS approves the members and 09:36 21 THE WITNESS: Do you mean do they reject 09:33 22 standards SPLS and the standards committee approves 09:33 22 them because the forms aren't complete? 09:37 23 the chair. 09:34 23 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Yes. 09:30 24 Q What's the difference between nonpolicy-level 09:33 24 A If the forms are not complete, then yes. 09:31 25 committees and policy-level committees? 09:38 25 Q So so who is it who would reject them if 09:35 1 A So the easiest way to describe it is policy 09:33 1 the forms were not complete? 09:37 2 level requires more oversight. Typically, it's 09:33 2 A Myself, another staff person that's reviewing 09:30
21 and the chair, but now SPLS approves the members and 22 standards SPLS and the standards committee approves 23 the chair.09:3621THE WITNESS: Do you mean do they reject 09:3709:3723 the chair.09:3423Q(BY MR. BECKER) Yes. 09:3009:3024QWhat's the difference between nonpolicy-level 09:33 25 committees and policy-level committees?09:38 Page 2624AIf the forms are not complete, then yes. 09:3509:311ASo the easiest way to describe it is policy 2 level requires more oversight. Typically, it's 09:331the forms were not complete?09:37 09:302AMyself, another staff person that's reviewing 09:30
22 standards SPLS and the standards committee approves 09:33 22 them because the forms aren't complete? 09:37 23 the chair. 09:34 23 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Yes. 09:30 24 Q What's the difference between nonpolicy-level 09:33 24 A If the forms are not complete, then yes. 09:31 25 committees and policy-level committees? 09:38 25 Q So so who is it who would reject them if 09:35 1 A So the easiest way to describe it is policy 09:30 1 the forms were not complete? 09:37 2 level requires more oversight. Typically, it's 09:33 2 A Myself, another staff person that's reviewing 09:30
23 the chair. 09:34 23 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Yes. 09:30 24 Q What's the difference between nonpolicy-level 09:33 24 A If the forms are not complete, then yes. 09:31 25 committees and policy-level committees? 09:38 25 Q So so who is it who would reject them if 09:35 09:30 1 A So the easiest way to describe it is policy 09:30 1 the forms were not complete? 09:37 2 level requires more oversight. Typically, it's 09:33 2 A Myself, another staff person that's reviewing 09:30
24 Q What's the difference between nonpolicy-level 09:33 24 A If the forms are not complete, then yes. 09:31 25 committees and policy-level committees? 09:38 25 Q So so who is it who would reject them if 09:35 1 A So the easiest way to describe it is policy 09:30 1 the forms were not complete? 09:37 2 level requires more oversight. Typically, it's 09:33 2 A Myself, another staff person that's reviewing 09:30
25 committees and policy-level committees? 09:38 Page 26 25 Q So so who is it who would reject them if 09:35 1 A So the easiest way to describe it is policy 09:30 1 the forms were not complete? 09:37 2 level requires more oversight. Typically, it's 09:33 2 A Myself, another staff person that's reviewing 09:30
25 committees and policy-level committees? 09:38 Page 26 25 Q Q So so who is it who would reject them if 09:35 Page 26 1 A So the easiest way to describe it is policy 09:30 1 the forms were not complete? 09:37 2 level requires more oversight. Typically, it's 09:33 2 A Myself, another staff person that's reviewing 09:30
1ASo the easiest way to describe it is policy09:301the forms were not complete?09:372level requires more oversight. Typically, it's09:332AMyself, another staff person that's reviewing09:30
2 level requires more oversight. Typically, it's 09:33 2 A Myself, another staff person that's reviewing 09:30
3 something that may be more controversial topics or 09:36 3 it, the project committee chair, the members of SPLS 09:3
4 somebody has, you know, said this is going to receive 09:34 4 when we're reviewing the membership. It usually 09:30
5 thousands of comments when it goes out for public 09:38 5 doesn't get past them, but if it did, then standards 09:33
6 review. So there is higher oversight in approving 09:30 6 would send it back. 09:36
7 documents when it comes from a policy, where nonpolicy 09:34 7 Q When you say "standards would send it back," 09:34
8 wouldn't be that way. 09:37 8 are you referring to standards as a department within 09:35
9 Q How is it that ASHRAE predicts that that 09:34 9 ASHRAE? 09:38
10 the topic is going to be controversial, and therefore09:3310ASorry, standards committee.09:39
11 should go under the policy, as opposed to nonpolicy? 09:37 11 Q Are you aware of any incomplete membership 09:37
12 A Sometimes it's just a feeling. It's 09:30 12 applications ever making it through the process and 09:34
13 there's a form when someone proposes a new project, 09:34 13 being approved? 09:36
14 either a person or a technical committee, and that's 09:30 14 A No. 09:37
15 one of the questions that's on the form that, you know, 09:32 15 Q When you described Standard 90.1 as being 09:34
16 how controversial is this topic, and that type of 09:35 16 policy level and and also describing policy level as 09:30
17 thing. 09:38 17 requiring more oversight because it's controversial, 09:37
18 Q Could you give me a couple examples of what 09:30 18 what is it that makes Standard 90.1 controversial? 09:39
19 would go to the policy committee? 09:34 19 A I don't know that that's just one reason 09:33
20ASure. Sure. For example, 90.1 is a09:3720 it might be a policy level. I don't know that 90.1 is09:35
21 policy-level standard. 189.1, which is our green 09:31 21 necessarily controversial. It's, in part, because it's 09:30
22 building version of 90.1, is a policy level. Nonpolicy 09:37 22 referenced in federal law. And usually as we're trying 09:34
23 level are typically things like a method of test 09:32 23 to make it more energy efficient, there are some areas 09:40
24 standard. Most people don't comment. It's not a big 09:35 24 that it covers that generate a lot of comments, because 09:45
25 controversy with method of test. 09:31 25 it might be an area not covered in the standard before. 09:49
Page 27 Page 27

8 (Pages 26 - 29)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 10 of 98

1 Q And what's special about it being referenced 09:43	1 A International Energy I'm not positive. I 09:44
2 in federal law? 09:47	2 just speak in acronyms, so I can't remember. I have to 09:49
3 A It is let's see if I get this right 09:48	3 look it up, to be honest. But it's through 09:42
4 it's referenced in the EPAct, so it's the minimum 09:40	4 International Code Council. It's their energy 09:47
5 energy code for commercial buildings. 09:45	5 efficiency code. 09:42
6 Q I'm sorry, could you spell that, EPAct? 09:48	6 Q The International Code Council, are they 09:46
7 A E-P-A-C-T. It's the Energy Policy Act. 09:43	7 known as ICC? 09:48
8 Q And what does the Energy Policy Act do? 09:47	8 A Yes. 09:40
9 A That's the federal law for it's the 09:41	9 Q What's the is there any relationship of 09:44
10 minimum efficiency for commercial codes as it relates 09:46	10 the IECC to ASHRAE's Standard 90.1? 09:47
11 to 90.1. So 90.1 is the minimum. That's the code in 09:40	11 A 90.1 is a compliance option to the IECC. 09:43
12 EPAct. 09:47	12 O What does that mean? 09:42
13 Q The I'm sorry, the minimum for what? 09:48	13 A It means you can choose if you adopt that 09:44
14 A Commercial buildings. 09:40 15 Q L L L	14 as your code and you adopt it in its entirety and 09:49
15 Q Is that the minimum level of energy 09:42	15 then and 90.1 is a reference as a compliance option 09:43
16 efficiency? 09:44	16 at the whatever level you're design your code 09:49
17 A Yes. 09:45	17 wherever that code is adopted, if they if the 09:43
18 Q So if I understand you correctly, ASHRAE 09:40	18 builder wants to build according to what's in 90.1, 09:46
19 Standard 90.1 is referenced in the EPAct as the minimum 09:44	19 they have that option or they can build according to 09:49
20 standard for energy efficiency for commercial09:44	20 the IECC, and then that's their choice. 09:42
21 buildings? 09:47	21 Q Does the IECC say within it that someone can 09:41
22 A Correct. 09:48	22 comply with ASHRAE Standard 90.1 and that would be 09:47
23 Q So who is it who has to follow the EPAct? 09:44	23 sufficient? 09:40
24 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 09:40	24 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 09:41
25 THE WITNESS: To the best of my 09:44	25 THE WITNESS: It there is a reference 09:43
Page 30	Page 32
1 knowledge, it's the the requirement is all 09:45	1 as yeah, as a compliance option to the 09:44
2 states are supposed to become compliant with 09:48	2 IECC, yes. 09:49
3 it or deem to comply by another method every 09:43	3 Q (BY MR. BECKER) So compliance option, then, 09:40
4 so many years to the latest version of 09:49	4 means an alternative? 09:42
5 90.1. 09:41	5 A Correct. 09:44
6 Q (BY MR. BECKER) When you say that all states 09:45	6 Q And is does that mean that ASHRAE's 09:45
7 must become compliant, does that mean that the states 09:47	7 Standard 90.1 is more rigorous than the IECC? 09:40
8 have to adopt this into their regulations or does it 09:40	8 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 09:41
9 mean that these states have to build their buildings to 09:45	9 THE WITNESS: I would have to review. 09:41
10 comply with the EPAct? 09:49	10 It depends on what version. Off the top of 09:42
11 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 09:41	11 my head, I I don't know. 09:44
12 THE WITNESS: It means they're supposed 09:43	12 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Taking a step back, 09:40
13 to adopt a code that is equivalent to the 09:45	13 Ms. Reiniche, who do you report to at ASHRAE? 09:41
14 current version of 90.1 within two I 09:48	14 A Claire Ramspeck. 09:44
15 believe it's within two years of each year, 09:41	15 Q And what is Ms. Ramspeck's position? 09:48
16 or there is some other rules that they have 09:45	16 A Director of technology. (19:43
16or there is some other rules that they have09:4517to follow if they don't deem to comply.09:48	16 A Director of technology. 09:43 17 O And do you report to anybody else? 09:48
17 to follow if they don't deem to comply. 09:48	17 Q And do you report to anybody else? 09:48
17to follow if they don't deem to comply.09:4818It does not have to be 90.1. It could09:42	17QAnd do you report to anybody else?09:4818AI suppose you could say Jeff Littleton.09:44
 to follow if they don't deem to comply. 09:48 It does not have to be 90.1. It could 09:42 be another version of a different code. 09:44 	17QAnd do you report to anybody else?09:4818AI suppose you could say Jeff Littleton.09:4419QAnd what is Mr. Littleton's position?09:47
17to follow if they don't deem to comply.09:4818It does not have to be 90.1. It could09:4219be another version of a different code.09:4420So09:47	 17 Q And do you report to anybody else? 09:48 18 A I suppose you could say Jeff Littleton. 09:44 19 Q And what is Mr. Littleton's position? 09:47 20 A Executive vice president. 09:40
 to follow if they don't deem to comply. 09:48 It does not have to be 90.1. It could 09:42 be another version of a different code. 09:44 So 09:47 Q (BY MR. BECKER) What other codes would 09:45 	 17 Q And do you report to anybody else? 09:48 18 A I suppose you could say Jeff Littleton. 09:44 19 Q And what is Mr. Littleton's position? 09:47 20 A Executive vice president. 09:40 21 Q And is there anybody else that you report to? 09:40
17to follow if they don't deem to comply.09:4818It does not have to be 90.1. It could09:4219be another version of a different code.09:4420So09:4721Q(BY MR. BECKER) What other codes would09:4522would suffice to to satisfy the EPAct?09:40	 17 Q And do you report to anybody else? 09:48 18 A I suppose you could say Jeff Littleton. 09:44 19 Q And what is Mr. Littleton's position? 09:47 20 A Executive vice president. 09:40 21 Q And is there anybody else that you report to? 09:40 22 A No. 09:42
 to follow if they don't deem to comply. 09:48 It does not have to be 90.1. It could 09:42 be another version of a different code. 09:44 So 09:47 Q (BY MR. BECKER) What other codes would 09:45 would suffice to to satisfy the EPAct? 09:40 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 09:44 	 17 Q And do you report to anybody else? 09:48 18 A I suppose you could say Jeff Littleton. 09:44 19 Q And what is Mr. Littleton's position? 09:47 20 A Executive vice president. 09:40 21 Q And is there anybody else that you report to? 09:40 22 A No. 09:42 23 Q And is there anyone who reports to you? 09:43
17to follow if they don't deem to comply.09:4818It does not have to be 90.1. It could09:4219be another version of a different code.09:4420So09:4721Q(BY MR. BECKER) What other codes would09:4522would suffice to to satisfy the EPAct?09:4023MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.09:4424THE WITNESS: The IECC.09:47	 17 Q And do you report to anybody else? 09:48 18 A I suppose you could say Jeff Littleton. 09:44 19 Q And what is Mr. Littleton's position? 09:47 20 A Executive vice president. 09:40 21 Q And is there anybody else that you report to? 09:40 22 A No. 09:42 23 Q And is there anyone who reports to you? 09:43 24 A Yes. 09:46
 to follow if they don't deem to comply. 09:48 It does not have to be 90.1. It could 09:42 be another version of a different code. 09:44 So 09:47 Q (BY MR. BECKER) What other codes would 09:45 would suffice to to satisfy the EPAct? 09:40 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 09:44 	 17 Q And do you report to anybody else? 09:48 18 A I suppose you could say Jeff Littleton. 09:44 19 Q And what is Mr. Littleton's position? 09:47 20 A Executive vice president. 09:40 21 Q And is there anybody else that you report to? 09:40 22 A No. 09:42 23 Q And is there anyone who reports to you? 09:43

9 (Pages 30 - 33)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 11 of 98

	1
1 A Mark Mark Weber, Carmen Manning, Nicole 09:41	1 do a double review of the membership items reviewed by 09:53
2 Jones, Angela McFarlin, Katrina Shingles, Steve 09:40	2 Katrina, works with the project committee chair to help 09:58
3 Ferguson, Beverly Fulks, Tanishe Meyers-Lisle, Susan 09:43	3 come up with a balance committee, looking at terms, you 09:54
4 LeBlanc, and Bert Etheridge. 09:41	4 know, helping making sure they, you know, get rotate 09:59
5 Q And what is Mr. Weber's position? 09:42	5 people in and out per our rules. He attends all the 09:52
6 A He is the manager of standards American. 09:46	6 full project committee meetings, some of the 09:59
7 Q Does that mean he's in charge of the American 09:41	7 subcommittee meetings. 09:52
8 ASHRAE standards? 09:44	8 He processes the letter ballots for approval 09:55
9 A Yes, but there's some that are assigned to 09:46	9 of all drafts. He reviews the drafts to make sure 09:57
10 other people; but the majority of them, yes. 09:48	10 they're written consistently. He points out conflicts 09:52
	11 when they make one change to a section and then they09:5612 haven't made a similar change to another section so09:58
1 1	
13 A We provide staff support for some of the 09:44	13 there's not conflicts. 09:53
14 standards, and we divide them up because of the 09:47	14 He reviews all of the public final 09:55
15 schedule that one person can provide higher levels of 09:40	15 publication drafts in the final roll-ups of the 90.1 09:58
16 support. 09:44	16 for each new version every three years. He does the 09:53
17 Q So does Mark Weber provide staff support to 09:45	17 minutes. 09:57
18 range of standards, with the exception of some other 09:43	18 Q Are you aware of any other work that 09:53
19 group of standards?09:46	19 Mr. Ferguson does as the staff liaison for 90.1?09:55
20 A He does he's the main point of contact for 09:47	20 A That's that's pretty much the general 09:51
21 the majority of the standards. There's some that he is 09:42	21 stuff that I can think of. He has other duties besides 09:54
22 specifically the staff liaison to, and then there's 09:47	22 90.1. 09:57
23 several others that are assigned to other managers in 09:40	23 Q Does Mr. Ferguson draft any of the text for 09:58
24 the department. 09:43	24 90.1? 09:53
25 Q Okay. And why is it that there are others 09:44	25 A He reviews the drafts and points out 09:54 Page 36
	Page 30
Page 34	
1 that are assigned to other managers in the department? 09:47	1 conflicts. 09:57
1 that are assigned to other managers in the department? 09:47	1 conflicts. 09:57
1 that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:41	1 conflicts. 09:57 2 Q But he doesn't contribute text directly to 09:57
1 that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:413 of staff loads. One person can't support them based on 09:44	1 conflicts.09:572QBut he doesn't contribute text directly to09:57390.1?09:51
 that are assigned to other managers in the department? 09:47 A We just we just split them up because 09:41 of staff loads. One person can't support them based on 09:44 their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of 09:40 	1 conflicts.09:572QBut he doesn't contribute text directly to09:57390.1?09:514ANo. He may comment when they're discussing09:52
 that are assigned to other managers in the department? 09:47 A We just we just split them up because 09:41 of staff loads. One person can't support them based on 09:44 their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of 09:40 them. Some of them, it's based on their prior 09:43 	1 conflicts.09:572 Q But he doesn't contribute text directly to09:573 90.1?09:514 A No. He may comment when they're discussing09:525 proposed text changes to make them aware of something,09:56
1that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:413of staff loads. One person can't support them based on09:444their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of09:405them. Some of them, it's based on their prior09:436expertise.09:47	1 conflicts.09:572 Q But he doesn't contribute text directly to09:573 90.1?09:514 A No. He may comment when they're discussing09:525 proposed text changes to make them aware of something,09:566 but he does not necessarily, unless they wrote09:50
1that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:413of staff loads. One person can't support them based on 09:444their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of09:405them. Some of them, it's based on their prior09:436expertise.09:477QAnd do you know what standards they are that09:49	1 conflicts.09:572 Q But he doesn't contribute text directly to09:573 90.1?09:514 A No. He may comment when they're discussing09:525 proposed text changes to make them aware of something,09:566 but he does not necessarily, unless they wrote09:507 something in the incorrect format.09:53
1that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:413of staff loads. One person can't support them based on09:444their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of09:405them. Some of them, it's based on their prior09:436expertise.09:477QAnd do you know what standards they are that09:498are not assigned to Mark Weber that are American09:41	1 conflicts.09:572 Q But he doesn't contribute text directly to09:573 90.1?09:514 A No. He may comment when they're discussing09:525 proposed text changes to make them aware of something,09:566 but he does not necessarily, unless they wrote09:507 something in the incorrect format.09:538 Q And what would he do if they had written09:56
1that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:413of staff loads. One person can't support them based on09:444their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of09:405them. Some of them, it's based on their prior09:436expertise.09:477QAnd do you know what standards they are that09:498are not assigned to Mark Weber that are American09:419standards?09:45	1 conflicts.09:572QBut he doesn't contribute text directly to09:57390.1?09:514ANo. He may comment when they're discussing09:525proposed text changes to make them aware of something,09:566but he does not necessarily, unless they wrote09:507something in the incorrect format.09:538QAnd what would he do if they had written09:569something in an incorrect format?09:58
1that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:413of staff loads. One person can't support them based on 09:444their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of09:405them. Some of them, it's based on their prior09:436expertise.09:477QAnd do you know what standards they are that09:498are not assigned to Mark Weber that are American09:419standards?09:4510AYes.09:45	1 conflicts.09:572 Q But he doesn't contribute text directly to09:573 90.1?09:514 A No. He may comment when they're discussing09:525 proposed text changes to make them aware of something,09:566 but he does not necessarily, unless they wrote09:507 something in the incorrect format.09:538 Q And what would he do if they had written09:569 something in an incorrect format?09:5810 A He would edit it, send it back. If it's a09:50
1that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:413of staff loads. One person can't support them based on 09:444their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of09:405them. Some of them, it's based on their prior09:436expertise.09:477QAnd do you know what standards they are that09:498are not assigned to Mark Weber that are American09:419standards?09:4510AYes.09:4511QAnd which standards are those?09:46	1 conflicts.09:572 Q But he doesn't contribute text directly to09:573 90.1?09:514 A No. He may comment when they're discussing09:525 proposed text changes to make them aware of something,09:566 but he does not necessarily, unless they wrote09:507 something in the incorrect format.09:538 Q And what would he do if they had written09:569 something in an incorrect format?09:5810 A He would edit it, send it back. If it's a09:5011 substantive change to fix it, then it would have to go09:53
1that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:413of staff loads. One person can't support them based on09:444their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of09:405them. Some of them, it's based on their prior09:436expertise.09:477QAnd do you know what standards they are that09:498are not assigned to Mark Weber that are American09:419standards?09:4510AYes.09:4511QAnd which standards are those?09:4912A90.1, 90.2, 90.4, 189.1, 15, 34.09:49	1 conflicts.09:572 Q But he doesn't contribute text directly to09:573 90.1?09:514 A No. He may comment when they're discussing09:525 proposed text changes to make them aware of something,09:566 but he does not necessarily, unless they wrote09:507 something in the incorrect format.09:538 Q And what would he do if they had written09:569 something in an incorrect format?09:5810 A He would edit it, send it back. If it's a09:5011 substantive change to fix it, then it would have to go09:5312 back to the committee for a new vote. If it's09:56
1that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:413of staff loads. One person can't support them based on09:444their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of09:405them. Some of them, it's based on their prior09:436expertise.09:477QAnd do you know what standards they are that09:498are not assigned to Mark Weber that are American09:419standards?09:4510AYes.09:4511QAnd which standards are those?09:4612A90.1, 90.2, 90.4, 189.1, 15, 34.09:4913QAnd who is Standard 90.1 assigned to?09:53	1 conflicts.09:572 Q But he doesn't contribute text directly to09:573 90.1?09:514 A No. He may comment when they're discussing09:525 proposed text changes to make them aware of something,09:566 but he does not necessarily, unless they wrote09:507 something in the incorrect format.09:538 Q And what would he do if they had written09:569 something in an incorrect format?09:5810 A He would edit it, send it back. If it's a09:5011 substantive change to fix it, then it would have to go09:5312 back to the committee for a new vote. If it's09:5613 editorial, then the chair or a subcommittee or in09:59
1that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:413of staff loads. One person can't support them based on09:444their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of09:405them. Some of them, it's based on their prior09:436expertise.09:477QAnd do you know what standards they are that09:498are not assigned to Mark Weber that are American09:419standards?09:4510AYes.09:4511QAnd which standards are those?09:4612A90.1, 90.2, 90.4, 189.1, 15, 34.09:4913QAnd who is Standard 90.1 assigned to?09:5314ASteve Ferguson.09:5915QAnd you say that Steve Ferguson reports to09:50	1 conflicts.09:572 Q But he doesn't contribute text directly to09:573 90.1?09:514 A No. He may comment when they're discussing09:525 proposed text changes to make them aware of something,09:566 but he does not necessarily, unless they wrote09:507 something in the incorrect format.09:538 Q And what would he do if they had written09:569 something in an incorrect format?09:5810 A He would edit it, send it back. If it's a09:5011 substantive change to fix it, then it would have to go09:5312 back to the committee for a new vote. If it's09:5613 editorial, then the chair or a subcommittee or in09:5914 consultation with a subcommittee chair can say that's09:5415 correct and and then move it forward in whatever09:58
1that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:413of staff loads. One person can't support them based on09:444their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of09:405them. Some of them, it's based on their prior09:436expertise.09:477QAnd do you know what standards they are that09:498are not assigned to Mark Weber that are American09:419standards?09:4510AYes.09:4511QAnd which standards are those?09:4612A90.1, 90.2, 90.4, 189.1, 15, 34.09:4913QAnd who is Standard 90.1 assigned to?09:5314ASteve Ferguson.09:5915QAnd you say that Steve Ferguson reports to09:50	1 conflicts.09:572 Q But he doesn't contribute text directly to09:573 90.1?09:514 A No. He may comment when they're discussing09:525 proposed text changes to make them aware of something,09:566 but he does not necessarily, unless they wrote09:507 something in the incorrect format.09:538 Q And what would he do if they had written09:569 something in an incorrect format?09:5810 A He would edit it, send it back. If it's a09:5011 substantive change to fix it, then it would have to go09:5312 back to the committee for a new vote. If it's09:5613 editorial, then the chair or a subcommittee or in09:5914 consultation with a subcommittee chair can say that's09:5415 correct and and then move it forward in whatever09:5816 step in the process it's in.09:50
1that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:413of staff loads. One person can't support them based on09:444their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of09:405them. Some of them, it's based on their prior09:436expertise.09:477QAnd do you know what standards they are that09:498are not assigned to Mark Weber that are American09:419standards?09:4510AYes.09:4511QAnd which standards are those?09:4612A90.1, 90.2, 90.4, 189.1, 15, 34.09:4913QAnd who is Standard 90.1 assigned to?09:5314ASteve Ferguson.09:5915QAnd you say that Steve Ferguson reports to09:5016you as well?09:5309:54	1 conflicts.09:572 Q But he doesn't contribute text directly to09:573 90.1?09:514 A No. He may comment when they're discussing09:525 proposed text changes to make them aware of something,09:526 but he does not necessarily, unless they wrote09:507 something in the incorrect format.09:538 Q And what would he do if they had written09:569 something in an incorrect format?09:5810 A He would edit it, send it back. If it's a09:5011 substantive change to fix it, then it would have to go09:5312 back to the committee for a new vote. If it's09:5613 editorial, then the chair or a subcommittee or in09:5914 consultation with a subcommittee chair can say that's09:5415 correct and and then move it forward in whatever09:5816 step in the process it's in.09:5017 Q When you say he edits it and sends it back, 09:51
1that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:413of staff loads. One person can't support them based on09:444their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of09:405them. Some of them, it's based on their prior09:436expertise.09:477QAnd do you know what standards they are that09:498are not assigned to Mark Weber that are American09:419standards?09:4510AYes.09:4511QAnd which standards are those?09:4612A90.1, 90.2, 90.4, 189.1, 15, 34.09:4913QAnd who is Standard 90.1 assigned to?09:5314ASteve Ferguson.09:5915QAnd you say that Steve Ferguson reports to09:5016you as well?09:5309:5418QAnd what is Steve Ferguson's position?09:55	1 conflicts.09:572 Q But he doesn't contribute text directly to09:573 90.1?09:514 A No. He may comment when they're discussing09:525 proposed text changes to make them aware of something,09:526 but he does not necessarily, unless they wrote09:507 something in the incorrect format.09:538 Q And what would he do if they had written09:569 something in an incorrect format?09:5810 A He would edit it, send it back. If it's a09:5011 substantive change to fix it, then it would have to go09:5312 back to the committee for a new vote. If it's09:5914 consultation with a subcommittee chair can say that's09:5415 correct and and then move it forward in whatever09:5816 step in the process it's in.09:5017 Q When you say he edits it and sends it back, 09:5118 does that mean that he actually changes the text, or09:54
1that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:413of staff loads. One person can't support them based on09:444their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of09:405them. Some of them, it's based on their prior09:436expertise.09:477QAnd do you know what standards they are that09:498are not assigned to Mark Weber that are American09:419standards?09:4510AYes.09:4511QAnd which standards are those?09:4612A90.1, 90.2, 90.4, 189.1, 15, 34.09:4913QAnd who is Standard 90.1 assigned to?09:5314ASteve Ferguson.09:5915QAnd you say that Steve Ferguson reports to09:5016you as well?09:5309:5418QAnd what is Steve Ferguson's position?09:5519AManager of standards for codes.09:58	1 conflicts.09:572 Q But he doesn't contribute text directly to09:573 90.1?09:514 A No. He may comment when they're discussing09:525 proposed text changes to make them aware of something,09:566 but he does not necessarily, unless they wrote09:507 something in the incorrect format.09:538 Q And what would he do if they had written09:569 something in an incorrect format?09:5810 A He would edit it, send it back. If it's a09:5011 substantive change to fix it, then it would have to go09:5312 back to the committee for a new vote. If it's09:5613 editorial, then the chair or a subcommittee or in09:5914 consultation with a subcommittee chair can say that's09:5415 correct and and then move it forward in whatever09:5816 step in the process it's in.09:5017 Q When you say he edits it and sends it back, 09:5109:5418 does that mean that he actually changes the text, or09:5419 does this mean that he sends a comment that there is a09:56
1that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:413of staff loads. One person can't support them based on09:444their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of09:405them. Some of them, it's based on their prior09:436expertise.09:477QAnd do you know what standards they are that09:498are not assigned to Mark Weber that are American09:419standards?09:4510AYes.09:4511QAnd which standards are those?09:4612A90.1, 90.2, 90.4, 189.1, 15, 34.09:4913QAnd who is Standard 90.1 assigned to?09:5314ASteve Ferguson.09:5915QAnd you say that Steve Ferguson reports to09:5016you as well?09:5309:5418QAnd what is Steve Ferguson's position?09:5519AManager of standards for codes.09:5820QAnd he's also the staff liaison for 90.1, you 09:56	1 conflicts.09:572 Q But he doesn't contribute text directly to09:573 90.1?09:514 A No. He may comment when they're discussing09:525 proposed text changes to make them aware of something,09:566 but he does not necessarily, unless they wrote09:507 something in the incorrect format.09:538 Q And what would he do if they had written09:569 something in an incorrect format?09:5810 A He would edit it, send it back. If it's a09:5011 substantive change to fix it, then it would have to go09:5312 back to the committee for a new vote. If it's09:5613 editorial, then the chair or a subcommittee or in09:5914 consultation with a subcommittee chair can say that's09:5415 correct and and then move it forward in whatever09:5816 step in the process it's in.09:5017 Q When you say he edits it and sends it back, 09:5118 does that mean that he actually changes the text, or09:5419 does this mean that he sends a comment that there is a09:5620 conflict or something like that and leaves it to the09:50
1that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:413of staff loads. One person can't support them based on09:444their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of09:405them. Some of them, it's based on their prior09:436expertise.09:477QAnd do you know what standards they are that09:498are not assigned to Mark Weber that are American09:419standards?09:4510AYes.09:4511QAnd which standards are those?09:4612A90.1, 90.2, 90.4, 189.1, 15, 34.09:4913QAnd who is Standard 90.1 assigned to?09:5314ASteve Ferguson.09:5915QAnd you say that Steve Ferguson reports to09:5016you as well?09:5409:5418QAnd what is Steve Ferguson's position?09:5519AManager of standards for codes.09:5820QAnd he's also the staff liaison for 90.1, you 09:562121were saying?09:51	1 conflicts.09:572 Q But he doesn't contribute text directly to09:573 90.1?09:514 A No. He may comment when they're discussing09:525 proposed text changes to make them aware of something,09:566 but he does not necessarily, unless they wrote09:507 something in the incorrect format.09:538 Q And what would he do if they had written09:569 something in an incorrect format?09:5810 A He would edit it, send it back. If it's a09:5011 substantive change to fix it, then it would have to go09:5312 back to the committee for a new vote. If it's09:5613 editorial, then the chair or a subcommittee or in09:5914 consultation with a subcommittee chair can say that's09:5415 correct and and then move it forward in whatever09:5816 step in the process it's in.09:5017 Q When you say he edits it and sends it back, 09:5118 does that mean that he actually changes the text, or19 does this mean that he sends a comment that there is a09:5021 committee to make the change?09:52
1that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:413of staff loads. One person can't support them based on 09:444their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of09:405them. Some of them, it's based on their prior09:436expertise.09:477QAnd do you know what standards they are that09:498are not assigned to Mark Weber that are American09:419standards?09:4510AYes.09:4511QAnd which standards are those?09:4612A90.1, 90.2, 90.4, 189.1, 15, 34.09:4913QAnd who is Standard 90.1 assigned to?09:5314ASteve Ferguson.09:5915QAnd what is Steve Ferguson reports to09:5016you as well?09:5309:5418QAnd what is Steve Ferguson's position?09:5519AManager of standards for codes.09:5820QAnd he's also the staff liaison for 90.1, you 09:562121were saying?09:512222AYes.09:51	1 conflicts.09:572 Q But he doesn't contribute text directly to09:573 90.1?09:514 A No. He may comment when they're discussing09:525 proposed text changes to make them aware of something,09:566 but he does not necessarily, unless they wrote09:507 something in the incorrect format.09:538 Q And what would he do if they had written09:569 something in an incorrect format?09:5810 A He would edit it, send it back. If it's a09:5011 substantive change to fix it, then it would have to go09:5312 back to the committee for a new vote. If it's09:5613 editorial, then the chair or a subcommittee or in09:5914 consultation with a subcommittee chair can say that's09:5415 correct and and then move it forward in whatever09:5816 step in the process it's in.09:5017 Q When you say he edits it and sends it back, 09:5118 does that mean that he actually changes the text, or09:5419 does this mean that he sends a comment that there is a09:5620 conflict or something like that and leaves it to the09:5021 committee to make the change?09:5222 A If it's it depends. If it's a conflict09:54
1that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:413of staff loads. One person can't support them based on 09:444their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of09:405them. Some of them, it's based on their prior09:436expertise.09:477QAnd do you know what standards they are that09:498are not assigned to Mark Weber that are American09:419standards?09:4510AYes.09:4511QAnd which standards are those?09:4612A90.1, 90.2, 90.4, 189.1, 15, 34.09:4913QAnd who is Standard 90.1 assigned to?09:5314ASteve Ferguson.09:5915QAnd what is Steve Ferguson reports to09:5016you as well?09:5309:5418QAnd what is Steve Ferguson's position?09:5519AManager of standards for codes.09:5820QAnd he's also the staff liaison for 90.1, you 09:562121were saying?09:512222AYes.09:5123QOkay. What does Mr. Ferguson do as staff09:54	1 conflicts.09:572 Q But he doesn't contribute text directly to09:573 90.1?09:514 A No. He may comment when they're discussing09:525 proposed text changes to make them aware of something,09:566 but he does not necessarily, unless they wrote09:507 something in the incorrect format.09:538 Q And what would he do if they had written09:569 something in an incorrect format?09:5810 A He would edit it, send it back. If it's a09:5011 substantive change to fix it, then it would have to go09:5312 back to the committee for a new vote. If it's09:5613 editorial, then the chair or a subcommittee or in09:5914 consultation with a subcommittee chair can say that's09:5415 correct and and then move it forward in whatever09:5816 step in the process it's in.09:5017 Q When you say he edits it and sends it back, 09:5118 does that mean that he actually changes the text, or09:5419 does this mean that he sends a comment that there is a09:5021 committee to make the change?09:5222 A If it's it depends. If it's a conflict09:5423 and he understands he has an engineering degree, so09:57
1that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:413of staff loads. One person can't support them based on 09:444their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of09:405them. Some of them, it's based on their prior09:436expertise.09:477QAnd do you know what standards they are that09:498are not assigned to Mark Weber that are American09:419standards?09:4510AYes.09:4511QAnd which standards are those?09:4612A90.1, 90.2, 90.4, 189.1, 15, 34.09:4913QAnd who is Standard 90.1 assigned to?09:5314ASteve Ferguson.09:5915QAnd you say that Steve Ferguson reports to09:5016you as well?09:5309:5418QAnd what is Steve Ferguson's position?09:5519AManager of standards for codes.09:5820QAnd he's also the staff liaison for 90.1, you 09:562121were saying?09:512222AYes.09:5123QOkay. What does Mr. Ferguson do as staff09:5424liaison for 90.1?09:57	1 conflicts.09:572 Q But he doesn't contribute text directly to09:573 90.1?09:514 A No. He may comment when they're discussing09:525 proposed text changes to make them aware of something,09:566 but he does not necessarily, unless they wrote09:507 something in the incorrect format.09:538 Q And what would he do if they had written09:569 something in an incorrect format?09:5810 A He would edit it, send it back. If it's a09:5611 substantive change to fix it, then it would have to go09:5312 back to the committee for a new vote. If it's09:5613 editorial, then the chair or a subcommittee or in09:5914 consultation with a subcommittee chair can say that's09:5415 correct and and then move it forward in whatever09:5118 does that mean that he actually changes the text, or09:5419 does this mean that he actually changes the text, or09:5620 conflict or something like that and leaves it to the09:5021 committee to make the change?09:5222 A If it's it depends. If it's a conflict09:5423 and he understands he has an engineering degree, so09:5724 if he understands how to change it, he can propose a09:51
1that are assigned to other managers in the department?09:472AWe just we just split them up because09:413of staff loads. One person can't support them based on 09:444their meeting schedules; one person can't do all of09:405them. Some of them, it's based on their prior09:436expertise.09:477QAnd do you know what standards they are that09:498are not assigned to Mark Weber that are American09:419standards?09:4510AYes.09:4511QAnd which standards are those?09:4612A90.1, 90.2, 90.4, 189.1, 15, 34.09:4913QAnd who is Standard 90.1 assigned to?09:5314ASteve Ferguson.09:5915QAnd what is Steve Ferguson reports to09:5016you as well?09:5309:5418QAnd what is Steve Ferguson's position?09:5519AManager of standards for codes.09:5820QAnd he's also the staff liaison for 90.1, you 09:562121were saying?09:512222AYes.09:5123QOkay. What does Mr. Ferguson do as staff09:54	1 conflicts.09:572 Q But he doesn't contribute text directly to09:573 90.1?09:514 A No. He may comment when they're discussing09:525 proposed text changes to make them aware of something,09:566 but he does not necessarily, unless they wrote09:507 something in the incorrect format.09:538 Q And what would he do if they had written09:569 something in an incorrect format?09:5810 A He would edit it, send it back. If it's a09:5011 substantive change to fix it, then it would have to go09:5312 back to the committee for a new vote. If it's09:5613 editorial, then the chair or a subcommittee or in09:5914 consultation with a subcommittee chair can say that's09:5415 correct and and then move it forward in whatever09:5816 step in the process it's in.09:5017 Q When you say he edits it and sends it back, 09:5118 does that mean that he actually changes the text, or09:5620 conflict or something like that and leaves it to the09:5021 committee to make the change?09:5222 A If it's it depends. If it's a conflict09:5423 and he understands he has an engineering degree, so09:57

10 (Pages 34 - 37)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 12 of 98

1 something he understands and it's a conflict, then 09:50	1 Q There's is there a single SPLS for ASHRAE? 09:50
2 he'll comment and ask the committee for direction. 09:53	2 A There is a single SPLS for ASHRAE. They 09:53
3 Q And is there any record of the wording 09:53	3 review all the standards. 09:58
4 changes that Mr. Ferguson has proposed? 09:56	4 Q And who is who composes SPLS? 09:50
5 A There's probably if he sent something 09:52	5 A That is a subcommittee of standards committee 09:54
6 back, it to the committee, it would have been sent 09:54	6 of approximately I think it's 15 members. 09:58
7 via email. 09:58	7 Q And are they elected or are they appointed? 09:55
8 Q If you wanted to go back and find any 09:51	8 A The standards committee members are elected 09:50
9 proposed changes that Mr. Ferguson had made, how would 09:57	9 by the board of directors, and then the subcommittee 09:55
10 you go about doing that? 09:51	10 assignments are done by the incoming chair and vice 09:50
11 A I'd have to look in his email. He wouldn't 09:52	11 chair, along with myself every year. So it just 09:53
12 have proposed them in the minutes. That's not 09:55	12 depends. It's a rotating four-year term. 09:50
13 something recorded in the minutes, so he would have 09:57	13 Q So when you say that the assignments are done 09:51
14 sent it via email. 09:59	14 by the incoming chair and a vice chair along with 09:56
15 Q And how would that would that change be 09:52	15 yourself every year and that it's a rotating four-year 09:51
16 reflected in the minutes in in any way? 09:54	16 term, the are you saying that these appointments are 09:53
17 A Not not unless it was if he sent a 09:58	17 made for a subset of the 15 people every every year? 09:50
18 change back, this assumes that the committee has 09:54	18 A No. It's standards committee has 26 09:54
19 already approved the proposed change. And if there was 09:57	19 members, and then there's multiple subcommittees. 09:57
20 an issue and he sent it back, then if if a change 09:59	20 Standards committee, about one-third rolls off every 09:51
21 had to be made that was substantive, there would be 09:54	21 four years. So we don't have a new standards committee 09:53
22 another there would be a letter ballot. So then it 09:57	22 every four years. So there's some consistency. So 09:57
23 would be reflected in a letter ballot. If it's 09:51	23 there might be just a couple people that were on SPLS 09:50
24 editorial, the chair would accept it. 09:54	24 one year that will roll off, and so we're adding some 09:55
25 Q Who makes the determination for a substantive 09:59	25 new or moving some others into SPLS. 09:59
Page 38	Page 40
1 change versus an editorial change? 09:53	1 Q What are some of the other subcommittees for 09:55
2 A If staff the difference between a 09:57	2 a standards standards committee? 09:51
3 substantive and an editorial change is a substantive 09:50	3 A Then there's the standards reaffirmation 09:53
4 change changes the requirements of the standard. So 09:53	4 subcommittee. There's the code interaction 09:51
5 changing a "should" to a "shall" would be a substantive 09:58	5 subcommittee. There's the policy, procedures, and 09:53
6 change. 09:51	6 interpretation subcommittee I'm doing acronyms in my 09:58
7 If it's editorial, like, you know, changing 09:52	7 head and an international liaison subcommittee 10:07
8 an "a" to a "the" or something like that, it's clear 09:54	8 and /intersociety association subcommittee. And 10:03
9 that's an editorial. 09:59	9 then there's a there's an ExCom. 10:09
10 If staff questions acceptance of a change as 09:51	10 Q I'm sorry, there's 10:04
11 substantive and the committee does not want to send a 09:54	11 A ExCom. 10:06
12 new letter ballot out, when it goes to the next body, 09:57	12 Q What is ExCom? 10:08
13 we make the next body aware for approval, which would 09:50	13 A Executive committee. 10:00
14 be SPLS for public reviews or standards for publication 09:54	14 Q Returning to SPLS, what is their role? 10:00
15 approval. We make them aware of it that concern, 09:50	15 A SPLS is the oversight committee. They're the 10:06
16 and then SPLS looks at it and makes a determination of 09:53	16 first level to go to they have they're assigned 10:00
17 whether or not it's substantive or editorial. And then 09:50	17 to liaisons are assigned to multiple project 10:04
18 if it's substantive and they didn't vote it out, it 09:52	18 committees to help in addition to staff provide 10:09
19 goes back to the project committee. 09:54	19 guidance, and they're the the person that moves 10:01
20 Q Could you tell me again what the acronym SPLS 09:55	20 forward any of the issues from those project 10:05
21 stands for? 09:59	21 committees. 10:07
22 A Standards Project Liaison Subcommittee. 09:50	22 They review title, purpose and scope changes, 10:09
23 Q And is there a separate SPLS for each 09:54	23 membership, public review drafts, work plans, and deal 10:04
24 standard? 09:59	24 with issues common to project committees that get 10:09
25 A No. 09:59	25 brought before them. 10:03

11 (Pages 38 - 41)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 13 of 98

1 Q And so you said that SPLS was first. Is that 10:08	1 notice if there's references that need to be updated. 10:05
2 in terms of in terms of what are you referring to? 10:03	2 They may see some editorial changes, the "a" 10:09
3 A It depends on the stage in the process. 10:08	3 to the "the" type of thing that needs to be fixed 10:03
4 They're the ones that first approve membership, and 10:02	4 that or there was an errata issue for that same 10:08
5 then if required, then it goes to standards committee. 10:05	5 reason, so it needs to be incorporated. That wouldn't 10:09
6 They there's a process for approving public review 10:09	6 be considered a substantive change; it's editorial. 10:02
7 drafts. Again, depends on if it's policy level or 10:02	7 So the technical committee makes a 10:04
8 nonpolicy level. There's a process for approving 10:05	8 recommendation, then SRS, the standards reaffirmation 10:06
9 title, purpose and scope changes, and a process for 10:00	9 subcommittee, reviews that and then puts it out for 10:01
10 work plan changes or work plans.10:02	10 public review. 10:04
11 Q And do these processes go through different 10:02	11 Q Why reaffirm a standard? Why not just leave 10:04
12 subcommittees depending on the particular process in 10:00	12 it as it is? 10:06
13 question? 10:02	13 A We can't under the ANSI process. ANSI has a 10:08
14 A Yes, but all the processes I mentioned go 10:05	14 process that we follow that requires something has 10:02
15 through start go through SPLS in some form. 10:07	15 to be done at the five-year mark of the five years 10:05
16 Q What does the standards reaffirmation 10:01	16 since it had last published. So we do the reviews at 10:00
17 committee do? 10:05	17 three years, so we have to submit paperwork telling 10:03
18 A The standards reaffirmation subcommittee is a 10:07	18 ANSI what we want to do. 10:06
19 balance committee. They deal with reaffirming ASHRAE 10:00	19 We would either are going to file project 10:09
20 standards that are do not require any substantive 10:05	20 initiation notification system that says we are 10:01
21 changes. And so what that means is, for example, the 10:07	21 revising it or we're going to let them know we are 10:01
22 re the reference standards are themselves 10:03	22 reaffirming it. We have until the ten years since it's 10:05
23 reaffirmations; there's no changes or there's been no 10:07	23 published to do something and republish; otherwise, it 10:09
24 changes in the standard, and there's no been no 10:02	24 loses its ANSI status. 10:02
25 change in the industry, so we can do what's called the 10:04 Page 42	25 Q And for the record, what does ANSI stand for? 10:05 Page 44
1 reaffirmation and just get issued, you know, as a new 10:07	1 A American National Standards Institute. 10:07
2 standard again, as required by ANSI. 10:01	2 Q Thank you. 10:07
3 And then they also act as a revision project 10:04	3 And what does the code interaction 10:02
4 committee in a limited sense. When the technical 10:07	4 subcommittee do? 10:05
5 committee that does the initial review of the drafts 10:03	5 A The code interaction subcommittee is the 10:07
6 says that updating to those references that it may have 10:06	6 group that oversees the process for code change 10:00
7 changed does not cause a substantive change to the 10:09	7 proposals to the model code bodies. 10:04
8 standard, then SRS in that limited instance can act as 10:02	8 Q Could you elaborate on that a little bit? 10:04
9 the revision project committee for that. In any event, 10:07	9 A The model code bodies would be ICC, NFPA, and 10:06
10 if comments are submitted, then a a project 10:01	10 IAPMO. 10:03
11 committee a separate project committee has to be 10:05	11 Q Okay. And who makes the code change 10:09
12 formed. 10:07	12 proposals to these model code bodies? 10:06
13 Q With regards to reaffirmations, you say that 10:04	13 A They can come from the typically, it comes 10:09
14 there may be no changes that are made to the standard, 10:06	14 from the project committee members. It can be anybody. 10:01
15 but they are they are reaffirmed. Why is that done? 10:08	15 You could propose a change. If it's coming from one of 10:04
16 A It's typically done because there hasn't been 10:03	16 our project committees, the rule is it has to 10:00
17 any change in the industry on that particular topic. 10:06	17 whatever proposal, it has to be technically equivalent 10:04
18 It's more done on method of test standards, where there 10:09	18 to whatever standard they're wishing to make the 10:09
19 might not be a change in in what you need to do to 10:03	19 reference to. 10:03
20 test a particular product or process that you're 10:06	20 But typically, we we try to ask for 10:03
21 working on. 10:00	21 that the standard be referenced in its entirety with no 10:08
22 So there's a technical committee with 10:01	22 changes, but there are times when they'll pull portions 10:02
23 expertise in that area that would review it after a 10:04	23 and submit that instead. 10:06
	1
24 staff review is done first to know if there's any 10:00	24 Q Is there a difference between a standard and 10:01
24 staff review is done first to know if there's any 10:00 25 reference updates, to put that technical committee on 10:02 Page 43	24 Q Is there a difference between a standard and 10:01 25 a code? 10:04 Page 45

12 (Pages 42 - 45)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 14 of 98

1 A Not exactly. A a standard could become a 10:03	1 subcommittees, the chair and the vice chair standards 10:18
2 code, because 90.1 is a standard and it could be the 10:05	2 committee and the board the board ExO to standards. 10:12
3 code. It depends on whoever is wanting to make the 10:08	3 And then I'm the staff liaison for that group, other 10:18
4 code or make the rule. 10:01	4 staff attend, but they they just talk about the 10:12
5 Q And what does the policy, procedures and 10:04	5 issues about the subcommittees and then, you know, 10:15
6 interpretation subcommittee do? 10:08	6 strategic planning; that type of thing. 10:18
7 A They review proposals for new projects, new 10:09	7 Q So the committee members that are part of the 10:14
8 standards or guideline projects to be developed by 10:04	8 standards committee, are these all ASHRAE employees? 10:18
9 ASHRAE. They are the body that reviews any proposed 10:07	9 A No. 10:11
10 changes to any of the procedures related to standards 10:01	10 Q Are any of them ASHRAE employees? 10:13
11 and interprets the any of our rules. 10:05	11 A No, just me as a staff liaison, and then 10:15
12 And then the other thing is they form 10:01	12 another staff person that does the minutes. 10:18
13 interpretation sub interpretation committees when an 10:04	13 Q So these are are these members of 10:11
14 interpretation request is submitted on a standard that 10:07	14 industry? 10:16
15 we don't have a standing standard project committee 10:09	15 A They're they're balanced as well. The 10:19
16 for. 10:02	16 board has slightly different interest categories that 10:12
17 Q I'm sorry, just to back up a moment. Do you 10:09	17 they use. There are they're members they're 10:15
18 know how it is that standards become codes? 10:03	18 they are members of ASHRAE, but they're from all over. 10:19
19 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 10:00	19 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Could I just ask, are 10:13
20 THE WITNESS: It either they're 10:02	20 we talking about the project committee here? 10:14
21 proposed into a code and the code accepts 10:05	21 Are we talking about the executive committee? 10:16
22 them, or a local jurisdiction decides to use 10:07	22 Are we talking about the previous questions? 10:18
23 a standard and that's and use that as 10:02	23 MR. BECKER: The standards committee as 10:19
24 their code. 10:04	24 a whole. 10:10
25 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And when you say "they're 10:08 Page 46	25 MR. CUNNINGHAM: The standards 10:11 Page 48
1 proposed into a code and the code accepts them," do you 10:00	1 committee 10:12
2 mean that a that something like NFPA might make a 10:02	2 MR BECKER: Yeah 10:13
3 code, and they're proposed into that code that NFPA has 10:08	3 MR CUNNINGHAM: For a specific 10:13
4 made? 10:02	4 standard? I just wanted to make sure we're 10:14
5 A So NFPA references 90.1, and that becomes 10:03	5 clear here 10:15
6 part of whatever I can't remember the number of the 10:06	6 Q (BY MR BECKER) How many standards 10:11
7 NFPA code that references 90.1, but that becomes part 10:09	7 committees are there? 10:12
8 of that code. If they through their process, it 10:02	8 A There's project committees, and those overdo 10:13
9 gets accepted. 10:08	9 the standards, but the standards committee is one 10:17
10 Q And what does the international liaison or 10:04	10 Q There's just one standards committee? 10:19
11 intersociety subcommittee do? 10:08	11 A With the right, with the subcommittees 10:11
12 A They're dealing with relationships between us 10:00	12 Q And what's the difference between the 10:17
13 and sometimes other standards developers, but the 10:02	13 projects committees and the standards committees? 10:19
14 majority of their work is in the is in the oversight 10:06	14 A A project committee is the one that is is 10:11
15 of the development of international standards. 10:10	15 the group that's responsible for writing the standard 10:13
16 Q What involvement does ASHRAE have in the 10:15	16 Standards committee is an over oversight committee 10:18
17 development of international standards? 10:17	17 THE COURT REPORTER: "Is an oversight"? 10:15
18 A We are the secretariat for several of the 10:19	18 THE WITNESS: Yes 10:19
19 international standards organization technical 10:15	19 Q (BY MR BECKER) And are any ASHRAE employees 10:13
20 committees. We are also the secretariat for the U.S. 10:18	20 members of the project committees? 10:16
21 TAG, which is the technical advisory groups within the 10:11	21 A No 10:18
22 U.S. 10:16	22 Q Are the members of the project committees 10:13
23 Q And, finally, you mentioned the executive 10:11	23 people from various interest categories 10:19
24 committee. What does the executive committee do? 10:13	24 A Yes 10:13
25 A That is the the chairs of each of the 10:15 Page 47	25 Q as you had defined previously? 10:13 Page 49

13 (Pages 46 - 49)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 15 of 98

5AYes.10:166for poject committee has, then they're balanced based on10:187for more than 50 project committees.That ways there's 30 people and you have five10:149interest categories, there's six in each. It meatures 10:173 J0(b)(6) designee for ASHRAE with regards to particular 10:10nore than 50 peorecint non eithers - interest10:1411extegory.10:1712Q. So why is it that these people who are not10:1313ASHRAE employces participate in the project committees?10:1014M.R. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.10:1615THE WITNESS: I would as ybecause either10:1816they really like that topic, it may affect -10:1617you know, it may be because it affects10:1818something bart bart by do in ther business.10:1619they want to make suri its written so that10:1820they want to make suri its written so that10:1821you anadapt in eode.10:1023participate.10:1024Q. (RY MR, BECKER) And why is it that they'd10:1625want to participate for a standard that would be10:1826their business. Somehow or theyou know, or10:1224MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.10:1435their business somehow or the -you know, or10:124that by a first their -10:125that business somehow or the -you know, or10:12 <th></th> <th></th>		
3memberships balanced based off of those interest10:113February.10:114exported10:144QQW MR. BECKER) And have you reviewed the105A Yes.Natever interest stepprises the10:166A Yes.10:17830 porter any three's 30 people and you have five10:147QAd you're avare that you are here as a10:179interest categories, there's 30 people and you have five10:14104A Yes.10:1710more than 50 percent in one interest - interest10:1410A Yes.10:1711CQ and that means that that you are expected10:1712Q So why is it that these people who are not10:1610A Yes.10:1713ASIIRAE employees participate in the project committees?10:1014A Yes.10:1414M R. CUNNINGHAM:Object to form.10:1614A Yes.10:1117you know, it may be the in the code arean and10:161314A Yes.10:1118provas and exit witten so that10:161314A Yes.10:1119they may be in the in the code arean and10:161314A Yes.10:1119they may be in the in the code arean and10:16141516:1619they want to make rift witten so that 10:1320Q And dia you prepare for that topic?10:112a mather in tor	1 A Yes. 10:16	1 this when we first tried to started trying 10:15
4 eategories?10:144Q(BY MR. BECKER) And have you reviewed the 105A Yes.Whatever interest categories the for 10:165 trajes of examination flat's staring on page 4210:167QAnd you're sware that you are here as a 10:177QAnd you're sware that you are here as a 10:1710more than 50 percent in one interest - interest 10:1410A Yes.10:1711eategory.10:1711QAnd these people who are not 10:1313SMRAE employees participate in the project committees?10:1610A Yes.14MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.10:1614A Yes.10:1315THF WITNESS: I would say because clifter10:1815QAnd those topics are, topic number 1, "The 10:1616they really like that topic, it may affect -10:101315QQAnd diay cop percent and10:1417you can adopt it in code.10:1417issue, including participation of government and10:1418something that they do in their business.10:1619A Yes.10:1020they wath to make sure if switten so that 10:1320QAnd diay cop percent for matadated development."10:1719They may be in the -10:161022QAnd diay cop percent for matadated development."10:1721you can adopt it in code.10:1822QAnd diay cop percent for that topic."10:1121you can adopt it	2 Q And are the project committee membership 10:16	2 to schedule my deposition. I'm guessing 10:19
5AYes.Units10:166project committee has, then they're bianced based on10:185topics of examination that's starting on page 4?10:167he number of project committees.10:14330(b)(6) designee for ASIRAE with regards to particular 10:9interest categories, there's six in each. It means no10:17330(b)(6) designee for ASIRAE with regards to particular 10:10nore than 50 percent in one interest - interest10:1410AYes.10:1611adgeory.10:1711QAnd that means thatthat you are expected 10:1712QSo why is it that these people who are not 10:131114AYes.10:1614MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.10:1614AYes.10:1117you know, it may the because it affects10:1615QAnd that means thatthat you are expected 10:1718something that beg on their business.10:1614AYes.10:1119you know, it may the because it affects10:1614Yes.10:1719prey may be in thein the code arena and10:181014Yes.10:1719you and adopt it in code.10:10173310:1710you and adopt it in code.10:1017214Yes.10:1721you know, it may the could be a10:1822QAnd have you been using your knowledge of 10:17 </td <td>3 memberships balanced based off of those interest 10:11</td> <td>3 February. 10:11</td>	3 memberships balanced based off of those interest 10:11	3 February. 10:11
5AYes.10:166project committee has, then they're balanced based on10:185topics committee has, then they're balanced based on10:187hen number of project committees.10:147QAnd you're aware that you are here as a10:178you know, if there's 30 people and you have five10:1430 (b)(6) designee for ASIIRAE with regards to particular 10:9interest categories, there's is it neach. It means no10:17330 (b)(6) designee for ASIIRAE with regards to particular 10:11category.10:1711QAnd that means thatthat you are expected 10:1712QSo why is if that these people who are not10:1814MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object form.10:1614AYes.17you know, it may be because it affects10:1615QAnd that means thatthat you are expected10:1718something that hey do in their business.10:1616process and activities of developing the works at10:1119you know, it may the could be a10:1610AYes.10:1719prepare for that topic: it could be a10:1614AYes.10:1719prepare dori to code: I10:1820QAnd thav you be nusing your knowledge of10:1719you are dori ti noode: I10:1822QAnd have you been using your knowledge of10:1719you are dori ti noode: I10:121AYes. </td <td>4 categories? 10:14</td> <td>4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And have you reviewed the 10:14</td>	4 categories? 10:14	4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And have you reviewed the 10:14
6project committee has, then they're balanced based on 10:186AYes.10:137QAnd your ae ware that you are here as10:17109you know, it they's 30x (b) designee for ASIRAE with regards to particular10:1010interest categories, therd's six in each. It means no10:171111category.10:171112QSo why is it that these people who are not10:1313ASIRAE employees participate in the project committees?10:1014MR.CUNNINGHAM.Object to form.10:1615THE WITNESS: I would any because either10:1816they really like that topic, it may affect -10:1017you know, it may be because if affects10:1616they really like that topic, it may affect -10:1017you know, it may be the		5 topics of examination that's starting on page 4? 10:16
70And you're aware that you are here as a10:128you know, if there's 30 people and you have five10:14830(b)(6) designee for ASIRAE with regards to particular 10:1010more than 50 percent in one interest - interest10:1410AYes.10:1611once than 50 percent in one interest - interest10:1410AYes.10:1712QSw why is if that these percent of 10:1313to participate on be knowledgeable as to those particular 10:1013ASIIRAE employees participate in the project committees?10:1614AYes.10:1314MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.10:1614AYes.10:1415THE WTINESS: two will say because either10:1819AYes.10:1719They may be in the - in the code arena and10:1819AYes.10:1320number of reasons why they choose to10:1621AYes.10:1321you can adquit in code. It could be a10:1621AYes.10:1322participate.10:1023that topic in that sourie are entere 10:192440(BY MR. BECKER) MA why is it that these with the ydu 10:1621AYes.10:1323mather of reasons why they choose to10:1822QAnd have you been using your knowledge with 10:1324adopted into code?10:121AYes.10:1925wart to par		
8you know, if there's 30 people and you have five10:14830(b)(6) designee for ASHRAE with regards to particular 10:9interest extegories, three's six in each. It means on 10:1710AYes.10:1611category.10:17110And that means that that you are expected10:1712QSo why is it hut these people who are not10:1311QAnd that means that that you are expected10:1712QSo why is it hut these people who are not10:1313Dires. correct?10:1414MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.10:1614AYes.10:1415THE WITNESS: I would say because ither10:1815QAnd those topics are, topin number 1, The10:1616thay you know, it may be be cause it affects10:1417issue, including participation of government and10:1417you can adopt it in code. It could be a10:1622QAnd hare you been using your knowledge of10:1721puot can adopt it in code. It could be a10:1822QAnd hare you been using your knowledge of10:1722number of reasons why they choose to10:1822QAnd hare you been using your knowledge of10:1723participate for a standard that would be10:1822QAnd hare you been using your knowledge of10:1724watto participate for a standard that would be10:1822QAnd hare you been using your knowled		
9interest categories, there's six in each. It means no10:179topics of examination?10:1710more than 50 percent in one interest - interest10:1410AYes.10:1712Q. So why is it that these people who are not10:1311Q. And that means thatthat you are expected10:1713ASBRAE employees participate in the project committees?10:1013topics, correct?10:1314MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.10:1414Yes.10:1615THE WITNESS: I would asy because either10:1815Q. And those topics are, topic number 1, "The10:1616they really like that topic, it may affect -10:1616process and activities of developing the works at10:1119they mant be in the code arean and10:1819AYes.10:1020they want to make sure if's written so that10:1820Q. And have you been using your knowledge of10:1721you can adopt it in code.10:1221AYes.10:1822muther of reasons why they choose to10:1822Q. And have you been using your knowledge of10:1723participate.10:1021AYes.10:1824Q. (BY MK. BECKER) And why is it that they'd10:1621AYes.10:1825want to participate for a standard that would be10:1810:1810:1810:1610:1825want to participate. <td></td> <td></td>		
10nore than 50 percent in one interest - interest10:1410AYes.10:1711category.10:1711QAnd that means thatthat you are expected10:1712QSo why is it that these people who are not10:1312to prepare and be knowledgeable as to those particular 10:1013ASHRAE employces participate in the project committees?10:1614AYes.10:1415THE WITNESS: I would say because either10:1614AYes.10:1417you know, it may be because it affects10:1616provide sector personnel in standards development.10:1418something that they do in their business.10:1612AYes.10:1020they want to make sure it's written so that10:1320QAnd have you been using your knowledge of10:1721you can adopt if in code.10:1022QAnd have you been using your knowledge of10:1723participate.10:101224A dyes.10:1824vant to participate for a standard that would be10:1825committee?10:1925want to participate for a standard that would be10:121AYes.10:193THE WITNESS: Mymy guess would be10:121AYes.10:194that you know, if's going to affect ther -10:184answers that you's pervide unabout the ASHRAEs10:123thi		
11category.10:1711QAnd that means that that you are expected10:1712QSo thy is it that these people who are not10:1310:151110:1613ASHRAK employees participation in the project committees?10:10131310:1610:1614M.R. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.10:1614AYes.10:1415THE WITNESS: I would say because time10:1815QAnd those topics are, topic number, "The 10:1616they really like that topic, it may affect -10:101011Tissue, including participation of government and10:1418something that they do in their buinsess.10:1619AYes.10:1719They may be in the in the code arena and10:1820QAnd did you prepare for that topic?10:1720thy want to make sure if's written so that 10:1321AYes.10:1321you can adopt it in code.10:1822QAnd have you been using your knowledge f10:1723matteripate.10:1221AYes.10:1824Q(BY MR. BECKER) And why is it that they'd10:1622QAnd have you been using your knowledge with 10:1325their business somehow or the - you know, or10:121AYes.10:1925their business somehow or the - you know, or10:121AYes.10:103that topic as it		-
12QSo why is it that these people who are not10:1313ASIRAE: employees participate in the project committees?10:1014MR.CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.10:1615THE WITNESS: I would say because either10:1816they really like that topic, it may affect -10:1017you know, it may be because it affects10:1418something that they do in their business.10:1619They may be in the in the code arena and10:1820they want to make sure it's written so that10:1320uby want o make sure it's written so that10:1321you can adopt in code. It could be a10:1622number of reasons why they choose to10:1823want to participate.10:1024Q(BY MR. BECKER) And why is it that they'd10:1625want to participate for a standard that would be10:172And have you been using your knowledge with 10:132You know, it's going to affect their -10:182Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, Pm handing10:123THE WITNESS: My - my guess would be10:174that, you know, it's going to affect their -10:185ofteir business somehow or the - you know, or10:126their business somehow or the - you know, or10:127Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, Pm handing10:128their business somehow or the - you know, or10:139 </td <td>-</td> <td></td>	-	
13ASHRAE employees participate in the project committees?10:1013topics, correct?10:1314MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.10:1614AYes.10:1415THE WITNESS: I would say because either10:1814AYes.10:1117you know, it may be because it affects10:1415QAnd those topics are, topic number 1, "The 10:1618something that they do in their business.10:1619AYes.10:1020they want to make sure it's written so that10:1820QAnd did you prepare for that topic?10:1121you can adopt it in code. I could be a10:1621AYes.10:1323participate.10:1023And have you been using your knowledge of10:1724Q(BY MR. BECKER) And why is it that they'd10:1622QAnd have you been using your knowledge of10:1225control10:1214AYes.10:192325control25that you know, it's going to affect their -10:18252620And have you been using your knowledge with 10:133that you know, it's going to affect their -10:18103regards to that topic as it applies to the other10:134that you know, it's going to affect their -10:1614AYes.10:107Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, Th handing10:1210QA ort to	11 category. 10:17	11 Q And that means that that you are expected 10:17
14MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.10:1614AYes.10:1415THE WITNESS: I would say because either10:1810:1616process are, topic number 1, "The10:1616they really like that topic, it may affect10:1017issue, including participation of government and10:1117you know, it may be because it affects10:1417issue, including participation of government and10:1719They may be in the in the code arena and10:1820QAnd diay ou prepare for that topic?10:1720they want to make suer it swittens on that10:1621AYes.10:1321you can adopt it in code. It could be a10:1621AYes.10:1323participate10:1023that topic in the answers that you had given me earlier 10:1924today concerning the standards committee and project10:1725want to participate for a standard that would be10:121AYes.10:192M.R. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.10:143regards to that topic as it applies to the other10:133THE WITNESS: My - my guess would be10:163regards to that topic as it applies to the other10:134that, you know, if s going to affect their -10:182QAnd have you been using your knowledge with10:105their business somehow or the - you know, or10:123regards to that topic as it applies to the other <td>12 Q So why is it that these people who are not 10:13</td> <td>12 to prepare and be knowledgeable as to those particular 10:10</td>	12 Q So why is it that these people who are not 10:13	12 to prepare and be knowledgeable as to those particular 10:10
15THE WITNESS: I would say because either10:1815QAn dusse topics are, topic number 1, "The10:1616they really like that topic, it may affect -10:1017issue including participation of government and10:1117you know, it may be because it affects10:1418isrue scoto personnel in standards development."10:1719They may be in the in the code arena and10:1819AYes.10:1020uber vant to make sure if's writen so that10:1619AYes.10:1321number of reasons why they choose to10:1820QAnd did you prepare for that topic?10:1723participate.10:1021AYes.10:1321AYes.10:1224Q(BY MR, BECKER) And why is it that they'd10:1622QAnd have you been using your knowledge of10:1225want to participate for a standard that would be10:1822QAnd have you been using your knowledge with10:133THE WITNESS: My - my guess would be10:163regards to that topic as it applies to the other10:194that; you know, wit's going tog guess would be10:134AYes.10:105their business somehow or theyou know, or10:1255operations?10:124that; you know, with see incher, finang10:1210:1410:1610:1610:165their business som	13 ASHRAE employees participate in the project committees? 10:10	13 topics, correct? 10:13
16they really like that topic, it may affect -10:1016process and activities of developing the works at10:1117you know, it may be because it affects10:1417issue, including participation of government and10:1418something that they do in their business.10:1619AYes.10:1020they want to make sure it's written so that10:1820QAnd did you prepare for that topic?10:1121you can adopt it in code. It could be a10:1621AYes.10:1323participate10:1022QAnd have you been using your knowledge of10:1724Q(BY MR, BECKER) And why is it that they'd10:1621AYes.10:1925want to participate for a standard that would be10:1825committee and project10:133THE WITNESS: My - my guess would be10:173regards to that topic as it applies to the other10:134their business somehow or the - you know, or10:166AYes.10:107QGW MR, BECKER) MS, Reiniche, I'n handing10:121AYes.10:107QGW MR, BECKER) MS, Reiniche, I'n handing10:123regards to that topic as it applies to the other10:134their business somehow or the - you know, or10:1310AYes.10:107QGW MR, BECKER) MS, Reiniche, I'n handing10:129AFo	14 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 10:16	14 A Yes. 10:14
17you know, it may be because it affects10:1417issue, including participation of government and10:1418something that they do in their business.10:1618private sector personnel in standards development."10:1719They may be in the - in the code arena and10:1819AYes.10:1020they want to make sure if's written so that10:1820QAnd did you prepare for that topic?10:1121you can adopt it in code. It could be a10:1621AYes.10:1322number of reasons why they choose to10:1822QAnd have you been using your knowledge of10:1723participate.10:1023that topic in the answers that you had given me earlier10:1924Q (BY MR. BECKER) And why is it that they'd10:1624today concerning the standards committee and project10:1225want to participate for a standard that would be10:182QAnd have you been using your knowledge with 10:133THE WITNESS: My - my guess would be10:173regards to that topic as it applies to the other10:134that, you know, if's going to affect their -10:166AYes.10:105their business somehow or the - you know, or10:166AYes.10:1210of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of American Society of10:1310QAnd these at using it due topic number 2, 'Nel elements of the10:12<	15 THE WITNESS: I would say because either 10:18	15 Q And those topics are, topic number 1, "The 10:16
17you know, it may be because it affects10:1417issue, including participation of government and10:1418something that they do in their business.10:1618private sector personnel in standards development."10:1719They may be in the - in the code arena and10:1819AYes.10:1020they want to make sure if's written so that10:1320QAnd did you prepare for that topic?10:1121you can adopt it in code. It could be a10:1621AYes.10:1322number of reasons why they choose to10:1822QAnd have you been using your knowledge of10:1723participate.10:1023that topic in the answers that you had given me earlier10:1924Q (BY MR. BECKER) And Why is it that they'd10:1624today concerning the standards committee and project10:1225want to participate for a standard that would be10:182QAnd have you been using your knowledge with 10:133THE WITNESS: My - my guess would be10:173regards to that topic as it applies to the other10:134that, you know, it's going to affect their -10:167QAnd have you peen using your knowledge with 10:135their business somehow or the - you know, or10:166AYes.10:107QGW MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'n handing10:1214A fees.10:1210of Ruel 30(b	16 they really like that topic, it may affect 10:10	16 process and activities of developing the works at 10:11
18something that they do in their business.10:1618private sector personnel in standards development."10:1719They may be in the in the code arena and10:1819AYes.10:1020they want to make sure it's written so that10:1320QAnd did you prepare for that topic?10:1121you can adopt it in code. It could be a10:1621AYes.10:1321number of reasons why they choose to10:1822QAnd have you been using your knowledge of10:1723participate.10:1023that topic in the answers that you had given me earlier10:1924Q (BY MR. BECKER) And why is it that they'd10:1625committee?10:1825want to participate for a standard that would be10:1723that topic in the answers that you hand given me earlier10:192MR, CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.10:142QAnd have you been using your knowledge with10:133THE WITNESS: My my guess would be10:173regards to that topic as it applies to the other10:134that, you know, it's going to affect their -10:182QAnd the other topics that you have prepared10:105operations?10:195operations?10:1910:1010:106AYes.10:108for the copyright?10:111010of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of American Society of10:13 <td></td> <td></td>		
19They may be in the in the code arena and10:1819AYes.10:1020they want to make sure it's written so that10:1320QAnd did you prepare for that topic?10:1121you can adopt it in code. It could be a10:1621AYes.10:132221number of reasons why they choose to10:1821AYes.10:13234Yes.10:1323410:1623410:1623410:1320QAnd have you been using your knowledge of10:1710:1923that topic in the answers that you had given me earlier10:1324Q(BY MR. BECKER) And why is it that they'd10:1610:1825committee?10:1322QAnd have you been using your knowledge with10:132MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.10:142QAnd have you been using your knowledge with10:133THE WITNESS: My my guess would be10:173regards to that topic as it applies to the other10:134that, you know, it's going to affect their10:1810:166AYes.10:105operations?10:10106AYes.10:101010:1214that, you know, it's going to affect their10:1310QFor topic number 2, correct?10:1215that you know, it's going to affect their10:167QAnd the other		
20they want to make sure it's written so that10:1320QAnd did you prepare for that topic?10:1121you can adopt it in code. It could be a10:1621AYes.10:1322number of reasons why they choose to10:1822QAnd have you been using your knowledge of10:1723participate.10:1023that topic in the answers that you had given me earlier 10:1924today concerning the standards committee and project10:1325want to participate for a standard that would be10:1825committee and project10:121AYes.10:192MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.10:142QAnd have you been using your knowledge with 10:113regards to that topic as it applies to the other10:133THE WTNESS: My - my guess would be10:163regards to that topic as it applies to the other10:165their business somehow or theyou know, or10:125operations?10:106the jurisdiction in which they work.10:166AYes.10:107Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing 10:127QAnd the other topics that you have prepared 10:108you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 1076.10:1310QFor topic number 2, "All elements of the10:1210of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of American Society of10:1310QFor topic number 2, "All elements of the10:1211<		
21 you can adopt it in code. It could be a 10:16 21 A Yes. 10:13 22 number of reasons why they choose to 10:18 22 Q And have you been using your knowledge of 10:17 23 participate. 10:10 23 that topic in the answers that you had given me earlier 10:18 24 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And why is it that they'd 10:16 24 today concerning the standards committee and project 10:13 25 want to participate for a standard that would be 10:12 2 A A Yes. 10:19 2 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 10:14 3 regards to that topic as it applies to the other 10:13 3 THE WITNESS: My my guess would be 10:17 4 4 answers that you've provided me about the ASHRAE's 10:10 4 that, you know, if's going to affect their 10:16 7 Q And the other topics that you have prepared 10:10 5 their business somehow or theyou know, or 10:12 7 Q And the other topics that you have prepared 10:10 6 A Yes. 10:10 10 6 A Yes. 10:11 10		
22number of reasons why they choose to10:1822QAnd have you been using your knowledge of10:1723participate.10:1023that topic in the answers that you had given me earlier10:1924Q(BY MR. BECKER) And why is it that they'd10:1624today concerning the standards committee and project10:1225want to participate for a standard that would be10:1825committee?10:192MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.10:143THE WITNESS: My my guess would be10:173that, you know, it's going to affect their10:182QAnd have you been using your knowledge with10:135their business somehow or the you know, or10:123answers that you've provided me about the ASHRAE's10:107Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, Tm handing10:125operations?10:108you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 1076.10:138for today include topic number 2, correct?10:129This is Defendant Public.Resource.Org's Amended Notice10:1910QFor the copyright?10:1110G Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of American Society of10:1311chair of title of copyright ownership, including10:1512IncAnd this document had been previously introduced10:1812copyright authorship and ownership of component parts10:1014A Okay.10:1614A Yes.10:1415Q		
23 participate. 10:10 23 that topic in the answers that you had given me earlier 10:19 24 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And why is it that they'd 10:16 24 totopic in the answers that you had given me earlier 10:19 25 want to participate for a standard that would be 10:18 25 committee? 10:12 2 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 10:14 2 Q And have you been using your knowledge with 10:13 3 THE WITNESS: My - my guess would be 10:17 3 regards to that topic as it applies to the other 10:13 4 that, you know, it's going to affect their 10:18 4 answers that you've provided me about the ASHRAE's 10:10 5 their business somehow or the you know, or 10:12 6 A Yes. 10:10 7 Q QFY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, 1'm handing 10:12 5 operations? 10:10 8 you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 1076. 10:13 10 Q For topic number 2, orrect? 10:11 10 of Red 30(b)(6) Deposition of American Society of 10:13 10 Q For topic number 2, "All elements of t		
24Q(BY MR. BECKER) And why is it that they'd10:1624today concerning the standards committee and project10:1325want to participate for a standard that would be10:18Page 5025committee?10:1922MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.10:142QAnd have you been using your knowledge with10:133THE WITNESS: My my guess would be10:173regards to that topic as it applies to the other10:134that, you know, it's going to affect their10:163regards to that topic as it applies to the other10:135their business somehow or the you know, or10:125operations?10:106A Yes.10:107QAlt the other topics that you have prepared10:108you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 1076.10:13102operations?10:129This is Defendant Public.Resource.Org's Amended Notice10:1310QFor topic number 2, "All elements of the10:1210of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of American Society of10:1311chaim of title of copyright worrship, including10:1211thating be onstock's deposition.10:1311chaim of title of copyright worrship, including10:1713in Mr. Comstock's deposition.10:1313of the works at issue in this case"?10:1714A Nes.10:1614A Yes.10:1415QAnd when did you first see t		
25 want to participate for a standard that would be 10:18 25 committee? 10:18 Page 50		
Page 50Page 1Page 50Page 11 adopted into code?10:121APage 11APage 50QAnd have you been using your knowledge with 10:113THE WITNESS: My my guess would be 10:173regards to that topic as it applies to the other 10:134that, you know, it's going to affect their 10:184answers that you've provided me about the ASHRAE's 10:155their business somehow or the you know, or 10:125operations?10:196ASome about the ASHRAE's 10:107QAnd have you been using your knowledge with 10:116their business somehow or the you know, or 10:125operations?10:196their business somehow or the you know, or 10:12Soperations?10:107QAnd the other topics that you have prepared 10:107QAnd the other topics that you have prepared 10:107QAnd the other topics that you have prepared 10:1070 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td></t<>		
1adopted into code?10:121AYes.10:192MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.10:142QAnd have you been using your knowledge with10:113THE WITNESS: My my guess would be10:173regards to that topic as it applies to the other10:134that, you know, it's going to affect their10:184answers that you've provided me about the ASHRAE's10:105their business somehow or the you know, or10:125operations?10:106the jurisdiction in which they work.10:166AYes.10:107Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing10:127QAnd the other topics that you have prepared10:108you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 1076.10:138for today include topic number 2, correct?10:129This is Defendant Public.Resource.Org's Amended Notice10:199AFor the copyright?10:1110of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of American Society of10:1310QFor topic number 2, "All elements of the10:1211theating, Refrigeration, and Air-Conditioning Engineers, 10:1811chain of title of copyright ownership, including10:1512Inc. And this document before,10:1715QAnd you've also prepared for topic number 3, 10:171016Ms. Reiniche?10:1916The authority of persons executing copyright10:1017AYes. <td></td> <td></td>		
2MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.10:142QAnd have you been using your knowledge with10:133THE WITNESS: My my guess would be10:173regards to that topic as it applies to the other10:134that, you know, it's going to affect their10:184answers that you've provided me about the ASHRAE's10:105their business somehow or the you know, or10:126AYes.10:106the jurisdiction in which they work.10:167QAnd the other topics that you have prepared10:108you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 1076.10:137QAnd the other topics that you have prepared10:109The author topic number 2, correct?10:129AFor the copyright?10:1110of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of American Society of10:1310QFor topic number 2, "All elements of the10:1211theating, Refrigeration, and Air-Conditioning Engineers, 10:1811chain of title of copyright ownership, including10:1512Inc. And this document had been previously introduced10:1814AYes.10:1415QHave you seen this document before,10:1716The authority of persons executing copyright10:1016Ms. Reiniche excuse me, Ms. Reiniche?10:1615QAnd you've also prepared for topic number 3,10:1716Ms. Reiniche excuse me, Ms. Reiniche?10:161617	rage 50	Page 52
3THE WITNESS: My my guess would be10:173regards to that topic as it applies to the other10:134that, you know, it's going to affect their10:183regards to that topic as it applies to the other10:135their business somehow or the you know, or10:126AYes.10:106the jurisdiction in which they work.10:167QAnd the other topics that you have prepared10:107Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing10:127QAnd the other topics that you have prepared10:108you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 1076.10:137QAnd the other topics that you have prepared10:109This is Defendant Public.Resource.Org's Amended Notice10:199AFor the copyright?10:12910of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of American Society of10:1310QFor the copyright?10:1211Heating, Refrigeration, and Air-Conditioning Engineers, 10:1811chain of title of copyright ownership, including10:1512Ine. And this document had been previously introduced10:1812copyright authorship and ownership of component parts10:1313in Mr. Comstock's deposition.10:1716Ms. Reiniche?10:191017assignment forms in favor of you to convey the10:1314AOkay.10:1814AYes.10:1716The authority of persons executing copyright	1 adopted into code? 10:12	
4that, you know, it's going to affect their10:184answers that you've provided me about the ASHRAE's10:105their business somehow or the you know, or10:125operations?10:196the jurisdiction in which they work.10:165operations?10:107Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing10:127Q And the other topics that you have prepared10:108you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 1076.10:138for today include topic number 2, correct?10:129This is Defendant Public.Resource.Org's Amended Notice10:199AFor the copyright?10:1110of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of American Society of10:1310QFor topic number 2, "All elements of the10:1211Heating, Refrigeration, and Air-Conditioning Engineers, 10:1810aFor topic number 2, "All elements of the10:1211theating, Refrigeration, and Air-Conditioning Engineers, 10:1810aFor topic number 2, "All elements of the10:1213in Mr. Comstock's deposition.10:1310QFor topic number 2, "All elements of the10:1214AOkay.10:1614AYes.10:1716Ms. Reiniche?10:1715QAnd you've also prepared for topic number 3, 10:1716Ms. Reiniche excuse me, Ms. Reiniche?10:1817assignment forms in favor of you to convey the10:1317AYes	2 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 10:14	2 Q And have you been using your knowledge with 10:11
5their business somehow or the you know, or10:125operations?10:196the jurisdiction in which they work.10:167Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing10:127Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing10:127QAnd the other topics that you have prepared10:108you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 1076.10:137QAnd the other topics that you have prepared10:129This is Defendant Public.Resource.Org's Amended Notice10:138for today include topic number 2, correct?10:1210of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of American Society of10:1310QFor topic number 2, "All elements of the10:1211Heating, Refrigeration, and Air-Conditioning Engineers, 10:1810QFor topic number 2, "All elements of the10:1213in Mr. Comstock's deposition.10:1314AYes.10:1415QHave you seen this document before,10:1715QAnd you've also prepared for topic number 3, 10:1716Ms. Reiniche excuse me, Ms. Reiniche?10:1916The authority of persons executing copyright10:1318QAnd when did you first see this document10:1318copyright rights in their works or expression,10:1719before, to your recollection?10:1019including but not limited to evidence of authority of 10:1920employees to assign copyrights they do not own10:1221 <td>3 THE WITNESS: My my guess would be 10:17</td> <td>3 regards to that topic as it applies to the other 10:13</td>	3 THE WITNESS: My my guess would be 10:17	3 regards to that topic as it applies to the other 10:13
6the jurisdiction in which they work.10:166AYes.10:107Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing10:127QAnd the other topics that you have prepared10:108you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 1076.10:13108for today include topic number 2, correct?10:129This is Defendant Public.Resource.Org's Amended Notice10:199AFor the copyright?10:1110of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of American Society of10:1310QFor topic number 2, "All elements of the10:1211Heating, Refrigeration, and Air-Conditioning Engineers,10:1811chain of title of copyright ownership, including10:1512Inc. And this document had been previously introduced10:1812copyright authorship and ownership of component parts10:1314AOkay.10:1614AYes.10:1415QHave you seen this document before,10:1916The authority of persons executing copyright10:1017AYes.10:1817assignment forms in favor of you to convey the10:1318QAnd when did you first see this document10:131019including but not limited to evidence of authority of10:1719before, to your recollection?10:101321individually"?10:1221question is obviously fine, but I just want10:1321individually"?10:15 <td>4 that, you know, it's going to affect their 10:18</td> <td>4 answers that you've provided me about the ASHRAE's 10:16</td>	4 that, you know, it's going to affect their 10:18	4 answers that you've provided me about the ASHRAE's 10:16
7Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing10:128you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 1076.10:139This is Defendant Public.Resource.Org's Amended Notice10:1910of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of American Society of10:1311Heating, Refrigeration, and Air-Conditioning Engineers,10:1812Inc. And this document had been previously introduced10:1813in Mr. Comstock's deposition.10:1314AOkay.10:1615QHave you seen this document before,10:1716Ms. Reiniche excuse me, Ms. Reiniche?10:1917AYes.10:1618QAnd when did you first see this document10:1619before, to your recollection?10:1020MR. CUNNINGHAM: So I'm Matt, this10:1121question is obviously fine, but I just want10:1322to caution the witness to not go into the10:1523substance of any communications that may have10:1824occurred between you and counsel.10:1324occurred between you and counsel.10:13	5 their business somehow or the you know, or 10:12	5 operations? 10:19
8you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 1076.10:138for today include topic number 2, correct?10:129This is Defendant Public.Resource.Org's Amended Notice10:199AFor the copyright?10:1110of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of American Society of10:1310QFor topic number 2, "All elements of the10:1211Heating, Refrigeration, and Air-Conditioning Engineers, 10:1810QFor topic number 2, "All elements of the10:1212Inc. And this document had been previously introduced 10:1811chain of title of copyright ownership, including10:1513in Mr. Comstock's deposition.10:1311chain of title of copyright ownership of component parts10:1314AOkay.10:1614AYes.10:1415QHave you seen this document before,10:1715QAnd you've also prepared for topic number 3, 10:1716Ms. Reiniche excuse me, Ms. Reiniche?10:1017assignment forms in favor of you to convey the10:1318QAnd when did you first see this document10:1618copyright rights in their works or expression,10:1719before, to your recollection?10:1019including but not limited to evidence of authority of10:1920MR. CUNNINGHAM: So I'm Matt, this10:1321individually''?10:1521question is obviously fine, but I just want10:1321individually''?10:16 <td>6 the jurisdiction in which they work. 10:16</td> <td>6 A Yes. 10:10</td>	6 the jurisdiction in which they work. 10:16	6 A Yes. 10:10
9 This is Defendant Public.Resource.Org's Amended Notice 10:199 A For the copyright?10:1110 of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of American Society of10:1310 Q For topic number 2, "All elements of the10:1211 Heating, Refrigeration, and Air-Conditioning Engineers, 10:1811 chain of title of copyright ownership, including10:1512 Inc. And this document had been previously introduced10:1812 copyright authorship and ownership of component parts10:1314 A Okay.10:1614 A Yes.10:141515 Q Have you seen this document before,10:1715 Q And you've also prepared for topic number 3, 10:1710:1016 Ms. Reiniche excuse me, Ms. Reiniche?10:1916 "The authority of persons executing copyright10:1318 Q And when did you first see this document10:1618 copyright rights in their works or expression,10:1719 before, to your recollection?10:1019 including but not limited to evidence of authority of10:1920 MR. CUNNINGHAM: So I'm Matt, this10:1321 individually"?10:1621 question is obviously fine, but I just want10:1522 A Yes.10:1623 substance of any communications that may have10:1823 Q On to page 5. You've also prepared for topic 10:1324 occurred between you and counsel.10:1324 number 6; is that correct?10:17	7 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing 10:12	7 Q And the other topics that you have prepared 10:10
9 This is Defendant Public.Resource.Org's Amended Notice 10:199 A For the copyright?10:1110 of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of American Society of10:1310 Q For topic number 2, "All elements of the10:1211 Heating, Refrigeration, and Air-Conditioning Engineers, 10:1811 chain of title of copyright ownership, including10:1512 Inc. And this document had been previously introduced10:1812 copyright authorship and ownership of component parts10:1314 A Okay.10:1614 A Yes.10:141515 Q Have you seen this document before,10:1715 Q And you've also prepared for topic number 3, 10:1710:1016 Ms. Reiniche excuse me, Ms. Reiniche?10:1916 "The authority of persons executing copyright10:1318 Q And when did you first see this document10:1618 copyright rights in their works or expression,10:1719 before, to your recollection?10:1019 including but not limited to evidence of authority of10:1920 MR. CUNNINGHAM: So I'm Matt, this10:1321 individually"?10:1621 question is obviously fine, but I just want10:1522 A Yes.10:1623 substance of any communications that may have10:1823 Q On to page 5. You've also prepared for topic 10:1324 occurred between you and counsel.10:1324 number 6; is that correct?10:17	8 you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 1076. 10:13	8 for today include topic number 2, correct? 10:12
10of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of American Society of10:1311Heating, Refrigeration, and Air-Conditioning Engineers, 10:1810QFor topic number 2, "All elements of the10:1212Inc. And this document had been previously introduced10:1811chain of title of copyright ownership, including10:1513in Mr. Comstock's deposition.10:1312copyright authorship and ownership of component parts10:1314AOkay.10:1614AYes.10:1715QHave you seen this document before,10:1715QAnd you've also prepared for topic number 3, 10:1716Ms. Reiniche excuse me, Ms. Reiniche?10:1916"The authority of persons executing copyright10:1017AYes.10:1017assignment forms in favor of you to convey the10:1318QAnd when did you first see this document10:131012employees to assign copyrights they do not own10:1220MR. CUNNINGHAM: So I'm Matt, this10:1321individually"?10:151222to caution the witness to not go into the10:1522AYes.10:1623substance of any communications that may have10:1324Number 6; is that correct?10:1324occurred between you and counsel.10:1324number 6; is that correct?10:13		9 A For the copyright? 10:11
11Heating, Refrigeration, and Air-Conditioning Engineers, 10:1812Inc. And this document had been previously introduced 10:1813in Mr. Comstock's deposition.10:1314AOkay.10:1615QHave you seen this document before, 10:171416Ms. Reiniche excuse me, Ms. Reiniche?10:1917AYes.10:1818QAnd when did you first see this document 10:161419before, to your recollection?10:1020MR. CUNNINGHAM: So I'm Matt, this10:1121question is obviously fine, but I just want10:1322to caution the witness to not go into the10:1523substance of any communications that may have10:1824occurred between you and counsel.10:13		
12Inc. And this document had been previously introduced 10:1812copyright authorship and ownership of component parts10:1313in Mr. Comstock's deposition.10:1313of the works at issue in this case"?10:1314AOkay.10:1614AYes.10:1415QHave you seen this document before,10:1716The authority of persons executing copyright10:1016Ms. Reiniche excuse me, Ms. Reiniche?10:1916The authority of persons executing copyright10:1017AYes.10:1817assignment forms in favor of you to convey the10:1318QAnd when did you first see this document10:1619including but not limited to evidence of authority of10:1920MR. CUNNINGHAM: So I'm Matt, this10:1120employees to assign copyrights they do not own10:1221question is obviously fine, but I just want10:1321individually"?10:1623substance of any communications that may have10:1823QOn to page 5. You've also prepared for topic 10:1324occurred between you and counsel.10:1324number 6; is that correct?10:17		
13 in Mr. Comstock's deposition.10:1313 of the works at issue in this case"?10:1314A Okay.10:1614A Yes.10:1415Q Have you seen this document before,10:1715Q And you've also prepared for topic number 3,10:1716Ms. Reiniche excuse me, Ms. Reiniche?10:1916"The authority of persons executing copyright10:1017A Yes.10:1817assignment forms in favor of you to convey the10:1318Q And when did you first see this document10:1618copyright rights in their works or expression,10:1719before, to your recollection?10:1019including but not limited to evidence of authority of10:1920MR. CUNNINGHAM: So I'm Matt, this10:1321individually"?10:1521question is obviously fine, but I just want10:1322A Yes.10:1623substance of any communications that may have10:1324Yes.10:1724occurred between you and counsel.10:1324number 6; is that correct?10:17		
14AOkay.10:1614AYes.10:1415QHave you seen this document before, 10:1710:1715QAnd you've also prepared for topic number 3, 10:1010:1716Ms. Reiniche excuse me, Ms. Reiniche?10:1916"The authority of persons executing copyright10:1017AYes.10:1817assignment forms in favor of you to convey the 10:1310:1318QAnd when did you first see this document10:1618copyright rights in their works or expression, 10:1710:1719before, to your recollection?10:1019including but not limited to evidence of authority of 10:1910:1920MR. CUNNINGHAM: So I'm Matt, this10:1120employees to assign copyrights they do not own 10:1210:1221question is obviously fine, but I just want10:1321individually"?10:1522to caution the witness to not go into the 2310:1522AYes.10:1623substance of any communications that may have 2410:1324number 6; is that correct?10:17		
15QHave you seen this document before, 10:1710:1715QAnd you've also prepared for topic number 3, 10:1010:1716Ms. Reiniche excuse me, Ms. Reiniche? 1710:1916"The authority of persons executing copyright10:1017AYes. 10:1810:1817assignment forms in favor of you to convey the 10:1310:1318QAnd when did you first see this document 10 before, to your recollection? 2010:1017assignment forms in favor of you to convey the 10:1310:1720MR. CUNNINGHAM: So I'm Matt, this 1110:1119including but not limited to evidence of authority of 2010:1921question is obviously fine, but I just want 2210:1521individually"? 2210:1523substance of any communications that may have 2410:1310:1324number 6; is that correct?10:17		
16 Ms. Reiniche excuse me, Ms. Reiniche?10:1916 "The authority of persons executing copyright10:1017 A Yes.10:1817 assignment forms in favor of you to convey the10:1318 Q And when did you first see this document10:1618 copyright rights in their works or expression,10:1719 before, to your recollection?10:1019 including but not limited to evidence of authority of 10:1920 MR. CUNNINGHAM: So I'm Matt, this10:1120 employees to assign copyrights they do not own10:1221 question is obviously fine, but I just want10:1522 A Yes.10:1622 to caution the witness to not go into the10:1522 A Yes.10:1623 substance of any communications that may have10:1324 number 6; is that correct?10:17		
17AYes.10:1817assignment forms in favor of you to convey the10:1318QAnd when did you first see this document10:1618copyright rights in their works or expression,10:1719before, to your recollection?10:1019including but not limited to evidence of authority of10:1920MR. CUNNINGHAM: So I'm Matt, this10:1120employees to assign copyrights they do not own10:1221question is obviously fine, but I just want10:1321individually"?10:1522to caution the witness to not go into the10:1522AYes.10:1623substance of any communications that may have10:1823QOn to page 5. You've also prepared for topic10:1324occurred between you and counsel.10:1324number 6; is that correct?10:17		
18QAnd when did you first see this document10:1618copyright rights in their works or expression,10:1719before, to your recollection?10:1019including but not limited to evidence of authority of10:1920MR. CUNNINGHAM: So I'm Matt, this10:1120employees to assign copyrights they do not own10:1221question is obviously fine, but I just want10:1321individually''?10:1522to caution the witness to not go into the10:1522AYes.10:1623substance of any communications that may have10:1823QOn to page 5. You've also prepared for topic10:1324occurred between you and counsel.10:1324number 6; is that correct?10:17		
19before, to your recollection?10:1019including but not limited to evidence of authority of10:1920MR. CUNNINGHAM: So I'm Matt, this10:1120employees to assign copyrights they do not own10:1221question is obviously fine, but I just want10:1321individually"?10:1522to caution the witness to not go into the10:1522AYes.10:1623substance of any communications that may have10:1823QOn to page 5. You've also prepared for topic10:1324occurred between you and counsel.10:1324number 6; is that correct?10:17		
20MR. CUNNINGHAM: So I'm Matt, this10:1120 employees to assign copyrights they do not own10:1221question is obviously fine, but I just want10:1321 individually"?10:1522to caution the witness to not go into the10:1522 A Yes.10:1623substance of any communications that may have10:1823 Q On to page 5. You've also prepared for topic10:1324occurred between you and counsel.10:1324 number 6; is that correct?10:17	18 Q And when did you first see this document 10:16	18 copyright rights in their works or expression, 10:17
21question is obviously fine, but I just want10:1321 individually"?10:1522to caution the witness to not go into the10:1522AYes.10:1623substance of any communications that may have10:1823QOn to page 5. You've also prepared for topic 10:1324occurred between you and counsel.10:1324number 6; is that correct?10:17	19 before, to your recollection? 10:10	19 including but not limited to evidence of authority of 10:19
22to caution the witness to not go into the10:1522AYes.10:1623substance of any communications that may have10:1823QOn to page 5. You've also prepared for topic 10:1324occurred between you and counsel.10:1324number 6; is that correct?10:17	20 MR. CUNNINGHAM: So I'm Matt, this 10:11	20 employees to assign copyrights they do not own 10:12
23substance of any communications that may have10:1823QOn to page 5. You've also prepared for topic10:1324occurred between you and counsel.10:1324number 6; is that correct?10:17	21 question is obviously fine, but I just want 10:13	21 individually"? 10:15
23substance of any communications that may have10:1823QOn to page 5. You've also prepared for topic10:1324occurred between you and counsel.10:1324number 6; is that correct?10:17	to caution the witness to not go into the 10:15	22 A Yes. 10:16
24occurred between you and counsel.10:1324 number 6; is that correct?10:17	0	
± 2.2 IIII) WITHESS: I DIODADIV WOULD HAVE SEEN TUTTS ± 2.2 A Les. 10711	25 THE WITNESS: I probably would have seen 10:15	25 A Yes. 10:11
		Page 53

14 (Pages 50 - 53)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 16 of 98

1 Q And you have also prepared for topic 10:15	1 excuse me. 10:41
2 number 11; is that correct? 10:18	2 Ms. Reiniche, do you see that this is a 10:49
3 A Yes. 10:20	3 status report that has been filed in the this case? 10:41
4 Q And on to page 6, you have also prepared for 10:27	4 A Yes. 10:46
5 topic number 15; is that correct? 10:23	5 Q And if you turn to page 3, do you see that it 10:40
6 A Yes. 10:27	6 says that it was submitted by Thane Rehn, counsel for 10:45
7 Q And you've also prepared for topic number 16; 10:2	7 NFPA, with permission from counsel for ASTM, ASHRAE, 10:4
8 is that correct? 10:23	8 and Public Resource? 10:48
9 A Yes. 10:23	9 A Yes. 10:41
10 Q And you've also prepared for topic number 17; 10:24	410 Q And turning to page 2, do you see under the 10:41
11 is that correct? 10:27	11 heading "Discovery Issues Pending from December 1 10:49
12 A Yes. 10:28	12 Hearing, ASHRAE," where it then says that "At the last 10:41
13 Q And you've also prepared for topic number 19; 10:2	913 hearing, the Court ordered ASHRAE to produce assignment 10:48
14 is that correct? 10:23	14 forms and lists of persons who signed those forms. For 10:42
15 A Yes. 10:23	15 pre-2010 forms, ASHRAE was ordered to produce lists of 10:45
16 Q And you've also prepared for topics 20, 21, 10:25	16 project committee members because ASHRAE did not have 10:49
17 and 22; is that correct? 10:29	17 other lists of signees readily available. ASHRAE 10:41
18 A Yes. 10:23	18 represents it has completed its production of all lists 10:46
19 Q And you've also prepared for topic 25; is 10:28	19 of all persons who signed forms for its 2010 version of 10:48
20 that correct? 10:25	20 Standard 90.1, lists of project committee members for 10:44
21 A Yes. 10:26	21 pre-2010 versions of Standard 90.1 and ASHRAE's 10:47
22 Q You've also prepared for topic number 26; is 10:27	22 assignment forms. With that representation, Public 10:42
23 that correct? 10:20	23 Resource agrees the motion is now moot as to ASHRAE." 10:45
24 A Yes. 10:23	24 Do you see that? 10:48
25 Q And have you also prepared for topic 10:25	25 A Yes. 10:49
Page 54	Page 56
1 number 27; is that correct? 10:21	1 Q Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing you the exhibit 10:47
2 A Yes. 10:21	2 marked 1120. Could you tell me what this document is? 10:40
3 Q And have you also prepared for topics 28 and 10:21	3 A This is a roster for Standard 90.1 project 10:45
4 29? 10:21	4 committee. 10:41
5 A Yes. 10:21	5 MR. BECKER: And just for the record, 10:47
6 Q Thank you. 10:21	6 I'll note that this is the document that 10:40
7 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Matt, we've been going 10:21	7 starts at Bates number ASHRAE0002835. 10:42
8 for about an hour and 20 minutes. If you're 10:21	8 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, could you tell 10:49
9 about to 10:21	9 me what the dates in the top left corner of this 10:40
10 MR. BECKER: Yeah. 10:21	10 document signify? 10:46
11 MR. CUNNINGHAM: switch topics, do 10:21	11 A That means it this says revised 9/7/2001. 10:47
12 you want to take a break? 10:21	12 That would mean that there was either something had 10:42
13 MR. BECKER: Let's take a break right 10:21	13 been approved or a correction made to the roster that 10:46
14 now. 10:21	14 date and then printed 9/10/01, was when we ran the 10:41
15 MR. CUNNINGHAM: That's fine. 10:21	15 report to print the roster. 10:45
16 MR. BECKER: Yeah. 10:21	16 Q Thank you. And what what does this roster 10:47
17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record 10:21	17 tell you? 10:43
18 at 10:21. 10:21	18 A This roster tells me who the voting members 10:48
19 (Recess taken.) 10:39	19 were, along with their interest categories, and who the 10:40
20 (Exhibit 1119, Exhibit 1120, and Exhibit 1121 10:39	20 SPLS liaison was at the time, who the consultants were, 10:42
21 marked for identification.) 10:39	21 who the staff liaisons were, and who the technical 10:46
22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going on the record 10:39	22 committee liaisons were on the roster. 10:41
23 at 10:44. 10:44	23 Q And for the project committee, that would be 10:48
24 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing 10:44	24 the people who were contributing text to the Standard 10:42
25 you what has been marked as Exhibit 119 or 1119, 10:44	25 90.1 at that time; is that correct? 10:49
Page 55	Page 57

15 (Pages 54 - 57)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 17 of 98

1 A Do you mean everyone on the roster, or do you 10:42	1 Q And would that have meant that the the 10:58
2 mean just project committee? 10:45	2 records for the 2007 edition of 90.1 would have been 10:52
3 Q For everyone on the roster. 10:40	3 destroyed at the time of the 2010 publishing? 10:59
4 A For yes, for everyone on the roster. 10:44	4 A If they were destroyed, then yes. 10:52
5 Q And so are there people outside of the 10:40	5 Q You had mentioned before the term "continuous 10:59
6 project committee that would be contributing text to 10:43	6 maintenance change." What does that mean? 10:53
7 Standard 90.1 at that time? 10:46	7 A Standard 90.1 is on continuous maintenance, 10:56
8 A Yes. 10:48	8 so anyone at any time can propose a change to the 10:59
9 Q And would all of the people who were 10:40	9 standard. It could be a project committee member or 10:53
10 contributing text to Standard 90.1 at that time be 10:43	10 the public. If it's the public, then there's a 10:56
11 listed on these pages of the roster? 10:46	11 continuous maintenance change proposal form that gets 10:51
12 A No. 10:48	12 submitted. 10:54
14 contributing text to Standard 90.1 at that time? 10:42	14 change proposal forms, would those also have been 10:51
15 A Commenters on draft goes out for comment 10:46	15 destroyed? 10:54
16 and those who submitted a continuous maintenance change 10:40	16 A They could have been destroyed. 10:56
17 proposal. 10:43	17 Q Who would know whether or not these documents 10:52
18 Q And where does excuse me. 10:44	18 for the 2010 prior editions of ASHRAE Standard 90.1 10:55
19Does ASHRAE keep lists of commenters?10:53	19 were or were not destroyed? 10:50
20 A We have lists of commenters. For this year, 10:58	20 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm going to object 10:54
21 I don't know, because our record retention policy 10:54	21 that the line of questioning about document 10:56
22 wouldn't require us to keep records this far back. 10:56	22 retention policy is outside the scope of the 10:58
23 Q What was the ASHRAE's record retention 10:59	23 30(b)(6) topics. 10:52
24 policy? 10:52	24 You can answer. 10:54
25 A We follow the ANSI policy of keeping records 10:53 Page 58	25 THE WITNESS: Okay. I would I 10:57 Page 60
1 back to the last prior revisions. 10:50	1 there is a log of files that we keep that are 10:53
2 Q And what is the last prior revision for 10:50	2 at Iron Mountain. I have access to those 10:54
3 Standard 90.1? 10:50	3 logs, can find out what's there. I would not 10:54
4 A 2013. 10:50	4 know what was or was not destroyed unless I 10:54
5 Q So does that mean that ASHRAE would not have 10:51	5 brought every single box back from Iron 10:54
6 records for the 2010 addition of 90.1? 10:51	6 Mountain, assuming they are all labeled 10:54
7 A Not necessarily. We if they're 10:51	7 correctly. 10:54
8 electronic, we probably still have them. There may be 10:51	8 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, looking at 10:54
9 some in paper format that are in Iron Mountain. I 10:51	9 Exhibit 1119 on page 2, where it says that ASHRAE was 10:55
10 can't guarantee that all the prior stuff is still 10:51	10 ordered to produce lists of project committee members, 10:55
11 there, especially if it's not in electronic format. 10:51	11 does Exhibit 1120 provide that list of project 10:55
12 Q And what is Iron Mountain? 10:51	12 committee members for Standard 90.1? 10:55
13 A It's an off-site storage facility. 10:51	13 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to form. 10:55
14 Q And does the your same answer that you 10:51	14 THE WITNESS: This is only at one point 10:55
15 don't necessarily have records as to the 2007 and 2004 10:51	15 in time, so this isn't every single one. 10:55
16 edition of Standard 90.1 also apply? 10:51	16 This is at one point. 10:55
17 A That would be correct. Some if it's 10:51	17 Q (BY MR. BECKER) But would it provide that 10:55
18 electronic, then we probably still have it. But if 10:51	18 for that one point in time? 10:55
19 it's paper, it may or may not still be at Iron 10:51	19 A Yes. 10:55
20 Mountain. 10:51	20 Q Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing you what has been 10:56
21 Q At what point would ASHRAE have destroyed 10:52	21 marked as Exhibit 1121 10:56
22 these documents for Standard 20 90.1 2010 edition, 10:52	22 A Okay. 10:56
22 these documents for Standard 20 (5.1.2010 californ, 10.52) 23 if it had done so? 10:52	23 Q which reads "ASHRAE Roster." Could you 10:56
24 A If we destroyed it, we could have destroyed 10:52	24 tell me what this is? 10:56
25 it at the time of 2013 publishing. 10:52	25 A This is the roster that would have been 10:56
Page 59	Page 61

16 (Pages 58 - 61)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 18 of 98

1 for 90.1 that would have been published on 8/7 of '02. 10:56	1 December 17th, 2008. 11:00
2 (Exhibit 1122 marked for identification.) 10:56	2 (Exhibit 1130 marked for identification.) 11:02
3 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Thank you. 10:56	3 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:01
4 And I'm handing you what has been marked as 10:50	4 marked as Exhibit 1130, Bates number ASHRAE0016583. 11:02
5 Exhibit 1122. Could you tell me what this document is? 10:53	5 Could you tell me what this document is? 11:00
6 A This is the roster for 90.1 that was printed 10:57	6 A This is the roster for 90.1 as of July 21st, 11:02
7 on September 19th, 2002. 10:50	7 2009. 11:07
8 (Exhibit 1123 marked for identification.) 10:51	8 (Exhibit 1131 marked for identification.) 11:09
9 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 10:54	9 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what has 11:01
10 marked as Exhibit 1123, Bates number ASHRAE0002610. 10::	5610 been marked as Exhibit 1131, Bates number11:02
11 Could you tell me what this document is? 10:54	11 ASHRAE0005359. Could you tell me what this document 11:06
12 A This is the roster for 90.1 that was printed 10:56	12 is, please? 11:00
13 March 23rd, 2003. 10:59	13AThis is the roster for 90.1 as of11:01
14 (Exhibit 1124 marked for identification.) 10:55	14 February 19th, 2010. 11:04
15 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 10:53	15 Q Looking over the ASHRAE rosters that I've 11:00
16 marked as Exhibit 1124, Bates number ASHRAE0002578. 10::	5516 just provided you as these exhibits, is there any11:02
17 Could you tell me what this document is? 10:52	17 reason why you would think that these are not authentic 11:06
18 A This is the roster for 90.1 as of 10:54	18 documents that have been provided by ASHRAE? 11:00
19 August 27th, 2004. 10:58	19 A No. 11:03
20 (Exhibit 1125 marked for identification.) 10:53	20 Q And in your role at ASHRAE, are you familiar 11:00
21 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 10:57	21 with these rosters? 11:03
22 marked as Exhibit 1125, ASHRAE Bates number 0002847. 10::	58 22 A Yes. 11:05
23 Could you tell me what this document is? 10:55	23 Q And have you used these rosters in your daily 11:06
A This is the roster for 90.1 as of December 6, 10:57	24 line of work? 11:02
25 2005. 10:51	25 A Yes. 11:02
Page 6	Page 64
1 (Exhibit 1126 marked for identification.) 10:58	1 (Exhibit 1132 marked for identification.) 11:07
2 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 10:58	2 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:05
3 marked as Exhibit 1126, ASHRAE0002872. Could you tell 10:58	3 marked as Exhibit 1132, Bates number ASHRAE0002467. 11:00
4 me what this document is? 10:59	4 Could you tell me what this document is, please? 11:03
5 A This is the roster for 90.1 as of April 4th, 10:59	5 A This is the report for proposals received 11:05
6 2006. 10:59	6 under continuous maintenance for 90.1. It would have 11:09
7 (Exhibit 1127 marked for identification.) 10:59	7 been to the 90.1 2001 version printed January 5th, 11:03
8 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 10:59	8 2004. 11:00
9 marked as Exhibit 1127, Bates number ASHRAE0002895. 10:5	9 9 Q And what does this document tell us? 11:01
10 Could you tell me what this document is? 10:59	10 A This document tells me who submitted change 11:02
11 A This is the roster for 90.1 as of May 5th 10:59	11 proposals. It gives their proposer number, what 11:06
12 I mean, May 3rd, 2007. 10:59	12 proposal number it is, when it was received and how it 11:00
12 I mean, May 3rd, 2007. 10:59 13 (Exhibit 1128 marked for identification.) 10:59	
	12 proposal number it is, when it was received and how it 11:00
13 (Exhibit 1128 marked for identification.) 10:59	12 proposal number it is, when it was received and how it 11:00 13 came in. 11:03
13 (Exhibit 1128 marked for identification.)10:5914Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what has10:59	12 proposal number it is, when it was received and how it 11:0013 came in.14 Q And does the proposer number correlate to 11:0015 something else?11:02
13 (Exhibit 1128 marked for identification.)10:5914 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what has10:5915 been marked as Exhibit 1128, Bates number10:59	12 proposal number it is, when it was received and how it 11:0013 came in.14 Q And does the proposer number correlate to 11:0015 something else?11:02
 13 (Exhibit 1128 marked for identification.) 10:59 14 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what has 10:59 15 been marked as Exhibit 1128, Bates number 10:59 16 ASHRAE0013632. Could you tell me what this document 10:59 	12 proposal number it is, when it was received and how it 11:00 13 came in. 11:03 14 Q And does the proposer number correlate to 11:00 15 something else? 11:02 16 A The proposal that's just how the committee 11:02
13 (Exhibit 1128 marked for identification.)10:5914 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what has10:5915 been marked as Exhibit 1128, Bates number10:5916 ASHRAE0013632. Could you tell me what this document10:5917 is?11:00	12 proposal number it is, when it was received and how it 11:00 13 came in. 11:03 14 Q And does the proposer number correlate to 11:00 15 something else? 11:02 16 A The proposal that's just how the committee 11:02 17 tracks it so they know which proposal they're doing. 11:04
 13 (Exhibit 1128 marked for identification.) 10:59 14 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what has 10:59 15 been marked as Exhibit 1128, Bates number 10:59 16 ASHRAE0013632. Could you tell me what this document 10:59 17 is? 11:00 18 A This is the roster for 90.1 as of June 16th, 11:00 	12 proposal number it is, when it was received and how it 11:00 13 came in. 11:03 14 Q And does the proposer number correlate to 11:00 15 something else? 11:02 16 A The proposal that's just how the committee 11:02 17 tracks it so they know which proposal they're doing. 11:04 18 It gets marked on their form. 11:06
 13 (Exhibit 1128 marked for identification.) 10:59 14 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what has 10:59 15 been marked as Exhibit 1128, Bates number 10:59 16 ASHRAE0013632. Could you tell me what this document 10:59 16 ASHRAE0013632. Could you tell me what this document 10:59 16 ASHRAE0013632. Could you tell me what this document 10:59 17 is? 11:00 18 A This is the roster for 90.1 as of June 16th, 11:00 19 2008. 11:00 	12 proposal number it is, when it was received and how it 11:00 13 came in. 11:03 14 Q And does the proposer number correlate to 11:00 15 something else? 11:02 16 A The proposal that's just how the committee 11:02 17 tracks it so they know which proposal they're doing. 18 It gets marked on their form. 11:06 19 Q Can multiple people be affiliated with a 11:00
13 (Exhibit 1128 marked for identification.)10:5914 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what has10:5915 been marked as Exhibit 1128, Bates number10:5916 ASHRAE0013632. Could you tell me what this document10:5917 is?11:0018 A This is the roster for 90.1 as of June 16th, 11:0019 2008.11:0020 (Exhibit 1129 marked for identification.)11:00	12 proposal number it is, when it was received and how it 11:00 13 came in. 11:03 14 Q And does the proposer number correlate to 11:00 15 something else? 11:02 16 A The proposal that's just how the committee 11:02 17 tracks it so they know which proposal they're doing. 18 It gets marked on their form. 11:06 19 Q Can multiple people be affiliated with a 11:00 20 single proposal? 11:09
13 (Exhibit 1128 marked for identification.)10:5914 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what has10:5915 been marked as Exhibit 1128, Bates number10:5916 ASHRAE0013632. Could you tell me what this document10:5917 is?11:0018 A This is the roster for 90.1 as of June 16th, 11:0019 2008.11:0020 (Exhibit 1129 marked for identification.)11:0021 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:00	12 proposal number it is, when it was received and how it 11:00 13 came in. 11:03 14 Q And does the proposer number correlate to 11:00 15 something else? 11:02 16 A The proposal that's just how the committee 11:02 17 tracks it so they know which proposal they're doing. 18 It gets marked on their form. 19 Q Can multiple people be affiliated with a 11:00 20 single proposal? 21 A They could. 11:03 22 Q Is this a document that ASHRAE would 11:01
 13 (Exhibit 1128 marked for identification.) 10:59 14 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what has 10:59 15 been marked as Exhibit 1128, Bates number 10:59 16 ASHRAE0013632. Could you tell me what this document 10:59 16 ASHRAE0013632. Could you tell me what this document 10:59 17 is? 11:00 18 A This is the roster for 90.1 as of June 16th, 11:00 19 2008. 11:00 20 (Exhibit 1129 marked for identification.) 11:00 21 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:00 22 marked as Exhibit 1129 of Bates number 11:00 	12 proposal number it is, when it was received and how it 11:00 13 came in. 11:03 14 Q And does the proposer number correlate to 11:00 15 something else? 11:02 16 A The proposal that's just how the committee 11:02 17 tracks it so they know which proposal they're doing. 18 It gets marked on their form. 19 Q Can multiple people be affiliated with a 11:00 20 single proposal? 21 A They could. 11:03 22 Q Is this a document that ASHRAE would 11:01
 13 (Exhibit 1128 marked for identification.) 10:59 14 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what has 10:59 15 been marked as Exhibit 1128, Bates number 10:59 16 ASHRAE0013632. Could you tell me what this document 10:59 16 ASHRAE0013632. Could you tell me what this document 10:59 16 ASHRAE0013632. Could you tell me what this document 10:59 17 is? 11:00 18 A This is the roster for 90.1 as of June 16th, 11:00 19 2008. 11:00 20 (Exhibit 1129 marked for identification.) 11:00 21 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:00 22 marked as Exhibit 1129 of Bates number 11:00 23 ASHRAE0002902. Could you tell me what this document 11:00 	12 proposal number it is, when it was received and how it 11:00 13 came in. 11:03 14 Q And does the proposer number correlate to 11:00 15 something else? 11:02 16 A The proposal that's just how the committee 11:02 17 tracks it so they know which proposal they're doing. 18 It gets marked on their form. 19 Q Can multiple people be affiliated with a 11:00 20 single proposal? 21 A They could. 22 Q Is this a document that ASHRAE would 23 ordinarily retain, rather than destroying with its

17 (Pages 62 - 65)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 19 of 98

1 THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, it is 11:01	
· · ·	1 A No. 11:07
2 probably something we keep it's a if it 11:04	2 Q Why is that? 11:07
3 was entered in the database. At the time, we 11:06	3 A They just aren't using it there may have 11:07
4 had the database that tracks them. And 11:09	4 been one way back when they did everything in paper, 11:07
5 provided there's not an issue with the 11:01	5 but we don't use a Task Sheet 9 now. 11:07
6 database, then it would be kept. 11:04	6 (Exhibit 1133 marked for identification.) 11:08
7 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And what database are you 11:05	7 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:08
8 referring to? 11:06	8 marked as Exhibit number 1133. This is Bates number 11:08
9 A We have a continuous maintenance change 11:07	9 ASHRAE0002469. Could you tell me what this document 11:08
0 proposal access database. 11:09	10 is? 11:08
11 Q And do you know when ASHRAE first started 11:02	11 A These are proposals received for continuous 11:08
2 using that database? 11:05	12 maintenance of ASHRAE Standard 90.1 2004 dated as of 11:08
13 A Around 2003. 11:08	13 January 4th, 2005. 11:08
Q What kind of information does that database 11:04	14 Q For both Exhibit 1132 and 1133, it appears 11:08
5 contain? 11:07	15 that the dates that the proposals were received 11:08
A What you see in this report, which is the 11:07	16 excuse me, let me say that again. 11:08
7 proposer, the number, proposal date, when it was 11:00	17 For Exhibits 1132 and 1133, it appears that 11:08
8 received. And then there there will be a date that 11:03	18 the date of the document in the top right corner is 11:08
9 isn't shown on here that tells when the committee would 11:07	19 subsequent to the year of the standard itself; is that 11:09
20 have responded so that we can close out the proposal. 11:01	20 correct? 11:09
Q And does the database also contain the 11:06	21 A You mean 11:09
22 content of the proposal itself? 11:00	22 Q Let me clarify. For for Exhibit 1132, 11:09
23 A No. 11:02	23 that exhibit pertains to Standard 90.1 2001, but the 11:09
Q Where would someone find the content of the 11:04	24 document itself is from January 5th, 2004; is that 11:09
25 proposal itself? 11:07	25 correct? 11:09
Page 66	Page 68
1 A If it was when we were saving 11:09	1 A That's correct. 11:05
2 electronically, then there will be a what we call a 11:03	2 Q And why is that? 11:05
3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where 11:06	3 A That would have been at the one-year mark 11:07
3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where 11:064 they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It 11:09	3AThat would have been at the one-year mark11:074well, they have 13 months to to respond to11:02
3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where11:064 they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It11:095 would have been sent to Iron Mountain.11:03	3AThat would have been at the one-year mark11:074well, they have 13 months to to respond to11:025continuous maintenance change proposals within a year,11:05
 3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where 11:06 4 they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It 11:09 5 would have been sent to Iron Mountain. 11:03 6 Q And what would be the title of the document 11:06 	 A That would have been at the one-year mark 11:07 4 well, they have 13 months to to respond to 11:02 5 continuous maintenance change proposals within a year, 11:05 6 so this would have been printed prior to their January 11:07
 3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where 11:06 4 they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It 11:09 5 would have been sent to Iron Mountain. 11:03 6 Q And what would be the title of the document 11:06 7 that would have this one of these proposals in it? 11:09 	 A That would have been at the one-year mark 11:07 4 well, they have 13 months to to respond to 11:02 5 continuous maintenance change proposals within a year, 11:05 6 so this would have been printed prior to their January 11:07 7 2004 meeting, because we would want to know what the 11:01
 3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where 11:06 4 they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It 11:09 5 would have been sent to Iron Mountain. 11:03 6 Q And what would be the title of the document 11:06 7 that would have this one of these proposals in it? 11:09 8 A On the network drive? 11:05 	 A That would have been at the one-year mark 11:07 4 well, they have 13 months to to respond to 11:02 5 continuous maintenance change proposals within a year, 11:05 6 so this would have been printed prior to their January 11:07 7 2004 meeting, because we would want to know what the 11:01 8 status of the continuous maintenance change proposals 11:05
 3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where 11:06 4 they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It 11:09 5 would have been sent to Iron Mountain. 11:03 6 Q And what would be the title of the document 11:06 7 that would have this one of these proposals in it? 11:09 8 A On the network drive? 11:05 9 Q Yeah. 11:06 	3AThat would have been at the one-year mark 11:074well, they have 13 months to to respond to11:025continuous maintenance change proposals within a year, 11:056so this would have been printed prior to their January 11:0772004 meeting, because we would want to know what the11:018status of the continuous maintenance change proposals11:10
3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where11:064 they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It11:095 would have been sent to Iron Mountain.11:036 Q And what would be the title of the document11:067 that would have this one of these proposals in it?11:098 A On the network drive?11:059 Q Yeah.11:0610 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.11:09	3AThat would have been at the one-year mark11:074well, they have 13 months to to respond to11:025continuous maintenance change proposals within a year, 11:056so this would have been printed prior to their January11:0772004 meeting, because we would want to know what the11:018status of the continuous maintenance change proposals11:059were at that time.11:1010QThe proposals that were reflected here, would 11:11
3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where11:064 they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It11:095 would have been sent to Iron Mountain.11:036 Q And what would be the title of the document11:067 that would have this one of these proposals in it?11:098 A On the network drive?11:059 Q Yeah.11:0610 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.11:0911 THE WITNESS: It's probably Task Sheet 611:01	3AThat would have been at the one-year mark11:074well, they have 13 months to to respond to11:025continuous maintenance change proposals within a year, 11:056so this would have been printed prior to their January 11:0772004 meeting, because we would want to know what the11:018status of the continuous maintenance change proposals11:1010Q10Q11111111121314141515161718191010101010111213141415151616
3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where11:064 they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It11:095 would have been sent to Iron Mountain.11:036 Q And what would be the title of the document11:067 that would have this one of these proposals in it?11:098 A On the network drive?11:059 Q Yeah.11:0610 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.11:0911 THE WITNESS: It's probably Task Sheet 611:0112 would be the title.11:05	 A That would have been at the one-year mark 11:07 4 well, they have 13 months to to respond to 11:02 5 continuous maintenance change proposals within a year, 11:05 6 so this would have been printed prior to their January 11:07 7 2004 meeting, because we would want to know what the 11:01 8 status of the continuous maintenance change proposals 11:05 9 were at that time. 11:10 10 Q The proposals that were reflected here, would 11:11 11 those be if made effective, would those be made 11:16 12 effective in Standard 90.1 2001 or in a later version? 11:15
3task sheet that we save on our network drive where11:064they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It11:095would have been sent to Iron Mountain.11:036QAnd what would be the title of the document11:067that would have this one of these proposals in it?11:098AOn the network drive?11:059QYeah.11:0610MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.11:0911THE WITNESS: It's probably Task Sheet 611:0112would be the title.11:0513Q(BY MR. BECKER) And what does are there11:06	3AThat would have been at the one-year mark11:074well, they have 13 months to to respond to11:025continuous maintenance change proposals within a year, 11:056so this would have been printed prior to their January 11:0772004 meeting, because we would want to know what the11:018status of the continuous maintenance change proposals9were at that time.11:1010Q10Q11111213AAlater version.11:10
 3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where 11:06 4 they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It 11:09 5 would have been sent to Iron Mountain. 11:03 6 Q And what would be the title of the document 11:06 7 that would have this one of these proposals in it? 11:09 8 A On the network drive? 11:05 9 Q Yeah. 11:06 10 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 11:09 11 THE WITNESS: It's probably Task Sheet 6 11:01 12 would be the title. 11:05 13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And what does are there 11:06 14 other task sheets? 11:03 	3AThat would have been at the one-year mark11:074well, they have 13 months to to respond to11:025continuous maintenance change proposals within a year, 11:056so this would have been printed prior to their January11:0772004 meeting, because we would want to know what the11:018status of the continuous maintenance change proposals11:059were at that time.11:1010QThe proposals that were reflected here, would 11:1111those be if made effective, would those be made11:1612effective in Standard 90.1 2001 or in a later version?11:1513AA later version.11:1014QSo the proposals reflected in Exhibit 1132, 11:19
 3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where 11:06 4 they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It 11:09 5 would have been sent to Iron Mountain. 11:03 6 Q And what would be the title of the document 11:06 7 that would have this one of these proposals in it? 11:09 8 A On the network drive? 11:05 9 Q Yeah. 11:06 10 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 11:09 11 THE WITNESS: It's probably Task Sheet 6 11:01 12 would be the title. 11:05 13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And what does are there 11:06 14 other task sheets? 11:03 15 A Yes. 11:04 	3AThat would have been at the one-year mark11:074well, they have 13 months to to respond to11:025continuous maintenance change proposals within a year, 11:056so this would have been printed prior to their January11:0772004 meeting, because we would want to know what the11:018status of the continuous maintenance change proposals11:059were at that time.11:1010QThe proposals that were reflected here, would 11:1111those be if made effective, would those be made11:1612effective in Standard 90.1 2001 or in a later version?11:1513AA later version.11:1014QSo the proposals reflected in Exhibit 1132, 11:1915would those, if they had been enacted, be enacted into11:13
3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where 11:06 4 they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It 11:09 5 would have been sent to Iron Mountain. 11:03 6 Q And what would be the title of the document 11:06 7 that would have this one of these proposals in it? 11:09 8 A On the network drive? 11:05 9 Q Yeah. 11:06 10 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 11:09 11 THE WITNESS: It's probably Task Sheet 6 11:01 12 would be the title. 11:05 13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And what does are there 11:06 14 other task sheets? 11:03 15 A Yes. 11:04 16 Q And what are the other task sheets? 11:05	3AThat would have been at the one-year mark 11:074well, they have 13 months to to respond to11:025continuous maintenance change proposals within a year, 11:056so this would have been printed prior to their January 11:0772004 meeting, because we would want to know what the11:018status of the continuous maintenance change proposals9were at that time.11:1010QQThe proposals that were reflected here, would 11:11111112effective in Standard 90.1 2001 or in a later version?11:1014QQSo the proposals reflected in Exhibit 1132, 11:1915would those, if they had been enacted, be enacted into 11:1316Standard 90.1 2004?11:18
 3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where 11:06 4 they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It 11:09 5 would have been sent to Iron Mountain. 11:03 6 Q And what would be the title of the document 11:06 7 that would have this one of these proposals in it? 11:09 8 A On the network drive? 11:05 9 Q Yeah. 11:06 10 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 11:09 11 THE WITNESS: It's probably Task Sheet 6 11:01 12 would be the title. 11:05 13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And what does are there 11:06 14 other task sheets? 11:03 15 A Yes. 11:04 16 Q And what are the other task sheets? 11:05 17 A There's a Task Sheet 1 for new projects; Task 11:08 	3AThat would have been at the one-year mark 11:074well, they have 13 months to to respond to11:025continuous maintenance change proposals within a year, 11:056so this would have been printed prior to their January 11:0772004 meeting, because we would want to know what the11:018status of the continuous maintenance change proposals9were at that time.11:1010Q10Q11:1010Q11:1011those be if made effective, would those be made11:1612effective in Standard 90.1 2001 or in a later version?11:1513A14QQSo the proposals reflected in Exhibit 1132, 11:1915would those, if they had been enacted, be enacted into11:131616Standard 90.1 2004?11:1817AYes.11:10
 3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where 11:06 4 they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It 11:09 5 would have been sent to Iron Mountain. 11:03 6 Q And what would be the title of the document 11:06 7 that would have this one of these proposals in it? 11:09 8 A On the network drive? 11:05 9 Q Yeah. 11:06 10 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 11:09 11 THE WITNESS: It's probably Task Sheet 6 11:01 12 would be the title. 11:05 13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And what does are there 11:06 14 other task sheets? 11:03 15 A Yes. 11:04 16 Q And what are the other task sheets? 11:05 17 A There's a Task Sheet 1 for new projects; Task 11:08 18 Sheet 2 that was membership; Task Sheet 3 is title, 11:02 	3AThat would have been at the one-year mark 11:074well, they have 13 months to to respond to11:025continuous maintenance change proposals within a year, 11:056so this would have been printed prior to their January 11:0772004 meeting, because we would want to know what the11:018status of the continuous maintenance change proposals9were at that time.11:1010Q11111213A14Q14Q1515161617A1817A1817A1817A181119151817A11101811141714151516161718171915181119151110111112131415161717181719151111111112141515
 3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where 11:06 4 they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It 11:09 5 would have been sent to Iron Mountain. 11:03 6 Q And what would be the title of the document 11:06 7 that would have this one of these proposals in it? 11:09 8 A On the network drive? 11:05 9 Q Yeah. 11:06 10 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 11:09 11 THE WITNESS: It's probably Task Sheet 6 11:01 12 would be the title. 11:05 13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And what does are there 11:06 14 other task sheets? 11:03 15 A Yes. 11:04 16 Q And what are the other task sheets? 11:05 17 A There's a Task Sheet 1 for new projects; Task 11:08 18 Sheet 2 that was membership; Task Sheet 3 is title, 11:02 19 purpose and scope change. I don't believe we have a 4; 11:07 	3AThat would have been at the one-year mark 11:074well, they have 13 months to to respond to11:025continuous maintenance change proposals within a year, 11:056so this would have been printed prior to their January 11:0772004 meeting, because we would want to know what the11:018status of the continuous maintenance change proposals9were at that time.11:1010QQThe proposals that were reflected here, would 11:1111those be if made effective, would those be made11:1612effective in Standard 90.1 2001 or in a later version?11:1014QQSo the proposals reflected in Exhibit 1132, 11:1915would those, if they had been enacted, be enacted into11:1817A17A18(Exhibit 1134 marked for identification.)11:1019QQ(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been
 3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where 11:06 4 they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It 11:09 5 would have been sent to Iron Mountain. 11:03 6 Q And what would be the title of the document 11:06 7 that would have this one of these proposals in it? 11:09 8 A On the network drive? 11:05 9 Q Yeah. 11:06 10 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 11:09 11 THE WITNESS: It's probably Task Sheet 6 11:01 12 would be the title. 11:05 13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And what does are there 11:06 14 other task sheets? 11:03 15 A Yes. 11:04 16 Q And what are the other task sheets? 11:05 17 A There's a Task Sheet 1 for new projects; Task 11:08 18 Sheet 2 that was membership; Task Sheet 3 is title, 11:02 19 purpose and scope change. I don't believe we have a 4; 11:07 20 a Task Sheet 5, which is public review; Task Sheet 7, 11:02 	3AThat would have been at the one-year mark 11:074well, they have 13 months to to respond to11:025continuous maintenance change proposals within a year, 11:056so this would have been printed prior to their January 11:0772004 meeting, because we would want to know what the11:018status of the continuous maintenance change proposals9were at that time.11:1010QQThe proposals that were reflected here, would 11:1111those be if made effective, would those be made11:1612effective in Standard 90.1 2001 or in a later version?11:1513AAlater version.11:1014QQSo the proposals reflected in Exhibit 1132, 11:1915would those, if they had been enacted, be enacted into11:1817AYes.11:1018(Exhibit 1134 marked for identification.)11:1219QQ(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been20marked as Exhibit 1134, Bates number ASHRAE0022821.
 3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where 11:06 4 they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It 11:09 5 would have been sent to Iron Mountain. 11:03 6 Q And what would be the title of the document 11:06 7 that would have this one of these proposals in it? 11:09 8 A On the network drive? 11:05 9 Q Yeah. 11:06 10 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 11:09 11 THE WITNESS: It's probably Task Sheet 6 11:01 12 would be the title. 11:05 13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And what does are there 11:06 14 other task sheets? 11:03 15 A Yes. 11:04 16 Q And what are the other task sheets? 11:05 17 A There's a Task Sheet 1 for new projects; Task 11:08 18 Sheet 2 that was membership; Task Sheet 3 is title, 11:02 19 purpose and scope change. I don't believe we have a 4; 11:07 20 a Task Sheet 5, which is public review; Task Sheet 7, 11:02 21 which is publication; and Task Sheet 8, which is 11:07 	 A That would have been at the one-year mark 11:07 4 well, they have 13 months to to respond to 11:02 5 continuous maintenance change proposals within a year, 11:05 6 so this would have been printed prior to their January 11:07 7 2004 meeting, because we would want to know what the 11:01 8 status of the continuous maintenance change proposals 11:05 9 were at that time. 11:10 10 Q The proposals that were reflected here, would 11:11 11 those be if made effective, would those be made 11:16 12 effective in Standard 90.1 2001 or in a later version? 11:15 13 A A later version. 11:10 14 Q So the proposals reflected in Exhibit 1132, 11:19 15 would those, if they had been enacted, be enacted into 11:13 16 Standard 90.1 2004? 11:18 17 A Yes. 11:10 18 (Exhibit 1134 marked for identification.) 11:12 19 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:10 20 marked as Exhibit 1134, Bates number ASHRAE0022821. 11: 21 Could you tell me what this document is, please? 11:19
 3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where 11:06 4 they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It 11:09 5 would have been sent to Iron Mountain. 11:03 6 Q And what would be the title of the document 11:06 7 that would have this one of these proposals in it? 11:09 8 A On the network drive? 11:05 9 Q Yeah. 11:06 10 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 11:09 11 THE WITNESS: It's probably Task Sheet 6 11:01 12 would be the title. 11:05 13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And what does are there 11:06 14 other task sheets? 11:03 15 A Yes. 11:04 16 Q And what are the other task sheets? 11:05 17 A There's a Task Sheet 1 for new projects; Task 11:08 18 Sheet 2 that was membership; Task Sheet 3 is title, 11:02 19 purpose and scope change. I don't believe we have a 4; 11:07 20 a Task Sheet 5, which is public review; Task Sheet 7, 11:02 21 which is publication; and Task Sheet 8, which is 11:07 22 appeals. And Task Sheet 10 might there might be a 11:03 	 A That would have been at the one-year mark 11:07 4 well, they have 13 months to to respond to 11:02 5 continuous maintenance change proposals within a year, 11:05 6 so this would have been printed prior to their January 11:07 7 2004 meeting, because we would want to know what the 11:01 8 status of the continuous maintenance change proposals 11:05 9 were at that time. 11:10 10 Q The proposals that were reflected here, would 11:11 11 those be if made effective, would those be made 11:16 12 effective in Standard 90.1 2001 or in a later version? 11:15 13 A A later version. 11:10 14 Q So the proposals reflected in Exhibit 1132, 11:19 15 would those, if they had been enacted, be enacted into 11:13 16 Standard 90.1 2004? 11:18 17 A Yes. 11:10 18 (Exhibit 1134 marked for identification.) 11:12 19 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:10 20 marked as Exhibit 1134, Bates number ASHRAE0022821. 11: 21 Could you tell me what this document is, please? 11:19
 3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where 11:06 4 they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It 11:09 5 would have been sent to Iron Mountain. 11:03 6 Q And what would be the title of the document 11:06 7 that would have this one of these proposals in it? 11:09 8 A On the network drive? 11:05 9 Q Yeah. 11:06 10 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 11:09 11 THE WITNESS: It's probably Task Sheet 6 11:01 12 would be the title. 11:05 13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And what does are there 11:06 14 other task sheets? 11:03 15 A Yes. 11:04 16 Q And what are the other task sheets? 11:05 17 A There's a Task Sheet 1 for new projects; Task 11:08 18 Sheet 2 that was membership; Task Sheet 3 is title, 11:02 19 purpose and scope change. I don't believe we have a 4; 11:07 20 a Task Sheet 5, which is public review; Task Sheet 7, 11:02 21 which is publication; and Task Sheet 8, which is 11:07 22 appeals. And Task Sheet 10 might there might be a 11:03 	3AThat would have been at the one-year mark 11:074well, they have 13 months to to respond to11:025continuous maintenance change proposals within a year, 11:056so this would have been printed prior to their January 11:0772004 meeting, because we would want to know what the11:018status of the continuous maintenance change proposals11:059were at that time.11:1010QThe proposals that were reflected here, would 11:1111those be if made effective, would those be made11:1612effective in Standard 90.1 2001 or in a later version?11:1513AA later version.11:1014QSo the proposals reflected in Exhibit 1132, 11:1915would those, if they had been enacted, be enacted into11:1316Standard 90.1 2004?11:1817AYes.11:1018(Exhibit 1134 marked for identification.)11:1219Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been11:1020marked as Exhibit 1134, Bates number ASHRAE0022821.11:21Could you tell me what this document is, please?11:1223review draft for an addendum to 90.1 2004.11:17
 3 task sheet that we save on our network drive where 11:06 4 they're saved. And then if not, it's in paper. It 11:09 5 would have been sent to Iron Mountain. 11:03 6 Q And what would be the title of the document 11:06 7 that would have this one of these proposals in it? 11:09 8 A On the network drive? 11:05 9 Q Yeah. 11:06 10 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 11:09 11 THE WITNESS: It's probably Task Sheet 6 11:01 12 would be the title. 11:05 13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And what does are there 11:06 14 other task sheets? 11:03 15 A Yes. 11:04 16 Q And what are the other task sheets? 11:05 17 A There's a Task Sheet 1 for new projects; Task 11:08 18 Sheet 2 that was membership; Task Sheet 3 is title, 11:02 19 purpose and scope change. I don't believe we have a 4; 11:07 20 a Task Sheet 5, which is public review; Task Sheet 7, 11:02 21 which is publication; and Task Sheet 8, which is 11:07 22 appeals. And Task Sheet 10 might there might be a 11:03 	 A That would have been at the one-year mark 11:07 4 well, they have 13 months to to respond to 11:02 5 continuous maintenance change proposals within a year, 11:05 6 so this would have been printed prior to their January 11:07 7 2004 meeting, because we would want to know what the 11:01 8 status of the continuous maintenance change proposals 11:05 9 were at that time. 11:10 10 Q The proposals that were reflected here, would 11:11 11 those be if made effective, would those be made 11:16 12 effective in Standard 90.1 2001 or in a later version? 11:15 13 A A later version. 11:10 14 Q So the proposals reflected in Exhibit 1132, 11:19 15 would those, if they had been enacted, be enacted into 11:13 16 Standard 90.1 2004? 11:18 17 A Yes. 11:10 18 (Exhibit 1134 marked for identification.) 11:12 19 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:10 20 marked as Exhibit 1134, Bates number ASHRAE0022821. 11:2 21 Could you tell me what this document is, please? 11:19

18 (Pages 66 - 69)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 20 of 98

1 A Yes. 11:12	1 A This is a document to submit a continuous 11:11
2 Q What is the significance of the copyright 11:12	2 maintenance change proposal. 11:16
3 release? 11:12	3 Q Does this document contain a copyright 11:10
4 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 11:12	4 release as well? 11:14
5 THE WITNESS: It the significance of 11:12	5 A Yes. 11:15
6 it is when the commenter submits their 11:12	6 Q Could you please mark on the page where the 11:12
7 comments, they are giving ASHRAE the 11:12	7 copyright release is? 11:16
8 nonexclusive rights to use whatever material 11:12	8 (Witness complied with the request of counsel.) 11:13
9 they submit in their comments to change or 11:12	9 A There's two spots. 11:13
10 modify the standard and then ASHRAE owns the 11:12	10 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm going to go ahead 11:15
11 copyright, and they don't. 11:12	11 and object again to form here, Matt. 11:19
	12 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And those two spots you've 11:18
13 you mind elaborating on the reason for your 11:12	13 marked with a number 1 and number 2; is that correct? 11:19
14 objection? 11:12	14 A That's correct. 11:12
15 MR. CUNNINGHAM: It calls for a legal 11:12	15 Q Why does ASHRAE use two copyright releases on 11:12
16 conclusion. 11:12	16 this form? 11:19
17 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Why does ASHRAE include the 11:12	17 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 11:10
18 copyright release in this document? 11:12	18 THE WITNESS: Actually, I think we 11:11
19 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 11:12	19 allowed it so they could either sign it and 11:11
20 THE WITNESS: We include it so that we 11:12	20 send it in or they could put an electronic 11:14
21 can include the material in in the 11:12	21 signature in. I just think there's a 11:17
22 document that they're commenting on without 11:12	22 signature line that we missed when we made 11:18
23 having to get copyright permission; because 11:13	23 the form. 11:10
24 they're giving it, we don't have to go back. 11:13	24 Q (BY MR. BECKER) So this form should have 11:13
25 They're giving it when they sign it. 11:13	25 a a signature line below the first copyright 11:14
Page 70	Page 72
1 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Does ASHRAE believe that it 11:10	1 release, but it does not? 11:10
2 owns the copyright if somebody signs this form? 11:11	2 A Correct. 11:11
3 A Yes. 11:16	3 Q And if this form were printed out and sent to 11:13
4 Q Would ASHRAE accept a form like this if it 11:12	4 ASHRAE, would ASHRAE reject it if someone had not 11:19
5 had not been signed and dated? 11:16	5 signed below the first copyright release? 11:13
6 A No. 11:18	6 A On this one, we would have allowed either the 11:17
7 Q Do you know of any instance in which ASHRAE 11:11	7 electronic signature if they printed it with the 11:10
8 has accepted a form like this if it has not been signed 11:14	
9 and dated? 11:17	9 language was the same. 11:14
10 A No. 11:18	10 Q Would ASHRAE accept this document if someone 11:19
11 Q Do you have any reason to believe that this 11:11	11 had not typed in their name where it says "I, insert 11:14
12 document produced by ASHRAE is not an authentic 11:13	12 name"? 11:10
13 document? 11:16	13 A If if they did not sign it and did not 11:10
14 A No. 11:16	14 insert their name, we would not accept it. 11:13
15 Q And are you familiar with this document 11:11	15 Q Comparing documents Exhibits 1134 and 1135, 11:17
16 through your work at ASHRAE?11:13	16 is the copyright release in 1134 the same as the 11:12
17 A Yes. 11:16	17 copyright release the first copyright release in 11:10
18 Q Is this one of the documents that you review? 11:19	18 1135? 11:13
19 A Yes. 11:13	19 A There is missing oh, no, I just can't read 11:10
20 (Exhibit 1135 marked for identification.) 11:16	20 right. No, they're the same. 11:17
21 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what has 11:19	21 Q And comparing the first and second copyright 11:12
22 been marked as Exhibit 1135, Bates number 11:12	22 release in 1135, can you say what the differences 11:16
23 ASHRAE0022819. Do you recognize this document? 11:15	23 between those two are? 11:10
24 A Yes. 11:19	24 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm just going to 11:11
25 Q And what is this document? 11:19	25 object. Matt, I think the document speaks 11:12
Page 71	Page 73
G	L5

19 (Pages 70 - 73)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 21 of 98

1 for itself here 11:14	1 Q 1136. 11:22
2 THE WITNESS: The the only difference 11:11	2 A Yes. 11:25
3 is one we allow electronic signature, and the 11:12	3 Q And which is the same as the copyright 11:26
4 other is just a hand is a handwritten 11:15	4 releases on Exhibit 1135; is that correct? 11:20
5 signature 11:10	5 A That's correct. 11:25
6 Q (BY MR BECKER) Thank you 11:11	6 Q And Exhibit 1137 says that it was revised on 11:21
7 (Exhibit 1136 marked for identification) 11:14	7 January 30th, 2006 on the back of the document; is that 11:26
8 Q (BY MR BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:12	8 correct? 11:20
9 marked as Exhibit 1136, Bates number ASHRAE0022823 Do 11:14	9 A That's correct. 11:21
10 you recognize this document? 11:10	10 (Exhibit 1138 marked for identification.) 11:28
11 A Yes 11:12	11 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing 11:27
12 Q And what is this document? 11:13	12 you what's been marked as Exhibit 1138, Bates number 11:27
13 A This is the the form to comment on a 11:14	13 ASHRAE0022820. Do you recognize this document? 11:21
14 public review draft standard guideline or addendum 11:17	14 A Yes. 11:26
15 THE COURT REPORTER: Can we go off the 11:10	15 Q And what is this document? 11:27
16 record a second? 11:14	16 A This is the form to submit a proposed change 11:28
17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record 11:17	17 to an ASHRAE standard under continuous maintenance. 11:21
18 at 11:19 11:18	18 Q And does this document contain the same two 11:27
19 (Recess taken) 11:27	19 copyright releases that were featured in the previous 11:20
20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going on the record 11:28	20 exhibit, 1137? 11:24
21 at 11:22 11:28	21 A Yes. 11:29
22 Q (BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, looking again 11:26	22 Q And at the bottom of the page, does this 11:22
23 on at Exhibit 1136, if you look at the second page 11:26	23 document show that it was revised on March 9th, 2007? 11:24
24 of the exhibit, could you tell me what the significance 11:22	24 A Yes. 11:25
25 of the date on the second page is? 11:27	25 (Exhibit 1139 marked for identification.) 11:21
Page 74	Page 76
1 A That was when we were we must have made a 11:23	1 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:26
2 revision. So if we changed anything, even if it's one 11:23	2 marked as Exhibit 1139. This is Bates number 11:26
3 word, we put a new revision date on a form. 11:23	3 ASHRAE0022814. Do you recognize this document? 11:20
4 Q And returning to Exhibit 1135, is that also 11:23	4 A Yes. 11:24
5 what "revised 1/30/2006" means at the bottom of that 11:23	5 Q Could you tell me what this document is? 11:24
6 document? 11:23	6 A This is an Application for Project Committee 11:26
7 A Yes. 11:23	7 Organizational Representative Membership form. 11:27
8 Q And returning to Exhibit 1134 at the back of 11:23	8 Q Does this document include a copyright 11:27
9 that document where it says "REV 03-01-2004," is that 11:23	9 release under section 6? 11:20
10 also what that date means? 11:23	10 A Yes. 11:22
11 A Yes. 11:24	11 Q Apart from referring to ASHRAE standard or 11:24
12 Q Thank you. 11:24	12 guideline project committee, does this copyright 11:20
13 (Exhibit 1137 marked for identification.) 11:24	13 release under section 6 of Exhibit 1139 appear the same 11:24
14 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Handing you what's been 11:24	14 as the copyright the first copyright release in 11:22
15 marked as Exhibit 1137. This is ASHRAE Bates number 11:24	15 Exhibit 1138? 11:23
16 0022825. Do you recognize this document? 11:24	16 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 11:26
17 A Yes. 11:24	17 THE WITNESS: Actually, it differs. 11:20
18 Q And what is this document? 11:24	18 Q (BY MR. BECKER) How does it differ? 11:22
19 A This is a form for commenting on a public 11:24	19 A There's additional language included in 11:24
20 review draft to an ASHRAE standard, guideline or 11:24	20 Exhibit 1139. 11:29
21 addendum. 11:24	21 Q And could you tell me what that excuse me, 11:21
22 Q And does this document also contain the same 11:24	22 could you tell me what that additional language is? 11:24
23 copyright release excuse me, the same two copyright 11:24	A In the third sentence down, it adds, "to any 11:29
24 releases that had appeared on the previous exhibit? 11:24	24 contributions I make to documents prepared by or for 11:24
25 A Which one? 11:25	25 such committee for ASHRAE publication." And and 11:28
Page 75	Page 77

20 (Pages 74 - 77)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 22 of 98

1 then the rest is all the same. 11:22	1 A No. 11:32
2 Q Could you tell me on what date Exhibit 1139 11:21	2 Q Does ASHRAE ordinarily keep a document that 11:38
3 was revised? 11:21	3 would look like this in its redacted form? 11:36
4 A October 2009. 11:23	4 A Actually, I I would correct. If it was 11:30
5 (Exhibit 1140 marked for identification.) 11:31	5 redacted, it was probably because it had contact or 11:32
6 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Handing you what's been 11:35	6 contact information of the individual on here, and that 11:36
7 marked as Exhibit 1140. Do you recognize this 11:35	7 would have been why it would have been redacted. 11:38
8 document? 11:32	8 (Exhibit 1142 marked for identification.) 11:35
9 A Yes. 11:32	9 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:34
10 Q Could you tell me what this document is? 11:32	10 marked as Exhibit 1142. This is ASHRAE Bates number 11:35
11 A This is the ASHRAE Standard Guideline Project 11:34	11 0001618. With the exception of the different Bates 11:39
12 Committee Application for Individual Membership. 11:38	12 numbers, does this document appear to you to be 11:33
13 Q And does this Exhibit 1140 include a 11:31	13 identical to the previous exhibit, Exhibit 1141? 11:36
14 copyright release under section 5? 11:34	14 A Yes. 11:32
15 A Yes. 11:36	15 (Exhibit 1143 marked for identification.) 11:32
16 Q Could you tell me if this copyright release 11:30	16 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:38
17 differs in any way from the copyright release on 11:35	17 marked as Exhibit 1143. Could you tell me what this 11:30
18 Exhibit 1139? 11:30	18 document is? 11:35
19 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 11:33	19 A This is the Form for Continuous Maintenance 11:36
20 THE WITNESS: The only difference is 11:31	20 Change Proposal. 11:39
21 that on form 1139, it says "elected as an 11:34	21 Q And do you recognize this document? 11:30
22 organizational member" versus 1140, which is 11:30	22 A Yes. 11:32
23 "as a member." 11:33	23 Q And could you tell me when this document was 11:37
24 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Are Exhibits 1139 and 11:32	24 last revised? 11:30
25 Exhibits 1140 documents that individuals are required 11:35	25 A January 30th, 2006. 11:31
Page 78	Page 80
1 to fill out in order to obtain membership with ASHRAE? 11:30	1 Q And does this document have the same two 11:37
2 A For project committees, yes. 11:37	2 copyright releases as in Exhibit 1135? 11:37
3 (Exhibit 1141 marked for identification.) 11:30	3 A Yes. 11:37
4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:30	4 (Exhibit 1144 marked for identification.) 11:37
5 marked as Exhibit 1141. Do you recognize this 11:31	5 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:38
6 document? 11:36	6 marked as Exhibit 1144. Do you recognize this 11:38
7 A Yes. 11:39	7 document? 11:38
8 Q Could you tell me what this document is? 11:30	8 A Yes. 11:38
9 A This document is language includes our 11:33	9 Q Could you tell me what it is? 11:38
10 copyright information for electronic or or the 11:37	10 A This is the Form for Commenting in a Public 11:38
11 signing. 11:31	11 Review Draft ASHRAE Standard, Guideline or Addendum. 11:38
12 Q Does this document, Exhibit 1141, include the 11:36	12 Q And looking at the second page of this 11:38
13 same two copyright releases that were seen in Exhibit 11:33	13 document, could you tell me the date on which it was 11:38
14 11250 11 20	14 revised? 11:38
14 1135? 11:39	15 A Marsh 1-t 2004 11.20
15 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 11:38	15 A March 1st, 2004. 11:38
15MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.11:3816THE WITNESS: There's a few "and"s11:36	16 Q And does this document have the same 11:38
15MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.11:3816THE WITNESS: There's a few "and"s11:3617missing in the in in 1141 that are in11:38	16QAnd does this document have the same11:3817copyright release as Exhibit 1134?11:38
15MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.11:3816THE WITNESS: There's a few "and"s11:3617missing in the in in 1141 that are in11:38181135. And in 1141, the signature line is11:30	16QAnd does this document have the same11:3817copyright release as Exhibit 1134?11:3818AYes.11:39
15 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 11:38 16 THE WITNESS: There's a few "and"s 11:36 17 missing in the in in 1141 that are in 11:38 18 1135. And in 1141, the signature line is 11:30 19 included, which is not in 1135. But other 11:35	16QAnd does this document have the same11:3817copyright release as Exhibit 1134?11:3818AYes.11:3919(Exhibit 1145 marked for identification.)11:39
15MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.11:3816THE WITNESS: There's a few "and"s11:3617missing in the in in 1141 that are in11:38181135. And in 1141, the signature line is11:3019included, which is not in 1135. But other11:3520than that, they're the same.11:38	16QAnd does this document have the same11:3817copyright release as Exhibit 1134?11:3818AYes.11:3919(Exhibit 1145 marked for identification.)11:3920Q(BY MR. BECKER) Handing you what's been11:39
15MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.11:3816THE WITNESS: There's a few "and"s11:3617missing in the in in 1141 that are in11:38181135. And in 1141, the signature line is11:3019included, which is not in 1135. But other11:3520than that, they're the same.11:3821Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.11:32	16QAnd does this document have the same11:3817copyright release as Exhibit 1134?11:3818AYes.11:3919(Exhibit 1145 marked for identification.)11:3920Q(BY MR. BECKER) Handing you what's been11:3921marked as Exhibit 1145. This is Bates number11:39
15MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.11:3816THE WITNESS: There's a few "and"s11:3617missing in the in in 1141 that are in11:38181135. And in 1141, the signature line is11:3019included, which is not in 1135. But other11:3520than that, they're the same.11:3821Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.11:3222Does this document appear to be redacted to11:35	16QAnd does this document have the same11:3817copyright release as Exhibit 1134?11:3818AYes.11:3919(Exhibit 1145 marked for identification.)11:3920Q(BY MR. BECKER) Handing you what's been11:3921marked as Exhibit 1145. This is Bates number11:3922ASHRAE0001606. Could you do you recognize this11:39
15MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.11:3816THE WITNESS: There's a few "and"s11:3617missing in the in in 1141 that are in11:38181135. And in 1141, the signature line is11:3019included, which is not in 1135. But other11:3520than that, they're the same.11:3821Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.11:3222Does this document appear to be redacted to11:3523you?11:38	16QAnd does this document have the same11:3817copyright release as Exhibit 1134?11:3818AYes.11:3919(Exhibit 1145 marked for identification.)11:3920Q(BY MR. BECKER) Handing you what's been11:3921marked as Exhibit 1145. This is Bates number11:3922ASHRAE0001606. Could you do you recognize this11:3923document?11:39
15MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.11:3816THE WITNESS: There's a few "and"s11:3617missing in the in in 1141 that are in11:38181135. And in 1141, the signature line is11:3019included, which is not in 1135. But other11:3520than that, they're the same.11:3821Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.11:3222Does this document appear to be redacted to11:35	16QAnd does this document have the same11:3817copyright release as Exhibit 1134?11:3818AYes.11:3919(Exhibit 1145 marked for identification.)11:3920Q(BY MR. BECKER) Handing you what's been11:3921marked as Exhibit 1145. This is Bates number11:3922ASHRAE0001606. Could you do you recognize this11:39

21 (Pages 78 - 81)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 23 of 98

1 A This is the Form for Commenting on a Public 11:39	1 A This is the Form for Commenting on a Public 11:43
2 Review Draft ASHRAE Standard, Guideline or Addendum 11:32	2 Review Draft ASHRAE Standard, Guideline or Addendum. 11:43
3 Q And could you tell me the date on which this 11:37	3 Q Looking at the second page of this document, 11:43
4 was revised? 11:39	4 could you tell me when this document was revised? 11:43
5 A March 1st, 2004 11:33	5 A January 30th, 2006. 11:43
6 Q And does this include the same copyright 11:34	6 Q Does this document under section 2, 11:43
7 release that was in the previous exhibit, 1144? 11:36	7 "Copyright Release," have the same two copyright 11:43
8 A Yes 11:46	8 releases that were in Exhibit 1135? 11:43
9 (Exhibit 1146 marked for identification) 11:41	9 A In the first paragraph, in in the third 11:44
10 Q (BY MR BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:49	10 line down, instead of saying "the standard," like we 11:44
11 marked as Exhibit 1146 Do you recognize this 11:40	11 see in Exhibit 1135, in Exhibit 1148, it says "this 11:44
12 document? 11:44	12 standard." 11:44
13 A Yes 11:44	13 (Exhibit 1149 marked for identification.) 11:44
14 MR BECKER: And this just for the 11:47	14 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:44
15 record, this document is Bates number 11:49	15 marked as Exhibit 1149. Do you recognize this 11:44
16 ASHRAE0001600 11:41	16 document? 11:44
17 Q (BY MR BECKER) Could you tell me what this 11:45	17 A Yes. 11:44
18 document is? 11:47	18 Q Could you tell me what this document is? 11:44
19 A This is the Form for Submittal of a Proposed 11:48	19 A This is the Form for Commenting on a Public 11:45
20 Change to ASHRAE Standard Under Continuous Maintenance 11:41	20 Review Draft, ASHRAE Standard, Guideline or Addendum. 11:45
21 Q Could you could you tell me the 11:47	21 MR. BECKER: For the record, I'll just 11:45
22 significance of the date in the bottom left-hand 11:49	22 state that this is Bates number 11:45
23 corner? 11:43	22 state that this is bates humber 11.45 23 ASHRAE0001610. 11:45
24 A That would have been the date it was revised 11:43	24 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Looking at the back of the 11:45
 25 Q Does this document include the same copyright 11:43 	25 document, could you tell me the date on which this was 11:45
Page 82	Page 84
1 release under section 1 as was in Exhibit 1134? 11:41	1 revised? 11:45
2 A With the exception of splitting up a 11:41	2 A January 30th, 2006. 11:45
	3 Q Does this document, Exhibit 1149, have the 11:45
3 sentence, it's exactly the same. There's an "and" in 11:41	
3 sentence, it's exactly the same. There's an "and" in 11:414 1134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:41	4 same copyright releases as in the previous exhibit, 11:45
-	4 same copyright releases as in the previous exhibit, 11:45 5 1148? 11:45
4 1134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:41	
4 1134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:415 understand" that is not in 1146.11:42	5 1148? 11:45
 4 1134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:41 5 understand" that is not in 1146. 11:42 6 (Exhibit 1147 marked for identification.) 11:42 	5 1148? 11:45 6 A Yes. 11:45
 4 1134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:41 5 understand" that is not in 1146. 11:42 6 (Exhibit 1147 marked for identification.) 11:42 7 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:42 	5 1148? 11:45 6 A Yes. 11:45 7 (Exhibit 1150 marked for identification.) 11:45
 4 1134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:41 5 understand" that is not in 1146. 11:42 6 (Exhibit 1147 marked for identification.) 11:42 7 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:42 8 marked as Exhibit 1147. This is Bates number 11:42 	5 1148? 11:45 6 A Yes. 11:45 7 (Exhibit 1150 marked for identification.) 11:45 8 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:46
 4 1134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:41 5 understand" that is not in 1146. 11:42 6 (Exhibit 1147 marked for identification.) 11:42 7 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:42 8 marked as Exhibit 1147. This is Bates number 11:42 9 ASHRAE0001604. Do you recognize this document? 11:42 	5 1148?11:456 A Yes.11:457 (Exhibit 1150 marked for identification.)11:458 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:469 marked as Exhibit 1150. This is Bates number11:46
 4 1134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:41 5 understand" that is not in 1146. 11:42 6 (Exhibit 1147 marked for identification.) 11:42 7 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:42 8 marked as Exhibit 1147. This is Bates number 11:42 9 ASHRAE0001604. Do you recognize this document? 11:42 10 A Yes. 11:42 	51148?11:456AYes.11:457(Exhibit 1150 marked for identification.)11:458Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:469marked as Exhibit 1150. This is Bates number11:4610ASHRAE0001605. Do you recognize this document?11:46
 4 1134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:41 5 understand" that is not in 1146. 11:42 6 (Exhibit 1147 marked for identification.) 11:42 7 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:42 8 marked as Exhibit 1147. This is Bates number 11:42 9 ASHRAE0001604. Do you recognize this document? 11:42 10 A Yes. 11:42 11 Q Could you tell me what this document is? 11:42 	5 1148?11:456 A Yes.11:457 (Exhibit 1150 marked for identification.)11:458 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:469 marked as Exhibit 1150. This is Bates number11:4610 ASHRAE0001605. Do you recognize this document?11:4611 A Yes.11:46
 4 1134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:41 5 understand" that is not in 1146. 11:42 6 (Exhibit 1147 marked for identification.) 11:42 7 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:42 8 marked as Exhibit 1147. This is Bates number 11:42 9 ASHRAE0001604. Do you recognize this document? 11:42 10 A Yes. 11:42 11 Q Could you tell me what this document is? 11:42 12 A This is a Continuous Maintenance Submittal 11:42 	5 1148?11:456 A Yes.11:457 (Exhibit 1150 marked for identification.)11:458 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:469 marked as Exhibit 1150. This is Bates number11:4610 ASHRAE0001605. Do you recognize this document?11:4611 A Yes.11:4612 Q And could you tell me what this document is?11:46
41134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:415understand" that is not in 1146.6(Exhibit 1147 marked for identification.)7Q9(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:429ASHRAE0001604. Do you recognize this document?11:4210A11Q11Q11Q11Q11Q11213form.11:42	5 1148?11:456 A Yes.11:457 (Exhibit 1150 marked for identification.)11:458 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:469 marked as Exhibit 1150. This is Bates number11:4610 ASHRAE0001605. Do you recognize this document?11:4611 A Yes.11:4612 Q And could you tell me what this document is?11:4613 A This is the Form for Submittal of a Proposed 11:46
 4 1134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:41 5 understand" that is not in 1146. 11:42 6 (Exhibit 1147 marked for identification.) 11:42 7 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:42 8 marked as Exhibit 1147. This is Bates number 11:42 9 ASHRAE0001604. Do you recognize this document? 11:42 10 A Yes. 11:42 11 Q Could you tell me what this document is? 11:42 12 A This is a Continuous Maintenance Submittal 11:42 13 form. 11:42 14 Q And could you tell me when this document was 11:42 	51148?11:456AYes.11:457(Exhibit 1150 marked for identification.)11:458Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:469marked as Exhibit 1150. This is Bates number11:4610ASHRAE0001605. Do you recognize this document?11:4611AYes.11:4612QAnd could you tell me what this document is?11:4613AThis is the Form for Submittal of a Proposed 11:4614Change to an ASHRAE Standard Under Continuous11:46
41134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:415understand" that is not in 1146.6(Exhibit 1147 marked for identification.)7Q9(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:429ASHRAE0001604. Do you recognize this document?11:4210AYes.11:4211Q12A13form.11:4214Q15revised?11:42	51148?11:456AYes.11:457(Exhibit 1150 marked for identification.)11:458Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:469marked as Exhibit 1150. This is Bates number11:4610ASHRAE0001605. Do you recognize this document?11:4611AYes.11:4612QAnd could you tell me what this document is?11:4613AThis is the Form for Submittal of a Proposed 11:4614Change to an ASHRAE Standard Under Continuous11:4615Maintenance.11:46
41134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:415understand" that is not in 1146.11:426(Exhibit 1147 marked for identification.)11:427Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:428marked as Exhibit 1147. This is Bates number11:429ASHRAE0001604. Do you recognize this document?11:4210AYes.11:4211QCould you tell me what this document is?11:4212AThis is a Continuous Maintenance Submittal11:4213form.11:4214QAnd could you tell me when this document was 11:4215revised?11:4216AJanuary 30th, 2006.11:42	51148?11:456AYes.11:457(Exhibit 1150 marked for identification.)11:458Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:469marked as Exhibit 1150. This is Bates number11:4610ASHRAE0001605. Do you recognize this document?11:4611AYes.11:4612QAnd could you tell me what this document is?11:4613AThis is the Form for Submittal of a Proposed 11:4614Change to an ASHRAE Standard Under Continuous11:4615Maintenance.11:4616QAnd could you tell me, looking at the bottom 11:46
41134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:415understand" that is not in 1146.11:426(Exhibit 1147 marked for identification.)11:427Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:428marked as Exhibit 1147. This is Bates number11:429ASHRAE0001604. Do you recognize this document?11:4210AYes.11:4211QCould you tell me what this document is?11:4212AThis is a Continuous Maintenance Submittal11:4213form.11:4214QAnd could you tell me when this document was 11:4215revised?11:4216AJanuary 30th, 2006.11:4217QDoes this document include the same two11:42	51148?11:456AYes.11:457(Exhibit 1150 marked for identification.)11:458Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:469marked as Exhibit 1150. This is Bates number11:4610ASHRAE0001605. Do you recognize this document?11:4611AYes.11:4612QAnd could you tell me what this document is?11:4613AThis is the Form for Submittal of a Proposed 11:4614Change to an ASHRAE Standard Under Continuous11:4615Maintenance.11:4616QAnd could you tell me, looking at the bottom 11:4617right-hand corner, the date on which this was revised?11:46
41134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:415understand" that is not in 1146.11:426(Exhibit 1147 marked for identification.)11:427Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:428marked as Exhibit 1147. This is Bates number11:429ASHRAE0001604. Do you recognize this document?11:4210AYes.11:4211QCould you tell me what this document is?11:4212AThis is a Continuous Maintenance Submittal11:4213form.11:4214QAnd could you tell me when this document was11:4215revised?11:4216AJanuary 30th, 2006.11:4217QDoes this document include the same two11:4218copyright copyright releases as in Exhibit 1135?11:42	51148?11:456AYes.11:457(Exhibit 1150 marked for identification.)11:458Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:469marked as Exhibit 1150. This is Bates number11:4610ASHRAE0001605. Do you recognize this document?11:4611AYes.11:4612QAnd could you tell me what this document is?11:4613AThis is the Form for Submittal of a Proposed 11:4614Change to an ASHRAE Standard Under Continuous11:4615Maintenance.11:4616QAnd could you tell me, looking at the bottom 11:4617right-hand corner, the date on which this was revised?11:4618AMarch 9th, 2007.11:46
41134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:415understand" that is not in 1146.11:426(Exhibit 1147 marked for identification.)11:427Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:428marked as Exhibit 1147. This is Bates number11:429ASHRAE0001604. Do you recognize this document?11:4210AYes.11:4211QCould you tell me what this document is?11:4212AThis is a Continuous Maintenance Submittal11:4213form.11:4214QAnd could you tell me when this document was 11:4215revised?11:4216AJanuary 30th, 2006.11:4217QDoes this document include the same two11:4218copyright copyright releases as in Exhibit 1135?11:4219AYes.11:43	51148?11:456AYes.11:457(Exhibit 1150 marked for identification.)11:458Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:469marked as Exhibit 1150. This is Bates number11:4610ASHRAE0001605. Do you recognize this document?11:4611AYes.11:4612QAnd could you tell me what this document is?11:4613AThis is the Form for Submittal of a Proposed 11:4614Change to an ASHRAE Standard Under Continuous11:4615Maintenance.11:4616QAnd could you tell me, looking at the bottom 11:4617right-hand corner, the date on which this was revised?11:4618AMarch 9th, 2007.11:4619QAnd does Exhibit 1150 have the same two11:46
41134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:415understand" that is not in 1146.11:426(Exhibit 1147 marked for identification.)11:427Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:428marked as Exhibit 1147. This is Bates number11:429ASHRAE0001604. Do you recognize this document?11:4210AYes.11:4211QCould you tell me what this document is?11:4212AThis is a Continuous Maintenance Submittal11:4213form.11:4214QAnd could you tell me when this document was 11:4215revised?11:4216AJanuary 30th, 2006.11:4217QDoes this document include the same two11:4218copyright copyright releases as in Exhibit 1135?11:4219AYes.11:4320(Exhibit 1148 marked for identification.)11:43	51148?11:456AYes.11:457(Exhibit 1150 marked for identification.)11:458Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:469marked as Exhibit 1150. This is Bates number11:4610ASHRAE0001605. Do you recognize this document?11:4611AYes.11:4612QAnd could you tell me what this document is?11:4613AThis is the Form for Submittal of a Proposed 11:4614Change to an ASHRAE Standard Under Continuous11:4615Maintenance.11:4616QAnd could you tell me, looking at the bottom 11:4617right-hand corner, the date on which this was revised?11:4618AMarch 9th, 2007.11:4619QAnd does Exhibit 1150 have the same two11:4620copyright releases as Exhibit 1135?11:46
41134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:415understand" that is not in 1146.11:426(Exhibit 1147 marked for identification.)11:427Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:428marked as Exhibit 1147. This is Bates number11:429ASHRAE0001604. Do you recognize this document?11:4210AYes.11:4211QCould you tell me what this document is?11:4212AThis is a Continuous Maintenance Submittal11:4213form.11:4214QAnd could you tell me when this document was11:4215revised?11:4216AJanuary 30th, 2006.11:4217QDoes this document include the same two11:4218copyright copyright releases as in Exhibit 1135?11:4219AYes.11:4320(Exhibit 1148 marked for identification.)11:4321Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:43	51148?11:456AYes.11:457(Exhibit 1150 marked for identification.)11:458Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:469marked as Exhibit 1150. This is Bates number11:4610ASHRAE0001605. Do you recognize this document?11:4611AYes.11:4612QAnd could you tell me what this document is?11:4613AThis is the Form for Submittal of a Proposed 11:4614Change to an ASHRAE Standard Under Continuous11:4615Maintenance.11:4616QAnd could you tell me, looking at the bottom 11:4617right-hand corner, the date on which this was revised?11:4618AMarch 9th, 2007.11:4619QAnd does Exhibit 1150 have the same two11:4620copyright releases as Exhibit 1135?11:4621AWith the exception of an "and" that's in11:4722paragraph in the second paragraph of 1135, where11:47
41134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:415understand" that is not in 1146.11:426(Exhibit 1147 marked for identification.)11:427Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:428marked as Exhibit 1147. This is Bates number11:429ASHRAE0001604. Do you recognize this document?11:4210AYes.11:4211QCould you tell me what this document is?11:4212AThis is a Continuous Maintenance Submittal11:4213form.11:4214QAnd could you tell me when this document was11:4215revised?11:4216AJanuary 30th, 2006.11:4217QDoes this document include the same two11:4218copyright copyright releases as in Exhibit 1135?11:4219AYes.11:4320(Exhibit 1148 marked for identification.)11:4321Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:4322marked as Exhibit 1148. Do you recognize this11:43	51148?11:456AYes.11:457(Exhibit 1150 marked for identification.)11:458Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:469marked as Exhibit 1150. This is Bates number11:4610ASHRAE0001605. Do you recognize this document?11:4611AYes.11:4612QAnd could you tell me what this document is?11:4613AThis is the Form for Submittal of a Proposed 11:4614Change to an ASHRAE Standard Under Continuous11:4615Maintenance.11:4616QAnd could you tell me, looking at the bottom 11:4617right-hand corner, the date on which this was revised?11:4618AMarch 9th, 2007.11:4619QAnd does Exhibit 1150 have the same two11:4620copyright releases as Exhibit 1135?11:4621AWith the exception of an "and" that's in11:47
41134 between "proposals" and "I understand" and "I 11:415understand" that is not in 1146.11:426(Exhibit 1147 marked for identification.)11:427Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:428marked as Exhibit 1147. This is Bates number11:429ASHRAE0001604. Do you recognize this document?11:4210AYes.11:4211QCould you tell me what this document is?11:4212AThis is a Continuous Maintenance Submittal11:4213form.11:4214QAnd could you tell me when this document was11:4215revised?11:4216AJanuary 30th, 2006.11:4217QDoes this document include the same two11:4218copyright copyright releases as in Exhibit 1135?11:4219AYes.11:4320(Exhibit 1148 marked for identification.)11:4321Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:4322marked as Exhibit 1148. Do you recognize this11:4323document?11:43	51148?11:456AYes.11:457(Exhibit 1150 marked for identification.)11:458Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:469marked as Exhibit 1150. This is Bates number11:4610ASHRAE0001605. Do you recognize this document?11:4611AYes.11:4612QAnd could you tell me what this document is?11:4613AThis is the Form for Submittal of a Proposed 11:4614Change to an ASHRAE Standard Under Continuous11:4615Maintenance.11:4616QAnd could you tell me, looking at the bottom 11:4617right-hand corner, the date on which this was revised?11:4618AMarch 9th, 2007.11:4619QAnd does Exhibit 1150 have the same two11:4620copyright releases as Exhibit 1135?11:4621AWith the exception of an "and" that's in11:4722paragraph in the second paragraph of 1135, where11:4723it's between "proposals" and "I understand," it's the11:47

22 (Pages 82 - 85)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 24 of 98

1 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what has 11:48	1 MR. BECKER: For the record, I'll note 11:57
2 been marked as Exhibit 1151. Do you recognize this 11:40	2 that this is Bates number ASHRAE0001612. 11:58
3 document? 11:42	3 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Are users of the ASHRAE 11:56
4 A Yes. 11:42	4 website required to fill in their name into the box 11:58
5 Q Could you tell me what this document is? 11:43	5 that says "Name of whoever is logged in to comment 11:54
6 A This is an Application for Project Committee 11:45	6 would be entered here"? 11:57
7 Organizational Representative Membership. 11:47	7 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to form. 11:59
8 Q And looking at the bottom left-hand corner, 11:41	8 THE WITNESS: In order to comment, any 11:52
9 could you tell me the date on which this was revised? 11:45	9 member of the public would have to enter 11:55
10 A October 2009. 11:48	10 their name as it would appear above that line 11:58
11 Q Comparing the copyright release excuse me. 11:40	11 and hit "I agree" in order to comment in the 11:50
12 Is there a copyright release under Exhibit 11:45	12 online comment database. 11:54
13 excuse me, section 6 of Exhibit 1151?11:47	13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Could you tell me who checks 11:55
14 A Yes. 11:41	14 that box for names? 11:58
15 Q And comparing that copyright release on 11:43	15 A You can't go forward. If you click "I do not 11:51
16 Exhibit 1151 with the copyright release on11:47	16 agree," you cannot submit a comment. 11:53
17 Exhibit 1139, can you tell me if they are identical? 11:42	17 Q If does somebody check whatever names are 11:56
18 A They are identical. 11:41	18 put in there to make sure that they match with the 11:50
19 Q Thank you. 11:46	19 person who's submitting the comments? 11:53
20 (Exhibit 1152 marked for identification.) 11:48	20 A Do you mean can I physically tell if you were 11:58
21 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Handing you Exhibit 1152, 11:41	21 signed in as somebody else and put their name in there? 11:52
22 Bates number ASHRAE0001616. Do you recognize this 11:43	22 Q Yes. 11:56
23 document? 11:49	23AI cannot physically tell that.11:58
24 A Yes. 11:49	24 Q And if if I went on to the ASHRAE website 11:51
25 Q Could you tell me what this document is? 11:43	25 and I put in my name as just the letter Z and clicked 11:56
Page 86	Page 88
1 A This is the ASHRAE Standard Guideline Project 11:44	1 "I agree," would it allow me to proceed? 11:53
2 Committee Application for Individual Membership. 11:47	2 A No. 11:56
3 Q And could you tell me the date on which this 11:56	3 Q And how does it stop me from proceeding? 11:57
4 was revised? 11:57	4 A There is a name where you see "Name of 11:50
5 A October 2009. 11:58	5 whoever is logged in to comment would be entered here," 11:53
6 Q Comparing the excuse me. 11:52	6 the system generates the letters for example, for 11:56
7 Is there a copyright release at section 5 of 11:59	7 mine, it would say Mrs. Stephanie R-E-I-N, is where it 11:51
8 Exhibit 1152? 11:52	8 ends up stop it goes to a certain amount of 11:55
9 A Yes. 11:54	9 characters. That's what I enter there and hit "I 11:58
10 Q Comparing the copyright release on section 5 11:56	10 agree." 11:51
11 of Exhibit 1152 with the copyright release from 11:58	11 Q So it might not allow you to enter your full 11:53
12 Exhibit 1151, could you tell me if there are any 11:52	12 name? 11:56
13 differences between the two?11:56	13 A Correct. You have to enter whatever it shows 11:56
14 A The difference is on 1151, it's for an 11:55	14 above there, because it's you know, it's got so 11:58
15 organizational member; and 1152, it's a member. 11:59	15 many the coding is such to so many characters. 11:52
16 Q Thank you. 11:56	16 Q And where would it show the person's name? 11:57
17 (Exhibit 1153 marked for identification.) 11:56	17 A Where you see "Name of whoever is logged in 11:59
18 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:58	18 to comment would be entered here," their name would 11:52
19 marked as Exhibit 1153. Do you recognize this 11:54	19 appear there. 11:56
20 document? 11:50	20 Q Okay. Does this Exhibit 1153 include a 11:56
21 A Yes. 11:51	21 copyright release? 11:56
22 Q Could you tell me what this document is? 11:54	22 A Yes. 11:57
23 A This is how you would enter a comment on the 11:55	23 Q And is that the second paragraph on 11:51
24 online comment database with entering the your name 11:58	24 Exhibit 1153? 11:54
25 into that field and "I agree" in order to go forward. 11:52	
Page 87	25 A Yes. 11:56 Page 89

23 (Pages 86 - 89)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 25 of 98

1 Q And does this copyright release on 11:52	1 A No. 11:53
2 Exhibit 1153 appear identical to the second copyright 11:55	2 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form on 11:55
3 release on Exhibit 1135? 11:50	3 that. 11:56
4 A Other than the fact you can't do the "I, 11:53	4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Does ASHRAE see a difference 11:51
5 insert name," it starts with "I, hereby," it's the 11:56	5 between copyright releases and copyright assignments? 11:54
6 same. 11:50	6 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form. 11:57
7 (Exhibit 1154 marked for identification.) 11:53	7 THE WITNESS: No. 11:58
8 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 11:50	8 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Do you see a difference 11:51
9 marked as Exhibit 1154. Do you recognize this 11:50	9 between copyright releases and copyright assignments? 11:52
10 document? 11:54	10 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object insofar as it's 11:56
11 A Yes. 11:56	11 outside the scope. 11:59
12 MR. BECKER: For the record, this is 11:58	12 THE WITNESS: No. 11:51
13Bates number ASHRAE0022827.11:59	13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Is there any way in which 11:56
14 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Could you tell me what this 11:53	14 someone who contributed text to Standards 90.1 or to 11:58
15 document is? 11:54	15 the 1993 ASHRAE handbook would have given copyright 12:05
16 A This is the where you would go to log in 11:54	16 rights to ASHRAE, other than through the copyright 12:04
17 at the online comment database, and it shows me as 11:50	17 releases that we have discussed today? 12:09
18 being logged in, because my name appears, 11:53	18 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 12:03
19 Mrs. Stephanie C. R-E-I-N. 11:57	19 THE WITNESS: Do you mean because their 12:08
20 Q And does this document, Exhibit 1154, include 11:59	20 company submitted they took language from 12:01
21 a copyright release? 11:54	21 a different document and put it in there? 12:03
22 A Yes. 11:55	22 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I mean, does ASHRAE believe 12:00
23 Q And is this copyright release identical to 11:56	23 that it owns the copyright in contributions to 12:08
24 the copyright release in Exhibit 1153? 11:58	24 Standard 90.1 or to the 1993 ASHRAE handbook by virtue 12:05
25 A Yes. 11:59	25 of any copyright assignments or releases, other than 12:00
Page 90	Page 92
1 Q Ms. Reiniche, do you know when this copyright 11:50	1 those that we have discussed today? 12:04
2 release was first added to the ASHRAE website? 11:57	2 A No. 12:08
3 A It would have been when we started the online 11:54	3 Q And does ASHRAE believe that it owns 12:09
4 comment database, which was around 2005 no, I'm 11:56	4 copyright in contributions to Standards 90.1 or to the 12:02
5 sorry, around 2008. 11:57	5 1993 handbook by virtue of any other means, other than 12:06
6 Q And for the online comment database, has it 11:54	6 those copyright releases that we have discussed today? 12:01
7 always required individuals to enter their name and 11:58	7 A No. 12:05
8 click "I agree" 11:53	8 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm going to object 12:08
9 A Yes. 11:54	9 insofar as the last few questions called for 12:09
10 Q in order to gain access? 11:55	10 legal conclusions. 12:01
11 Ms. Reiniche, I will represent to you that we 11:59	11 MR. BECKER: I think that we can stop 12:01
12 have now produced before you as exhibits all of the 11:51	12 for lunch here, if that works for the rest of 12:03
13 blank copyright releases that ASHRAE has produced to 11:55	13 you. 12:05
14 Public Resource through discovery. 11:50	14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record 12:06
15 Are you aware of any other copyright releases 11:53	15 at 12:01. 12:07
16 that ASHRAE uses in order to get copyright for 11:57	16 (Lunch recess.) 13:04
17 Standards 90.1 or for the 1993 ASHRAE handbook that 11:53	17 (Exhibit 1155 marked for identification.) 13:05
18 have not been produced to Public Resource? 11:50	18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going on the record 13:02
19 A I'm not aware of anything that has not been 11:53	19 at 13:03. 13:03
20 produced. 11:55	20 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, we're back on 13:03
21 Q Is ASHRAE aware of any copyright releases 11:57	20 Q (BT MR. BECKER) WS. Remence, were back on 15.05 21 the record now. 13:05
21 Q is ASHRAE aware of any copyright releases 11.57 22 that have not been produced to Public Resource? 11:50	22 Did you have anything that you had remembered 13:06
22 that have not been produced to Public Resource? 11:50 23 A No. 11:54	23 or wanted to add to prior testimony today? 13:09
25 A No. 11:54 24 Q Is ASHRAE aware of any copyright assignments 11:57	24 A No. 13:03
24 Q is ASHRAE aware of any copyright assignments 11:57 25 that have not been produced to Public Resource? 11:51	25 Q Thank you. 13:04
25 that have not been produced to Public Resource? 11:51 Page 91	Page 93

24 (Pages 90 - 93)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 26 of 98

1 Now, Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing you what's 13:05	1 publication. If they need to make more changes, it 13:06
2 been marked as Exhibit 1155. It's Bates number 13:09	2 will go back to the public review process. 13:08
3 ASHRAE0001598. So, Ms. Reiniche, my sincere apologies. 13:07	3 Q So in this process that you were describing, 13:01
4 I had missed this one last document that pertains to 13:04	4 it's the standards committee that would begin drafting 13:05
5 the subject that we were discussing prior to lunch. 13:08	5 the document; is that correct? 13:08
6 Can you tell me if you recognize this 13:02	6 A No. It's the project committee that drafts 13:09
7 document? 13:04	7 the document. 13:01
8 A Yes. 13:04	8 Q And the process that you just described, is 13:01
9 Q And can you tell me what this document is? 13:05	9 that the process that's used for ASHRAE Standard 90.1? 13:06
10 A This is an Application for Membership on 13:09	10 A It would have when it was started. The 13:02
11ASHRAE Standard or Guideline Project Committee.13:01	11 difference there's a little difference now because 13:04
12 Q And can you tell me if this document contains 13:03	12 it's on continuous maintenance. 13:06
13 a copyright assignment? 13:06	13 Q And what what does that difference mean? 13:08
14 A Yes, under number 7. 13:08	14 A The difference is the membership is on a 13:00
15 Q Okay. And could you tell me if after seeing 13:09	15 four-year rotating cycle, so one basically, roughly 13:04
16 this document if that changes any of your answers 13:01	16 one-third of the committee would roll off every four 13:08
17 earlier today? 13:05	17 years, so they're not everyone is not coming off at 13:01
18 A No, it does not. 13:05	18 the same time. And new members will be added, so 13:04
19 Q Thank you. 13:07	19 they're added continuously, typically once a year. 13:07
20 Ms. Reiniche, could you walk me through at a 13:07	20 Then instead of the full draft going out, 13:02
21 high level how ASHRAE standard standards are 13:00	21 their addenda are issued to go out for public review 13:07
22 created? 13:03	22 and comment. They'd either come from stuff that has 13:00
	-
· 1 I	23 been generated by the committee or through a continuous 13:03
24 scope being submitted for consideration to be approved. 13:08	24 maintenance change proposal. And then the rest of the 13:05
25 That would have been approved by the procedures, policy 13:03 Page 94	25 process would follow the same way. 13:07 Page 96
1 interpretation subcommittee, then forwarded to the 13:07	1 Q And the who drafts the title, purpose and 13:03
2 standards committee for approval. Depending on what 13:02	2 scope? 13:07
3 year, it would have had to go to tech council, but 13:06	3 A The title, purpose and scope can be a new 13:02
4 always ends up at our board of directors to approve the 13:00	4 one can be submitted by anyone. I could submit one; 13:05
5 title, purpose and scope for a new standard project 13:03	5 you could submit one. The technical committee within 13:07
6 committee or guideline. 13:07	6 ASHRAE is usually how it's submitted. 13:01
7 Then after that, you would do a call for 13:09	7 Q And is the technical committee, are they 13:02
8 members, people would submit the membership 13:02	8 volunteers or are they employees of ASHRAE? 13:07
9 applications, and then the committee chair would 13:05	9 A Volunteers. 13:00
10 recommend to the standards project liaison subcommittee 13:08	10 Q And the project committee as well is 13:01
11 and standards committee their membership. 13:01	11 volunteers, correct? 13:03
12 And then the committee would would begin 13:06	12 A That's correct. 13:04
13 working on drafting the document. Then they would 13:00	13 Q How are ASHRAE employees involved in the 13:01
14 approve it for public review. And then depending on 13:04	14 creation and maintenance of ASHRAE Standard 90.1? 13:05
15 what type of committee, would dictate how much more 13:08	15 A In the are you talking from now or are you 13:01
16 oversight. So standards project liaison subcommittee 13:03	16 talking about when it was first started? 13:04
17 or the SPLS liaison would would say it's okay to go 13:06	17 Q Let's let's go from when it first started 13:07
18 out for public review. It goes out for comment. 13:01	18 until now. 13:09
19 The committee reviews all the comments, 13:05	19 A So when the title, purpose and scope would 13:01
20 responds to all the commenters. And then the 13:07	20 have been proposed, a staff member would would 13:03
21 commenters have to indicate their resolution status. 13:00	20 have been proposed, a start member would would 15.05
	21 review that to make sure it's in the correct format 13:05
22 And then the committee needs to decide whether or not 13:03	
22 And then the committee needs to decide whether or not 15:05 23 changes need to be made to the standard to the 13:06	21 review that to make sure it's in the correct format 13:05
	 21 review that to make sure it's in the correct format 13:05 22 and, if there is some questions, would actually send it 13:09 23 back to whoever had proposed it to make to correct 13:01
23 changes need to be made to the standard to the 13:06	 21 review that to make sure it's in the correct format 13:05 22 and, if there is some questions, would actually send it 13:09 23 back to whoever had proposed it to make to correct 13:01 24 it or say if they're okay, if we met their intent, and 13:05
23 changes need to be made to the standard to the13:0624 document based on the comments received, or if not13:09	 21 review that to make sure it's in the correct format 13:05 22 and, if there is some questions, would actually send it 13:09 23 back to whoever had proposed it to make to correct 13:01 24 it or say if they're okay, if we met their intent, and 13:05

25 (Pages 94 - 97)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 27 of 98

]
1 90.1 was developed in, I think, 1975. They probably 13:05	1 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And by "the codes," are you 13:18
2 didn't have all the subcommittees that we have now, but 13:01	2 referring to the standards that have been enacted into 13:19
3 would have went through the approving bodies up through 13:04	3 regulation? 13:14
4 the board that way. 13:07	4 A That and and the international codes, the 13:14
5 Q And would there have been a project committee 13:07	5 codes spelled by NFPA, IAPMO. 13:19
6 as well for for the original 90.1? 13:09	6 Q Are there any other reasons why why 13:13
7 A Yes. 13:01	7 individuals who are not employees of ASHRAE participate 13:16
8 Q And during that process, did staff members 13:09	8 in the ASHRAE development standard development 13:19
9 draft any of the text for 90.1? 13:15	9 process? 13:13
10 A From the beginning? 13:10	10 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 13:14
11 Q Yeah. 13:12	11 THE WITNESS: I'm sure there are. I 13:15
12 A Not unless they were making the edits to 13:13	12 just that's not a question I ask when 13:16
13 because of conformity and or conflicts or things 13:16	13people apply for membership.13:19
14 like that. 13:19	14 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Does ASHRAE draw draft 13:11
15 Q And would staff members have contributed any 13:12	15 model laws or ordinances? 13:14
16 text to subsequent versions of 90.1?13:18	16 A Where we would start with the drafting for 13:16
17 A In the same way, either in the discussions, 13:10	17 the law, is that what you mean? 13:11
18 if there's a conflict or stuff doesn't or through 13:13	18 Q Does ASHRAE oversee the drafting of model 13:12
19 the editing and review of the material.13:17	19 laws and ordinances? 13:16
20 Q And does ASHRAE have any record of that? 13:12	20 A We submit comments on things that are coming 13:18
21 A If it was done it would have been done via 13:17	21 out through through the through 13:10
22 email, at the time email started. 90.1 started before 13:10	22 Congress or that have been posted in the Federal 13:12
23 the Internet, so if the if if the records still 13:15	23 Register; things like that. 13:15
24 existed, it would have been in paper format. 13:10	24 Q And what's the purpose of submitting comments 13:16
25 Q What is ASHRAE's purpose in creating these 13:11	25 in for things that are coming out in legislation and 13:19
Page 98	Page 100
1 standards? 13:14	1 regulation as you're describing? 13:11
2 A It's to the purpose is to advance the 13:16	2 A The purpose is to to typically, you 13:14
3 building sciences. We have a long mission statement, 13:12	3 want stuff that's been done through consensus process 13:19
4 but that's essentially what it is. 13:16	4 and has the expertise, so that may be a reason. 13:13
5 Q Does ASHRAE's mission statement reflect its 13:15	5 Another reason may be to make it consistent language 13:17
6 purposes in in developing these standards? 13:18	6 with what's already out there in our standards or 13:10
7 A I would say yes. 13:13	7 others; that type of thing. 13:14
8 Q And how does ASHRAE advance the building 13:16	8 Q When you say "you want stuff that's been done 13:15
9 sciences? 13:19	9 through the consensus process," who is "you" in that 13:10
10 A I would well, I would say through the 13:12	
	10 sentence? 13:14
11 development of the the standards that affect, you 13:15	10 sentence? 13:14 11 A ASHRAE. 13:15
11 development of the the standards that affect, you13:1512 know, the energy efficiency of buildings, indoor air13:1813 quality, indoor environmental quality. I'm sure13:12	11AASHRAE.13:1512QASHRAE. Okay.13:1513And why is it that ASHRAE wants things that13:18
 11 development of the the standards that affect, you 13:15 12 know, the energy efficiency of buildings, indoor air 13:18 13 quality, indoor environmental quality. I'm sure 13:12 14 there's other things that we create, courses and books 13:15 	11AASHRAE.13:1512QASHRAE. Okay.13:1513And why is it that ASHRAE wants things that13:1814have been done through the consensus process?13:11
 11 development of the the standards that affect, you 13:15 12 know, the energy efficiency of buildings, indoor air 13:18 13 quality, indoor environmental quality. I'm sure 13:12 14 there's other things that we create, courses and books 13:15 15 that are outside the standards development process that 13:18 	11AASHRAE.13:1512QASHRAE. Okay.13:1513And why is it that ASHRAE wants things that13:1814have been done through the consensus process?13:1115ABecause the the proper experts are13:14
11 development of the the standards that affect, you13:1512 know, the energy efficiency of buildings, indoor air13:1813 quality, indoor environmental quality. I'm sure13:1214 there's other things that we create, courses and books13:1515 that are outside the standards development process that13:1816 we do as well.13:11	11AASHRAE.13:1512QASHRAE. Okay.13:1513And why is it that ASHRAE wants things that13:1814have been done through the consensus process?13:1115ABecause the the proper experts are13:1416participating in the development of those documents,13:17
11 development of the the standards that affect, you13:1512 know, the energy efficiency of buildings, indoor air13:1813 quality, indoor environmental quality. I'm sure13:1214 there's other things that we create, courses and books13:1515 that are outside the standards development process that13:1816 we do as well.13:1117QAnd why is it that individuals who are not13:10	11AASHRAE.13:1512QASHRAE. Okay.13:1513And why is it that ASHRAE wants things that13:1814have been done through the consensus process?13:1115ABecause the the proper experts are13:1416participating in the development of those documents,13:1717it's it's been vetted in the industry, people have13:10
 11 development of the the standards that affect, you 13:15 12 know, the energy efficiency of buildings, indoor air 13:18 13 quality, indoor environmental quality. I'm sure 13:12 14 there's other things that we create, courses and books 13:15 15 that are outside the standards development process that 13:18 16 we do as well. 13:11 17 Q And why is it that individuals who are not 13:10 18 employees of ASHRAE participate in the standard design 13:16 	11A ASHRAE.13:1512Q ASHRAE. Okay.13:1513And why is it that ASHRAE wants things that13:1814have been done through the consensus process?13:1115A Because the the proper experts are13:1416participating in the development of those documents,13:1717it's it's been vetted in the industry, people have13:1018had a chance to comment. We've tried to reach13:13
11 development of the the standards that affect, you13:1512 know, the energy efficiency of buildings, indoor air13:1813 quality, indoor environmental quality. I'm sure13:1214 there's other things that we create, courses and books13:1515 that are outside the standards development process that13:1816 we do as well.13:1117 Q And why is it that individuals who are not13:1018 employees of ASHRAE participate in the standard design13:1619 process?13:19	11A ASHRAE.13:1512Q ASHRAE. Okay.13:1513And why is it that ASHRAE wants things that13:1814have been done through the consensus process?13:1115A Because the the proper experts are13:1416participating in the development of those documents,13:1717it's it's been vetted in the industry, people have13:1018had a chance to comment. We've tried to reach13:1319resolution so, you know, an equal amount of people are13:17
11 development of the the standards that affect, you13:1512 know, the energy efficiency of buildings, indoor air13:1813 quality, indoor environmental quality. I'm sure13:1214 there's other things that we create, courses and books13:1515 that are outside the standards development process that13:1816 we do as well.13:1117 Q And why is it that individuals who are not13:1018 employees of ASHRAE participate in the standard design13:1619 process?13:1920 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.13:13	11A ASHRAE.13:1512Q ASHRAE. Okay.13:1513And why is it that ASHRAE wants things that13:1814have been done through the consensus process?13:1115A Because the the proper experts are13:1416participating in the development of those documents,13:1717it's it's been vetted in the industry, people have13:1018had a chance to comment. We've tried to reach13:1319resolution so, you know, an equal amount of people are13:1720unhappy.13:10
11 development of the the standards that affect, you13:1512 know, the energy efficiency of buildings, indoor air13:1813 quality, indoor environmental quality. I'm sure13:1214 there's other things that we create, courses and books13:1515 that are outside the standards development process that13:1816 we do as well.13:1117 Q And why is it that individuals who are not13:1018 employees of ASHRAE participate in the standard design13:1619 process?13:1920 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.13:1321 THE WITNESS: I would say because for13:14	11A ASHRAE.13:1512Q ASHRAE. Okay.13:1513And why is it that ASHRAE wants things that13:1814have been done through the consensus process?13:1115A Because the the proper experts are13:1416participating in the development of those documents,13:1717it's it's been vetted in the industry, people have13:1018had a chance to comment. We've tried to reach13:1319resolution so, you know, an equal amount of people are13:1720unhappy.13:1021QAnd you referred to an interest in expertise13:14
11 development of the the standards that affect, you13:1512 know, the energy efficiency of buildings, indoor air13:1813 quality, indoor environmental quality. I'm sure13:1214 there's other things that we create, courses and books13:1515 that are outside the standards development process that13:1816 we do as well.13:1117 Q And why is it that individuals who are not13:1018 employees of ASHRAE participate in the standard design13:1619 process?13:1920 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.13:1321 THE WITNESS: I would say because for13:1422 various reasons it could affect their13:18	11A ASHRAE.13:1512Q ASHRAE. Okay.13:1513And why is it that ASHRAE wants things that13:1814have been done through the consensus process?13:1115A Because the the proper experts are13:1416participating in the development of those documents,13:1717it's it's been vetted in the industry, people have13:1018had a chance to comment. We've tried to reach13:1319resolution so, you know, an equal amount of people are13:1720unhappy.13:1021Q And you referred to an interest in expertise13:1422in the process of drafting legislation and regulation.13:18
11 development of the the standards that affect, you13:1512 know, the energy efficiency of buildings, indoor air13:1813 quality, indoor environmental quality. I'm sure13:1214 there's other things that we create, courses and books13:1515 that are outside the standards development process that13:1816 we do as well.13:1117 Q And why is it that individuals who are not13:1018 employees of ASHRAE participate in the standard design13:1619 process?13:1920 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.13:1321 THE WITNESS: I would say because for13:1422 various reasons it could affect their13:1823 company. Maybe they want to make the world a13:10	11A ASHRAE.13:1512Q ASHRAE. Okay.13:1513And why is it that ASHRAE wants things that13:1814have been done through the consensus process?13:1115A Because the the proper experts are13:1416participating in the development of those documents,13:1717it's it's been vetted in the industry, people have13:1018had a chance to comment. We've tried to reach13:1319resolution so, you know, an equal amount of people are13:1720unhappy.13:1021Q And you referred to an interest in expertise13:1422in the process of drafting legislation and regulation.13:1823Does that also reflect ASHRAE's interest in in13:13
11 development of the the standards that affect, you13:1512 know, the energy efficiency of buildings, indoor air13:1813 quality, indoor environmental quality. I'm sure13:1214 there's other things that we create, courses and books13:1515 that are outside the standards development process that13:1816 we do as well.13:1117 Q And why is it that individuals who are not13:1018 employees of ASHRAE participate in the standard design13:1619 process?13:1920 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.13:1321 THE WITNESS: I would say because for13:1422 various reasons it could affect their13:1823 company. Maybe they want to make the world a13:1024 better place, maybe it affects the codes. It13:13	11A ASHRAE.13:1512Q ASHRAE. Okay.13:1513And why is it that ASHRAE wants things that13:1814have been done through the consensus process?13:1115A Because the the proper experts are13:1416participating in the development of those documents,13:1717it's it's been vetted in the industry, people have13:1018had a chance to comment. We've tried to reach13:1319resolution so, you know, an equal amount of people are13:1720unhappy.13:1021Q And you referred to an interest in expertise13:1422in the process of drafting legislation and regulation.13:1823Does that also reflect ASHRAE's interest in in13:1324having expertise reflected in that process?13:17
11 development of the the standards that affect, you13:1512 know, the energy efficiency of buildings, indoor air13:1813 quality, indoor environmental quality. I'm sure13:1214 there's other things that we create, courses and books13:1515 that are outside the standards development process that13:1816 we do as well.13:1117 Q And why is it that individuals who are not13:1018 employees of ASHRAE participate in the standard design13:1619 process?13:1920 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form.13:1321 THE WITNESS: I would say because for13:1422 various reasons it could affect their13:1823 company. Maybe they want to make the world a13:10	11A ASHRAE.13:1512Q ASHRAE. Okay.13:1513And why is it that ASHRAE wants things that13:1814have been done through the consensus process?13:1115A Because the the proper experts are13:1416participating in the development of those documents,13:1717it's it's been vetted in the industry, people have13:1018had a chance to comment. We've tried to reach13:1319resolution so, you know, an equal amount of people are13:1720unhappy.13:1021Q And you referred to an interest in expertise13:1422in the process of drafting legislation and regulation.13:1823Does that also reflect ASHRAE's interest in in13:13

26 (Pages 98 - 101)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 28 of 98

]
1 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you 13:11	1 the next number for a standard. There are a couple 13:19
2 mean. 13:12	2 when they're tied together; for example, 90.1 has a 13:19
3 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Okay. Just a moment ago, 13:13	3 90.2 and a 90.4, because they cover it's energy 13:19
4 you said the purpose is to "typically, you want 13:16	4 efficiency, but they cover a certain building type. 13:19
5 stuff that's been done through consensus process and 13:10	5 Q And when referring to these standards, if 13:19
6 has the expertise, so that may be a reason." So what 13:13	6 they were referred to in, say, regulation, would it 13:19
7 did you mean by "has expertise" there? 13:18	7 need to say ASHRAE 90.1 or could the regulation simply 13:19
8 A So if you're writing, for example, something 13:12	8 say 90.1 and would people know what that was referring 13:19
9 on how to create a widget, you want the people that 13:14	9 to? 13:19
10 know how to create a widget, the information coming 13:18	10 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form. 13:19
11 from that versus someone who has in legislation may 13:11	11 MR. FEE: Same objection. 13:19
12 have a marketing degree that doesn't understand how to 13:16	12 THE WITNESS: If you're within ASHRAE, 13:19
13 create that widget. 13:18	13 you will know ASHRAE 90.1. If you just said 13:19
14 Q And so for air-conditioning or heating, you 13:19	14 90.1, I would probably want you to say 13:19
15 would want somebody who has expertise in that area, 13:13	15 ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1 and the year, so 13:19
16 rather than necessarily a legislator or a regulator who 13:17	16 you know which document they're talking 13:19
17 doesn't have expertise in that area; is that correct? 13:11	17 about. 13:19
18 A We would want the information to come through 13:15	18 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Would that be the correct 13:19
19 stuff that had been done by the expert to be reflected, 13:17	19 way to cite the ASHRAE 90.1 standard?13:19
20 that would be correct. 13:11	20 MR. FEE: Objection, form. 13:20
21 Q And why is that important to have it come 13:12	21 THE WITNESS: I would include the title. 13:20
22 from an expert? 13:14	22The first reference you make as well still13:20
23 A Because they're the ones that understand how 13:15	23 makes it clear. 13:20
24 to make that product or how to construct that building 13:17	24 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Yeah. So people should say 13:20
25 or how to make something more energy efficient; that 13:10	25 ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1? 13:20
Page 102	Page 104
1 type of thing. 13:13	1 MR. FEE: Same objection. 13:20
1 type of thing. 13:13 2 Q Is there technical expertise that's necessary 13:14	1MR. FEE: Same objection.13:202THE WITNESS: They should say13:20
2 Q Is there technical expertise that's necessary 13:14	2 THE WITNESS: They should say 13:20
2 Q Is there technical expertise that's necessary 13:14 3 in order to understand that subject? 13:17	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:20
2QIs there technical expertise that's necessary 13:143in order to understand that subject?13:174MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:19	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:204for oh, I just lost the blank my for13:20
2QIs there technical expertise that's necessary 13:143in order to understand that subject?13:174MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:195THE WITNESS: It depends on what you're13:15	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:204for oh, I just lost the blank my for13:205buildings not except for residential13:20
2QIs there technical expertise that's necessary 13:143in order to understand that subject?13:174MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:195THE WITNESS: It depends on what you're13:156writing. But I don't think you can do it13:16	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:204for oh, I just lost the blank my for13:205buildings not except for residential13:206buildings or something.13:20
2QIs there technical expertise that's necessary 13:143in order to understand that subject?13:174MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:195THE WITNESS: It depends on what you're13:156writing. But I don't think you can do it13:167just with just looking at something. You13:19	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:204for oh, I just lost the blank my for13:205buildings not except for residential13:206buildings or something.13:207Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.13:20
2QIs there technical expertise that's necessary 13:143in order to understand that subject?13:174MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:195THE WITNESS: It depends on what you're13:156writing. But I don't think you can do it13:167just with just looking at something. You13:198have to have some knowledge.13:129Q(BY MR. BECKER) So for to make it13:1310concrete for Standard 90.1, is that a standard that13:15	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:204for oh, I just lost the blank my for13:205buildings not except for residential13:206buildings or something.13:207Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.13:208Referring back to Exhibit 1155, on the back13:219of that exhibit, Bates number ASHRAE0001599, it refers13:2110to interest categories; is that correct?13:21
2QIs there technical expertise that's necessary 13:143in order to understand that subject?13:174MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:195THE WITNESS: It depends on what you're13:156writing. But I don't think you can do it13:167just with just looking at something. You13:198have to have some knowledge.13:129Q(BY MR. BECKER) So for to make it13:13	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:204for oh, I just lost the blank my for13:205buildings not except for residential13:206buildings or something.13:207Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.13:208Referring back to Exhibit 1155, on the back13:219of that exhibit, Bates number ASHRAE0001599, it refers13:2110to interest categories; is that correct?13:21
2QIs there technical expertise that's necessary 13:143in order to understand that subject?13:174MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:195THE WITNESS: It depends on what you're13:156writing. But I don't think you can do it13:167just with just looking at something. You13:198have to have some knowledge.13:129Q(BY MR. BECKER) So for to make it13:1310concrete for Standard 90.1, is that a standard that13:1511would require technical expertise in order to know what 13:1912its contents were and what should be enacted into law?13:16	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:204for oh, I just lost the blank my for13:205buildings not except for residential13:206buildings or something.13:207Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.13:208Referring back to Exhibit 1155, on the back13:219of that exhibit, Bates number ASHRAE0001599, it refers13:2110to interest categories; is that correct?13:2111AThat's correct.13:2112QAnd it has a an interest category that13:22
2QIs there technical expertise that's necessary 13:143in order to understand that subject?13:174MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:195THE WITNESS: It depends on what you're13:156writing. But I don't think you can do it13:167just with just looking at something. You13:198have to have some knowledge.13:129Q(BY MR. BECKER) So for to make it13:1310concrete for Standard 90.1, is that a standard that13:1511would require technical expertise in order to know what 13:1912its contents were and what should be enacted into law?13:1613MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:19	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:204for oh, I just lost the blank my for13:205buildings not except for residential13:206buildings or something.13:207Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.13:208Referring back to Exhibit 1155, on the back13:219of that exhibit, Bates number ASHRAE0001599, it refers13:2110to interest categories; is that correct?13:2111AThat's correct.13:2112QAnd it has a an interest category that13:2213includes user; is that correct?13:22
2QIs there technical expertise that's necessary 13:143in order to understand that subject?13:174MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:195THE WITNESS: It depends on what you're13:156writing. But I don't think you can do it13:167just with just looking at something. You13:198have to have some knowledge.13:129Q(BY MR. BECKER) So for to make it13:1310concrete for Standard 90.1, is that a standard that13:1511would require technical expertise in order to know what 13:1912its contents were and what should be enacted into law?13:1613MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:1914THE WITNESS: You need to understand13:12	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:204for oh, I just lost the blank my for13:205buildings not except for residential13:206buildings or something.13:207Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.13:208Referring back to Exhibit 1155, on the back13:219of that exhibit, Bates number ASHRAE0001599, it refers13:2110to interest categories; is that correct?13:2111AThat's correct.13:2213includes user; is that correct?13:2214AThat's correct.13:22
2QIs there technical expertise that's necessary 13:143in order to understand that subject?13:174MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:195THE WITNESS: It depends on what you're13:156writing. But I don't think you can do it13:167just with just looking at something. You13:198have to have some knowledge.13:129Q(BY MR. BECKER) So for to make it13:1310concrete for Standard 90.1, is that a standard that13:1511would require technical expertise in order to know what 13:1912its contents were and what should be enacted into law?13:1613MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:1914THE WITNESS: You need to understand13:1215how all of 90.1. It does help to have13:15	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:204for oh, I just lost the blank my for13:205buildings not except for residential13:206buildings or something.13:207Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.13:208Referring back to Exhibit 1155, on the back13:219of that exhibit, Bates number ASHRAE0001599, it refers13:2110to interest categories; is that correct?13:2111AThat's correct.13:2212QAnd it has a an interest category that13:2213includes user; is that correct?13:2214AThat's correct.13:2215QAnd within the user interest category is a13:22
2QIs there technical expertise that's necessary 13:143in order to understand that subject?13:174MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:195THE WITNESS: It depends on what you're13:156writing. But I don't think you can do it13:167just with just looking at something. You13:198have to have some knowledge.13:129Q(BY MR. BECKER) So for to make it13:1310concrete for Standard 90.1, is that a standard that13:1511would require technical expertise in order to know what 13:1912its contents were and what should be enacted into law?13:1613MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:1914THE WITNESS: You need to understand13:1215how all of 90.1. It does help to have13:1516technical expertise in engineering. Whether13:18	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:204for oh, I just lost the blank my for13:205buildings not except for residential13:206buildings or something.13:207Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.13:208Referring back to Exhibit 1155, on the back13:219of that exhibit, Bates number ASHRAE0001599, it refers13:2110to interest categories; is that correct?13:2111AThat's correct.13:2212QAnd it has a an interest category that13:2213includes user; is that correct?13:2214AThat's correct.13:2215QAnd within the user interest category is a13:2216subcategory for a user government; is that correct?13:22
2QIs there technical expertise that's necessary 13:143in order to understand that subject?13:174MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:195THE WITNESS: It depends on what you're13:156writing. But I don't think you can do it13:167just with just looking at something. You13:198have to have some knowledge.13:129Q(BY MR. BECKER) So for to make it13:1310concrete for Standard 90.1, is that a standard that13:1511would require technical expertise in order to know what 13:1912its contents were and what should be enacted into law?13:1613MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:1914THE WITNESS: You need to understand13:1215how all of 90.1. It does help to have13:1516technical expertise in engineering. Whether13:1817or not something needs the technical13:11	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:204for oh, I just lost the blank my for13:205buildings not except for residential13:206buildings or something.13:207Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.13:208Referring back to Exhibit 1155, on the back13:219of that exhibit, Bates number ASHRAE0001599, it refers13:2110to interest categories; is that correct?13:2111AThat's correct.13:2213includes user; is that correct?13:2214AThat's correct.13:2215QAnd within the user interest category is a13:2216subcategory for a user government; is that correct?13:2217AThat's correct.13:22
2QIs there technical expertise that's necessary 13:143in order to understand that subject?13:174MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:195THE WITNESS: It depends on what you're13:156writing. But I don't think you can do it13:167just with just looking at something. You13:198have to have some knowledge.13:129Q(BY MR. BECKER) So for to make it13:1310concrete for Standard 90.1, is that a standard that13:1511would require technical expertise in order to know what 13:1912its contents were and what should be enacted into law?13:1613MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:1914THE WITNESS: You need to understand13:1215how all of 90.1. It does help to have13:1516technical expertise in engineering. Whether13:1817or not something needs the technical13:1118expertise is needed to go into the law, I13:13	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:204for oh, I just lost the blank my for13:205buildings not except for residential13:206buildings or something.13:207Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.13:208Referring back to Exhibit 1155, on the back13:219of that exhibit, Bates number ASHRAE0001599, it refers13:2110to interest categories; is that correct?13:2111AThat's correct.13:2213includes user; is that correct?13:2214AThat's correct.13:2215QAnd within the user interest category is a13:2216subcategory for a user government; is that correct?13:2217AThat's correct.13:2218QAnd that's for a representative of a13:22
2QIs there technical expertise that's necessary 13:143in order to understand that subject?13:174MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:195THE WITNESS: It depends on what you're13:156writing. But I don't think you can do it13:167just with just looking at something. You13:198have to have some knowledge.13:129Q(BY MR. BECKER) So for to make it13:1310concrete for Standard 90.1, is that a standard that13:1511would require technical expertise in order to know what 13:1912its contents were and what should be enacted into law?13:1613MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:1914THE WITNESS: You need to understand13:1215how all of 90.1. It does help to have13:1516technical expertise in engineering. Whether13:1817or not something needs the technical13:1118expertise is needed to go into the law, I13:1319would still venture on the side of yes.13:17	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:204for oh, I just lost the blank my for13:205buildings not except for residential13:206buildings or something.13:207Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.13:208Referring back to Exhibit 1155, on the back13:219of that exhibit, Bates number ASHRAE0001599, it refers13:2110to interest categories; is that correct?13:2111AThat's correct.13:2213includes user; is that correct?13:2214AThat's correct.13:2215QAnd within the user interest category is a13:2216subcategory for a user government; is that correct?13:2217AThat's correct.13:2218QAnd that's for a representative of a13:2219government agency; is that correct?13:22
2QIs there technical expertise that's necessary 13:143in order to understand that subject?13:174MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:195THE WITNESS: It depends on what you're13:156writing. But I don't think you can do it13:167just with just looking at something. You13:198have to have some knowledge.13:129Q(BY MR. BECKER) So for to make it13:1310concrete for Standard 90.1, is that a standard that13:1511would require technical expertise in order to know what 13:1912its contents were and what should be enacted into law?13:1613MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:1214THE WITNESS: You need to understand13:1215how all of 90.1. It does help to have13:1516technical expertise in engineering. Whether13:1817or not something needs the technical13:1118expertise is needed to go into the law, I13:1319would still venture on the side of yes.13:1720Q(BY MR. BECKER) How does one identify a13:10	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:204for oh, I just lost the blank my for13:205buildings not except for residential13:206buildings or something.13:207Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.13:208Referring back to Exhibit 1155, on the back13:219of that exhibit, Bates number ASHRAE0001599, it refers13:2110to interest categories; is that correct?13:2111AThat's correct.13:2213includes user; is that correct?13:2214AThat's correct.13:2215QAnd within the user interest category is a13:2216subcategory for a user government; is that correct?13:2217AThat's correct.13:2218QAnd that's for a representative of a13:2220AThat would be correct.13:22
2QIs there technical expertise that's necessary 13:143in order to understand that subject?13:174MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:195THE WITNESS: It depends on what you're13:156writing. But I don't think you can do it13:167just with just looking at something. You13:198have to have some knowledge.13:129Q(BY MR. BECKER) So for to make it13:1310concrete for Standard 90.1, is that a standard that13:1511would require technical expertise in order to know what 13:1912its contents were and what should be enacted into law?13:1613MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:1914THE WITNESS: You need to understand13:1215how all of 90.1. It does help to have13:1516technical expertise in engineering. Whether13:1319would still venture on the side of yes.13:1720Q(BY MR. BECKER) How does one identify a13:1021particular ASHRAE standard? Is there is there a13:14	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:204for oh, I just lost the blank my for13:205buildings not except for residential13:206buildings or something.13:207Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.13:208Referring back to Exhibit 1155, on the back13:219of that exhibit, Bates number ASHRAE0001599, it refers13:2110to interest categories; is that correct?13:2111AThat's correct.13:2213includes user; is that correct?13:2214AThat's correct.13:2215QAnd within the user interest category is a13:2216subcategory for a user government; is that correct?13:2217AThat's correct.13:2218QAnd that's for a representative of a13:2220AThat would be correct.13:2221QAnd this document by the the date on the13:22
2QIs there technical expertise that's necessary 13:143in order to understand that subject?13:174MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:195THE WITNESS: It depends on what you're13:156writing. But I don't think you can do it13:167just with just looking at something. You13:198have to have some knowledge.13:129Q(BY MR. BECKER) So for to make it13:1310concrete for Standard 90.1, is that a standard that13:1511would require technical expertise in order to know what 13:1912its contents were and what should be enacted into law?13:1613MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:1214THE WITNESS: You need to understand13:1215how all of 90.1. It does help to have13:1516technical expertise in engineering. Whether13:1817or not something needs the technical13:1118expertise is needed to go into the law, I13:1319would still venture on the side of yes.13:1720Q(BY MR. BECKER) How does one identify a13:10	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:204for oh, I just lost the blank my for13:205buildings not except for residential13:206buildings or something.13:207Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.13:208Referring back to Exhibit 1155, on the back13:219of that exhibit, Bates number ASHRAE0001599, it refers13:2110to interest categories; is that correct?13:2111AThat's correct.13:2213includes user; is that correct?13:2214AThat's correct.13:2215QAnd within the user interest category is a13:2216subcategory for a user government; is that correct?13:2217AThat's correct.13:2218QAnd that's for a representative of a13:2220AThat would be correct.13:2221QAnd this document by the the date on the13:2222bottom left-hand corner, does that mean that this13:22
2QIs there technical expertise that's necessary 13:143in order to understand that subject?13:174MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:195THE WITNESS: It depends on what you're13:156writing. But I don't think you can do it13:167just with just looking at something. You13:198have to have some knowledge.13:129Q(BY MR. BECKER) So for to make it13:1310concrete for Standard 90.1, is that a standard that13:1511would require technical expertise in order to know what 13:1912its contents were and what should be enacted into law?13:1613MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:1714THE WITNESS: You need to understand13:1215how all of 90.1. It does help to have13:1516technical expertise in engineering. Whether13:1817or not something needs the technical13:1720Q(BY MR. BECKER) How does one identify a13:1021particular ASHRAE standard? Is there is there a13:1422particular ASHRAE standard?Is there is there a13:1923standards?13:11	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:204for oh, I just lost the blank my for13:205buildings not except for residential13:206buildings or something.13:207Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.13:208Referring back to Exhibit 1155, on the back13:219of that exhibit, Bates number ASHRAE0001599, it refers13:2110to interest categories; is that correct?13:2111AThat's correct.13:2213includes user; is that correct?13:2214AThat's correct.13:2215QAnd within the user interest category is a13:2216subcategory for a user government; is that correct?13:2217AThat's correct.13:2218QAnd that's for a representative of a13:2220AThat would be correct.13:2221QAnd this document by the the date on the13:22
2QIs there technical expertise that's necessary 13:143in order to understand that subject?13:174MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:195THE WITNESS: It depends on what you're13:156writing. But I don't think you can do it13:167just with just looking at something. You13:198have to have some knowledge.13:129Q(BY MR. BECKER) So for to make it13:1310concrete for Standard 90.1, is that a standard that13:1511would require technical expertise in order to know what 13:1912its contents were and what should be enacted into law?13:1613MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:1714THE WITNESS: You need to understand13:1215how all of 90.1. It does help to have13:1516technical expertise in engineering. Whether13:1817or not something needs the technical13:1118expertise is needed to go into the law, I13:1319would still venture on the side of yes.13:1720Q(BY MR. BECKER) How does one identify a13:1021particular ASHRAE standard? Is there is there a13:1422particular naming convention that ASHRAE uses for its13:1923standards?13:112424A Each has a number, and so it's just13:13	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:204for oh, I just lost the blank my for13:205buildings or something.13:206buildings or something.13:207Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.13:208Referring back to Exhibit 1155, on the back13:219of that exhibit, Bates number ASHRAE0001599, it refers13:2110to interest categories; is that correct?13:2111AThat's correct.13:2213includes user; is that correct?13:2214AThat's correct.13:2215QAnd within the user interest category is a13:2216subcategory for a user government; is that correct?13:2217AThat's correct.13:2218QAnd that's for a representative of a13:2220AThat would be correct.13:2221QAnd this document by the the date on the13:2222bottom left-hand corner, does that mean that this13:2223document was last revised on March 5th, 2001?13:2224AYes.13:22
2QIs there technical expertise that's necessary 13:143in order to understand that subject?13:174MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:195THE WITNESS: It depends on what you're13:156writing. But I don't think you can do it13:167just with just looking at something. You13:198have to have some knowledge.13:129Q(BY MR. BECKER) So for to make it13:1310concrete for Standard 90.1, is that a standard that13:1511would require technical expertise in order to know what 13:1912its contents were and what should be enacted into law?13:1613MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.13:1714THE WITNESS: You need to understand13:1215how all of 90.1. It does help to have13:1516technical expertise in engineering. Whether13:1817or not something needs the technical13:1720Q(BY MR. BECKER) How does one identify a13:1021particular ASHRAE standard? Is there is there a13:1422particular ASHRAE standard?Is there is there a13:1923standards?13:11	2THE WITNESS: They should say13:203ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.1, energy efficiency13:204for oh, I just lost the blank my for13:205buildings not except for residential13:206buildings or something.13:207Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.13:208Referring back to Exhibit 1155, on the back13:219of that exhibit, Bates number ASHRAE0001599, it refers13:2110to interest categories; is that correct?13:2111AThat's correct.13:2213includes user; is that correct?13:2214AThat's correct.13:2215QAnd within the user interest category is a13:2216subcategory for a user government; is that correct?13:2217AThat's correct.13:2218QAnd that's for a representative of a13:2220AThat would be correct.13:2221QAnd this document by the the date on the13:2222bottom left-hand corner, does that mean that this13:2223document was last revised on March 5th, 2001?13:22

27 (Pages 102 - 105)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 29 of 98

1 And if you refer to Exhibit 1151, please. 13:22 2 A Okay. 13:23	1 with the Department of Energy with senior leadership13:242 within ASHRAE.13:29
3 Q This also has, under section 5, a listing of 13:23	3 And document 1157 appears to be a draft, 13:22
4 check boxes for interest categories; is that correct? 13:23	4 because since it's not signed, I can't say it's the 13:21
5 A That's correct. 13:23	5 official one, but a Draft Memorandum of Understanding 13:24
6 Q And for SSPC 90.1, those categories include 13:23	6 Between the Department of Energy and ASHRAE. 13:27
7 compliance, designer, general interest, industry, user 13:23	7 Q And does it appear to you that this draft, 13:22
8 and utility; is that correct? 13:23	8 the Exhibit 1157, was the attachment to Exhibit 1156? 13:20
9 A That's correct. 13:23	9 A Well, I would say it probably is the exhibit, 13:20
10 Q And if you turn to the next page, Bates 13:23	10 but since the document doesn't have a a thing that 13:24
11 number ASHRAE0001614, that includes a the 13:23	11 says DOEMOU.doc on it, I would have to assume that it 13:29
12 definitions of these interest categories; is that 13:23	12 is the same one. 13:25
13 correct? 13:23	13 Q I'll I'll represent that that it is $13:25$
14 A That's correct. 13:23	14 the the attachment. 13:27
15 Q And for compliance, would that category 13:23	15 Could you tell me what what is the purpose 13:22
16 include regulators? 13:23	16 of the Department of Energy Memorandum of Understanding 13:2
17 A If you if you include them as federal 13:24	17 with ASHRAE? 13:20
17 A fryou fryou include them as rederat 15:24 18 officials, then yes. 13:24	17 With ASHKAE? 15:20 18 A Its its basic purpose is to talk about 13:21
19 Q And who makes the determination for these 13:24	18 A fits its basic purpose is to talk about 15:21 19 ways that we're going to work together or towards 13:24
20 particular interest categories? 13:24	20 goals. 13:28
21 A Do you mean who decides which interest 13:24	20 goals. 15:28 21 Q And does ASHRAE have a history of working 13:25
22 category a person belongs in? 13:24	22 together with the Department of Energy? 13:27
23 Q Yes. 13:24	22 together with the Department of Energy? 13:27 23 A Yes. 13:21
24 A The applicant suggests which interest 13:24	24 Q How long has ASHRAE been working with the 13:21
25 category they belong in, then the chair of the of 13:24	25 Department of Energy? 13:27
Page 106	1 60
1 the project committee will review that information, 13:23	1 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form. 13:22
2 look at all their applicable paperwork, and then decide 13:26	2 THE WITNESS: I would probably say since 13:22
3 if that's correct. 13:29	3 at least 90.1 has been as part of adopted 13:24
4 They may say no and put them in a different 13:21	4 into EPAct as the minimum energy efficiency 13:26
5 interest category. And then SPLS will look at that 13:24	5 for commercial buildings. 13:21
6 recommendation, and they could look at the same 13:20	6 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Do you have any idea when 13:23
7 paperwork and determine that they're still not in the 13:22	7 approximately when that would have been? 13:24
8 correct interest category and move them into a 13:26	8 A I would I want to say '99, but I'm I 13:32
9 different one. 13:28	9 need to check. 13:36
10 Q And so has it happened that people have been 13:28	10 Q What's the the what what is the 13:38
11 moved from one interest category to a different one? 13:20	11 purpose of ASHRAE's work with the Department of Energy? 13:3
12 A Yes. 13:27	12 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form. 13:32
13 (Exhibit 1156 and Exhibit 1157 marked for 13:28	13THE WITNESS: To to advance the13:38
14 identification.) 13:21	14 mission of ASHRAE, which is, you know, 13:30
15 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'd like to hand you what's 13:21	15 advance the art of building sciences. 13:32
	15 united the art of building belences. 15.52
16 been marked as 1 Exhibits 1156 and Exhibits 1157. 13:23	16Q(BY MR. BECKER)And for for Exhibit13:37
16 been marked as 1 Exhibits 1156 and Exhibits 1157. 13:2317 Exhibit 1156 is Bates number ASHRAE0026227. And then 13:27	16 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And for for Exhibit 13:37
16 been marked as 1 Exhibits 1156 and Exhibits 1157. 13:23	16 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And for for Exhibit 13:37
16 been marked as 1 Exhibits 1156 and Exhibits 1157. 13:2317 Exhibit 1156 is Bates number ASHRAE0026227. And then 13:27	16Q(BY MR. BECKER)And for for Exhibit13:3717ASHRAE excuse me, Exhibit 1156, you're listed among13:39
16 been marked as 1 Exhibits 1156 and Exhibits 1157.13:2317 Exhibit 1156 is Bates number ASHRAE0026227.And then13:2718 Exhibit 1157 is Bates number ASHRAE0026229.13:23	16Q(BY MR. BECKER)And for for Exhibit13:3717ASHRAE excuse me, Exhibit 1156, you're listed among13:3918the recipients for this email; is that correct?13:34
16 been marked as 1 Exhibits 1156 and Exhibits 1157.13:2317 Exhibit 1156 is Bates number ASHRAE0026227.And then13:2318 Exhibit 1157 is Bates number ASHRAE0026229.13:2319Do you recognize these documents,13:24	16Q(BY MR. BECKER)And for for Exhibit13:3717ASHRAE excuse me, Exhibit 1156, you're listed among13:3918the recipients for this email; is that correct?13:3419AThat's correct.13:38
16 been marked as 1 Exhibits 1156 and Exhibits 1157.13:2317 Exhibit 1156 is Bates number ASHRAE0026227.And then13:2718 Exhibit 1157 is Bates number ASHRAE0026229.13:2319 Do you recognize these documents,13:2420 Ms. Reiniche?13:26	16Q(BY MR. BECKER)And for for Exhibit13:3777ASHRAE excuse me, Exhibit 1156, you're listed among13:3918the recipients for this email; is that correct?13:3419AThat's correct.13:3820QAnd you're listed among the recipients for13:39
16 been marked as 1 Exhibits 1156 and Exhibits 1157.13:2317 Exhibit 1156 is Bates number ASHRAE0026227.And then 13:2718 Exhibit 1157 is Bates number ASHRAE0026229.13:2319 Do you recognize these documents,13:2420 Ms. Reiniche?13:2621 A Yes.13:27	16Q(BY MR. BECKER)And for for Exhibit13:37717ASHRAE excuse me, Exhibit 1156, you're listed among13:3918the recipients for this email; is that correct?13:3419AThat's correct.13:3820QAnd you're listed among the recipients for13:3921the the email that's further down in the chain in13:32
16 been marked as 1 Exhibits 1156 and Exhibits 1157.13:2317 Exhibit 1156 is Bates number ASHRAE0026227.And then13:2718 Exhibit 1157 is Bates number ASHRAE0026229.13:2319 Do you recognize these documents,13:2420 Ms. Reiniche?13:2621 A Yes.13:2722 Q And could you tell me what these documents13:21	16Q(BY MR. BECKER) And for for Exhibit13:3717ASHRAE excuse me, Exhibit 1156, you're listed among13:3918the recipients for this email; is that correct?13:3419AThat's correct.13:3820QAnd you're listed among the recipients for13:3921the the email that's further down in the chain in13:3222on that exhibit; is that correct?13:38

28 (Pages 106 - 109)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 30 of 98

1 standards through training programs, including 13:37	1 the Department of Energy? 13:31
2 self-directed learning, building code interaction and 13:30	2 A No. 13:32
3 ASHRAE chapter oriented training. 13:33	3 Q And section 10 refers to "Advancing and 13:34
4 What is the Department of Energy's role in 13:36	4 supporting the professional development of DOE 13:36
5 that? 13:38	5 personnel by facilitating membership, attendance, and 13:39
6 A They Department of Energy provides 13:39	6 active participation at the local and society levels of 13:33
7 training not only ASHRAE, but other code bodies' codes, 13:33	7 ASHRAE, especially as a member of technical committees 13:37
8 so it would be supported through software development, 13:30	8 and standard project committees, and by providing a 13:30
9 maybe at the DOE level, they give trainings on what's 13:35	9 venue for publication of research and practice." 13:33
10 in 90.1; things like that. 13:30	10 What kind of publication is this referring 13:36
11 Q Does the Department of Energy provide funding 13:33	11 to? 13:37
12 to ASHRAE? 13:37	12 A They're talking about research publication. 13:31
13 A No. 13:37	13 If the DOE does research, they're publishing it 13:34
14 Q Does the Department of Energy provide any 13:30	14 somewhere. It's not referring to standards. 13:37
15 funds to ASHRAE? 13:34	15 Q Does ASHRAE publish DOE research? 13:30
16 A I suppose if someone is a a member and the 13:38	16 A Not that I'm aware of. 13:36
17 Department of Energy pays their membership fees to 13:32	10 A Not that Thi aware of. 15.50 17 Q With regards to section 13, do you know what 13:32
18 ASHRAE to be a member of ASHRAE, then yes, but it goes13:3419 to membership.13:37	
20 Q On the second page of Exhibit 1157, 13:36	20 A No. 13:30
21 subsection 5 says, "Cooperating in promoting of 13:32	21 Q Do you know what under under section 13:34
22 ANSI/ASHRAE standards adoption in the International 13:36	22 14, the DOE Energy Efficient Building Systems Regional 13:38
23 Standards Organization (ISO) standards." 13:39	23 Innovation Cluster Initiative is? 13:35
24 What is that referring to? 13:32	24 A I don't think that exists anymore, but 13:30
25 A That must have been that would have been a 13:35 Page 110	25 there's been a collaborative where they've worked 13:32 Page 112
1 age 110	1 4 4 5 1 1 2
1 new thing added. The Department of Energy hasn't done 13:37	1 together, and they just they talk about research and 13:34
 new thing added. The Department of Energy hasn't done 13:37 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30 	1 together, and they just they talk about research and 13:342 things like that.13:37
2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30	2 things like that. 13:37
2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of13:303 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO.13:32	 things like that. 13:37 Q Was the Memorandum of Understanding Between 13:31 the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by 13:34
2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of13:303 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO.13:324QAnd for section 8, where it refers to13:38	 things like that. 13:37 Q Was the Memorandum of Understanding Between 13:31 the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by 13:34
 2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30 3 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO. 13:32 4 Q And for section 8, where it refers to 13:38 5 "Cooperating and promotion of ANSI/ASHRAE standards 13:30 	 2 things like that. 13:37 3 Q Was the Memorandum of Understanding Between 13:31 4 the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by 13:34 5 both ASHRAE and the Department of Energy? 13:34
 2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30 3 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO. 13:32 4 Q And for section 8, where it refers to 13:38 5 "Cooperating and promotion of ANSI/ASHRAE standards 13:30 6 adoption in building codes," what does that refer to? 13:36 	 2 things like that. 3 Q Was the Memorandum of Understanding Between 13:31 4 the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by 13:34 5 both ASHRAE and the Department of Energy? 13:34 6 A I need to go back and check to see if it was 13:37
 2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30 3 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO. 13:32 4 Q And for section 8, where it refers to 13:38 5 "Cooperating and promotion of ANSI/ASHRAE standards 13:30 6 adoption in building codes," what does that refer to? 13:36 7 A That could be supporting proposals that would 13:33 	 2 things like that. 3 Q Was the Memorandum of Understanding Between 13:31 4 the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by 13:34 5 both ASHRAE and the Department of Energy? 13:34 6 A I need to go back and check to see if it was 13:37 7 signed. 13:30
 2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30 3 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO. 13:32 4 Q And for section 8, where it refers to 13:38 5 "Cooperating and promotion of ANSI/ASHRAE standards 13:30 6 adoption in building codes," what does that refer to? 13:36 7 A That could be supporting proposals that would 13:33 8 have been submitted to adopt 90.1 in in the 13:39 	 2 things like that. 3 Q Was the Memorandum of Understanding Between 13:31 4 the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by 13:34 5 both ASHRAE and the Department of Energy? 13:34 6 A I need to go back and check to see if it was 13:37 7 signed. 13:30 8 Q How would you characterize the relationship 13:32
 2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30 3 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO. 13:32 4 Q And for section 8, where it refers to 13:38 5 "Cooperating and promotion of ANSI/ASHRAE standards 13:30 6 adoption in building codes," what does that refer to? 13:36 7 A That could be supporting proposals that would 13:33 8 have been submitted to adopt 90.1 in in the 13:39 9 international code, because that's the federal minimum, 13:33 	 2 things like that. 13:37 3 Q Was the Memorandum of Understanding Between 13:31 4 the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by 13:34 5 both ASHRAE and the Department of Energy? 13:34 6 A I need to go back and check to see if it was 13:37 7 signed. 13:30 8 Q How would you characterize the relationship 13:32 9 between the Department of Energy and ASHRAE? 13:34
 2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30 3 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO. 13:32 4 Q And for section 8, where it refers to 13:38 5 "Cooperating and promotion of ANSI/ASHRAE standards 13:30 6 adoption in building codes," what does that refer to? 13:36 7 A That could be supporting proposals that would 13:33 8 have been submitted to adopt 90.1 in in the 13:39 9 international code, because that's the federal minimum, 13:33 10 and they would have provided supporting testimony, 13:37 	 2 things like that. 13:37 3 Q Was the Memorandum of Understanding Between 13:31 4 the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by 13:34 5 both ASHRAE and the Department of Energy? 13:34 6 A I need to go back and check to see if it was 13:37 7 signed. 13:30 8 Q How would you characterize the relationship 13:32 9 between the Department of Energy and ASHRAE? 13:34 10 A I mean, they work we work together. 13:32
 2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30 3 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO. 13:32 4 Q And for section 8, where it refers to 13:38 5 "Cooperating and promotion of ANSI/ASHRAE standards 13:30 6 adoption in building codes," what does that refer to? 13:36 7 A That could be supporting proposals that would 13:33 8 have been submitted to adopt 90.1 in in the 13:39 9 international code, because that's the federal minimum, 13:33 10 and they would have provided supporting testimony, 13:37 11 probably. 13:30 	 2 things like that. 13:37 3 Q Was the Memorandum of Understanding Between 13:31 4 the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by 13:34 5 both ASHRAE and the Department of Energy? 13:34 6 A I need to go back and check to see if it was 13:37 7 signed. 13:30 8 Q How would you characterize the relationship 13:32 9 between the Department of Energy and ASHRAE? 13:34 10 A I mean, they work we work together. 13:32 11 That's probably on not all not all of these
 2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30 3 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO. 13:32 4 Q And for section 8, where it refers to 13:38 5 "Cooperating and promotion of ANSI/ASHRAE standards 13:30 6 adoption in building codes," what does that refer to? 13:36 7 A That could be supporting proposals that would 13:33 8 have been submitted to adopt 90.1 in in the 13:39 9 international code, because that's the federal minimum, 13:33 10 and they would have provided supporting testimony, 13:37 11 probably. 13:30 12 Q When you say "they would have provided 13:31 	2 things like that.13:373 Q Was the Memorandum of Understanding Between 13:314 the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by 13:345 both ASHRAE and the Department of Energy?13:346 A I need to go back and check to see if it was 13:377 signed.13:308 Q How would you characterize the relationship 13:329 between the Department of Energy and ASHRAE?10 A I mean, they work we work together.13:3211 That's probably on not all not all of these13:30
 2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30 3 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO. 13:32 4 Q And for section 8, where it refers to 13:38 5 "Cooperating and promotion of ANSI/ASHRAE standards 13:30 6 adoption in building codes," what does that refer to? 13:36 7 A That could be supporting proposals that would 13:33 8 have been submitted to adopt 90.1 in in the 13:39 9 international code, because that's the federal minimum, 13:33 10 and they would have provided supporting testimony, 13:37 11 probably. 13:30 12 Q When you say "they would have provided 13:31 13 supporting testimony, probably," is that the Department 13:33 	 2 things like that. 13:37 3 Q Was the Memorandum of Understanding Between 13:31 4 the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by 13:34 5 both ASHRAE and the Department of Energy? 13:34 6 A I need to go back and check to see if it was 13:37 7 signed. 13:30 8 Q How would you characterize the relationship 13:32 9 between the Department of Energy and ASHRAE? 13:34 10 A I mean, they work we work together. 13:32 11 That's probably on not all not all of these 13:35 12 projects, but I mean some things. 13:30
 2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30 3 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO. 13:32 4 Q And for section 8, where it refers to 13:38 5 "Cooperating and promotion of ANSI/ASHRAE standards 13:30 6 adoption in building codes," what does that refer to? 13:36 7 A That could be supporting proposals that would 13:33 8 have been submitted to adopt 90.1 in in the 13:39 9 international code, because that's the federal minimum, 13:33 10 and they would have provided supporting testimony, 13:37 11 probably. 13:30 12 Q When you say "they would have provided 13:31 13 supporting testimony, probably," is that the Department 13:33 14 of Energy that would provide that? 13:36 	 2 things like that. 13:37 3 Q Was the Memorandum of Understanding Between 13:31 4 the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by 13:34 5 both ASHRAE and the Department of Energy? 13:34 6 A I need to go back and check to see if it was 13:37 7 signed. 13:30 8 Q How would you characterize the relationship 13:32 9 between the Department of Energy and ASHRAE? 13:34 10 A I mean, they work we work together. 13:35 12 projects, but I mean some things. 13:30 13 Q You mentioned that someone from the 13:30 14 Department of Energy would testify on behalf of ASHRAE 13:33
2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:303 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO.4 Q And for section 8, where it refers to13:324 Q And for section 8, where it refers to13:385 "Cooperating and promotion of ANSI/ASHRAE standards13:306 adoption in building codes," what does that refer to?13:338 have been submitted to adopt 90.1 in in the13:399 international code, because that's the federal minimum, 13:3310 and they would have provided supporting testimony,13:3012 Q When you say "they would have provided13:3113 supporting testimony, probably," is that the Department 13:3314 of Energy that would provide that?13:3015 A A a staff member from the Department of13:30	2 things like that.13:373 Q Was the Memorandum of Understanding Between 13:314 the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by 13:345 both ASHRAE and the Department of Energy?13:346 A I need to go back and check to see if it was 13:377 signed.13:308 Q How would you characterize the relationship 13:329 between the Department of Energy and ASHRAE?10 A I mean, they work we work together.13:3011 That's probably on not all not all of these13:3013 Q You mentioned that someone from the13:3014 Department of Energy would testify on behalf of ASHRAE 13:3315 in terms of getting the Standard 90.1 adopted as a13:3816 building code. How does ASHRAE benefit from having13:38
 2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30 3 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO. 13:32 4 Q And for section 8, where it refers to 13:38 5 "Cooperating and promotion of ANSI/ASHRAE standards 13:30 6 adoption in building codes," what does that refer to? 13:36 7 A That could be supporting proposals that would 13:33 8 have been submitted to adopt 90.1 in in the 13:39 9 international code, because that's the federal minimum, 13:33 10 and they would have provided supporting testimony, 13:37 11 probably. 13:30 12 Q When you say "they would have provided 13:31 13 supporting testimony, probably," is that the Department 13:33 14 of Energy that would provide that? 13:36 15 A A a staff member from the Department of 13:37 16 Energy. 13:30 17 Q Okay. Are there any other ways that ASHRAE, 13:31 	 2 things like that. 13:37 3 Q Was the Memorandum of Understanding Between 13:31 4 the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by 13:34 5 both ASHRAE and the Department of Energy? 13:34 6 A I need to go back and check to see if it was 13:37 7 signed. 13:30 8 Q How would you characterize the relationship 13:32 9 between the Department of Energy and ASHRAE? 13:34 10 A I mean, they work we work together. 13:32 11 That's probably on not all not all of these 13:35 12 projects, but I mean some things. 13:30 13 Q You mentioned that someone from the 13:30 14 Department of Energy would testify on behalf of ASHRAE 13:33 15 in terms of getting the Standard 90.1 adopted as a 13:38 16 building code. How does ASHRAE benefit from having 13:38 17 90.1 endorsed by the DOE? 13:37
 2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30 3 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO. 13:32 4 Q And for section 8, where it refers to 13:38 5 "Cooperating and promotion of ANSI/ASHRAE standards 13:30 6 adoption in building codes," what does that refer to? 13:36 7 A That could be supporting proposals that would 13:33 8 have been submitted to adopt 90.1 in in the 13:39 9 international code, because that's the federal minimum, 13:33 10 and they would have provided supporting testimony, 13:37 11 probably. 13:30 12 Q When you say "they would have provided 13:31 13 supporting testimony, probably," is that the Department 13:33 14 of Energy that would provide that? 13:36 15 A A a staff member from the Department of 13:37 16 Energy. 13:30 17 Q Okay. Are there any other ways that ASHRAE, 13:31 18 ANSI and the Department of Energy have cooperated in 13:39 	2 things like that.13:373 Q Was the Memorandum of Understanding Between 13:314 the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by 13:345 both ASHRAE and the Department of Energy?13:346 A I need to go back and check to see if it was 13:377 signed.13:308 Q How would you characterize the relationship 13:329 between the Department of Energy and ASHRAE?10 A I mean, they work we work together.13:3011 That's probably on not all not all of these13:3013 Q You mentioned that someone from the13:3014 Department of Energy would testify on behalf of ASHRAE 13:3315 in terms of getting the Standard 90.1 adopted as a13:3816 building code. How does ASHRAE benefit from having13:3218 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the13:35
 2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30 3 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO. 13:32 4 Q And for section 8, where it refers to 13:38 5 "Cooperating and promotion of ANSI/ASHRAE standards 13:30 6 adoption in building codes," what does that refer to? 13:36 7 A That could be supporting proposals that would 13:33 8 have been submitted to adopt 90.1 in in the 13:39 9 international code, because that's the federal minimum, 13:33 10 and they would have provided supporting testimony, 13:37 11 probably. 13:30 12 Q When you say "they would have provided 13:31 13 supporting testimony, probably," is that the Department 13:33 14 of Energy that would provide that? 13:36 15 A A a staff member from the Department of 13:37 16 Energy. 13:30 17 Q Okay. Are there any other ways that ASHRAE, 13:31 18 ANSI and the Department of Energy have cooperated in 13:39 19 promoting these standards adoption in building codes? 13:33 	2 things like that.13:373 Q Was the Memorandum of Understanding Between 13:314 the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by 13:345 both ASHRAE and the Department of Energy?13:346 A I need to go back and check to see if it was 13:377 signed.13:308 Q How would you characterize the relationship 13:329 between the Department of Energy and ASHRAE?10 A I mean, they work we work together.13:3011 That's probably on not all not all of these13:3013 Q You mentioned that someone from the13:3014 Department of Energy would testify on behalf of ASHRAE 13:3315 in terms of getting the Standard 90.1 adopted as a13:3816 building code. How does ASHRAE benefit from having13:3218 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the13:3519 characterization of prior testimony.13:36
 2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30 3 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO. 13:32 4 Q And for section 8, where it refers to 13:38 5 "Cooperating and promotion of ANSI/ASHRAE standards 13:30 6 adoption in building codes," what does that refer to? 13:36 7 A That could be supporting proposals that would 13:33 8 have been submitted to adopt 90.1 in in the 13:39 9 international code, because that's the federal minimum, 13:33 10 and they would have provided supporting testimony, 13:37 11 probably. 13:30 12 Q When you say "they would have provided 13:31 13 supporting testimony, probably," is that the Department 13:33 14 of Energy that would provide that? 13:36 15 A A a staff member from the Department of 13:37 16 Energy. 13:30 17 Q Okay. Are there any other ways that ASHRAE, 13:31 18 ANSI and the Department of Energy have cooperated in 13:39 19 promoting these standards adoption in building codes? 13:33 20 A I'm not aware of ANSI promoting standards 13:30 	2 things like that.13:373 Q Was the Memorandum of Understanding Between13:314 the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by13:345 both ASHRAE and the Department of Energy?13:346 A I need to go back and check to see if it was13:377 signed.13:308 Q How would you characterize the relationship13:329 between the Department of Energy and ASHRAE?13:3410 A I mean, they work we work together.13:3211 That's probably on not all of these13:3512 projects, but I mean some things.13:3013 Q You mentioned that someone from the13:3014 Department of Energy would testify on behalf of ASHRAE13:3315 in terms of getting the Standard 90.1 adopted as a13:3816 building code. How does ASHRAE benefit from having13:3817 90.1 endorsed by the DOE?13:3218 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the13:3519 characterization of prior testimony.13:3620 THE WITNESS: They don't testify on13:30
 2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30 3 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO. 13:32 4 Q And for section 8, where it refers to 13:38 5 "Cooperating and promotion of ANSI/ASHRAE standards 13:30 6 adoption in building codes," what does that refer to? 13:36 7 A That could be supporting proposals that would 13:33 8 have been submitted to adopt 90.1 in in the 13:39 9 international code, because that's the federal minimum, 13:33 10 and they would have provided supporting testimony, 13:37 11 probably. 13:30 12 Q When you say "they would have provided 13:31 13 supporting testimony, probably," is that the Department 13:33 14 of Energy that would provide that? 13:36 15 A A a staff member from the Department of 13:37 16 Energy. 13:30 17 Q Okay. Are there any other ways that ASHRAE, 13:31 18 ANSI and the Department of Energy have cooperated in 13:39 19 promoting these standards adoption in building codes? 13:33 20 A I'm not aware of ANSI promoting standards 13:30 21 adoption in building codes, other than it's an 13:34 	2 things like that.13:373 Q Was the Memorandum of Understanding Between 13:314 the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by 13:345 both ASHRAE and the Department of Energy?13:346 A I need to go back and check to see if it was 13:377 signed.13:308 Q How would you characterize the relationship 13:329 between the Department of Energy and ASHRAE?10 A I mean, they work we work together.13:3013 Q You mentioned that someone from the13:3014 Department of Energy would testify on behalf of ASHRAE 13:3315 in terms of getting the Standard 90.1 adopted as a13:3816 building code. How does ASHRAE benefit from having13:3218 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the13:3519 characterization of prior testimony.13:3021 behalf of ASHRAE. They testify on behalf of 13:31
 2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30 3 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO. 13:32 4 Q And for section 8, where it refers to 13:38 5 "Cooperating and promotion of ANSI/ASHRAE standards 13:30 6 adoption in building codes," what does that refer to? 13:36 7 A That could be supporting proposals that would 13:33 8 have been submitted to adopt 90.1 in in the 13:39 9 international code, because that's the federal minimum, 13:33 10 and they would have provided supporting testimony, 13:37 11 probably. 13:30 12 Q When you say "they would have provided 13:31 13 supporting testimony, probably," is that the Department 13:33 14 of Energy that would provide that? 13:36 15 A A a staff member from the Department of 13:37 16 Energy. 13:30 17 Q Okay. Are there any other ways that ASHRAE, 13:31 18 ANSI and the Department of Energy have cooperated in 13:39 19 promoting these standards adoption in building codes? 13:33 20 A I'm not aware of ANSI promoting standards 13:30 21 adoption in building codes, other than it's an 13:34 22 ANSI/ASHRAE standard going through their process. They 13:39 	2things like that.13:373QWas the Memorandum of Understanding Between13:314the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by13:345both ASHRAE and the Department of Energy?13:346AI need to go back and check to see if it was13:377signed.13:308QHow would you characterize the relationship13:329between the Department of Energy and ASHRAE?13:3410AI mean, they work we work together.13:3211That's probably on not all not all of these13:3512projects, but I mean some things.13:3013QYou mentioned that someone from the13:3014Department of Energy would testify on behalf of ASHRAE13:3315in terms of getting the Standard 90.1 adopted as a13:3816building code. How does ASHRAE benefit from having13:3519characterization of prior testimony.13:3620THE WITNESS: They don't testify on13:3021behalf of ASHRAE. They testify on behalf of13:3122the Department of Energy.13:34
 2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30 3 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO. 13:32 4 Q And for section 8, where it refers to 13:38 5 "Cooperating and promotion of ANSI/ASHRAE standards 13:30 6 adoption in building codes," what does that refer to? 13:36 7 A That could be supporting proposals that would 13:33 8 have been submitted to adopt 90.1 in in the 13:39 9 international code, because that's the federal minimum, 13:33 10 and they would have provided supporting testimony, 13:37 11 probably. 13:30 12 Q When you say "they would have provided 13:31 13 supporting testimony, probably," is that the Department 13:33 14 of Energy that would provide that? 13:36 15 A A a staff member from the Department of 13:37 16 Energy. 13:30 17 Q Okay. Are there any other ways that ASHRAE, 13:31 18 ANSI and the Department of Energy have cooperated in 13:39 19 promoting these standards adoption in building codes? 13:33 20 A I'm not aware of ANSI promoting standards 13:30 21 adoption in building codes, other than it's an 13:34 22 ANSI/ASHRAE standard going through their process. They 13:39 23 don't go to building codes. I can't think of anything 13:31 	2things like that.13:373QWas the Memorandum of Understanding Between13:314the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by13:345both ASHRAE and the Department of Energy?13:346AI need to go back and check to see if it was13:377signed.13:3013:308QHow would you characterize the relationship13:329between the Department of Energy and ASHRAE?13:3410AI mean, they work we work together.13:3211That's probably on not all not all of these13:3512projects, but I mean some things.13:3013QYou mentioned that someone from the13:3014Department of Energy would testify on behalf of ASHRAE13:3315in terms of getting the Standard 90.1 adopted as a13:3816building code. How does ASHRAE benefit from having13:3519characterization of prior testimony.13:3620THE WITNESS: They don't testify on13:3021behalf of ASHRAE. They testify on behalf of13:3122the Department of Energy.13:3423Q(BY MR. BECKER) Excuse me.13:35
 2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30 3 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO. 13:32 4 Q And for section 8, where it refers to 13:38 5 "Cooperating and promotion of ANSI/ASHRAE standards 13:30 6 adoption in building codes," what does that refer to? 13:36 7 A That could be supporting proposals that would 13:33 8 have been submitted to adopt 90.1 in in the 13:39 9 international code, because that's the federal minimum, 13:33 10 and they would have provided supporting testimony, 13:37 11 probably. 13:30 12 Q When you say "they would have provided 13:31 13 supporting testimony, probably," is that the Department 13:33 14 of Energy that would provide that? 13:36 15 A A a staff member from the Department of 13:37 16 Energy. 13:30 17 Q Okay. Are there any other ways that ASHRAE, 13:31 18 ANSI and the Department of Energy have cooperated in 13:39 19 promoting these standards adoption in building codes? 13:33 20 A I'm not aware of ANSI promoting standards 13:30 21 adoption in building codes, other than it's an 13:34 22 ANSI/ASHRAE standard going through their process. They 13:39 23 don't go to building codes. I can't think of anything 13:31 	2 things like that.13:373 Q Was the Memorandum of Understanding Between 13:314 the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by 13:345 both ASHRAE and the Department of Energy?13:346 A I need to go back and check to see if it was 13:377 signed.13:308 Q How would you characterize the relationship 13:329 between the Department of Energy and ASHRAE?10 A I mean, they work we work together.13:3011 That's probably on not all not all of these13:3013 Q You mentioned that someone from the13:3014 Department of Energy would testify on behalf of ASHRAE 13:3315 in terms of getting the Standard 90.1 adopted as a13:3816 building code. How does ASHRAE benefit from having13:3218 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the13:3319 characterization of prior testimony.13:3021 behalf of ASHRAE. They testify on behalf of13:3122 the Department of Energy.13:3233343536373738393930303131323334353637373839393939393939303030313334 </td
 2 anything that I'm aware of to promote the adoption of 13:30 3 ASHRAE ANSI/ASHRAE standards in ISO. 13:32 4 Q And for section 8, where it refers to 13:38 5 "Cooperating and promotion of ANSI/ASHRAE standards 13:30 6 adoption in building codes," what does that refer to? 13:36 7 A That could be supporting proposals that would 13:33 8 have been submitted to adopt 90.1 in in the 13:39 9 international code, because that's the federal minimum, 13:33 10 and they would have provided supporting testimony, 13:37 11 probably. 13:30 12 Q When you say "they would have provided 13:31 13 supporting testimony, probably," is that the Department 13:33 14 of Energy that would provide that? 13:36 15 A A a staff member from the Department of 13:37 16 Energy. 13:30 17 Q Okay. Are there any other ways that ASHRAE, 13:31 18 ANSI and the Department of Energy have cooperated in 13:39 19 promoting these standards adoption in building codes? 13:33 20 A I'm not aware of ANSI promoting standards 13:30 21 adoption in building codes, other than it's an 13:34 22 ANSI/ASHRAE standard going through their process. They 13:39 	2things like that.13:373QWas the Memorandum of Understanding Between13:314the DOE and ASHRAE, Exhibit 1157, eventually signed by13:345both ASHRAE and the Department of Energy?13:346AI need to go back and check to see if it was13:377signed.13:3013:308QHow would you characterize the relationship13:329between the Department of Energy and ASHRAE?13:3410AI mean, they work we work together.13:3211That's probably on not all not all of these13:3512projects, but I mean some things.13:3013QYou mentioned that someone from the13:3014Department of Energy would testify on behalf of ASHRAE13:3315in terms of getting the Standard 90.1 adopted as a13:3816building code. How does ASHRAE benefit from having13:3519characterization of prior testimony.13:3620THE WITNESS: They don't testify on13:3021behalf of ASHRAE. They testify on behalf of13:3122the Department of Energy.13:3423Q(BY MR. BECKER) Excuse me.13:35

29 (Pages 110 - 113)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 31 of 98

1 a one code. 13:39	1 within ASHRAE? 13:43
	2 A Yes. 13:43
3AThey are not exactly the same.13:364OAnd how do they differ?13:39	3 Q And is that located in Washington, D.C.? 13:43 4 A Yes. 13:43
	5 Q And what is why is it that ASHRAE has a 13:43
6 comparisons. In some instances, 90.1 would be more 13:35	6 separate department for government affairs that's 13:44
7 stringent; in other, IECC. 13:30	7 located in Washington, D.C.? 13:44
8 Q On balance, would you characterize the IECC 13:30	8 A So they can it's easier to talk to people 13:44
9 as being more stringent than ASHRAE 90.1 or vice versa? 13:34	9 on the hill. It's been there as long as I've been 13:44
10 A They have a different process. The IECC, 13:41	10 there. 13:44
11 while it's a consensus process, is not an ANSI 13:45	11 MR. BECKER: All right. Let's take a 13:44
12 consensus process, so it's comparing apples to oranges. 13:49	12 break. 13:44
13 Q What does ASHRAE do to educate governments 13:46	13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record 13:44
14 and government officials about its work? 13:49	14 at 13:44. 13:44
15 A It has a staff person and/or leadership talk 13:42	15 (Recess taken.) 13:53
16 to the staff on the hill about what our process is, 13:49	16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going on the record 13:56
17 what standards we have, certification programs, classes 13:44	17 at 13:56. 13:56
18 and things like that. 13:44	18 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, are you aware 13:56
19 Q And are there particular staff people who 13:45	19 if DOE employees are on the 90.1 policy committee? 13:56
20 talk to staff members on the hill? 13:47	20 A 90.1 policy committee? You mean on the 13:56
21 A Yes. 13:40	21 project committee? 13:56
22 Q And what individuals are these? 13:41	22 Q Project committee, excuse me. 13:56
23AMark Ames and Doug Read. And Jeff Littleton13:4524might talk to some, too.13:49	23 A Yes. 13:56 24 Q They are? 13:56
25 Q And you say ASHRAE has leadership that talks 13:49 Page 114	25 A There is a staff person on there, yes. 13:56 Page 116
1 to staff on the hill. Is that Jeff Littleton? 13:42	1 Q And have DOE employees been on the 90.1 13:53
2 A The it it could be Jeff, it could be 13:45	2 project committee committee in the past? 13:57
3 whoever is the president for that given given 13:40	3 A Yes. 13:59
4 society year or vice president that society year. It 13:43	4 Q Okay. And so DOE employees provide they 13:50
5 depends on the year, it depends on who they're talking 13:47	5 contribute to the development of 90.1; is that correct? 13:50
6 to. 13:42	6 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 13:54
7 Q And what are Mr. Ames' and Mr. Read's 13:42	7 THE WITNESS: They participate in the 13:55
8 positions at ASHRAE? 13:47	8 process. I'm not aware of any draft 13:57
9 A Well, Mr Mark's title is senior manager 13:49	9 language. 13:50
10 of government affairs. Doug's title was director. He 13:46	10 (Exhibit 1158 marked for identification.) 13:51
11 has retired. 13:43	11 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing 13:52
12 Q And was was Doug's Doug Read's title 13:46	12 you what's been marked as Exhibit 1158. This is a 13:53
13 just director or director of government affairs? 13:49	13 document with Bates number ASHRAE0005856. It's labeled 13:50
 14 A Director of government affairs. 13:42 15 Q Are there other employees of ASHRAE who work 13:48 	14 "Marketing Task Force Report." 13:58 15 A Okay. 13:50
A = A = A = A = A = A = A = A = A = A =	
16 with or who did work with Mr. Ames and Mr. Read on 13:43	16 Q Are you familiar with this document, 13:53
16 with or who did work with Mr. Ames and Mr. Read on13:4317 government affairs?13:48	16QAre you familiar with this document,13:5317Ms. Reiniche?13:55
16 with or who did work with Mr. Ames and Mr. Read on13:4317 government affairs?13:4818AThey have a secretary or an administrative13:41	16QAre you familiar with this document,13:5317Ms. Reiniche?13:5518AYes.13:59
 16 with or who did work with Mr. Ames and Mr. Read on 13:43 17 government affairs? 13:48 18 A They have a secretary or an administrative 13:41 19 assistant that works there. She doesn't talk to people 13:46 	16QAre you familiar with this document,13:5317Ms. Reiniche?13:5518AYes.13:5919QCould you tell me what this document is?13:50
 16 with or who did work with Mr. Ames and Mr. Read on 13:43 17 government affairs? 13:48 18 A They have a secretary or an administrative 13:41 19 assistant that works there. She doesn't talk to people 13:46 20 on the hill. And they have a new person there, Jim 13:48 	16QAre you familiar with this document,13:5317Ms. Reiniche?13:5518AYes.19QCould you tell me what this document is?13:5020AThis is a document that would have been13:53
16 with or who did work with Mr. Ames and Mr. Read on13:4317 government affairs?13:4818 A They have a secretary or an administrative13:4119 assistant that works there. She doesn't talk to people13:4620 on the hill. And they have a new person there, Jim13:4821 Scarborough. He deals with local.13:42	16QAre you familiar with this document,13:5317Ms. Reiniche?13:5518AYes.19QCould you tell me what this document is?13:5020AThis is a document that would have been13:5321presented to the project committee on priorities on13:54
16 with or who did work with Mr. Ames and Mr. Read on13:4317 government affairs?13:4818 A They have a secretary or an administrative13:4119 assistant that works there. She doesn't talk to people13:4620 on the hill. And they have a new person there, Jim13:4821 Scarborough. He deals with local.13:4222 Q Is that a local government that he works13:40	16QAre you familiar with this document,13:5317Ms. Reiniche?13:5518AYes.19QCould you tell me what this document is?13:5020AThis is a document that would have been13:5321presented to the project committee on priorities on13:5422trying to get things out in the marketplace.13:56
16 with or who did work with Mr. Ames and Mr. Read on13:4317 government affairs?13:4818 A They have a secretary or an administrative13:4119 assistant that works there. She doesn't talk to people13:4620 on the hill. And they have a new person there, Jim13:4821 Scarborough. He deals with local.13:4222 Q Is that a local government that he works13:4023 deals with?13:43	16QAre you familiar with this document,13:5317Ms. Reiniche?13:5518AYes.19QCould you tell me what this document is?13:5020AThis is a document that would have been13:5321presented to the project committee on priorities on13:5422trying to get things out in the marketplace.13:5623QAnd could you tell me what do you know13:53
16 with or who did work with Mr. Ames and Mr. Read on13:4317 government affairs?13:4818 A They have a secretary or an administrative13:4119 assistant that works there. She doesn't talk to people13:4620 on the hill. And they have a new person there, Jim13:4821 Scarborough. He deals with local.13:4222 Q Is that a local government that he works13:40	16QAre you familiar with this document,13:5317Ms. Reiniche?13:5518AYes.19QCould you tell me what this document is?13:5020AThis is a document that would have been13:5321presented to the project committee on priorities on13:5422trying to get things out in the marketplace.13:56

30 (Pages 114 - 117)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 32 of 98

1 Q Oh, really. Who is Chris Mathis? 13:52	1 et cetera, that was considered an obstacle? 14:06
2 A He's an ASHRAE member. 13:55	2 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object, insofar as this 14:02
3 Q Okay. And is what was the purpose of 13:56	3 leaves the scope of the topics this witness 14:03
4 creating this document? 13:59	4 was designated for. 14:07
5 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 13:52	5 THE WITNESS: Well, it would have been 14:09
6 THE WITNESS: Just to tell inform 13:58	6 after this that we just the readability, 14:00
7 people of where they were on these priorities 13:51	7 the formatting, we just changed went from 14:04
8 for marketing. 13:55	8 one column to two columns. We would have 14:07
9 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Is there some kind of a 13:59	9 changed the chapter organization just to make 14:00
10 marketing committee that he was part of? 13:51	10 it flow better and easier for the reader to 14:03
11 A There must have been a marketing committee, 13:57	11 understand. 14:06
12 because there was a marketing task force, but it 13:58	12 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And is that something that 14:07
13 wouldn't been wouldn't have been a a committee 13:51	13 you know from your work at ASHRAE? 14:07
14 within 90.1. 13:53	14 A Yes, we have since since this, and we have 14:00
15 Q This would have been a a separate ASHRAE 13:58	15 done one complete overhaul in the formatting. 14:04
16 committee? 13:52	16 Q And what are they referring to by 14:00
	17 "enforcement of EPAct" there? 14:04
18 Q And is it typical to have individuals who are 13:56	18 A They're probably talking about the fact that 14:00
19 not employees of ASHRAE who are on marketing committees 13:5	
20 for ASHRAE? 13:54	20 lot of states that are on older versions of the code 14:07
21 A In any ad hoc committee, we have members 13:58	21 when they're supposed when, according to EPAct, 14:00
22 on on those committees. It's not typically just 14:01	22 they're supposed to be adopting the latest version 14:04
23 ASHRAE staff. 14:05	23 within two years of the determination that the newest 14:08
24 Q With regards to the fifth page of Bates 14:01	24 version of 90.1 is more energy efficient than the last. 14:02
25 number ASHRAE0005859, it says, "Actions on each 14:01 Page 118	25 Q Just to clarify, when you say "older versions 14:08 Page 120
rage 116	rage 120
1 Priority (#2). Increase the use of the standard by 14:00	1 of the code," you mean older versions of ASHRAE 90.1? 14:00
2 architects, engineers and building officials." 14:00	2 A ASHRAE 90.1 or something that's deemed to 14:02
 2 architects, engineers and building officials." 14:00 3 Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:00 	2 A ASHRAE 90.1 or something that's deemed to 14:02 3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC. 14:04
3 Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:00	3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC. 14:04
3Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:004"Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring14:00	 3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC. 14:04 4 Q And it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing 14:02
3Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:004"Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring5train-the-trainer sessions to the Chapters!"14:00	 3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC. 14:04 4 Q And it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing 14:02 5 successes." 14:05 6 Below that, "Good news! ASHRAE has approved 14:07
3Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:004"Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring14:005train-the-trainer sessions to the Chapters!"14:006Do you know what these train-the-trainer14:007sessions are?14:01	 3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC. 14:04 4 Q And it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing 14:02 5 successes." 14:05 6 Below that, "Good news! ASHRAE has approved 14:07 7 funding to establish a marketing department!!!" 14:03
3Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:004"Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring14:005train-the-trainer sessions to the Chapters!"14:006Do you know what these train-the-trainer14:007sessions are?14:01	 3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC. 14:04 4 Q And it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing 14:02 5 successes." 14:05 6 Below that, "Good news! ASHRAE has approved 14:07 7 funding to establish a marketing department!!!" 14:03
3Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:004"Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring14:005train-the-trainer sessions to the Chapters!"14:006Do you know what these train-the-trainer14:007sessions are?14:018AIt's to train people to teach others about14:01	 3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC. 14:04 4 Q And it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing 14:02 5 successes." 14:05 6 Below that, "Good news! ASHRAE has approved 14:07 7 funding to establish a marketing department!!!" 14:03 8 Does ASHRAE now have a marketing department? 14:08
3Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:004"Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring14:005train-the-trainer sessions to the Chapters!"14:006Do you know what these train-the-trainer14:007sessions are?14:018AIt's to train people to teach others about14:01990.1.14:0110QAnd do you know how ASHRAE and DOE were14:01	 3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC. 14:04 4 Q And it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing 14:02 5 successes." 14:05 6 Below that, "Good news! ASHRAE has approved 14:07 7 funding to establish a marketing department!!!" 14:03 8 Does ASHRAE now have a marketing department? 14:08 9 A We had a marketing department, then they 14:00 10 dissolved it and moved them under, and now they're 14:03
3Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:004"Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring14:005train-the-trainer sessions to the Chapters!"14:006Do you know what these train-the-trainer14:007sessions are?14:018AIt's to train people to teach others about14:01990.1.14:0110QAnd do you know how ASHRAE and DOE were14:0111partnering to provide these training sessions?14:01	 3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC. 14:04 4 Q And it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing 14:02 5 successes." 14:05 6 Below that, "Good news! ASHRAE has approved 14:07 7 funding to establish a marketing department!!!" 14:03 8 Does ASHRAE now have a marketing department? 14:08 9 A We had a marketing department, then they 14:00 10 dissolved it and moved them under, and now they're 14:03 11 starting back up a marketing section. 14:06
 3 Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:00 4 "Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring 14:00 5 train-the-trainer sessions to the Chapters!" 14:00 6 Do you know what these train-the-trainer 14:00 7 sessions are? 14:01 8 A It's to train people to teach others about 14:01 9 90.1. 14:01 10 Q And do you know how ASHRAE and DOE were 14:01 11 partnering to provide these training sessions? 14:01 12 A I would have to check, because this would 14:01 	 3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC. 14:04 4 Q And it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing 14:02 5 successes." 14:05 6 Below that, "Good news! ASHRAE has approved 14:07 7 funding to establish a marketing department!!!" 14:03 8 Does ASHRAE now have a marketing department? 14:08 9 A We had a marketing department, then they 14:00 10 dissolved it and moved them under, and now they're 14:03 11 starting back up a marketing section. 14:06 12 Q And what is the the purpose of ASHRAE's 14:08
3 Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:00 4 "Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring 14:00 5 train-the-trainer sessions to the Chapters!" 14:00 6 Do you know what these train-the-trainer 14:00 7 sessions are? 14:01 8 A It's to train people to teach others about 14:01 9 90.1. 14:01 10 Q And do you know how ASHRAE and DOE were 14:01 11 partnering to provide these training sessions? 14:01 12 A I would have to check, because this would 14:01 13 have been when it was started. 14:01	3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC.14:044 Q And it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing14:025 successes."14:056 Below that, "Good news! ASHRAE has approved14:077 funding to establish a marketing department!!!"14:038 Does ASHRAE now have a marketing department?14:089 A We had a marketing department, then they14:0010 dissolved it and moved them under, and now they're14:0311 starting back up a marketing section.14:0612 Q And what is the the purpose of ASHRAE's14:0813 marketing department?14:06
 3 Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:00 4 "Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring 14:00 5 train-the-trainer sessions to the Chapters!" 14:00 6 Do you know what these train-the-trainer 14:00 7 sessions are? 14:01 8 A It's to train people to teach others about 14:01 9 90.1. 14:01 10 Q And do you know how ASHRAE and DOE were 14:01 11 partnering to provide these training sessions? 14:01 12 A I would have to check, because this would 14:01 13 have been when it was started. 14:01 14 Q On the next page it says, "Expand the reach 14:01 	 3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC. 14:04 4 Q And it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing 14:02 5 successes." 14:05 6 Below that, "Good news! ASHRAE has approved 14:07 7 funding to establish a marketing department!!!" 14:03 8 Does ASHRAE now have a marketing department? 14:08 9 A We had a marketing department, then they 14:00 10 dissolved it and moved them under, and now they're 14:03 11 starting back up a marketing section. 14:06 12 Q And what is the the purpose of ASHRAE's 14:08 13 marketing department? 14:06 14 A To market the ASHRAE products and classes and 14:06
 3 Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:00 4 "Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring 14:00 5 train-the-trainer sessions to the Chapters!" 14:00 6 Do you know what these train-the-trainer 14:00 7 sessions are? 14:01 8 A It's to train people to teach others about 14:01 9 90.1. 14:01 10 Q And do you know how ASHRAE and DOE were 14:01 11 partnering to provide these training sessions? 14:01 12 A I would have to check, because this would 14:01 13 have been when it was started. 14:01 14 Q On the next page it says, "Expand the reach 14:01 15 of the standard," and then a subpoint, it says, "DOE 14:01 	3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC.14:044 Q And it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing14:025 successes."14:056 Below that, "Good news! ASHRAE has approved14:077 funding to establish a marketing department!!!"14:038 Does ASHRAE now have a marketing department?14:089 A We had a marketing department, then they14:0010 dissolved it and moved them under, and now they're14:0311 starting back up a marketing section.14:0612 Q And what is the the purpose of ASHRAE's14:0813 marketing department?14:0614 A To market the ASHRAE products and classes and 14:0615 things like that.14:00
 3 Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:00 4 "Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring 14:00 5 train-the-trainer sessions to the Chapters!" 14:00 6 Do you know what these train-the-trainer 14:00 7 sessions are? 14:01 8 A It's to train people to teach others about 14:01 9 90.1. 14:01 10 Q And do you know how ASHRAE and DOE were 14:01 11 partnering to provide these training sessions? 14:01 12 A I would have to check, because this would 14:01 13 have been when it was started. 14:01 14 Q On the next page it says, "Expand the reach 14:01 15 of the standard," and then a subpoint, it says, "DOE 14:01 16 partnership activities underway (training)." 14:01 	3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC.14:044QAnd it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing14:025 successes."14:056Below that, "Good news! ASHRAE has approved14:077 funding to establish a marketing department!!!"14:038Does ASHRAE now have a marketing department?14:089AWe had a marketing department, then they14:0010dissolved it and moved them under, and now they're14:0311starting back up a marketing section.14:0612QAnd what is the the purpose of ASHRAE's14:0813marketing department?14:0614ATo market the ASHRAE products and classes and 14:0615things like that.14:0016QDoes that include marketing the standards14:02
 3 Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:00 4 "Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring 14:00 5 train-the-trainer sessions to the Chapters!" 14:00 6 Do you know what these train-the-trainer 14:00 7 sessions are? 14:01 8 A It's to train people to teach others about 14:01 9 90.1. 14:01 10 Q And do you know how ASHRAE and DOE were 14:01 11 partnering to provide these training sessions? 14:01 12 A I would have to check, because this would 14:01 13 have been when it was started. 14:01 14 Q On the next page it says, "Expand the reach 14:01 15 of the standard," and then a subpoint, it says, "DOE 14:01 16 partnership activities underway (training)." 14:01 17 Is that referring to the train-the-trainer 14:01 	3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC.14:044QAnd it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing14:025 successes."14:056Below that, "Good news! ASHRAE has approved14:077 funding to establish a marketing department!!!"14:038Does ASHRAE now have a marketing department?14:089AWe had a marketing department, then they14:0010dissolved it and moved them under, and now they're14:0311starting back up a marketing section.14:0612QAnd what is the the purpose of ASHRAE's14:0813marketing department?14:0614ATo market the ASHRAE products and classes and 14:0615things like that.14:0016QDoes that include marketing the standards14:0217themselves?14:04
 3 Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:00 4 "Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring 14:00 5 train-the-trainer sessions to the Chapters!" 14:00 6 Do you know what these train-the-trainer 14:00 7 sessions are? 14:01 8 A It's to train people to teach others about 14:01 9 90.1. 14:01 10 Q And do you know how ASHRAE and DOE were 14:01 11 partnering to provide these training sessions? 14:01 12 A I would have to check, because this would 14:01 13 have been when it was started. 14:01 14 Q On the next page it says, "Expand the reach 14:01 15 of the standard," and then a subpoint, it says, "DOE 14:01 16 partnership activities underway (training)." 14:01 17 Is that referring to the train-the-trainer 14:01 18 sessions or is that referring to something else? 14:01 	3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC.14:044QAnd it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing14:025 successes."14:056Below that, "Good news! ASHRAE has approved14:077 funding to establish a marketing department!!!"14:038Does ASHRAE now have a marketing department?14:089AWe had a marketing department, then they14:0010dissolved it and moved them under, and now they're14:0311starting back up a marketing section.14:0612QAnd what is the the purpose of ASHRAE's14:0813marketing department?14:0614ATo market the ASHRAE products and classes and 14:0615things like that.14:0016QDoes that include marketing the standards14:0217themselves?14:0418AYes.14:06
 3 Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:00 4 "Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring 14:00 5 train-the-trainer sessions to the Chapters!" 14:00 6 Do you know what these train-the-trainer 14:00 7 sessions are? 14:01 8 A It's to train people to teach others about 14:01 9 90.1. 14:01 10 Q And do you know how ASHRAE and DOE were 14:01 11 partnering to provide these training sessions? 14:01 12 A I would have to check, because this would 14:01 13 have been when it was started. 14:01 14 Q On the next page it says, "Expand the reach 14:01 15 of the standard," and then a subpoint, it says, "DOE 14:01 16 partnership activities underway (training)." 14:01 17 Is that referring to the train-the-trainer 14:01 18 sessions or is that referring to something else? 14:01 19 A No, the train-the-trainer sessions. 14:01 	3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC.14:044QAnd it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing14:025 successes."14:056Below that, "Good news! ASHRAE has approved14:077 funding to establish a marketing department!!!"14:038Does ASHRAE now have a marketing department?14:089AWe had a marketing department, then they14:0010dissolved it and moved them under, and now they're14:0311starting back up a marketing section.14:0612QAnd what is the the purpose of ASHRAE's14:0813marketing department?14:0614ATo market the ASHRAE products and classes and 14:0615things like that.14:0016QDoes that include marketing the standards14:0217themselves?14:0418AYes.14:0619QAnd why was it that the marketing department14:09
 3 Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:00 4 "Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring 14:00 5 train-the-trainer sessions to the Chapters!" 14:00 6 Do you know what these train-the-trainer 14:00 7 sessions are? 14:01 8 A It's to train people to teach others about 14:01 9 90.1. 14:01 10 Q And do you know how ASHRAE and DOE were 14:01 11 partnering to provide these training sessions? 14:01 12 A I would have to check, because this would 14:01 13 have been when it was started. 14:01 14 Q On the next page it says, "Expand the reach 14:01 15 of the standard," and then a subpoint, it says, "DOE 14:01 16 partnership activities underway (training)." 14:01 17 Is that referring to the train-the-trainer 14:01 18 sessions or is that referring to something else? 14:01 19 A No, the train-the-trainer sessions. 14:01 20 Q On the third-to-last page, marked Bates 14:02 	3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC.14:044QAnd it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing14:025 successes."14:056Below that, "Good news! ASHRAE has approved14:077 funding to establish a marketing department!!!"14:038Does ASHRAE now have a marketing department?14:089AWe had a marketing department, then they14:0010dissolved it and moved them under, and now they're14:0311starting back up a marketing section.14:0612QAnd what is the the purpose of ASHRAE's14:0813marketing department?14:0614ATo market the ASHRAE products and classes and 14:0615things like that.14:0016QDoes that include marketing the standards14:0217themselves?14:0418AYes.14:0619QAnd why was it that the marketing department14:0920had been dissolved?14:00
 3 Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:00 4 "Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring 14:00 5 train-the-trainer sessions to the Chapters!" 14:00 6 Do you know what these train-the-trainer 14:00 7 sessions are? 14:01 8 A It's to train people to teach others about 14:01 9 90.1. 14:01 10 Q And do you know how ASHRAE and DOE were 14:01 11 partnering to provide these training sessions? 14:01 12 A I would have to check, because this would 14:01 13 have been when it was started. 14:01 14 Q On the next page it says, "Expand the reach 14:01 15 of the standard," and then a subpoint, it says, "DOE 14:01 16 partnership activities underway (training)." 14:01 17 Is that referring to the train-the-trainer 14:01 18 sessions or is that referring to something else? 14:01 19 A No, the train-the-trainer sessions. 14:01 20 Q On the third-to-last page, marked Bates 14:02 21 number ASHRAE005865, it says "Obstacles Recognized." 14:02 	3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC.14:044QAnd it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing14:025 successes."14:056Below that, "Good news! ASHRAE has approved14:077 funding to establish a marketing department!!!"14:038Does ASHRAE now have a marketing department?14:089AWe had a marketing department, then they14:0010dissolved it and moved them under, and now they're14:0311starting back up a marketing section.14:0612QAnd what is the the purpose of ASHRAE's14:0813marketing department?14:0614ATo market the ASHRAE products and classes and 14:0615things like that.14:0016QDoes that include marketing the standards14:0217themselves?14:0418AYes.14:0619QAnd why was it that the marketing department14:0920had been dissolved?14:0021AI don't know. That's a was a decision14:04
 3 Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:00 4 "Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring 14:00 5 train-the-trainer sessions to the Chapters!" 14:00 6 Do you know what these train-the-trainer 14:00 7 sessions are? 14:01 8 A It's to train people to teach others about 14:01 9 90.1. 14:01 10 Q And do you know how ASHRAE and DOE were 14:01 11 partnering to provide these training sessions? 14:01 12 A I would have to check, because this would 14:01 13 have been when it was started. 14:01 14 Q On the next page it says, "Expand the reach 14:01 15 of the standard," and then a subpoint, it says, "DOE 14:01 16 partnership activities underway (training)." 14:01 17 Is that referring to the train-the-trainer 14:01 18 sessions or is that referring to something else? 14:01 19 A No, the train-the-trainer sessions. 14:01 20 Q On the third-to-last page, marked Bates 14:02 21 number ASHRAE005865, it says "Obstacles Recognized." 14:02 	3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC.14:044QAnd it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing14:025 successes."14:056Below that, "Good news! ASHRAE has approved14:077 funding to establish a marketing department!!!"14:038Does ASHRAE now have a marketing department?14:089AWe had a marketing department, then they14:0010dissolved it and moved them under, and now they're14:0311starting back up a marketing section.14:0612QAnd what is the the purpose of ASHRAE's14:0813marketing department?14:0614ATo market the ASHRAE products and classes and 14:0615things like that.14:0016QDoes that include marketing the standards14:0217themselves?14:0418AYes.14:0619QAnd why was it that the marketing department14:0920had been dissolved?14:0021AI don't know. That's a was a decision14:0422made by it would have been Jeff Littleton and14:06
 3 Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:00 4 "Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring 14:00 5 train-the-trainer sessions to the Chapters!" 14:00 6 Do you know what these train-the-trainer 14:00 7 sessions are? 14:01 8 A It's to train people to teach others about 14:01 9 90.1. 14:01 10 Q And do you know how ASHRAE and DOE were 14:01 11 partnering to provide these training sessions? 14:01 12 A I would have to check, because this would 14:01 13 have been when it was started. 14:01 14 Q On the next page it says, "Expand the reach 14:01 15 of the standard," and then a subpoint, it says, "DOE 14:01 16 partnership activities underway (training)." 14:01 17 Is that referring to the train-the-trainer 14:01 18 sessions or is that referring to something else? 14:01 19 A No, the train-the-trainer sessions. 14:01 20 Q On the third-to-last page, marked Bates 14:02 21 number ASHRAE005865, it says "Obstacles Recognized." 14:02 22 Do you see that? 14:02 	3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC.14:044QAnd it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing14:025 successes."14:056Below that, "Good news! ASHRAE has approved14:077 funding to establish a marketing department!!!"14:038Does ASHRAE now have a marketing department?14:089AWe had a marketing department, then they14:0010dissolved it and moved them under, and now they're14:0311starting back up a marketing section.14:0612QAnd what is the the purpose of ASHRAE's14:0813marketing department?14:0614ATo market the ASHRAE products and classes and 14:0615things like that.14:0016QDoes that include marketing the standards14:0217themselves?14:0418AYes.14:0619QAnd why was it that the marketing department14:0920had been dissolved?14:0021AI don't know. That's a was a decision14:0422made by it would have been Jeff Littleton and14:0623probably the board ExCom.14:09
3Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:004"Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring14:005train-the-trainer sessions to the Chapters!"14:006Do you know what these train-the-trainer14:007sessions are?14:018AIt's to train people to teach others about14:01990.1.14:0110QAnd do you know how ASHRAE and DOE were14:0111partnering to provide these training sessions?14:0112AI would have to check, because this would14:0113have been when it was started.14:0114QOn the next page it says, "Expand the reach14:0115of the standard," and then a subpoint, it says, "DOE14:0116partnership activities underway (training)."14:0117Is that referring to the train-the-trainer14:0118sessions or is that referring to something else?14:0119ANo, the train-the-trainer sessions.14:0120QOn the third-to-last page, marked Bates14:0221number ASHRAE005865, it says "Obstacles Recognized."14:0222Do you see that?14:0223AYes.14:0224QWhat is it about the format, printing,14:02	3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC.14:044QAnd it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing14:025 successes."14:056Below that, "Good news! ASHRAE has approved14:077 funding to establish a marketing department!!!"14:038Does ASHRAE now have a marketing department?14:089AWe had a marketing department, then they14:0010dissolved it and moved them under, and now they're14:0311starting back up a marketing section.14:0612QAnd what is the the purpose of ASHRAE's14:0813marketing department?14:0614ATo market the ASHRAE products and classes and 14:0615things like that.14:0016QDoes that include marketing the standards14:0217themselves?14:0418AYes.14:0619QAnd why was it that the marketing department14:0920had been dissolved?14:0021AI don't know. That's a was a decision14:0422made by it would have been Jeff Littleton and14:0623probably the board ExCom.14:0924QAnd do you know why it was brought back?14:02
3Then as a subpoints under that, it says, 14:004"Underway! ASHRAE and DOE partnership to bring14:005train-the-trainer sessions to the Chapters!"14:006Do you know what these train-the-trainer14:007sessions are?14:018AIt's to train people to teach others about14:01990.1.14:0110QAnd do you know how ASHRAE and DOE were14:0111partnering to provide these training sessions?14:0112AI would have to check, because this would14:0113have been when it was started.14:0114QOn the next page it says, "Expand the reach14:0115of the standard," and then a subpoint, it says, "DOE14:0116partnership activities underway (training)."14:0117Is that referring to the train-the-trainer14:0118sessions or is that referring to something else?14:0119ANo, the train-the-trainer sessions.14:0221number ASHRAE005865, it says "Obstacles Recognized."14:0222Do you see that?14:0223AYes.14:02	3 comply, like the IECC, an older version of the IECC.14:044QAnd it says, "ASHRAE's history of marketing14:025 successes."14:056Below that, "Good news! ASHRAE has approved14:077 funding to establish a marketing department!!!"14:038Does ASHRAE now have a marketing department?14:089AWe had a marketing department, then they14:0010dissolved it and moved them under, and now they're14:0311starting back up a marketing section.14:0612QAnd what is the the purpose of ASHRAE's14:0813marketing department?14:0614ATo market the ASHRAE products and classes and 14:0615things like that.14:0016QDoes that include marketing the standards14:0217themselves?14:0418AYes.14:0619QAnd why was it that the marketing department14:0920had been dissolved?14:0021AI don't know. That's a was a decision14:0422made by it would have been Jeff Littleton and14:0623probably the board ExCom.14:09

31 (Pages 118 - 121)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 33 of 98

1 Jeff and the board ExCom. 14:05	1 A No. 14:00
2 (Exhibit 1159 marked for identification.) 14:06	2 Q What what does it mean, then? 14:01
3 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 14:06	3 A It means because the IECC uses 90.1 as 14:03
4 marked as Exhibit 1159. Do you recognize this 14:06	4 a as a compliance option, if the state or 14:06
5 document? 14:06	5 jurisdiction adopted it and left that requirement in 14:01
6 A I've not seen it labeled like this, but I've 14:06	6 and did not change it, then that that particular 14:04
7 seen the material that's in here. 14:06	7 state or jurisdiction could use 90.1. 14:09
8 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Matt, just what is it 14:06	8 Q And on page 6, what's marked ASHRAE0003500 14:13
9 about marketing that you think falls within 14:06	9 titled "Challenges to Adoption," it says, "Cost of the 14:13
10 the scope of the topics that Ms. Reiniche was 14:06	10 standard," then subpoint, "Revenue objectives are 14:17
11 designated for? 14:06	11 antithetical to widespread adoption." 14:11
12 MR. BECKER: Well, the content of these 14:06	12 Do you know what that statement was in 14:14
13 reports has to do with the subjects that 14:06	13 reference to? 14:15
14 she's been designated for. 14:06	14 A That people don't want to have to pay for the 14:18
15 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Which subjects 14:06	15 standard, is my guess, or they think the cost of the 14:12
16 specifically are you referring to? 14:07	16 standard is too high. 14:14
17 MR. BECKER: So as to the the 14:07	17 Q Has ASHRAE received comments or complaints 14:17
18 adoption and use of the code 90.1. 14:07	18 that the cost of the the Standard 90.1 is too high? 14:10
19 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Okay. Let's try to 14:07	19 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm going to object to 14:14
20 keep the questioning focused on that, then. 14:07	20 the scope. 14:16
21 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you have 14:07	21 THE WITNESS: That would have been 14:17
22 any reason to doubt that this document produced by 14:07	22 something that Steve Comstock would have 14:18
23 ASHRAE as Bates number ASHRAE0003496 is not an 14:07	 known. He's the one that deals with the 14:10
24 authentic document? 14:07	24 standards and the cost. 14:14
25 A No. 14:07	25 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Are you personally aware of 14:15
Page 122	Page 124
1 Q And could you describe what this document is? 14:00	1 any instances where individuals have complained about 14:17
2 A It appears to be a presentation on the 14:02	2 the cost of of the the 90.1 standard being too 14:11
3 marketing task group's meeting report from June 26, 14:06	3 high? 14:16
4 2004. 14:00	4 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the scope 14:16
5 Q On the second page of this document, it 14:08	5 again. Ms. Reiniche is not being deposed in 14:17
6 refers to "increased number of states using or" 14:00	6 her personal capacity. 14:10
7 referring "referencing 90.1." And that's under 14:05	7 THE WITNESS: No, I'm not. 14:13
8 "Good News." Why would that be good news? 14:08	8 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Two pages after that, on 14:13
9 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the 14:01	9 ASHRAE003502, it says, "Some recognized risks." And 14:16
10characterization of the document.14:02	10 then in the middle of that page, it says, "Code 14:11
11 THE WITNESS: It would be good news 14:06	11 proposals that are more stringent than 90.1 viewed as a 14:13
12 because people are using our product, and 14:07	12 significant risk to our standing in the marketplace. 14:19
13that's part of the EPAct.14:00	13 Others are not passive." 14:12
14 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And then it says, "However, 14:03	14Do you know what that refers to?14:14
15 these, 'adoptions' and references are almost all due to 14:04	15 A That means that there's been code proposals 14:10
16 adoption of the I-codes, not the direct adoption of 14:00	16 submitted to to the IECC that are more stringent 14:13
17 90.1." 14:05	17 than 90.1. 14:18
18 Do you know what they're referring to by 14:01	18 Q Do you know how ASHRAE responded to this 14:17
19 I-codes? 14:02	19 perceived risk that there were code proposals submitted 14:12
20 A Yes. 14:03	20 that were more stringent than 90.1? 14:15
21 Q And what is that? 14:04	21 A If a code proposal that was submitted was 14:10
22 A That would be the IECC. 14:05	22 more stringent than 90.1, then ASHRAE would have spoke 14:13
23 Q And so does that mean that when the IECC is 14:03	23 most likely against that proposal, depending on 14:18
24 adopted, 90 ASHRAE Standard 90.1 is adopted as well 14:07	24 there's a lot of factors that that would have been 14:14
25 because it's an equivalent? 14:07 Page 123	25 dependent on. It would have depended on what part, 14:17 Page 125

32 (Pages 122 - 125)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 34 of 98

1 what portion of 90.1 it was commented on or, you know, 14:11	1 Q And is that are you one of the recipients 14:12
2 how it was developed, that type of thing. 14:16	2 of this email? 14:17
3 Q And then two pages later on ASHRAE0003506, it 14:14	3 A Yes. 14:19
4 then has "Recommendations," and then parentheses 14:10	4 Q And what is this email? 14:14
5 "repeated." And the first three recommendations are 14:14	5 A This is an email on having a discussion about 14:16
6 "Make it free, make it beautiful, and make it 14:17	6 DOE comparing the IECC and 90.1 as equivalent. 14:14
7 electronic." 14:10	7 Q At the bottom of near the bottom of the 14:18
8 Do you understand this as referring to ASHRAE 14:15	8 page, Ryan Colker writes, "Folks, we are seeing some 14:13
9 90.1? 14:17	9 indications from DOE that they are beginning to see the 14:18
10 A Yes. 14:17	10 IECC and 90.1 as equivalent (i.e., states can be in 14:11
11 Q Three pages later on ASHRAE0003509, it says, 14:17	11 compliance if they adopt the IECC without the reference 14:11
12 "Paradigm Shift Issues." And then it says, "Decide if 14:15	12 to 90.1.) As you can guess, this could have 14:14
13 we want to continue to live in this code minimum 14:19	13 significant impact on the future of 90.1." 14:10
14 world." And "code minimum" is underlined. 14:12	14 What did Mr. Colker mean by that? 14:17
15 Do you know what this is referring to with 14:16	15 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 14:10
16 the term "code minimum world"?14:18	16 THE WITNESS: That he's concerned that 14:13
17 A That means, you know, a minimum level for 14:15	17 if it's found to be equivalent, that it could 14:17
18 your the development of in 90.1, energy 14:17	18 mean that the IECC would be referenced in 14:19
19 efficiency, or do you want to go beyond the code. 14:12	19 EPAct instead of 90.1. 14:13
20 Q I'm sorry, what do you mean by "go beyond the 14:14	20 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And who is Ryan Colker? 14:17
21 code"? 14:17	21 A Well, at that time, he was the manager of 14:16
22 A Maybe towards the towards the development 14:18	22 government affairs for ASHRAE. 14:18
23 of green standards or green codes. 14:10	23 Q Do you know if Mr. Colker is still at ASHRAE? 14:17
24 Q And how do green standards and green codes 14:14	24 A He is not. 14:20
25 differ from Standard 90.1?14:16	25 Q Do you know when he left ASHRAE? 14:20
Page 126	Page 128
1 A Green codes and green standards typically are 14:14	1 A I want to say sometime in 2010. 14:25
1 A Green codes and green standards typically are 14:14 2 not cost cost justified, where you can 14:16	1AI want to say sometime in 2010.14:252QAnd Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by14:25
2 not cost cost justified, where you can 14:16	2 Q And Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by 14:25
2 not cost cost cost justified, where you can 14:16 3 propose doing some technology technological thing 14:12	2 Q And Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by 14:25 3 saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a 14:21
 2 not cost cost cost justified, where you can 14:16 3 propose doing some technology technological thing 14:12 4 that's expensive that, you know, a normal building 14:16 	 2 Q And Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by 14:25 3 saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a 14:21 4 stance and clearly delineate the differences and 14:25
 2 not cost cost cost justified, where you can 14:16 3 propose doing some technology technological thing 14:12 4 that's expensive that, you know, a normal building 14:16 5 person wouldn't want to put you know, building owner 14:11 	2 Q And Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by 14:25 3 saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a 14:21 4 stance and clearly delineate the differences and 14:25 5 differentiate ourselves from the ICEC. Doug." 14:28
 2 not cost cost justified, where you can 14:16 3 propose doing some technology technological thing 14:12 4 that's expensive that, you know, a normal building 14:16 5 person wouldn't want to put you know, building owner 14:11 6 wouldn't want to put in their building versus a 14:14 	2QAnd Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by14:253saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a14:214stance and clearly delineate the differences and14:255differentiate ourselves from the ICEC. Doug."14:286Did do you think that Mr. Read meant the14:25
 2 not cost cost justified, where you can 14:16 3 propose doing some technology technological thing 14:12 4 that's expensive that, you know, a normal building 14:16 5 person wouldn't want to put you know, building owner 14:11 6 wouldn't want to put in their building versus a 14:14 7 minimum, which is not only is it energy efficient, but 14:18 	2QAnd Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by14:253saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a14:214stance and clearly delineate the differences and14:255differentiate ourselves from the ICEC. Doug."14:286Did do you think that Mr. Read meant the14:257IECC?14:28
 2 not cost cost justified, where you can 14:16 3 propose doing some technology technological thing 14:12 4 that's expensive that, you know, a normal building 14:16 5 person wouldn't want to put you know, building owner 14:11 6 wouldn't want to put in their building versus a 14:14 7 minimum, which is not only is it energy efficient, but 14:18 8 it's cost cost effective. 14:11 	2QAnd Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by14:253saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a14:214stance and clearly delineate the differences and14:255differentiate ourselves from the ICEC. Doug."14:286Did do you think that Mr. Read meant the14:257IECC?14:288AYes.14:20
 2 not cost cost justified, where you can 14:16 3 propose doing some technology technological thing 14:12 4 that's expensive that, you know, a normal building 14:16 5 person wouldn't want to put you know, building owner 14:11 6 wouldn't want to put in their building versus a 14:14 7 minimum, which is not only is it energy efficient, but 14:18 8 it's cost cost effective. 14:11 9 THE COURT REPORTER: A building owner 14:12 	2QAnd Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by14:253saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a14:214stance and clearly delineate the differences and14:255differentiate ourselves from the ICEC. Doug."14:286Did do you think that Mr. Read meant the14:257IECC?14:288AYes.14:209QAnd has ASHRAE taken a stance and clearly14:22
 2 not cost cost cost justified, where you can 14:16 3 propose doing some technology technological thing 14:12 4 that's expensive that, you know, a normal building 14:16 5 person wouldn't want to put you know, building owner 14:11 6 wouldn't want to put in their building versus a 14:14 7 minimum, which is not only is it energy efficient, but 14:18 8 it's cost cost effective. 14:11 9 THE COURT REPORTER: A building owner 14:12 10 would want to put in their building? 14:13 11 THE WITNESS: Would not want to put in 14:17 12 their building. 14:17 	2QAnd Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by14:253saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a14:214stance and clearly delineate the differences and14:255differentiate ourselves from the ICEC. Doug."14:286Did do you think that Mr. Read meant the14:257IECC?14:288AYes.14:209QAnd has ASHRAE taken a stance and clearly14:2210delineated the differences between ASHRAE Standard 90.114:2811and the IECC?14:2412AWe have not done a comparison between the two14:27
 2 not cost cost justified, where you can 14:16 3 propose doing some technology technological thing 14:12 4 that's expensive that, you know, a normal building 14:16 5 person wouldn't want to put you know, building owner 14:11 6 wouldn't want to put in their building versus a 14:14 7 minimum, which is not only is it energy efficient, but 14:18 8 it's cost cost effective. 14:11 9 THE COURT REPORTER: A building owner 14:12 10 would want to put in their building? 14:13 11 THE WITNESS: Would not want to put in 14:17 12 their building. 14:17 13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) At the bottom of that page, 14:17 	2QAnd Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by14:253saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a14:214stance and clearly delineate the differences and14:255differentiate ourselves from the ICEC. Doug."14:286Did do you think that Mr. Read meant the14:257IECC?14:288AYes.14:209QAnd has ASHRAE taken a stance and clearly14:2210delineated the differences between ASHRAE Standard 90.114:2811and the IECC?14:2412AWe have not done a comparison between the two14:2713line for line, no.14:23
 2 not cost cost cost justified, where you can 14:16 3 propose doing some technology technological thing 14:12 4 that's expensive that, you know, a normal building 14:16 5 person wouldn't want to put you know, building owner 14:11 6 wouldn't want to put in their building versus a 14:14 7 minimum, which is not only is it energy efficient, but 14:18 8 it's cost cost effective. 14:11 9 THE COURT REPORTER: A building owner 14:12 10 would want to put in their building? 14:13 11 THE WITNESS: Would not want to put in 14:17 12 their building. 14:17 	2QAnd Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by14:253saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a14:214stance and clearly delineate the differences and14:255differentiate ourselves from the ICEC. Doug."14:286Did do you think that Mr. Read meant the14:257IECC?14:288AYes.14:209QAnd has ASHRAE taken a stance and clearly14:2210delineated the differences between ASHRAE Standard 90.114:2811and the IECC?14:2412AWe have not done a comparison between the two14:2713line for line, no.14:2314QWas that what ASHRAE was considering doing,14:26
 2 not cost cost justified, where you can 14:16 3 propose doing some technology technological thing 14:12 4 that's expensive that, you know, a normal building 14:16 5 person wouldn't want to put you know, building owner 14:11 6 wouldn't want to put in their building versus a 14:14 7 minimum, which is not only is it energy efficient, but 14:18 8 it's cost cost effective. 14:11 9 THE COURT REPORTER: A building owner 14:12 10 would want to put in their building? 14:13 11 THE WITNESS: Would not want to put in 14:17 12 their building. 14:17 13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) At the bottom of that page, 14:17 14 it says, "How long will we have our EPAct advantage?" 14:18 15 What is ASHRAE's EPAct advantage? 14:12 	2QAnd Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by14:253saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a14:214stance and clearly delineate the differences and14:255differentiate ourselves from the ICEC. Doug."14:286Did do you think that Mr. Read meant the14:257IECC?14:288AYes.14:209QAnd has ASHRAE taken a stance and clearly14:2210delineated the differences between ASHRAE Standard 90.114:2811and the IECC?14:2412AWe have not done a comparison between the two14:2713line for line, no.14:2314QWas that what ASHRAE was considering doing,14:2615was doing a line-for-line comparison between the IECC14:29
 2 not cost cost justified, where you can 14:16 3 propose doing some technology technological thing 14:12 4 that's expensive that, you know, a normal building 14:16 5 person wouldn't want to put you know, building owner 14:11 6 wouldn't want to put in their building versus a 14:14 7 minimum, which is not only is it energy efficient, but 14:18 8 it's cost cost effective. 14:11 9 THE COURT REPORTER: A building owner 14:12 10 would want to put in their building? 14:13 11 THE WITNESS: Would not want to put in 14:17 12 their building. 14:17 13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) At the bottom of that page, 14:17 14 it says, "How long will we have our EPAct advantage?" 14:18 15 What is ASHRAE's EPAct advantage? 14:17 16 A That were referenced in the EPAct over 14:17 	2QAnd Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by14:253saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a14:214stance and clearly delineate the differences and14:255differentiate ourselves from the ICEC. Doug."14:286Did do you think that Mr. Read meant the14:257IECC?14:288AYes.14:209QAnd has ASHRAE taken a stance and clearly14:2210delineated the differences between ASHRAE Standard 90.114:2811and the IECC?14:2412AWe have not done a comparison between the two14:2713line for line, no.14:2314QWas that what ASHRAE was considering doing,14:2615was doing a line-for-line comparison between the IECC14:2916and ASHRAE Standard 90.1?14:25
 2 not cost cost justified, where you can 14:16 3 propose doing some technology technological thing 14:12 4 that's expensive that, you know, a normal building 14:16 5 person wouldn't want to put you know, building owner 14:11 6 wouldn't want to put in their building versus a 14:14 7 minimum, which is not only is it energy efficient, but 14:18 8 it's cost cost effective. 14:11 9 THE COURT REPORTER: A building owner 14:12 10 would want to put in their building? 14:13 11 THE WITNESS: Would not want to put in 14:17 12 their building. 14:17 13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) At the bottom of that page, 14:17 14 it says, "How long will we have our EPAct advantage?" 14:18 15 What is ASHRAE's EPAct advantage? 14:12 16 A That were referenced in the EPAct over 14:17 17 another minimum energy efficient commercial building 14:12 	2QAnd Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by14:253saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a14:214stance and clearly delineate the differences and14:255differentiate ourselves from the ICEC. Doug."14:286Did do you think that Mr. Read meant the14:257IECC?14:288AYes.14:209QAnd has ASHRAE taken a stance and clearly14:2210delineated the differences between ASHRAE Standard 90.114:2811and the IECC?14:2412AWe have not done a comparison between the two14:2713line for line, no.14:2314QWas that what ASHRAE was considering doing,14:2615was doing a line-for-line comparison between the IECC14:2916and ASHRAE Standard 90.1?14:2517AThey discussed it.14:27
 2 not cost cost justified, where you can 14:16 3 propose doing some technology technological thing 14:12 4 that's expensive that, you know, a normal building 14:16 5 person wouldn't want to put you know, building owner 14:11 6 wouldn't want to put in their building versus a 14:14 7 minimum, which is not only is it energy efficient, but 14:18 8 it's cost cost effective. 14:11 9 THE COURT REPORTER: A building owner 14:12 10 would want to put in their building? 14:13 11 THE WITNESS: Would not want to put in 14:17 12 their building. 14:17 13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) At the bottom of that page, 14:17 14 it says, "How long will we have our EPAct advantage?" 14:18 15 What is ASHRAE's EPAct advantage? 14:12 16 A That were referenced in the EPAct over 14:17 17 another minimum energy efficient commercial building 14:12 18 code. 14:15 	2QAnd Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by14:253saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a14:214stance and clearly delineate the differences and14:255differentiate ourselves from the ICEC. Doug."14:286Did do you think that Mr. Read meant the14:257IECC?14:288AYes.14:209QAnd has ASHRAE taken a stance and clearly14:2210delineated the differences between ASHRAE Standard 90.114:2811and the IECC?14:2412AWe have not done a comparison between the two14:2713line for line, no.14:2314QWas that what ASHRAE was considering doing,14:2615was doing a line-for-line comparison between the IECC14:2916and ASHRAE Standard 90.1?14:2517AThey discussed it.14:2718QHas ASHRAE done anything else to14:20
 2 not cost cost justified, where you can 14:16 3 propose doing some technology technological thing 14:12 4 that's expensive that, you know, a normal building 14:16 5 person wouldn't want to put you know, building owner 14:11 6 wouldn't want to put in their building versus a 14:14 7 minimum, which is not only is it energy efficient, but 14:18 8 it's cost cost effective. 14:11 9 THE COURT REPORTER: A building owner 14:12 10 would want to put in their building? 14:13 11 THE WITNESS: Would not want to put in 14:17 12 their building. 14:17 13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) At the bottom of that page, 14:17 14 it says, "How long will we have our EPAct advantage?" 14:18 15 What is ASHRAE's EPAct advantage? 14:12 16 A That were referenced in the EPAct over 14:17 17 another minimum energy efficient commercial building 14:12 18 code. 14:15 19 (Exhibit 1160 marked for identification.) 14:13 	2QAnd Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by14:253saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a14:214stance and clearly delineate the differences and14:255differentiate ourselves from the ICEC. Doug."14:286Did do you think that Mr. Read meant the14:257IECC?14:288AYes.14:209QAnd has ASHRAE taken a stance and clearly14:2210delineated the differences between ASHRAE Standard 90.114:2811and the IECC?14:2412AWe have not done a comparison between the two14:2713line for line, no.14:2314QWas that what ASHRAE was considering doing,14:2615was doing a line-for-line comparison between the IECC14:2916and ASHRAE Standard 90.1?14:2517AThey discussed it.14:2718QHas ASHRAE done anything else to14:2019differentiate Standard 90.1 from the IECC in the minds14:25
 2 not cost cost justified, where you can 14:16 3 propose doing some technology technological thing 14:12 4 that's expensive that, you know, a normal building 14:16 5 person wouldn't want to put you know, building owner 14:11 6 wouldn't want to put in their building versus a 14:14 7 minimum, which is not only is it energy efficient, but 14:18 8 it's cost cost effective. 14:11 9 THE COURT REPORTER: A building owner 14:12 10 would want to put in their building? 14:13 11 THE WITNESS: Would not want to put in 14:17 12 their building. 14:17 13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) At the bottom of that page, 14:17 14 it says, "How long will we have our EPAct advantage?" 14:18 15 What is ASHRAE's EPAct advantage? 14:12 16 A That were referenced in the EPAct over 14:17 17 another minimum energy efficient commercial building 14:12 18 code. 14:15 19 (Exhibit 1160 marked for identification.) 14:13 20 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 14:13 	2QAnd Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by14:253saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a14:214stance and clearly delineate the differences and14:255differentiate ourselves from the ICEC. Doug."14:286Did do you think that Mr. Read meant the14:257IECC?14:288AYes.14:209QAnd has ASHRAE taken a stance and clearly14:2210delineated the differences between ASHRAE Standard 90.114:2811and the IECC?14:2412AWe have not done a comparison between the two14:2713line for line, no.14:2314QWas that what ASHRAE was considering doing,14:2615was doing a line-for-line comparison between the IECC14:2916and ASHRAE Standard 90.1?14:2517AThey discussed it.14:2718QHas ASHRAE done anything else to14:2019differentiate Standard 90.1 from the IECC in the minds14:2520of the public?14:22
 2 not cost cost justified, where you can 14:16 3 propose doing some technology technological thing 14:12 4 that's expensive that, you know, a normal building 14:16 5 person wouldn't want to put you know, building owner 14:11 6 wouldn't want to put in their building versus a 14:14 7 minimum, which is not only is it energy efficient, but 14:18 8 it's cost cost effective. 14:11 9 THE COURT REPORTER: A building owner 14:12 10 would want to put in their building? 14:13 11 THE WITNESS: Would not want to put in 14:17 12 their building. 14:17 13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) At the bottom of that page, 14:17 14 it says, "How long will we have our EPAct advantage?" 14:18 15 What is ASHRAE's EPAct advantage? 14:12 16 A That were referenced in the EPAct over 14:17 17 another minimum energy efficient commercial building 14:12 18 code. 14:15 19 (Exhibit 1160 marked for identification.) 14:13 20 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 14:13 21 marked as Exhibit 1160. This is Bates number 14:14 	2QAnd Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by14:253saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a14:214stance and clearly delineate the differences and14:255differentiate ourselves from the ICEC. Doug."14:286Did do you think that Mr. Read meant the14:257IECC?14:288AYes.14:209QAnd has ASHRAE taken a stance and clearly14:2210delineated the differences between ASHRAE Standard 90.114:2811and the IECC?14:241212AWe have not done a comparison between the two14:2713line for line, no.14:2314QWas that what ASHRAE was considering doing,14:2615was doing a line-for-line comparison between the IECC14:2916and ASHRAE Standard 90.1?14:2517AThey discussed it.14:2718QHas ASHRAE done anything else to14:2019differentiate Standard 90.1 from the IECC in the minds14:2520of the public?14:2221MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.14:24
 2 not cost cost justified, where you can 14:16 3 propose doing some technology technological thing 14:12 4 that's expensive that, you know, a normal building 14:16 5 person wouldn't want to put you know, building owner 14:11 6 wouldn't want to put in their building versus a 14:14 7 minimum, which is not only is it energy efficient, but 14:18 8 it's cost cost effective. 14:11 9 THE COURT REPORTER: A building owner 14:12 10 would want to put in their building? 14:13 11 THE WITNESS: Would not want to put in 14:17 12 their building. 14:17 13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) At the bottom of that page, 14:17 14 it says, "How long will we have our EPAct advantage?" 14:18 15 What is ASHRAE's EPAct advantage? 14:12 16 A That were referenced in the EPAct over 14:17 17 another minimum energy efficient commercial building 14:12 18 code. 14:15 19 (Exhibit 1160 marked for identification.) 14:13 20 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 14:13 21 marked as Exhibit 1160. This is Bates number 14:14 22 ASHRAE0025561. 14:10 	2QAnd Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by14:253saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a14:214stance and clearly delineate the differences and14:255differentiate ourselves from the ICEC. Doug."14:286Did do you think that Mr. Read meant the14:257IECC?14:288AYes.14:209QAnd has ASHRAE taken a stance and clearly14:2210delineated the differences between ASHRAE Standard 90.114:2811and the IECC?14:2412AWe have not done a comparison between the two14:2713line for line, no.14:2314QWas that what ASHRAE was considering doing,14:2615was doing a line-for-line comparison between the IECC14:2916and ASHRAE Standard 90.1?14:2517AThey discussed it.14:2718QHas ASHRAE done anything else to14:2019differentiate Standard 90.1 from the IECC in the minds14:2520of the public?14:2221MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.14:2422THE WITNESS: I am not aware we've done14:28
2 not cost cost justified, where you can14:163 propose doing some technology technological thing14:124 that's expensive that, you know, a normal building14:165 person wouldn't want to put you know, building owner14:116 wouldn't want to put in their building versus a14:147 minimum, which is not only is it energy efficient, but14:188 it's cost cost effective.14:119 THE COURT REPORTER: A building owner14:1210 would want to put in their building?14:1311 THE WITNESS: Would not want to put in14:1712 their building.14:1713 Q (BY MR. BECKER) At the bottom of that page,14:1714 it says, "How long will we have our EPAct advantage?"14:1815 What is ASHRAE's EPAct advantage?14:1216 A That were referenced in the EPAct over14:1717 another minimum energy efficient commercial building14:1218 code.14:1519 (Exhibit 1160 marked for identification.)14:1320 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been14:1422 ASHRAE0025561.14:1023 A Okay.14:15	2QAnd Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by14:253saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a14:214stance and clearly delineate the differences and14:255differentiate ourselves from the ICEC. Doug."14:286Did do you think that Mr. Read meant the14:257IECC?14:288AYes.14:209QAnd has ASHRAE taken a stance and clearly14:2210delineated the differences between ASHRAE Standard 90.114:2811and the IECC?14:2412AWe have not done a comparison between the two14:2713line for line, no.14:2314QWas that what ASHRAE was considering doing,14:2615was doing a line-for-line comparison between the IECC14:2916and ASHRAE Standard 90.1?14:2517AThey discussed it.14:2718QHas ASHRAE done anything else to14:2019differentiate Standard 90.1 from the IECC in the minds14:2520of the public?14:2221MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.14:2422THE WITNESS: I am not aware we've done14:2823anything in the eyes of the public. We've14:21
2 not cost cost justified, where you can14:163 propose doing some technology technological thing14:124 that's expensive that, you know, a normal building14:165 person wouldn't want to put you know, building owner14:116 wouldn't want to put in their building versus a14:147 minimum, which is not only is it energy efficient, but14:188 it's cost cost effective.14:119 THE COURT REPORTER: A building owner14:1210 would want to put in their building?14:1311 THE WITNESS: Would not want to put in14:1712 their building.14:1713 Q (BY MR. BECKER) At the bottom of that page,14:1714 it says, "How long will we have our EPAct advantage?"14:1815 What is ASHRAE's EPAct advantage?14:1216 A That were referenced in the EPAct over14:1717 another minimum energy efficient commercial building14:1218 code.14:1519 (Exhibit 1160 marked for identification.)14:1321 marked as Exhibit 1160. This is Bates number14:1422 ASHRAE0025561.14:1023 A Okay.14:1524 Q Do you recognize this document, Ms. Reiniche?14:10	2QAnd Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by14:253saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a14:214stance and clearly delineate the differences and14:255differentiate ourselves from the ICEC. Doug."14:286Did do you think that Mr. Read meant the14:257IECC?14:288AYes.14:209QAnd has ASHRAE taken a stance and clearly14:2210delineated the differences between ASHRAE Standard 90.114:2811and the IECC?14:2412AWe have not done a comparison between the two14:2713line for line, no.14:2314QWas that what ASHRAE was considering doing,14:2615was doing a line-for-line comparison between the IECC14:2916and ASHRAE Standard 90.1?14:2517AThey discussed it.14:2718QHas ASHRAE done anything else to14:2019differentiate Standard 90.1 from the IECC in the minds14:2520of the public?14:2221MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.14:2422THE WITNESS: I am not aware we've done14:2823anything in the eyes of the public. We've14:2124probably had discussions where we pointed out14:23
2 not cost cost justified, where you can14:163 propose doing some technology technological thing14:124 that's expensive that, you know, a normal building14:165 person wouldn't want to put you know, building owner14:116 wouldn't want to put in their building versus a14:147 minimum, which is not only is it energy efficient, but14:188 it's cost cost effective.14:119 THE COURT REPORTER: A building owner14:1210 would want to put in their building?14:1311 THE WITNESS: Would not want to put in14:1712 their building.14:1713 Q (BY MR. BECKER) At the bottom of that page,14:1714 it says, "How long will we have our EPAct advantage?"14:1815 What is ASHRAE's EPAct advantage?14:1216 A That were referenced in the EPAct over14:1717 another minimum energy efficient commercial building14:1218 code.14:1519 (Exhibit 1160 marked for identification.)14:1321 marked as Exhibit 1160. This is Bates number14:1422 ASHRAE0025561.14:1023 A Okay.14:15	2QAnd Doug Read responded to Ryan Colker by14:253saying, "I echo Ryan's concern. I suggest we take a14:214stance and clearly delineate the differences and14:255differentiate ourselves from the ICEC. Doug."14:286Did do you think that Mr. Read meant the14:257IECC?14:288AYes.14:209QAnd has ASHRAE taken a stance and clearly14:2210delineated the differences between ASHRAE Standard 90.114:2811and the IECC?14:2412AWe have not done a comparison between the two14:2713line for line, no.14:2314QWas that what ASHRAE was considering doing,14:2615was doing a line-for-line comparison between the IECC14:2916and ASHRAE Standard 90.1?14:2517AThey discussed it.14:2718QHas ASHRAE done anything else to14:2019differentiate Standard 90.1 from the IECC in the minds14:2520of the public?14:2221MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form.14:2422THE WITNESS: I am not aware we've done14:2823anything in the eyes of the public. We've14:21

33 (Pages 126 - 129)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 35 of 98

1 used to develop the two documents, but it's 14:29	1 MR. BECKER: It has not been asked. 14:27
2 not been, like, you know, a press release or 14:21	2 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Okay. I are you 14:27
3 something like that. 14:25	3 is your position here that someone who, by 14:27
4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Was ASHRAE concerned that if 14:23	4 virtue of being on one of the committees, 14:27
5 the IECC and ASHRAE's Standard 90.1 were seen as 14:20	5 essentially speaks for ASHRAE, such that you 14:27
6 equivalent, it would mean that there would be less 14:25	6 can ask Ms. Reiniche to interpret their 14:27
7 incorporation of standard ASHRAE Standard 90.1 into 14:20	7 their documents? 14:27
8 laws and regulations throughout the United States? 14:26	8 MR. BECKER: Well, if Ms. Reiniche has 14:27
9 A It would depend on if the EPAct changed. 14:23	9 an understanding of what this might mean, 14:27
10 If if the reference changed. That's possible. 14:26	10 particularly because of her senior role with 14:27
11 Q Would that mean that if if the EPAct 14:20	11 regards to the development of these standards 14:27
12 changed 14:23	12 and so forth, then I would hope that 14:28
13 A If the reference in the EPAct was changed 14:23	13 Ms. Reiniche can provide that information. 14:28
14 from 90.1 to the IECC. 14:26	14 I think that a document such as this 14:28
15 Q Then that would mean there would be less 14:22	15 discussing ASHRAE 90.1 2010 falls well within 14:28
16 adoption of Standard 90.1 into the laws and regulations 14:25	16 topic number 1 that she's been designated on. 14:28
17 of jurisdictions in the United States? 14:20	17 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm sorry, Ms. Reiniche. 14:28
18 A It's possible, yes. 14:24	18 Let's see. I asked why do you think there was a 14:28
19 Q And was ASHRAE concerned about that? 14:26	19 distinction between this growing period and mature 14:28
20 A If if that changed, they were. They were 14:23	20 maturity period for Standard 90.1? 14:28
21 concerned if it changed, yes. 14:29	21 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Same objection. 14:28
22 Q Did it change? 14:21	22 THE WITNESS: I think because the 14:29
23 A No. 14:23	23 growing period, there's one time frame where 14:29
24 Q Did the DOE ever publicly weigh the option of 14:22	24 I think they pulled residential out. I think 14:29
25 changing the EPAct to IECC instead of ASHRAE 90.1? 14:22	25 at one time it included residential. It does 14:29
Page 130	Page 132
1 A Not that I'm aware of, there hasn't been 14:22	1 not include low-rise residential. 1999 would 14:23
2 anything published where they were going to do that 14:25	2 have been when we started continuous 14:28
3 (Exhibit 1161 marked for identification) 14:21	3 maintenance. 14:21
4 Q (BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing 14:29	4 Without seeing Mr. Skalko's notes on 14:23
5 you what's been marked as Exhibit 1161 This is Bates 14:20	5 this, but based on my knowledge, I think that 14:26
6 number ASHRAE0005677 Do you recognize this document? 14:25	6 these periods are times that in the 14:29
7 A Yes 14:29	7 maturity period shows greater energy savings, 14:23
8 Q Could you tell me what this document is? 14:24	8 and that's what he's trying to demonstrate in 14:27
9 A This is a presentation given by Stephen 14:27	9 that timeline. 14:20
10 Skalko, who is the chair of 90 1, at a conference on 14:21	10 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Do you know who Mr. Steven 14:21
11 energy codes 2010 14:27	11 V. Skalko is? 14:23
12 THE COURT REPORTER: Say that again 14:27	12 A Yes. 14:25
13 "Who is the chair of 90 1," and 14:27	13 Q And who is he? 14:25
14 THE WITNESS: It's at given at an 14:27	14 A He is the past chair of 90.1. I think he 14:26
15 energy codes conference in 2010 at in 14:24	15 started after the 2010 version published. 14:23
16 Charlotte, North Carolina 14:28	16 Q And do you have any idea how long Mr. Skalko 14:32
17 Q (BY MR BECKER) On page 3 of this document, 14:23	17 has been a member of ASHRAE? 14:30
18 Bates number ASHRAE0005679, it says at the top, 14:29	18 A I would have to look in the ASHRAE records, 14:32
19 "Standard 90 1 Timeline," and it delineates between the 14:25	19 but he's been a member longer than I've been there, so 14:35
20 growing period from 1970 to 1999, and then the maturity 14:22	20 over 11 years. 14:38
21 period from 1999 to 2010 What does that mean? 14:20	21 Q And for as long as you know, has Mr. Skalko 14:32
22 MR CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form 14:22	22 worked on ASHRAE 90.1? 14:36
23 Matt, do we know if the Steven person, do we 14:23	23 A Yes. 14:32
24 know if he's an ASHRAE staff member? Do 14:28	24 Q And would you say that Mr. Skalko is is 14:32
25 we was that asked at any point? 14:21	25 would you say that Mr. Skalko knows a good deal about 14:39
Page 131	Page 133

34 (Pages 130 - 133)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 36 of 98

1 ASHRAE Standard 90.1? 14:36	1 I don't know why they would choose to do that. I mean, 14:38
2 A Yes. 14:38	2 my my guess is their goals are the same as ours, 14:31
3 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 14:38	3 energy efficient buildings in the United States. So I 14:36
4 (Exhibit 1162 marked for identification.) 14:34	4 would assume they would enter MOUs with whatever 14:38
5 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 14:34	5 organizations would help them reach that goal. 14:32
6 marked as Exhibit 1162. This is Bates number 14:35	6 Q How is it that ASHRAE 90.1 came to be 14:35
7 ASHRAE0026233. Do you recognize this document? 14:34	7 incorporated into EPAct? 14:30
8 A Yes. 14:38	8 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to form. 14:36
9 Q And could you tell me what this document is? 14:39	9 THE WITNESS: I am not positive on how 14:37
10 A This is a Memorandum of Understanding between 14:31	10 that came about. I would have to check. 14:39
11 the Department of Energy and ASHRAE. It's not dated, 14:34	11 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Did ASHRAE staff meet with 14:39
12 but I would guess it's sometime in 2007 time frame, 14:35	12 the Department of Energy to help facilitate the 14:36
13 because that's when Terry Townsend was president of 14:39	13 incorporation of ASHRAE Standard 90.1 into EPAct? 14:31
14 ASHRAE. 14:34	14 A I have I'll have to go back and double 14:36
15 Q And is this a signed copy of the Memorandum 14:35	15 check in my records to see. 14:39
16 of Understanding between the DOE and ASHRAE? 14:39	16 Q Would it be customary for ASHRAE staff to 14:30
17 A Yes. 14:34	17 meet with members of the Department of Energy prior to 14:37
18 Q Has ASHRAE had multiple Memorandums of 14:35	18 the incorporation of ASHRAE Standard 90.1 into EPAct? 14:32
19 Understanding with the Department of Energy? 14:30	19 A It would be customary for ASHRAE staff with 14:38
20 A Yes. 14:32	20 ASHRAE volunteer leadership to go to when they were 14:32
	20 ASTRAE voluteer readening to go to when they were 14.52 21 requesting that type of thing. 14:36
22 Memorandums of Understanding with the Department of 14:39	22 (Exhibit 1163 marked for identification.) 14:39
23 Energy? 14:32	23 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 14:32
24 A After EPAct was when 90.1 was made a 14:34	24 marked as Exhibit 1163. This is Bates number 14:33
25 reference in EPAct. 14:38 Page 134	25 ASHRAE0024558. Do you recognize this document? 14:38 Page 136
	Tage 150
1 Q Could you remind me of when that was? 14:30	1 A Yes. 14:36
1QCould you remind me of when that was?14:302AI think it was '9914:32	2 Q And what is this document? 14:39
	2QAnd what is this document?14:393AThis is a presentation that would have been14:30
2 A I think it was '99 14:32	 2 Q And what is this document? 14:39 3 A This is a presentation that would have been 14:30 4 made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter 14:32
2 A I think it was '99 14:32 3 Q Okay 14:34	2QAnd what is this document?14:393AThis is a presentation that would have been14:30
2 A I think it was '99 14:32 3 Q Okay 14:34 4 A Around that time frame 14:34	 2 Q And what is this document? 14:39 3 A This is a presentation that would have been 14:30 4 made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter 14:32
2AI think it was '9914:323QOkay14:344AAround that time frame14:345QWas that soon after EPAct?14:35	 2 Q And what is this document? 14:39 3 A This is a presentation that would have been 14:30 4 made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter 14:32 5 technology and transfer committee. I think that's what 14:33
2AI think it was '9914:323QOkay14:344AAround that time frame14:345QWas that soon after EPAct?14:356AI would have to look14:31	 2 Q And what is this document? 14:39 3 A This is a presentation that would have been 14:30 4 made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter 14:32 5 technology and transfer committee. I think that's what 14:33 6 CTTC stands for. And it would have been made in at 14:36
2AI think it was '9914:323QOkay14:344AAround that time frame14:345QWas that soon after EPAct?14:356AI would have to look14:317QHas ASHRAE ASHRAE and the DOE continued to 14:36	 2 Q And what is this document? 14:39 3 A This is a presentation that would have been 14:30 4 made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter 14:32 5 technology and transfer committee. I think that's what 14:33 6 CTTC stands for. And it would have been made in at 14:36 7 the ASHRAE meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico, on 14:41
 2 A I think it was '99 14:32 3 Q Okay 14:34 4 A Around that time frame 14:34 5 Q Was that soon after EPAct? 14:35 6 A I would have to look 14:31 7 Q Has ASHRAE ASHRAE and the DOE continued to 14:36 8 enter into memorandums of understanding to the present 14:33 	2 Q And what is this document? 14:39 3 A This is a presentation that would have been 14:30 4 made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter 14:32 5 technology and transfer committee. I think that's what 14:33 6 CTTC stands for. And it would have been made in at 14:36 7 the ASHRAE meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico, on 14:41 8 June 26, 2010. 14:47
2 A I think it was '99 14:32 3 Q Okay 14:34 4 A Around that time frame 14:34 5 Q Was that soon after EPAct? 14:35 6 A I would have to look 14:31 7 Q Has ASHRAE ASHRAE and the DOE continued to 14:36 8 enter into memorandums of understanding to the present 14:33 9 day? 14:36	2QAnd what is this document?14:393AThis is a presentation that would have been14:304made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter14:325technology and transfer committee. I think that's what14:336CTTC stands for. And it would have been made in at14:367the ASHRAE meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico, on14:418June 26, 2010.14:479QAnd what is the purpose of this document, to14:42
2AI think it was '9914:323QOkay14:344AAround that time frame14:345QWas that soon after EPAct?14:356AI would have to look14:317QHas ASHRAE ASHRAE and the DOE continued to 14:368enter into memorandums of understanding to the present14:339day?14:3610AYes14:37	2QAnd what is this document?14:393AThis is a presentation that would have been14:304made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter14:325technology and transfer committee. I think that's what14:336CTTC stands for. And it would have been made in at14:367the ASHRAE meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico, on14:418June 26, 2010.14:479QAnd what is the purpose of this document, to14:4210your understanding?14:44
2AI think it was '9914:323QOkay14:344AAround that time frame14:345QWas that soon after EPAct?14:356AI would have to look14:317QHas ASHRAE ASHRAE and the DOE continued to 14:368enter into memorandums of understanding to the present14:339day?14:3610AYes14:3711QHow regularly do they do so?14:37	2QAnd what is this document?14:393AThis is a presentation that would have been14:304made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter14:325technology and transfer committee. I think that's what14:336CTTC stands for. And it would have been made in at14:367the ASHRAE meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico, on14:418June 26, 2010.14:479QAnd what is the purpose of this document, to14:4210your understanding?14:4411AThe purpose of this document is for the D.C.14:45
2 A I think it was '99 14:32 3 Q Okay 14:34 4 A Around that time frame 14:34 5 Q Was that soon after EPAct? 14:35 6 A I would have to look 14:31 7 Q Has ASHRAE ASHRAE and the DOE continued to 14:36 8 enter into memorandums of understanding to the present 14:33 9 day? 14:36 10 A Yes 14:37 11 Q How regularly do they do so? 14:37 12 A It it varies It depended it depends 14:32	 Q And what is this document? 14:39 A This is a presentation that would have been 14:30 4 made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter 14:32 5 technology and transfer committee. I think that's what 14:33 6 CTTC stands for. And it would have been made in at 14:36 7 the ASHRAE meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico, on 14:41 8 June 26, 2010. 14:47 9 Q And what is the purpose of this document, to 14:42 10 your understanding? 14:44 11 A The purpose of this document is for the D.C. 14:45 12 office just to let the CTCC [sic] and tech council know 14:49
2AI think it was '9914:323QOkay14:344AAround that time frame14:345QWas that soon after EPAct?14:356AI would have to look14:317QHas ASHRAE ASHRAE and the DOE continued to 14:368enter into memorandums of understanding to the present14:339day?14:3610AYes14:3711QHow regularly do they do so?14:3712AIt it variesIt depended it depends14:3213on who's at who's in charge at the Department of14:35	2QAnd what is this document?14:393AThis is a presentation that would have been14:304made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter14:325technology and transfer committee. I think that's what14:336CTTC stands for. And it would have been made in at14:367the ASHRAE meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico, on14:418June 26, 2010.14:479QAnd what is the purpose of this document, to14:4210your understanding?14:4411AThe purpose of this document is for the D.C.14:4512office just to let the CTCC [sic] and tech council know14:4913what they've been doing in D.C.14:44
2AI think it was '9914:323QOkay14:344AAround that time frame14:345QWas that soon after EPAct?14:356AI would have to look14:317QHas ASHRAE ASHRAE and the DOE continued to 14:368enter into memorandums of understanding to the present14:339day?14:3610AYes14:3711QHow regularly do they do so?14:3712AIt it varies It depended it depends14:3213on who's at who's in charge at the Department of14:3514EnergyWe were just working on a new oneI'm not14:38	2QAnd what is this document?14:393AThis is a presentation that would have been14:304made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter14:325technology and transfer committee. I think that's what14:336CTTC stands for. And it would have been made in at14:367the ASHRAE meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico, on14:418June 26, 2010.14:479QAnd what is the purpose of this document, to14:4210your understanding?14:4411AThe purpose of this document is for the D.C.14:4512office just to let the CTCC [sic] and tech council know14:4913what they've been doing in D.C.14:4414QOn the third page of Bates number14:45
2AI think it was '9914:323QOkay14:344AAround that time frame14:345QWas that soon after EPAct?14:356AI would have to look14:317QHas ASHRAE ASHRAE and the DOE continued to 14:368enter into memorandums of understanding to the present14:339day?14:3610AYes14:3711QHow regularly do they do so?14:3712AIt it varies It depended it depends14:3213on who's at who's in charge at the Department of14:3514EnergyWe were just working on a new oneI'm not15positive if it's signed yet14:33	2QAnd what is this document?14:393AThis is a presentation that would have been14:304made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter14:325technology and transfer committee. I think that's what14:336CTTC stands for. And it would have been made in at14:367the ASHRAE meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico, on14:418June 26, 2010.14:479QAnd what is the purpose of this document, to14:4210your understanding?14:4411AThe purpose of this document is for the D.C.14:4512office just to let the CTCC [sic] and tech council know14:4913what they've been doing in D.C.14:4414QOn the third page of Bates number14:4515ASHRAE0024560 titled "Participation Coalitions," it14:48
2AI think it was '9914:323QOkay14:344AAround that time frame14:345QWas that soon after EPAct?14:356AI would have to look14:317QHas ASHRAE ASHRAE and the DOE continued to 14:368enter into memorandums of understanding to the present14:339day?14:3610AYes14:3711QHow regularly do they do so?14:3712AIt it varies It depended it depends14:3213on who's at who's in charge at the Department of14:3514EnergyWe were just working on a new oneI'm not15positive if it's signed yet14:3316QIs this typically an annual event?14:37	2QAnd what is this document?14:393AThis is a presentation that would have been14:304made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter14:325technology and transfer committee. I think that's what14:336CTTC stands for. And it would have been made in at14:367the ASHRAE meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico, on14:418June 26, 2010.14:479QAnd what is the purpose of this document, to14:4210your understanding?14:4411AThe purpose of this document is for the D.C.14:4512office just to let the CTCC [sic] and tech council know14:4913what they've been doing in D.C.14:4414QOn the third page of Bates number14:4515ASHRAE0024560 titled "Participation Coalitions," it14:4516says, "High-Performance Building Congressional Caucus14:4517Coalition," in parentheses, "ask your representative to14:49
2AI think it was '9914:323QOkay14:344AAround that time frame14:345QWas that soon after EPAct?14:356AI would have to look14:317QHas ASHRAE ASHRAE and the DOE continued to 14:368enter into memorandums of understanding to the present14:339day?14:3610AYes14:3711QHow regularly do they do so?14:3712AIt it variesIt depended it depends14:3213on who's at who's in charge at the Department of14:3514EnergyWe were just working on a new one14:3815positive if it's signed yet14:3316QIs this typically an annual event?14:3418couple of years14:36	2QAnd what is this document?14:393AThis is a presentation that would have been14:304made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter14:325technology and transfer committee. I think that's what14:336CTTC stands for. And it would have been made in at14:367the ASHRAE meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico, on14:418June 26, 2010.14:479QAnd what is the purpose of this document, to14:4210your understanding?14:4411AThe purpose of this document is for the D.C.14:4512office just to let the CTCC [sic] and tech council know14:4913what they've been doing in D.C.14:4414QOn the third page of Bates number14:4515ASHRAE0024560 titled "Participation Coalitions," it14:4516says, "High-Performance Building Congressional Caucus14:4918join."14:43
2AI think it was '9914:323QOkay14:344AAround that time frame14:345QWas that soon after EPAct?14:356AI would have to look14:317QHas ASHRAE ASHRAE and the DOE continued to 14:368enter into memorandums of understanding to the present14:339day?14:3610AYes14:3711QHow regularly do they do so?14:3712AIt it varies It depended it depends14:3213on who's at who's in charge at the Department of14:3514EnergyWe were just working on a new oneI'm not14:3815positive if it's signed yet14:3316QIs this typically an annual event?14:3418couple of years14:3619QAnd is it sometimes an annual event, though?14:37	2QAnd what is this document?14:393AThis is a presentation that would have been14:304made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter14:325technology and transfer committee. I think that's what14:336CTTC stands for. And it would have been made in at14:367the ASHRAE meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico, on14:418June 26, 2010.14:479QAnd what is the purpose of this document, to14:4210your understanding?14:4411AThe purpose of this document is for the D.C.14:4512office just to let the CTCC [sic] and tech council know14:4913what they've been doing in D.C.14:4414QOn the third page of Bates number14:4515ASHRAE0024560 titled "Participation Coalitions," it14:4816says, "High-Performance Building Congressional Caucus14:4918join."14:4319What is that referring to?14:44
2AI think it was '9914:323QOkay14:344AAround that time frame14:345QWas that soon after EPAct?14:356AI would have to look14:317QHas ASHRAE ASHRAE and the DOE continued to 14:368enter into memorandums of understanding to the present14:339day?14:3610AYes11QHow regularly do they do so?14:3712AIt it variesIt depended it depends14:3213on who's at who's in charge at the Department of14:3514EnergyWe were just working on a new oneI'm not14:3815positive if it's signed yet14:3316QIs this typically an annual event?14:3418couple of years14:3619QAnd is it sometimes an annual event, though?14:3720ASometimes14:39	2QAnd what is this document?14:393AThis is a presentation that would have been14:304made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter14:325technology and transfer committee. I think that's what14:336CTTC stands for. And it would have been made in at14:367the ASHRAE meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico, on14:418June 26, 2010.14:479QAnd what is the purpose of this document, to14:4210your understanding?14:4411AThe purpose of this document is for the D.C.14:4512office just to let the CTCC [sic] and tech council know14:4913what they've been doing in D.C.14:4414QOn the third page of Bates number14:4515ASHRAE0024560 titled "Participation Coalitions," it14:4816says, "High-Performance Building Congressional Caucus14:4918join."14:4319What is that referring to?14:4420AThere is a high-performance building caucus14:45
2AI think it was '9914:323QOkay14:344AAround that time frame14:345QWas that soon after EPAct?14:356AI would have to look14:317QHas ASHRAE ASHRAE and the DOE continued to 14:368enter into memorandums of understanding to the present14:339day?14:3610AYes14:3711QHow regularly do they do so?14:3712AIt it variesIt depended it depends14:3213on who's at who's in charge at the Department of14:3514EnergyWe were just working on a new oneI'm not14:3815positive if it's signed yet14:3316QIs this typically an annual event?14:3717ANot always annualSometimes it's every14:3418couple of years14:3619QAnd is it sometimes an annual event, though?14:3720ASometimes14:3921QAnd why would the why would the leadership14:32	2QAnd what is this document?14:393AThis is a presentation that would have been14:304made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter14:325technology and transfer committee. I think that's what14:336CTTC stands for. And it would have been made in at14:367the ASHRAE meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico, on14:418June 26, 2010.14:479QAnd what is the purpose of this document, to14:4210your understanding?14:4411AThe purpose of this document is for the D.C.14:4512office just to let the CTCC [sic] and tech council know14:4913what they've been doing in D.C.14:4414QOn the third page of Bates number14:4515ASHRAE0024560 titled "Participation Coalitions," it14:4816says, "High-Performance Building Congressional Caucus14:4517Coalition," in parentheses, "ask your representative to14:4519What is that referring to?14:4420AThere is a high-performance building caucus14:4521coalition that meets in D.C.It deals with high14:40
2AI think it was '9914:323QOkay14:344AAround that time frame14:345QWas that soon after EPAct?14:356AI would have to look14:317QHas ASHRAE ASHRAE and the DOE continued to 14:368enter into memorandums of understanding to the present14:339day?14:3610AYes14:3711QHow regularly do they do so?14:3712AIt it variesIt depended it depends14:3213on who's at who's in charge at the Department of14:3514EnergyWe were just working on a new one14:3815positive if it's signed yet14:3316QIs this typically an annual event?14:3418couple of years14:3619QAnd is it sometimes an annual event, though?14:3720ASometimes14:3921QAnd why would the why would the leadership14:3222of the Department of Energy reflect or change whether14:33	2QAnd what is this document?14:393AThis is a presentation that would have been14:304made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter14:325technology and transfer committee. I think that's what14:336CTTC stands for. And it would have been made in at14:367the ASHRAE meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico, on14:418June 26, 2010.14:479QAnd what is the purpose of this document, to14:4210your understanding?14:4411AThe purpose of this document is for the D.C.14:4512office just to let the CTCC [sic] and tech council know14:4913what they've been doing in D.C.14:4414QOn the third page of Bates number14:4515ASHRAE0024560 titled "Participation Coalitions," it14:4816says, "High-Performance Building Congressional Caucus14:4918join."14:4319What is that referring to?14:4420AThere is a high-performance building caucus14:4521coalition that meets in D.C.It deals with high14:4022performance buildings. Beyond that would be beyond14:44
2 A I think it was '99 14:32 3 Q Okay 14:34 4 A Around that time frame 14:34 5 Q Was that soon after EPAct? 14:35 6 A I would have to look 14:31 7 Q Has ASHRAE ASHRAE and the DOE continued to 14:36 8 enter into memorandums of understanding to the present 14:33 9 day? 14:36 10 A Yes 14:37 11 Q How regularly do they do so? 14:37 12 A It it varies It depended it depends 14:32 13 on who's at who's in charge at the Department of 14:35 14 Energy We were just working on a new one 1'm not 14:38 15 positive if it's signed yet 14:33 16 Q Is this typically an annual event? 14:34 18 couple of years 14:36 14:37 17 A Not always annual Sometimes it's every 14:37 18 couple of years 14:36 <	2QAnd what is this document?14:393AThis is a presentation that would have been14:304made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter14:325technology and transfer committee. I think that's what14:336CTTC stands for. And it would have been made in at14:367the ASHRAE meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico, on14:418June 26, 2010.14:479QAnd what is the purpose of this document, to14:4210your understanding?14:4411AThe purpose of this document is for the D.C.14:4512office just to let the CTCC [sic] and tech council know14:4913what they've been doing in D.C.14:4414QOn the third page of Bates number14:4515ASHRAE0024560 titled "Participation Coalitions," it14:4816says, "High-Performance Building Congressional Caucus14:4517Coalition," in parentheses, "ask your representative to14:4918join."14:4319What is that referring to?14:4420AThere is a high-performance building caucus14:4521coalition that meets in D.C.It deals with high14:4022performance buildings. Beyond that would be beyond14:4423the minimum code.14:47
2 A I think it was '99 14:32 3 Q Okay 14:34 4 A Around that time frame 14:34 5 Q Was that soon after EPAct? 14:35 6 A I would have to look 14:31 7 Q Has ASHRAE ASHRAE and the DOE continued to 14:36 8 enter into memorandums of understanding to the present 14:33 9 day? 14:36 10 A Yes 14:37 11 Q How regularly do they do so? 14:37 12 A It it varies It depended it depends 14:32 13 on who's at who's in charge at the Department of 14:35 14 Energy We were just working on a new one 14:35 15 positive if it's signed yet 14:33 16 Q Is this typically an annual event? 14:34 18 couple of years 14:36 19 Q And is it sometimes an annual event, though? 14:37 20 A Sometimes 14:39 <	2QAnd what is this document?14:393AThis is a presentation that would have been14:304made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter14:325technology and transfer committee. I think that's what14:336CTTC stands for. And it would have been made in at14:367the ASHRAE meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico, on14:418June 26, 2010.14:479QAnd what is the purpose of this document, to14:4210your understanding?14:4411AThe purpose of this document is for the D.C.14:4512office just to let the CTCC [sic] and tech council know14:4913what they've been doing in D.C.14:4414QOn the third page of Bates number14:4515ASHRAE0024560 titled "Participation Coalitions," it14:4816says, "High-Performance Building Congressional Caucus14:4517Coalition," in parentheses, "ask your representative to14:4918join."14:4319What is that referring to?14:4420AThere is a high-performance building caucus14:4421coalition that meets in D.C.It deals with high14:4423the minimum code.14:4724QAre these and who composes this coalition?14:40
2 A I think it was '99 14:32 3 Q Okay 14:34 4 A Around that time frame 14:34 5 Q Was that soon after EPAct? 14:35 6 A I would have to look 14:31 7 Q Has ASHRAE ASHRAE and the DOE continued to 14:36 8 enter into memorandums of understanding to the present 14:33 9 day? 14:36 10 A Yes 14:37 11 Q How regularly do they do so? 14:37 12 A It it varies It depended it depends 14:32 13 on who's at who's in charge at the Department of 14:35 14 Energy We were just working on a new one I'm not 14:38 15 positive if it's signed yet 14:33 16 Q Is this typically an annual event? 14:34 18 couple of years 14:36 14:37 17 A Not always annual Sometimes it's every 14:37 18 couple of years 14:36 <td>2QAnd what is this document?14:393AThis is a presentation that would have been14:304made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter14:325technology and transfer committee. I think that's what14:336CTTC stands for. And it would have been made in at14:367the ASHRAE meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico, on14:418June 26, 2010.14:479QAnd what is the purpose of this document, to14:4210your understanding?14:4411AThe purpose of this document is for the D.C.14:4512office just to let the CTCC [sic] and tech council know14:4913what they've been doing in D.C.14:4414QOn the third page of Bates number14:4515ASHRAE0024560 titled "Participation Coalitions," it14:4816says, "High-Performance Building Congressional Caucus14:4517Coalition," in parentheses, "ask your representative to14:4918join."14:4319What is that referring to?14:4420AThere is a high-performance building caucus14:4421coalition that meets in D.C.It deals with high14:4422performance buildings. Beyond that would be beyond14:4423the minimum code.14:47</td>	2QAnd what is this document?14:393AThis is a presentation that would have been14:304made by the D.C. office to tech council and the chapter14:325technology and transfer committee. I think that's what14:336CTTC stands for. And it would have been made in at14:367the ASHRAE meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico, on14:418June 26, 2010.14:479QAnd what is the purpose of this document, to14:4210your understanding?14:4411AThe purpose of this document is for the D.C.14:4512office just to let the CTCC [sic] and tech council know14:4913what they've been doing in D.C.14:4414QOn the third page of Bates number14:4515ASHRAE0024560 titled "Participation Coalitions," it14:4816says, "High-Performance Building Congressional Caucus14:4517Coalition," in parentheses, "ask your representative to14:4918join."14:4319What is that referring to?14:4420AThere is a high-performance building caucus14:4421coalition that meets in D.C.It deals with high14:4422performance buildings. Beyond that would be beyond14:4423the minimum code.14:47

35 (Pages 134 - 137)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 37 of 98

1 developers that are in part of it. It might even be in 14:41	1 A Yeah, about I'm not sure they still meet 14:47
2 here, it tells you. There's a there's a long list. 14:46	2 or how often they still meet. I think it was about 14:40
3 I don't have that memorized. I don't know if it oh, 14:41	3 once a month. 14:42
4 if you turn to page that says ASHRAE0024575, that 14:47	4 Q Do they meet individually with the members of 14:49
5 shows you who's on the high what groups are involved 14:45	5 Congress or as a larger group? 14:41
6 in the high-performance building congressional caucus 14:40	6 A If they're doing it as the high-performance 14:45
7 at that time. It includes the representatives and then 14:45	7 building congressional caucus, they're meeting as a 14:48
8 the different standards developers that are involved. 14:47	8 group. If they're advancing something within their 14:41
9 Q The code chairs and members that are listed 14:44	9 organization, then the supporting coalition would 14:44
10 on that page you just referenced, are these all members 14:46	10 probably be meeting individual with representatives. 14:47
11 of Congress? 14:49	11 Q On page ASHRAE0024568, it says, 14:45
12 A Yes. 14:40	12 "Legislation," with an image of the capitol building, 14:43
13 Q What's the purpose of participation in the 14:47	13 and then it says, "ASHRAE Washington, D.C." 14:48
14 high-performance building congressional caucus? 14:40	14 A Um-hmm. 14:43
15 A It's really pro promoting doing things for 14:43	15 Q Then on the following page it says, "American 14:43
16 high-performance buildings, so stretch codes, green 14:47	16 Clean Energy and Security Act, HR 2454 a/k/a 14:46
17 standards, pushing the envelope to make things even 14:41	17 Waxman-Markey, sets national building code energy 14:42
18 more energy efficient than the minimum code. 14:49	18 efficiency targets." 14:49
19 Q And is this for the purpose of influencing 14:42	19 Then two bullet points down it says, "Uses 14:42
20 these members of Congress so as to have them 14:46	20 ASHRAE 90.1-2004 and" E "IECC 2006 as baselines." 14:45
21 incorporate these standards into the law? 14:41	21 Does ASHRAE advocate for the use of earlier 14:48
22 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form. 14:47	22 versions of 90.1 in Standard excuse me, in in 14:45
23 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 14:48	23 laws or regulations? 14:44
24 Q (BY MR. BECKER) What's the purpose of having 14:40	A That's not what this refers to. 14:44
25 the members of Congress involved? 14:41	25 Q What does that refer to? 14:46
Page 138	Page 140
1 A Education. 14:44	1 A This refers to as part of EPAct the 14:48
2 Q And what is the benefit of educating the 14:45	2 Department of Energy is required to determine how much 14:41
3 members of Congress? 14:48	3 more energy efficient 90.1 and when they're talking 14:45
4 A So they understand things when things do come 14:49	4 about the IECC 2006, they're talking about the 14:49
5 before them when they're making a decision of what to 14:42	5 residential code. That's where that falls in there. 14:44
6 incorporate into law. 14:44	6 And they want to do it set it at a 14:48
7 Q So it's to help them with their decisions 14:46	7 baseline, so each consecutive every three years. So 14:41
8 to as to what to incorporate into reference into the 14:49	8 for example, 90.1-2007 has to be more energy efficient 14:44
8 to as to what to incorporate into reference into the 14:49 9 law? 14:42	
	8 for example, 90.1-2007 has to be more energy efficient 14:44
9 law? 14:42	8 for example, 90.1-2007 has to be more energy efficient 14:44 9 than so much more energy efficient than 90.1-2004 14:49
9 law? 14:42 10 A Correct. 14:43	8 for example, 90.1-2007 has to be more energy efficient 14:44 9 than so much more energy efficient than 90.1-2004 14:49 10 They measure that. If it's not more energy efficient, 14:44
9 law?14:4210ACorrect.11QAnd how does the high-performance building14:49	8 for example, 90.1-2007 has to be more energy efficient 14:44 9 than so much more energy efficient than 90.1-2004 14:49 10 They measure that. If it's not more energy efficient, 14:44 11 then they wouldn't adopt that. And then for 90.1-2010. 14:49
9 law?14:4210ACorrect.14:4311QAnd how does the high-performance building14:4912congressional caucus and the supporting coalition14:44	8 for example, 90.1-2007 has to be more energy efficient 14:44 9 than so much more energy efficient than 90.1-2004 14:49 10 They measure that. If it's not more energy efficient, 14:44 11 then they wouldn't adopt that. And then for 90.1-2010. 14:49 12 They are just taking a baseline target to measure the 14:40
9 law? 14:42 10 A Correct. 14:43 11 Q And how does the high-performance building 14:49 12 congressional caucus and the supporting coalition 14:44 13 educate these members of Congress on issues related to 14:40	8 for example, 90.1-2007 has to be more energy efficient 14:44 9 than so much more energy efficient than 90.1-2004 14:49 10 They measure that. If it's not more energy efficient, 14:44 11 then they wouldn't adopt that. And then for 90.1-2010. 14:49 12 They are just taking a baseline target to measure the 14:40 13 amount of energy efficiency so that it's not in flux 14:43
9 law?14:4210ACorrect.11QAnd how does the high-performance building14:4912congressional caucus and the supporting coalition14:4413educate these members of Congress on issues related to14:4014incorporating these excuse me, incorporating14:46	8 for example, 90.1-2007 has to be more energy efficient 14:44 9 than so much more energy efficient than 90.1-2004 14:49 10 They measure that. If it's not more energy efficient, 14:44 11 then they wouldn't adopt that. And then for 90.1-2010. 14:49 12 They are just taking a baseline target to measure the 14:40 13 amount of energy efficiency so that it's not in flux 14:43 14 and cause market confusion. 14:48
9 law?14:4210ACorrect.11QAnd how does the high-performance building14:4912congressional caucus and the supporting coalition14:4413educate these members of Congress on issues related to14:4014incorporating these excuse me, incorporating14:4615standards into the law?14:40	8 for example, 90.1-2007 has to be more energy efficient 14:44 9 than so much more energy efficient than 90.1-2004 14:49 10 They measure that. If it's not more energy efficient, 14:44 11 then they wouldn't adopt that. And then for 90.1-2010. 14:49 12 They are just taking a baseline target to measure the 14:40 13 amount of energy efficiency so that it's not in flux 14:43 14 and cause market confusion. 14:48 15 And the same with the IECC. That's but 14:40
9 law?14:4210A Correct.14:4311Q And how does the high-performance building14:4912congressional caucus and the supporting coalition14:4413educate these members of Congress on issues related to14:4014incorporating these excuse me, incorporating14:4615standards into the law?14:4016MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the14:43	8for example, 90.1-2007 has to be more energy efficient14:449than so much more energy efficient than 90.1-200414:4910They measure that. If it's not more energy efficient, 14:4411then they wouldn't adopt that. And then for 90.1-2010.14:4912They are just taking a baseline target to measure the14:4013amount of energy efficiency so that it's not in flux14:4314and cause market confusion.14:4815And the same with the IECC.That's but14:4016the IECC refers only to the residential, not to14:42
9 law?14:4210ACorrect.11QAnd how does the high-performance building14:4912congressional caucus and the supporting coalition14:4413educate these members of Congress on issues related to14:4014incorporating these excuse me, incorporating14:4615standards into the law?14:4016MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the14:4317characterization of the prior testimony.14:45	8for example, 90.1-2007 has to be more energy efficient14:449than so much more energy efficient than 90.1-200414:4910They measure that. If it's not more energy efficient, 14:4411then they wouldn't adopt that. And then for 90.1-2010.14:4912They are just taking a baseline target to measure the14:4013amount of energy efficiency so that it's not in flux14:4314and cause market confusion.14:4815And the same with the IECC.That's but16the IECC refers only to the residential, not to14:4217commercial in this instance.14:46
9 law?14:4210A Correct.14:4311Q And how does the high-performance building14:4912congressional caucus and the supporting coalition14:4413educate these members of Congress on issues related to14:4014incorporating these excuse me, incorporating14:4615standards into the law?14:4016MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the14:4317characterization of the prior testimony.14:4518THE WITNESS: They my recollection14:47	8for example, 90.1-2007 has to be more energy efficient14:449than so much more energy efficient than 90.1-200414:4910They measure that. If it's not more energy efficient, 14:4411then they wouldn't adopt that. And then for 90.1-2010.14:4912They are just taking a baseline target to measure the14:4013amount of energy efficiency so that it's not in flux14:4314and cause market confusion.14:4815And the same with the IECC.That's but14:4016the IECC refers only to the residential, not to14:4217commercial in this instance.14:4618QDoes ASHRAE 90.1-2004 refer only to14:44
9 law?14:4210ACorrect.11QAnd how does the high-performance building14:4912congressional caucus and the supporting coalition14:4413educate these members of Congress on issues related to14:4014incorporating these excuse me, incorporating14:4615standards into the law?14:4016MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the14:4317characterization of the prior testimony.14:4518THE WITNESS: They my recollection14:4719from the presentations made by the D.C.14:49	8for example, 90.1-2007 has to be more energy efficient14:449than so much more energy efficient than 90.1-200414:4910They measure that. If it's not more energy efficient, 14:4411then they wouldn't adopt that. And then for 90.1-2010.14:4912They are just taking a baseline target to measure the14:4013amount of energy efficiency so that it's not in flux14:4314and cause market confusion.14:4815And the same with the IECC.That's but14:4016the IECC refers only to the residential, not to14:4217commercial in this instance.14:4618QDoes ASHRAE 90.1-2004 refer only to14:4419residential and not commercial in this instance?14:49
9 law?14:4210A Correct.14:4311Q And how does the high-performance building14:4912congressional caucus and the supporting coalition14:4413educate these members of Congress on issues related to14:4014incorporating these excuse me, incorporating14:4615standards into the law?14:4016MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the14:4317characterization of the prior testimony.14:4518THE WITNESS: They my recollection14:4719from the presentations made by the D.C.14:4920office was that they they have a lunch,14:42	 8 for example, 90.1-2007 has to be more energy efficient 14:44 9 than so much more energy efficient than 90.1-2004 14:49 10 They measure that. If it's not more energy efficient, 14:44 11 then they wouldn't adopt that. And then for 90.1-2010. 14:49 12 They are just taking a baseline target to measure the 14:40 13 amount of energy efficiency so that it's not in flux 14:43 14 and cause market confusion. 14:48 15 And the same with the IECC. That's but 14:40 16 the IECC refers only to the residential, not to 14:42 17 commercial in this instance. 14:46 18 Q Does ASHRAE 90.1-2004 refer only to 14:44 19 residential and not commercial in this instance? 14:49 20 A No, 90.1-2004 is commercial. There's two 14:41
9 law?14:4210A Correct.14:4311Q And how does the high-performance building14:4912congressional caucus and the supporting coalition14:4413educate these members of Congress on issues related to14:4014incorporating these excuse me, incorporating14:4615standards into the law?14:4016MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the14:4317characterization of the prior testimony.14:4518THE WITNESS: They my recollection14:4719from the presentations made by the D.C.14:4920office was that they they have a lunch,14:4221they sit there and they talk, sometimes they14:45	 8 for example, 90.1-2007 has to be more energy efficient 14:44 9 than so much more energy efficient than 90.1-2004 14:49 10 They measure that. If it's not more energy efficient, 14:44 11 then they wouldn't adopt that. And then for 90.1-2010. 14:49 12 They are just taking a baseline target to measure the 14:40 13 amount of energy efficiency so that it's not in flux 14:43 14 and cause market confusion. 14:48 15 And the same with the IECC. That's but 14:40 16 the IECC refers only to the residential, not to 14:42 17 commercial in this instance. 14:46 18 Q Does ASHRAE 90.1-2004 refer only to 14:44 19 residential and not commercial in this instance? 14:49 20 A No, 90.1-2004 is commercial. There's two 14:41 21 codes in that reference, two different codes. 14:44 22 Q So in this instance, if you had a a 14:47
9 law?14:4210A Correct.14:4311Q And how does the high-performance building14:4912congressional caucus and the supporting coalition14:4413educate these members of Congress on issues related to14:4014incorporating these excuse me, incorporating14:4615standards into the law?14:4016MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the14:4317characterization of the prior testimony.14:4518THE WITNESS: They my recollection14:4719from the presentations made by the D.C.14:4920office was that they they have a lunch,14:4521they sit there and they talk, sometimes they14:4522have an educational session; things like14:47	 8 for example, 90.1-2007 has to be more energy efficient 14:44 9 than so much more energy efficient than 90.1-2004 14:49 10 They measure that. If it's not more energy efficient, 14:44 11 then they wouldn't adopt that. And then for 90.1-2010. 14:49 12 They are just taking a baseline target to measure the 14:40 13 amount of energy efficiency so that it's not in flux 14:43 14 and cause market confusion. 14:48 15 And the same with the IECC. That's but 14:40 16 the IECC refers only to the residential, not to 14:42 17 commercial in this instance. 14:46 18 Q Does ASHRAE 90.1-2004 refer only to 14:44 19 residential and not commercial in this instance? 14:49 20 A No, 90.1-2004 is commercial. There's two 14:41 21 codes in that reference, two different codes. 14:44 22 Q So in this instance, if you had a a 14:47
9 law?14:4210A Correct.14:4311Q And how does the high-performance building14:4912congressional caucus and the supporting coalition14:4413educate these members of Congress on issues related to14:4014incorporating these excuse me, incorporating14:4615standards into the law?14:4016MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the14:4317characterization of the prior testimony.14:4518THE WITNESS: They my recollection14:4719from the presentations made by the D.C.14:4920office was that they they have a lunch,14:4521they sit there and they talk, sometimes they14:4522have an educational session; things like14:4723that.14:40	 8 for example, 90.1-2007 has to be more energy efficient 14:44 9 than so much more energy efficient than 90.1-2004 14:49 10 They measure that. If it's not more energy efficient, 14:44 11 then they wouldn't adopt that. And then for 90.1-2010. 14:49 12 They are just taking a baseline target to measure the 14:40 13 amount of energy efficiency so that it's not in flux 14:43 14 and cause market confusion. 14:48 15 And the same with the IECC. That's but 14:40 16 the IECC refers only to the residential, not to 14:42 17 commercial in this instance. 14:46 18 Q Does ASHRAE 90.1-2004 refer only to 14:44 19 residential and not commercial in this instance? 14:49 20 A No, 90.1-2004 is commercial. There's two 14:41 21 codes in that reference, two different codes. 14:44 22 Q So in this instance, if you had a a 14:47 23 commercial building, then ASHRAE 90.1-2004 would be the 14:41

36 (Pages 138 - 141)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 38 of 98

1 Q How am I mistaken? 14:45	1 the but we explained that once you as you get 14:50
2 A What this proposed legislation was, was to 14:48	2 above when you're going between the 30 and the 50 14:53
3 set the baseline for which the DOE uses to make the 14:43	3 percent, it gets more and more difficult to have 14:55
4 determination on whether or not the next version of 14:47	4 cost-effective equipment and things like that and in 14:58
5 90.1 is more energy efficient. So this was proposing 14:40	5 there. So it it wasn't put in the law. 14:52
6 to use 90.1-2004 as the benchmark for each subsequent 14:44	6 Q On page ASHRAE0024581, it says, "Additional 14:58
7 version of 90.1. 14:48	7 Washington office activities." And it says for the 14:56
8 And then and that's only commercial. And 14:40	8 third major bullet point, "Building code adoptions," 14:56
9 then the IECC is for residential. They're referenced 14:43	9 and then under that, "Standard 90.1 and Standard 14:50
10 as the residential. What's being advocated here is 14:48	10 189.1/IGCC promotion." 14:54
11 that you use the IECC 2006 as the baseline for each 14:41	11 Does this mean that the Washington office was 14:50
12 subsequent version of the IECC for residential moving 14:46	12 engaged in promoting the adoption of Standard 90.1 into 14:54
13 forward as for energy efficiency. 14:49	13 building codes? 14:50
13131414141414QOkay. Does the IECC itself refer to14:42	14 A I don't remember. And without seeing it, if 14:55
	15 he he didn't have notes with it, so I don't think it 14:59
16 buildings? 14:42	16 was at a building code level. I think that's something 14:52
17 A There there's different I-codes within the 14:46	17 they were talking about expanding in the grassroots. 14:57
18 IECC. So there's the IRC, which is residential, but 14:40	18 That was not done at that time. 14:52
19 it's part of the whole body of codes. So the IECC for 14:43	19 Q So that's something is that something 14:53
20 residential is just the energy efficiency stuff for 14:40	20 that's done in at this time? 14:55
21 residential home residential stuff. 14:44	21 A We have started a grassroots program to reach 14:51
22 Q On the following page, it says, "American 14:55	22 out when we are made aware of references to to 14:53
23 Clean Energy Leadership Act, S.1462." It says, 14:58	23 different standards. And we could ask volunteers in 14:58
24 "Introduced by Senator Jeff Bingaman, D-NM. Updates 14:51	24 those jurisdictions to go. 14:52
25 national building energy codes and standards at least 14:58 Page 142	25 Q And when you say "a grassroots program," who 14:53 Page 144
1 every three years to achieve target energy savings of," 14:51	1 is involved in the grassroots program? 14:57
 every three years to achieve target energy savings of," 14:51 and then it four bullet points down from that, it 14:55 	1 is involved in the grassroots program?14:572AIt's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters14:50
2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it 14:55	2 A It's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters 14:50
 2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it 14:55 3 says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model 14:50 	2 A It's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters 14:50 3 within each state, and then each you know, there's 14:52
 2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it 14:55 3 says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model 14:50 4 codes will not meet targets, DOE will propose or 14:55 	 A It's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters 14:50 3 within each state, and then each you know, there's 14:52 4 multiple chapters within a state. So whoever is 14:55
 2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it 14:55 3 says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model 14:50 4 codes will not meet targets, DOE will propose or 14:55 5 establish a modified code or standard that meets the 14:58 	2 A It's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters 14:50 3 within each state, and then each you know, there's 14:52 4 multiple chapters within a state. So whoever is 14:55 5 closest to wherever the decision is being made. 14:58
 2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it 14:55 3 says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model 14:50 4 codes will not meet targets, DOE will propose or 14:55 5 establish a modified code or standard that meets the 14:58 6 above targets. Uses 90.1-2004 as baseline for 14:50 	 A It's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters 14:50 3 within each state, and then each you know, there's 14:52 4 multiple chapters within a state. So whoever is 14:55 5 closest to wherever the decision is being made. 14:58 6 Q And so this is the grassroots program 14:52
 2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it 14:55 3 says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model 14:50 4 codes will not meet targets, DOE will propose or 14:55 5 establish a modified code or standard that meets the 14:58 6 above targets. Uses 90.1-2004 as baseline for 14:50 7 commercial buildings IECC 2006 for residential." 14:56 	 A It's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters 14:50 within each state, and then each you know, there's 14:52 multiple chapters within a state. So whoever is 14:55 closest to wherever the decision is being made. 14:58 Q And so this is the grassroots program 14:52 works to advocate for building code adoptions 14:59
 2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it 14:55 3 says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model 14:50 4 codes will not meet targets, DOE will propose or 14:55 5 establish a modified code or standard that meets the 14:58 6 above targets. Uses 90.1-2004 as baseline for 14:50 7 commercial buildings IECC 2006 for residential." 14:56 8 When it references "Uses 90.1-2004 as 14:59 	 A It's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters 14:50 3 within each state, and then each you know, there's 14:52 4 multiple chapters within a state. So whoever is 14:55 5 closest to wherever the decision is being made. 14:58 6 Q And so this is the grassroots program 14:52 7 works to advocate for building code adoptions 14:59 8 adoptions of Standard 90.1 into building codes 14:54
 2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it 14:55 3 says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model 14:50 4 codes will not meet targets, DOE will propose or 14:55 5 establish a modified code or standard that meets the 14:58 6 above targets. Uses 90.1-2004 as baseline for 14:50 7 commercial buildings IECC 2006 for residential." 14:56 8 When it references "Uses 90.1-2004 as 14:59 9 baseline for commercial buildings," is that in the same 14:53 	2AIt's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters14:503within each state, and then each you know, there's14:524multiple chapters within a state. So whoever is14:555closest to wherever the decision is being made.14:586QAnd so this is the grassroots program14:527works to advocate for building code adoptions14:598adoptions of Standard 90.1 into building codes14:549MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.14:58
 2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it 14:55 3 says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model 14:50 4 codes will not meet targets, DOE will propose or 14:55 5 establish a modified code or standard that meets the 14:58 6 above targets. Uses 90.1-2004 as baseline for 14:50 7 commercial buildings IECC 2006 for residential." 14:56 8 When it references "Uses 90.1-2004 as 14:59 9 baseline for commercial buildings," is that in the same 14:53 10 capacity as the reference on the prior page that you 14:56 	2AIt's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters14:503within each state, and then each you know, there's14:524multiple chapters within a state. So whoever is14:555closest to wherever the decision is being made.14:586QAnd so this is the grassroots program14:527works to advocate for building code adoptions14:598adoptions of Standard 90.1 into building codes14:549MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.14:5810Q(BY MR. BECKER) in states and local14:59
 2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it 14:55 3 says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model 14:50 4 codes will not meet targets, DOE will propose or 14:55 5 establish a modified code or standard that meets the 14:58 6 above targets. Uses 90.1-2004 as baseline for 14:50 7 commercial buildings IECC 2006 for residential." 14:56 8 When it references "Uses 90.1-2004 as 14:59 9 baseline for commercial buildings," is that in the same 14:53 10 capacity as the reference on the prior page that you 14:56 11 were just referring to? 14:59 	2AIt's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters14:503within each state, and then each you know, there's14:524multiple chapters within a state. So whoever is14:555closest to wherever the decision is being made.14:586QAnd so this is the grassroots program14:527works to advocate for building code adoptions14:598adoptions of Standard 90.1 into building codes14:549MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.14:5810Q(BY MR. BECKER) in states and local14:5911governments?14:51
 2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it 14:55 3 says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model 14:50 4 codes will not meet targets, DOE will propose or 14:55 5 establish a modified code or standard that meets the 14:58 6 above targets. Uses 90.1-2004 as baseline for 14:50 7 commercial buildings IECC 2006 for residential." 14:56 8 When it references "Uses 90.1-2004 as 14:59 9 baseline for commercial buildings," is that in the same 14:53 10 capacity as the reference on the prior page that you 14:56 11 were just referring to? 14:59 12 A Yes. One is a bill proposed in the House; 14:51 	2AIt's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters14:503within each state, and then each you know, there's14:524multiple chapters within a state. So whoever is14:555closest to wherever the decision is being made.14:586QAnd so this is the grassroots program14:527works to advocate for building code adoptions14:598adoptions of Standard 90.1 into building codes14:549MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.14:5810Q(BY MR. BECKER) in states and local14:5911governments?14:5112MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection,14:52
 2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it 14:55 3 says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model 14:50 4 codes will not meet targets, DOE will propose or 14:55 5 establish a modified code or standard that meets the 14:58 6 above targets. Uses 90.1-2004 as baseline for 14:50 7 commercial buildings IECC 2006 for residential." 14:56 8 When it references "Uses 90.1-2004 as 14:59 9 baseline for commercial buildings," is that in the same 14:53 10 capacity as the reference on the prior page that you 14:56 11 were just referring to? 14:59 12 A Yes. One is a bill proposed in the House; 14:51 13 one is a bill proposed in the Senate. 14:54 	2AIt's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters14:503within each state, and then each you know, there's14:524multiple chapters within a state. So whoever is14:555closest to wherever the decision is being made.14:586QAnd so this is the grassroots program14:527works to advocate for building code adoptions14:598adoptions of Standard 90.1 into building codes14:549MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.14:5810Q(BY MR. BECKER) in states and local14:5911governments?14:5112MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection,14:5213mischaracterization of prior testimony.14:53
 2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it 14:55 3 says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model 14:50 4 codes will not meet targets, DOE will propose or 14:55 5 establish a modified code or standard that meets the 14:58 6 above targets. Uses 90.1-2004 as baseline for 14:50 7 commercial buildings IECC 2006 for residential." 14:56 8 When it references "Uses 90.1-2004 as 14:59 9 baseline for commercial buildings," is that in the same 14:53 10 capacity as the reference on the prior page that you 14:56 11 were just referring to? 14:59 12 A Yes. One is a bill proposed in the House; 14:51 13 one is a bill proposed in the Senate. 14:54 14 Q Did the Department of Energy propose or 14:53 	2AIt's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters14:503within each state, and then each you know, there's14:524multiple chapters within a state. So whoever is14:555closest to wherever the decision is being made.14:586QAnd so this is the grassroots program14:527works to advocate for building code adoptions14:598adoptions of Standard 90.1 into building codes14:549MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.14:5810Q(BY MR. BECKER) in states and local14:5911governments?14:5112MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection,14:5213mischaracterization of prior testimony.14:5314THE WITNESS: It could include Standard14:54
 2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it 14:55 3 says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model 14:50 4 codes will not meet targets, DOE will propose or 14:55 5 establish a modified code or standard that meets the 14:58 6 above targets. Uses 90.1-2004 as baseline for 14:50 7 commercial buildings IECC 2006 for residential." 14:56 8 When it references "Uses 90.1-2004 as 14:59 9 baseline for commercial buildings," is that in the same 14:53 10 capacity as the reference on the prior page that you 14:56 11 were just referring to? 14:59 12 A Yes. One is a bill proposed in the House; 14:51 13 one is a bill proposed in the Senate. 14:54 14 Q Did the Department of Energy propose or 14:53 15 establish a modified code or a standard that met the 14:56 	2AIt's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters14:503within each state, and then each you know, there's14:524multiple chapters within a state. So whoever is14:555closest to wherever the decision is being made.14:586QAnd so this is the grassroots program14:527works to advocate for building code adoptions14:598adoptions of Standard 90.1 into building codes14:549MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.14:5810Q(BY MR. BECKER) in states and local14:5911governments?14:5112MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection,14:5213mischaracterization of prior testimony.14:5314THE WITNESS: It could include Standard14:541590.1. It could include any other our14:56
2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it14:553 says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model14:504 codes will not meet targets, DOE will propose or14:555 establish a modified code or standard that meets the14:586 above targets.Uses 90.1-2004 as baseline for14:507 commercial buildings IECC 2006 for residential."14:568When it references "Uses 90.1-2004 as14:599 baseline for commercial buildings," is that in the same 14:5310 capacity as the reference on the prior page that you14:5611 were just referring to?14:5912A Yes. One is a bill proposed in the House;14:5113 one is a bill proposed in the Senate.14:5414Q Did the Department of Energy propose or14:5315 establish a modified code or a standard that met the14:5616 above targets on page ASHRAE0024570?14:50	2AIt's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters14:503within each state, and then each you know, there's14:524multiple chapters within a state. So whoever is14:555closest to wherever the decision is being made.14:586QAnd so this is the grassroots program14:527works to advocate for building code adoptions14:598adoptions of Standard 90.1 into building codes14:549MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.14:5810Q(BY MR. BECKER) in states and local14:5911governments?14:5112MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection,14:5213mischaracterization of prior testimony.14:5314THE WITNESS: It could include Standard14:541590.1. It could include any other our14:5616other standards as well.14:59
2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it14:553 says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model14:504 codes will not meet targets, DOE will propose or14:555 establish a modified code or standard that meets the14:586 above targets. Uses 90.1-2004 as baseline for14:507 commercial buildings IECC 2006 for residential."14:568 When it references "Uses 90.1-2004 as14:599 baseline for commercial buildings," is that in the same 14:5310 capacity as the reference on the prior page that you14:5611 were just referring to?14:5912 A Yes. One is a bill proposed in the House;14:5113 one is a bill proposed in the Senate.14:5315 establish a modified code or a standard that met the14:5616 above targets on page ASHRAE0024570?14:5017 A This is this proposed language is not14:5818 in in law at this particular time. This was this 14:51	2AIt's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters14:503within each state, and then each you know, there's14:524multiple chapters within a state. So whoever is14:555closest to wherever the decision is being made.14:586QAnd so this is the grassroots program14:527works to advocate for building code adoptions14:598adoptions of Standard 90.1 into building codes14:549MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.14:5810Q(BY MR. BECKER) in states and local14:5911governments?14:5112MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection,14:5213mischaracterization of prior testimony.14:5314THE WITNESS: It could include Standard14:541590.1. It could include any other our14:5616other standards as well.14:5917Q(BY MR. BECKER) And at the bottom of this14:5418page, it says, "Empowering chapters to engage state and 14:56
 2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it 14:55 3 says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model 14:50 4 codes will not meet targets, DOE will propose or 14:55 5 establish a modified code or standard that meets the 14:58 6 above targets. Uses 90.1-2004 as baseline for 14:50 7 commercial buildings IECC 2006 for residential." 14:56 8 When it references "Uses 90.1-2004 as 14:59 9 baseline for commercial buildings," is that in the same 14:53 10 capacity as the reference on the prior page that you 14:56 11 were just referring to? 14:59 12 A Yes. One is a bill proposed in the House; 14:51 13 one is a bill proposed in the Senate. 14:54 14 Q Did the Department of Energy propose or 14:53 15 establish a modified code or a standard that met the 14:56 16 above targets on page ASHRAE0024570? 14:50 17 A This is this proposed language is not 14:58 18 in in law at this particular time. This was this 14:51 19 was talking about what was being proposed at this point 14:55 	2AIt's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters14:503within each state, and then each you know, there's14:524multiple chapters within a state. So whoever is14:555closest to wherever the decision is being made.14:586QAnd so this is the grassroots program14:527works to advocate for building code adoptions14:598adoptions of Standard 90.1 into building codes14:549MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.14:5810Q(BY MR. BECKER) in states and local14:5911governments?14:5112MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection,14:5213mischaracterization of prior testimony.14:5314THE WITNESS: It could include Standard14:541590.1. It could include any other our14:5616other standards as well.14:5917Q(BY MR. BECKER) And at the bottom of this14:5418page, it says, "Empowering chapters to engage state and 14:561919local policy-makers." Do you know what that14:59
2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it14:553 says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model14:504 codes will not meet targets, DOE will propose or14:555 establish a modified code or standard that meets the14:586 above targets.Uses 90.1-2004 as baseline for14:507 commercial buildings IECC 2006 for residential."14:568When it references "Uses 90.1-2004 as14:599 baseline for commercial buildings," is that in the same 14:5310 capacity as the reference on the prior page that you14:5611 were just referring to?14:5912A Yes. One is a bill proposed in the House;14:5113 one is a bill proposed in the Senate.14:5414Q Did the Department of Energy propose or14:5315 establish a modified code or a standard that met the14:5616 above targets on page ASHRAE0024570?14:5017A This is this proposed language is not14:5818 in in law at this particular time. This was this14:5520 in time in 2010.14:50	2AIt's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters14:503within each state, and then each you know, there's14:524multiple chapters within a state. So whoever is14:555closest to wherever the decision is being made.14:586QAnd so this is the grassroots program14:527works to advocate for building code adoptions14:598adoptions of Standard 90.1 into building codes14:549MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.14:5810Q(BY MR. BECKER) in states and local14:5911governments?14:5112MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection,14:5213mischaracterization of prior testimony.14:5314THE WITNESS: It could include Standard14:541590.1. It could include any other our14:5616other standards as well.14:5917Q(BY MR. BECKER) And at the bottom of this14:5418page, it says, "Empowering chapters to engage state and 14:5619local policy-makers." Do you know what that14:5920references?14:52
2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it14:553 says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model14:504 codes will not meet targets, DOE will propose or14:555 establish a modified code or standard that meets the14:586 above targets.Uses 90.1-2004 as baseline for14:507 commercial buildings IECC 2006 for residential."14:568When it references "Uses 90.1-2004 as14:599 baseline for commercial buildings," is that in the same 14:5310 capacity as the reference on the prior page that you14:5611 were just referring to?14:5912A Yes. One is a bill proposed in the House;14:5113 one is a bill proposed in the Senate.14:5414Q Did the Department of Energy propose or14:5315 establish a modified code or a standard that met the14:5616 above targets on page ASHRAE0024570?14:5017A This is this proposed language is not14:5818 in in law at this particular time. This was this 14:5119 was talking about what was being proposed at this point 14:5520 in time in 2010.14:5021Q What was the outcome?14:53	2AIt's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters14:503within each state, and then each you know, there's14:524multiple chapters within a state. So whoever is14:555closest to wherever the decision is being made.14:586QAnd so this is the grassroots program14:527works to advocate for building code adoptions14:598adoptions of Standard 90.1 into building codes14:549MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.14:5810Q(BY MR. BECKER) in states and local14:5911governments?14:5112MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection,14:5213mischaracterization of prior testimony.14:5314THE WITNESS: It could include Standard14:541590.1. It could include any other our14:5616other standards as well.14:5917Q(BY MR. BECKER) And at the bottom of this14:5418page, it says, "Empowering chapters to engage state and 14:5619local policy-makers." Do you know what that14:5920references?14:5221AThat's referencing what I was talking about, 14:53
2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it14:553 says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model14:504 codes will not meet targets, DOE will propose or14:555 establish a modified code or standard that meets the14:586 above targets. Uses 90.1-2004 as baseline for14:507 commercial buildings IECC 2006 for residential."14:568 When it references "Uses 90.1-2004 as14:599 baseline for commercial buildings," is that in the same 14:5310 capacity as the reference on the prior page that you14:5611 were just referring to?14:5912 A Yes. One is a bill proposed in the House;14:5113 one is a bill proposed in the Senate.14:5414 Q Did the Department of Energy propose or14:5315 establish a modified code or a standard that met the14:5616 above targets on page ASHRAE0024570?14:5017 A This is this proposed language is not14:5818 in in law at this particular time. This was this 14:5119 was talking about what was being proposed at this point14:5520 in time in 2010.14:5021 Q What was the outcome?14:5322 A I don't I don't think they set I don't14:56	2AIt's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters14:503within each state, and then each you know, there's14:524multiple chapters within a state. So whoever is14:555closest to wherever the decision is being made.14:586QAnd so this is the grassroots program14:527works to advocate for building code adoptions14:598adoptions of Standard 90.1 into building codes14:549MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.14:5810Q(BY MR. BECKER) in states and local14:5911governments?14:5112MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection,14:5213mischaracterization of prior testimony.14:5314THE WITNESS: It could include Standard14:541590.1. It could include any other our14:5616other standards as well.14:5917Q(BY MR. BECKER) And at the bottom of this14:5418page, it says, "Empowering chapters to engage state and 14:5619local policy-makers." Do you know what that14:5920references?14:5221AThat's referencing what I was talking about, 14:5322the grassroots, and encouraging local chapters to talk14:55
2 and then it four bullet points down from that, it14:553 says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model14:504 codes will not meet targets, DOE will propose or14:555 establish a modified code or standard that meets the14:586 above targets.Uses 90.1-2004 as baseline for14:507 commercial buildings IECC 2006 for residential."14:568When it references "Uses 90.1-2004 as14:599 baseline for commercial buildings," is that in the same 14:5310 capacity as the reference on the prior page that you14:5611 were just referring to?14:5912A Yes. One is a bill proposed in the House;14:5113 one is a bill proposed in the Senate.14:5414Q Did the Department of Energy propose or14:5315 establish a modified code or a standard that met the14:5616 above targets on page ASHRAE0024570?14:5017A This is this proposed language is not14:5519 was talking about what was being proposed at this point14:5520 in time in 2010.14:5021Q What was the outcome?14:5322A I don't I don't think they set I don't14:5623believe that they set targets, because it's as part14:59	2AIt's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters14:503within each state, and then each you know, there's14:524multiple chapters within a state. So whoever is14:555closest to wherever the decision is being made.14:586QAnd so this is the grassroots program14:527works to advocate for building code adoptions14:598adoptions of Standard 90.1 into building codes14:549MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.14:5810Q(BY MR. BECKER) in states and local14:5911governments?14:5112MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection,14:5213mischaracterization of prior testimony.14:5314THE WITNESS: It could include Standard14:541590.1. It could include any other our14:5616other standards as well.14:5917Q(BY MR. BECKER) And at the bottom of this14:5418page, it says, "Empowering chapters to engage state and 14:5619local policy-makers." Do you know what that14:5920references?14:5221AThat's referencing what I was talking about, 14:5322the grassroots, and encouraging local chapters to talk14:5523to their state and local policy makers.14:51
2and then it four bullet points down from that, it14:553says, "If DOE determines ASHRAE's future revised model14:504codes will not meet targets, DOE will propose or14:555establish a modified code or standard that meets the14:586above targets. Uses 90.1-2004 as baseline for14:507commercial buildings IECC 2006 for residential."14:568When it references "Uses 90.1-2004 as14:599baseline for commercial buildings," is that in the same 14:5310capacity as the reference on the prior page that you14:5611were just referring to?14:5912AYes. One is a bill proposed in the House;14:5113one is a bill proposed in the Senate.14:5414QDid the Department of Energy propose or14:5315establish a modified code or a standard that met the14:5616above targets on page ASHRAE0024570?14:5017AThis is this proposed language is not14:5818in in law at this particular time. This was this 14:511919was talking about what was being proposed at this point14:5520in time in 2010.14:5014:5321QWhat was the outcome?14:5322AI don't I don't think they set I don't14:56	2AIt's it's the individual ASHRAE chapters14:503within each state, and then each you know, there's14:524multiple chapters within a state. So whoever is14:555closest to wherever the decision is being made.14:586QAnd so this is the grassroots program14:527works to advocate for building code adoptions14:598adoptions of Standard 90.1 into building codes14:549MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection.14:5810Q(BY MR. BECKER) in states and local14:5911governments?14:5112MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection,14:5213mischaracterization of prior testimony.14:5314THE WITNESS: It could include Standard14:541590.1. It could include any other our14:5616other standards as well.14:5917Q(BY MR. BECKER) And at the bottom of this14:5418page, it says, "Empowering chapters to engage state and 14:5619local policy-makers." Do you know what that14:5920references?14:5221AThat's referencing what I was talking about, 14:5322the grassroots, and encouraging local chapters to talk14:55

37 (Pages 142 - 145)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 39 of 98

1 local policy-makers on important issues " 14:53	1 Q And are these six government offices and 15:00
2 And the first bullet point there is "Building 14:56	2 agencies those that the ASHRAE government affairs 15:00
3 energy codes " Is this also referring to the same 14:58	3 interfaces with? 15:00
4 activities? 14:52	4 A Yes. 15:00
5 A Actually, I think on this slide they were 14:55	5 (Exhibit 1164 marked for identification.) 15:01
6 telling the members of tech council and CTTC what they 14:57	6 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 15:02
7 could do at their state and local I don't think this 14:51	7 marked as Exhibit 1164. Do you recognize this 15:02
8 related to the grassroots 14:52	8 document? 15:02
9 Q And on the next page, it has it says, 14:55	9 A This is a followup to testimony of Kent 15:02
10 "ASHRAE/DOE Fellowship" What is the ASHRAE/DOE 14:56	10 Peterson to the U.S. House of Representatives on 15:02
11 Fellowship? 14:52	11 "Building Green, Saving Green." 15:02
12 A I know what it is, but I'm not sure how to 14:53	12 Q On the fourth page, ASHRAE0024238, the first 15:02
13 articulate what it is It's usually someone that works 14:55	13 full paragraph, it says, "In addition to the need for 15:03
14 with the D C office and and then may assist the 14:52	14 having up-to-date building codes on the books, 15:03
15 DC staff with educating members of Congress Beyond 14:50	15 jurisdictions must have the necessary enforcement 15:03
16 that, I I need to look it up 14:56	16 mechanisms and training to ensure its compliance." 15:03
17 Q So would this be a member of ASHRAE or a 14:59	17 A Where are you, because I'm 15:03
18 member or an employee of would this be an 14:53	17 A where are you, because rm 15.05 18 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Where are you seeing 15:03
	19 that? 15:03
19 employee of ASHRAE or an employee of DOE who would 14:56 20 have have the ASHRAE/DOE fellowship? 14:51	20 THE WITNESS: I'm not seeing that. 15:03
21 A It 14:57	21 MR. BECKER: Excuse me. I think I 15:03
	21 MR. BLEKEK, Excuse me. 1 dimit 1 22 handed you the wrong document. 15:03
	23 (Exhibit 1165 marked for identification.) 15:04
23 the ASHRAE/DOE fellowship on 583 or the 14:52 24 internship on 584? 14:58	24 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 15:04
24 internship on 584? 14:58 25 MR BECKER: On 583 14:50	25 marked as Exhibit 1165. Do you recognize this 15:04
Page 146	Page 148
1 MR. CUNNINGHAM: 583, okay. 14:51	1 document? 15:05
2 THE WITNESS: I would have to see I 14:57	2 A Yes, this is the the testimony of Kent 15:06
3 would have to see the contract they would 14:59	3 Peterson. 15:09
4 have signed for the funding to answer that 14:50	4 Q And so the document I provided you as Exhibit 15:02
5 question, since the funding is provided by 14:52	5 1164 was the followup testimony of Kent Peterson; is 15:04
6 DOE. 14:58	6 that correct? 15:01
7 MR. BECKER: Counsel, do you have any 14:56	7 A Correct. 15:01
8 idea if the contract concerning the 14:57	8 Q So on Exhibit 1165, on the fourth page, the 15:03
9 ASHRAE/DOE fellowship has been produced in 14:50	9 first full paragraph, it says, "In addition to the need 15:00
10 discovery? 14:54	10 for having up-to-date building codes on the books, 15:03
11 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I don't know the one 14:55	11 jurisdictions must have the necessary enforcement 15:06
12 way or the other. 14:56	12 mechanisms and training to assure its compliance." 15:08
13 MR. BECKER: We we may follow up with 14:50	13 Why is compliance important for ASHRAE? 15:04
14 a request for that if it's under the the 14:52	14 A It doesn't you're you're not having 15:02
15 requests. 14:56	15 energy efficient buildings if people aren't complying 15:05
16 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Then on ASHRAE 0024586, it 14:57	
17 says, "Government Relations Activities." Do you see 14:52	17 it's being done and that they're seeing a savings if 15:01
18 that? 14:58	18 you're not assuring that people are complying with the 15:04
19 A Yes. 14:59	19 codes that are on the books. 15:07
	20 Q And how does ASHRAE work to excuse me, 15:00
	$ 20 \rangle$ O Ally how does ASTIKAL work to excluse the initial to the
20 Q It says, "Build relationships with government 14:59	
20QIt says, "Build relationships with government 14:5921officials interested in the built environment.14:52	21 does ASHRAE work to encourage compliance? 15:05
20QIt says, "Build relationships with government 14:5921officials interested in the built environment.14:5222Establish ASHRAE as a source for unbiased technical14:55	21 does ASHRAE work to encourage compliance?15:0522AASHRAE provides training for code officials15:09
20QIt says, "Build relationships with government 14:5921officials interested in the built environment.14:5222Establish ASHRAE as a source for unbiased technical14:5523expertise." And then it lists six government offices14:58	21 does ASHRAE work to encourage compliance?15:0522 A ASHRAE provides training for code officials15:0923 and consulting anyone that wants the training to15:04
20QIt says, "Build relationships with government 14:5921officials interested in the built environment.14:5222Establish ASHRAE as a source for unbiased technical14:5523expertise." And then it lists six government offices14:5824and agencies; is that correct?15:06	21 does ASHRAE work to encourage compliance?15:0522 A ASHRAE provides training for code officials15:0923 and consulting anyone that wants the training to15:0424 help understand what's in 90.1.15:08
20QIt says, "Build relationships with government 14:5921officials interested in the built environment.14:5222Establish ASHRAE as a source for unbiased technical14:5523expertise." And then it lists six government offices14:58	21 does ASHRAE work to encourage compliance?15:0522 A ASHRAE provides training for code officials15:0923 and consulting anyone that wants the training to15:04

38 (Pages 146 - 149)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 40 of 98

1 compliance? 15:07	1 A Yes. 15:09
2 A Not that I'm aware of. 15:02	2 MR. BECKER: Could we take a quick 15:18
3 Q Was there a specific reason why ASHRAE was 15:09	3 break? 15:19
4 concerned that jurisdictions might not have the 15:01	4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record 15:10
5 necessary enforcement mechanisms and training to assure 15:07	5 at 15:10. 15:11
6 compliance? 15:02	6 (Recess taken.) 15:26
7 A Well, this was at a time when the economy was 15:02	7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going on the record 15:20
8 not doing so good, so places were cutting back, and 15:04	8 at 15:26. 15:22
9 that included the local building departments. So these 15:07	9 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, as part of the 15:21
10 building code officials aren't able to do as much, 15:02	10 process for developing the works at issue and updating 15:22
11 they're not able to check as much. The codes have 15:05	11 them, does ASHRAE have a process for correcting any 15:28
12 gotten more complex, so it's harder for them to enforce 15:08	12 errors that might have occurred in previous versions of 15:23
13 compliance. 15:02	13 Standard 90.1? 15:28
14 Q If you turn to Exhibit 1164, the followup 15:01	14 A Yes. 15:20
15 testimony of Kent W. Peterson. And if you please turn 15:07	15 Q And what is that process called? 15:20
16 to the sixth page, ASHRAE0024250. It says, 15:06	16 A We issue an erratum. 15:22
17 "Theoretically" excuse me, on on the fourth 15:07	17 Q Okay. And how does that work? 15:25
18 paragraph down, essentially the middle of the page, it 15:08	18 A Some somebody finds an issue where 15:27
19 says, "Theoretically, there exists a national baseline 15:00	19 there there's something wrong and believes that it 15:23
20 for building energy codes (ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2004 15:02	20 was changed such and such a way in a a previous 15:25
21 for commercial buildings and the International Energy 15:06	21 propose previous change that had been approved, it 15:21
22 Conservation Code for residential buildings)." 15:00	22 gets sent into staff. We consult with the chair of the 15:23
23 "EPAct 2005 requires states to adopt a 15:07	23 committee and/or the subcommittee, depending on where 15:26
24 building energy code that is at least as stringent as 15:08	24 it is, to make sure that that's correct. If it's 15:20
25 90.1-2004 and the IECC. However, there are no 15:02	25 correct, then we issue an erratum. 15:22
Page 150	Page 152
1 enforcement mechanisms against the states that do not 15:05	1 Q Okay. 15:25
2 adopt codes that meet these requirements. This is 15:08	2 (Exhibit 1166 marked for identification.) 15:23
3 largely due to the fact that building codes generally 15:03	3 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 15:25
4 are considered a state and local government issue." 15:05	4 marked as Exhibit 1166. It's a printout from the 15:27
5 Does this relate to ASHRAE's concern 15:04	5 ASHRAE website. Do you recognize this document? 15:23
6 regarding enforcement mechanisms concerning 15:06	6 A Yes. 15:27
7 Standard 90.1? 15:02	7 Q And what is this document? 15:29
8 A Say that one more time. 15:06	8 A This is a page a printout of the page on 15:20
9 Q Does this relate to ASHRAE's concern 15:08	9 the website that lists all the erratum and for what 15:24
10 regarding enforcement mechanisms concerning 15:00	10 they apply for. 15:20
11 Standard 90.1? 15:05	11 Q And starting on the third page of this 15:23
12 A Yes. 15:06	12 document, are these the errata for standard ASHRAE 15:29
13 Q Concerns such as reflected in Exhibit 1165 15:00	13 90.1? 15:27
14 that we were just discussing? 15:03	14 A If you well, the third major header starts 15:34
15 A Yes, and it appears to be a little bit more 15:06	15 for the errata for 90.1-1989, and then the next one 15:37
16 than that. 15:09	16 down is the 19 90.1-1999 I-P edition, and then the 15:33
17 Q I'm sorry, what do you mean by "it appears to 15:07	17 next one is the SI edition. 15:30
18 be a little bit more than that"? 15:09	18 Q And these documents appear to have dates on 15:35
19 A This also relates to the fact that while the 15:00	19 the the end of them. So for instance, under on 15:36
20 federal government under EPAct requires the states to 15:03	20 the third page under Standard 90.1-1989 errata, various 15:33
20 federal government under EPAct requires the states to15:0321 do this, there's no penalty if they don't. So that's15:07	20 the third page under Standard 90.1-1989 errata, various 15:3321 dates for the the second bullet point, it says,15:38
21 do this, there's no penalty if they don't. So that's 15:0722 an enforcement mechanism as well, so where's where's 15:00	21 dates for the the second bullet point, it says,15:3822 "Errata sheet for fourth printing GG 3/94 and all15:30
21 do this, there's no penalty if they don't. So that's 15:07	21 dates for the the second bullet point, it says,15:3822 "Errata sheet for fourth printing GG 3/94 and all15:3023 earlier editions September 16th, 1994."15:36
 21 do this, there's no penalty if they don't. So that's 15:07 22 an enforcement mechanism as well, so where's where's 15:00 23 the need for the states to adopt that if there's no way 15:05 24 to enforce it at a national level? 15:08 	21 dates for the the second bullet point, it says,15:3822 "Errata sheet for fourth printing GG 3/94 and all15:3023 earlier editions September 16th, 1994."15:3624What does the date September 16th, 1994 there15:30
 21 do this, there's no penalty if they don't. So that's 15:07 22 an enforcement mechanism as well, so where's where's 15:00 23 the need for the states to adopt that if there's no way 15:05 	21 dates for the the second bullet point, it says,15:3822 "Errata sheet for fourth printing GG 3/94 and all15:3023 earlier editions September 16th, 1994."15:36

39 (Pages 150 - 153)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 41 of 98

1 A That's when that's when we published it on 15:36	1 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 15:30
2 the web or when we discovered there was an error, 15:39	2 marked as Exhibit 1170. This is Bates numbered 15:31
3 and that's the date that we put it out. 15:31	3 ASHRAE0029496. Can you tell me what this document is? 15:36
4 Q So September 16th is when the the errata 15:35	4 A It's an email conversation regarding an 15:34
5 was released 15:30	5 unpublished errata sheet that we wanted the would 15:37
6 A Right. 15:32	6 have been the chair at the time, Jerry White, to look 15:34
7 Q by ASHRAE? 15:33	7 at so that when special pubs did the reprint of 15:37
8 A Right. 15:33	8 90.1-2001, the I-P edition, it could include the errata 15:32
9 Q And is that is that the true for the 15:32	9 in that list. 15:30
10 other dates that are listed in similarly, say, under 15:35	10 And then there's a discussion there was a 15:32
11 the Standard 90.1-1999 errata? 15:32	11 question and of Martha VanGeem about whether one of 15:35
12 A Yes. 15:36	12 that was one of the things was correct. 15:39
13 (Exhibit 1167 marked for identification.) 15:32	13 Q Is this email characteristic of how the 15:33
14 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 15:30	14 errata would be treated prior to publication? 15:30
15 marked Exhibit 1167. Do you recognize this document? 15:32	15 A Normally, there's probably not a long list of 15:34
16 A This is an Errata Sheet for ANSI/ASHRAE 15:31	16 unpublished errata, but this would be for a reprint, so 15:34
17 Standard 40.1.3[sic]-1989. 15:37	17 they were they with errata, they correct it in 15:38
18 Q And this errata was released on January 5th, 15:35	18 the reprint version, the error, so that it's correct in 15:30
19 1998; is that correct? 15:38	19 the next version. Even in so this would be normal. 15:34
20 A That's correct. 15:39	20 (Exhibit 1171 marked for identification.) 15:30
21 Q And what is the purpose of of releasing an 15:39	21 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 15:31
22 errata almost ten years after the date of the standard 15:35	22 marked as Exhibit 1171. Could you please tell me what 15:33
23 itself? 15:31	23 this document is? 15:37
24 A Someone didn't find it until ten years later. 15:32	24 A So this is a continuation of the email 15:35
25 And if that standard is still being used, when we 15:35	25 conversation in Exhibit 1170. Further discussions on 15:38
Page 154	Page 156
1 reprint the standard, we include it with the next 15:30	1 whether or not it should be degrees F and degrees C 15:37
2 edition so people know the correct information So 15:34	2 instead of degrees R and degrees K. 15:31
3 clearly the equation was wrong, and I'm guessing that's 15:37	3 (Exhibit 1172 marked for identification.) 15:36
4 important 15:30	4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 15:35
5 (Exhibit 1168 marked for identification) 15:33	5 marked as Exhibit 1172. Could you please tell me what 15:36
6 Q (BY MR BECKER) Handing you what's been 15:30	6 this document is? 15:32
7 marked as Exhibit 1168 Do you recognize this 15:31	7 A This is a further email exchange as which 15:48
8 document? 15:39	8 would have been part of Exhibit 1170 and 1171, 15:42
9 A Yes 15:39	9 continued the discussion on whether the erratum were 15:49
10 Q And can you tell me what this document is? 15:39	10 were editorial or substantive. It talks about motions 15:42
11 A This is an Errata Sheet for ANSI/ASHRAE/IESNA 15:31	11 made by the committee and that the committee determined 15:48
12 Standard 90 1-2001 I-P edition, dated November 7th, 15:37	12 that those changes were editorial. And that Eric, who 15:41
13 2003 15:30	13 would have been the chair of the lighting subcommittee 15:44
14 Q Thank you 15:30	14 agrees that this is editorial. 15:48
15 (Exhibit 1169 marked for identification) 15:36	15 (Exhibit 1173 marked for identification.) 15:42
16 Q (BY MR BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 15:31	16 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And I'm handing you Exhibit 15:45
17 marked as Exhibit 1169 This is Bates numbered 15:32	17 marked 1173. Could you tell me what this document is? 15:48
18 ASHRAE0029503 Could you tell me what this document 15:30	18 A This is a document that's an email exchange 15:44
19 is? 15:33	19 that's in continuation of Exhibits 1170, 1171, 1172 15:48
20 A Yes This is the Errata Sheet for 15:34	20 where Mr. Spielvogel disagrees with what Mark Weber has 15:46
21 ANSI/ASHRAE/IESNA Standard 90 1-2001 SI Edition, dated 15:39	21 said is a substantive change and says it's interpreting 15:43
22 November 7th, 2003 15:38	22 what he believes to be ANSI's rule on substantive 15:40
23 Q And do you recognize this document? 15:39	23 changes. 15:44
24 A Yes 15:31	24 (Exhibit 1174 marked for identification.) 15:44
25 (Exhibit 1170 marked for identification) 15:32	25 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 15:41
Page 155	Page 157

40 (Pages 154 - 157)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 42 of 98

1 marked as Exhibit 1174. Could you please tell me what 15:42	1 A Yes 15:44
2 this document is? 15:42	2 Q Can you tell me what this document is? 15:44
3 A This is a subset, I think, of another email 15:42	3 A This document is the letter that gets sent to 15:46
4 exchange related to Exhibit 1170 where Mark indicates 15:43	4 the project committee that shows the public review 15:49
5 that this correction was made by Jim Calm. 15:43	5 comments for BSR/ASHRAE/IESNA Addenda S, T, and X to 15:43
6 (Exhibit 1175 marked for identification.) 15:44	6 ANSI/ASHRAE/IESNA Standard 90 1-2007 15:45
7 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 15:44	7 Q And if you look at the sixth, seventh, 15:40
8 marked as Exhibit 1175. Do you recognize this 15:44	8 eighth, ninth, and I believe tenth page of this 15:47
9 document? 15:45	9 document, are those copyright releases on all of those 15:51
10 A Yes. 15:45	10 pages I mentioned? 15:59
11 Q And could you tell me what this document is? 15:45	11 A Yes 15:57
12 A This is a Certificate of Registration with 15:45	12 Q And who are those copyright releases from? 15:57
13 the United States Copyright Office for the 1993 ASHRAE 15:45	13 A Larry Spielvogel 15:50
14 Handbook: Fundamentals Inch-Pound Edition. 15:45	
15 O What is the 1999 ASHRAE Handbook: 15:45	
16 Fundamentals? 15:45	16 Q Who is Mr Spielvogel? 15:58
17 A It covers a variety of topics. I would have 15:45	17 A He is an ASHRAE member 15:50
18 to look at the inside cover to tell you every topic 15:45	18 Q Has Mr Spielvogel ever been an employee of 15:52
19 that it covers. 15:45	19 ASHRAE? 15:57
20 Q What's the purpose of the 1993 ASHRAE 15:45	20 A No 15:57
21 Handbook: Fundamentals? 15:45	21 Q Do Mr Spielvogel's proposed contributions 15:50
22 A It's a it's a tool for engineers to use 15:45	22 appear in this document? 15:54
23 when they're working with the topics covered in that 15:45	23 A Actually, yes 15:56
24 book. 15:45	24 Q And where is that? 15:59
25 Q Is the 1993 ASHRAE Handbook: Fundamentals 15:45	25 A Under on the page labeled ASHRAE0013966, 15:51
Page 158	Page 160
1 referenced in ASHRAE Standard 90.1? 15:46	1 under 4 "Comment (Proposed Text)," it says, "Do not 15:52
2 A I would have to look. 15:46	2 approve and do not publish this addendum." 15:52
3 (Exhibit 1176 marked for identification.) 15:46	3 Q So these are his contributions, then, as to 15:52
4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 15:46	4 say "Do not approve and do not publish this addendum" 15:52
5 marked as Exhibit 1176. This is Bates number 15:46	5 that he's referring to? 15:52
6 ASHRAE0001592. Could you do you recognize this 15:46	6 A That is correct. 15:52
7 document? 15:46	7 Q If you turn to the page ASHRAE0013973, is 15:52
8 A Yes. 15:46	8 that another signed copyright release on that page? 15:53
9 Q And could you please tell me what it is? 15:46	9 A Yes. 15:53
10 A Well, the first page labeled ASHRAE001592 is 15:47	10 Q And in that instance, has it been signed and 15:53
11 the certification Certificate of Registration with 15:47	11 also had the the name of the individual inserted? 15:53
12 the United States Copyright Office for ANSI/ASHRAE/IES 15:47	12 A Yes. 15:53
13 Standard 90.1-2010 IP Edition. 15:47	13 Q And who is that individual? 15:53
14 For the page labeled ASHRAE0001594, that is 15:47	14 A James Calm. 15:53
15 the Certificate of Registration with the United States 15:47	15 Q And what is Mr. Calm's relationship to 15:53
	16 ASHRAE, if any? 15:53 17 A He's a member of ASHRAE 15:52
17 Standard 90.1-2007 IP Edition. 15:47 18 Earth and a black of a SUB A E001500 (this is a 15:47)	17 A He's a member of ASHRAE. 15:53 18 O And has Mr. Colm store for an employee of 15:52
18 For the page labeled ASHRAE001596, this is 15:47	18 Q And has Mr. Calm ever been an employee of 15:53
19 the Certificate of Registration with the United States 15:47	19 ASHRAE? 15:53
20 Copyright Office for ANSI/ASHRAE/IESNA 15:48	20 A No. 15:53
21 Standard 90.1-2004 IP IP Edition. 15:48	21 Q And Mr. Calm's contribution on the following 15:53
22 (Exhibit 1177 marked for identification.) 15:48	22 page, is that "Disapprove proposed revisions in 15:53
23 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 15:48	23 Addendum S"? 15:54
23Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 15:4824marked as Exhibit 1177. It's the document beginning15:48	A That would be his comment, yes. 15:54

41 (Pages 158 - 161)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 43 of 98

1 that a copyright release from Pekka Hakkar 15:59	1 (Exhibit 1180 marked for identification.) 15:57
2 A Hakkarainen. 15:56	2 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 15:51
3 Q Hakkarainen? 15:57	3 marked as Exhibit 1180. Do you recognize this 15:52
4 A Yes. 15:58	4 document? 15:56
5 Q Is that Mr. or Ms. Pekka? 15:59	5 A Yes. 15:57
6 A Mister. 15:52	6 Q And what is this document? 15:50
7 Q And Mr. Hakkarainen, how is he affiliated 15:53	7 A This is the Form for Submittal of Proposed 15:52
8 with ASHRAE, if at all? 15:50	8 Change to ASHRAE Standards and Guidelines Under 15:55
9 A He's a member of ASHRAE. 15:51	9 Continuous Maintenance. 15:59
10 Q And has Mr. Hakkarainen ever been an employee 15:52	10 Q And does this document include a copyright 15:50
11 of ASHRAE? 15:55	11 release? 15:55
12 A No. 15:55	12 A It includes it. 15:56
13 Q And following section 4 on this page, 15:59	13 Q Has the copyright release been signed? 15:58
14 continuing on to the next page, is this 15:55	14 A Not on this particular copy. 15:51
15 Mr. Hakkarainen's proposed contribution to the ASHRAE 15:58	15 Q And what is Mr. Barnes's affiliation? 15:55
16 standard? 15:54	16 A He would be an ASHRAE member or could be 15:52
17 A Yes. 15:55	17 someone that works just at the lab. I don't recognize 15:50
18 Q 90.1? 15:55	18 his name. 15:53
19 A Yes. 15:57	19 Q And Mr. Barnes's proposed change, is that 16:09
20 (Exhibit 1178 marked for identification.) 15:59	20 under section 5? 16:02
21 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 15:50	21 A It's in part under he references the 16:09
22 marked as Exhibit 1178. This is the document that 15:51	22 document that's on page it says page 2 in the 16:03
23 starts at ASHRAE0011934. Could you tell me what this 15:55	23 right-hand corner. That's his proposed change. 16:08
24 document is? 15:50	24 Q Do you know if ASHRAE has a copyright release 16:00
25 A This is the packet that was sent to the 15:51	25 on file for Mr. Barnes? 16:04
Page 162	Page 164
1 project committee members of 90.1, November 14th, 2006, 15:53	1 A I would have to look through the records 16:07
2 that has the first public review comments for 15:50	2 This appears to be from February of 2000, so very 16:09
3 BSR/ASHRAE/IESNA Addenda AN, AT, and AV to 15:53	
4 ANSI/ASHRAE/IESNA Standard 90.1-2004. 15:54	4 that would be at Iron Mountain 16:09
5 Q And does this document also contain copyright 15:51	
C C	6 Q (BY MR BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 16:02
7 A Yes. 15:54	7 marked as Exhibit 1181 Could you tell me what this 16:03
8 (Exhibit 1179 marked for identification.) 15:52	8 document is? 16 00
9 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 15:59	9 A This is a Form for Submittal of Proposed 16:01
10 marked as Exhibit 1179. Do you recognize this 15:50	10 Change to ASHRAE Standard Under Continuous Maintenance 16:04
11 document? 15:55	11 submitted by Steve Rose Steven Rosenstock on 16:07
12 A Yes. 15:56	12 April 9th, 2007 16:01
13 Q And what is this document? 15:57	13 Q And does this form include a copyright 16:03
14 A This is the Form for Submittal of a Proposed 15:59	14 release? 16:09
15 Change to an ASHRAE Standard Under Continuous 15:53	15 A It does 16:00
16 Maintenance submitted by Jonathan McHugh. 15:57	16 Q And has the copyright release been signed? 16:00
17 O And does this document include a conversion t 15.50	
17 Q And does this document include a copyright 15:50	17 A No, but Mr Rosenstock was a member of the 16:02
17 Q And does this document include a copyright 13:50 18 release? 15:52	17ANo, but MrRosenstock was a member of the16:0218project committee, and so he wouldn't have had to16:05
18 release? 15:52 19 A Yes. 15:52 15:52	18 project committee, and so he wouldn't have had to 16:05
18 release?15:5219AYes.20QAnd under section 5, "Proposed Change," where 15:57	 18 project committee, and so he wouldn't have had to 16:05 19 submit this as a formal proposal 16:08 20 Q And has Mr Rosenstock ever been an employee 16:01
18 release?15:5219 A Yes.15:5220 Q And under section 5, "Proposed Change," where 15:5721 it says, "Revised section 5.1 as follows," do you15:53	 18 project committee, and so he wouldn't have had to 16:05 19 submit this as a formal proposal 16:08 20 Q And has Mr Rosenstock ever been an employee 16:01 21 of ASHRAE? 16:06
18 release?15:5219AYes.15:5220Q20QAnd under section 5, "Proposed Change," where 15:5721it says, "Revised section 5.1 as follows," do you15:5322understand this to mean that the revision that's been15:59	18 project committee, and so he wouldn't have had to 16:05 19 submit this as a formal proposal 16:08 20 Q And has Mr Rosenstock ever been an employee 16:01 21 of ASHRAE? 16:06 22 A No 16:06
18 release?15:5219A Yes.15:5220Q And under section 5, "Proposed Change," where 15:5721 it says, "Revised section 5.1 as follows," do you15:5322 understand this to mean that the revision that's been15:5923 proposed by Mr. McHugh is that final line that starts15:52	18 project committee, and so he wouldn't have had to 16:05 19 submit this as a formal proposal 16:08 20 Q And has Mr Rosenstock ever been an employee 16:01 21 of ASHRAE? 16:06 22 A No 16:06 23 Q And when you say that he wouldn't have had to 16:03
18 release?15:5219 A Yes.15:5220 Q And under section 5, "Proposed Change," where 15:5721 it says, "Revised section 5.1 as follows," do you15:5322 understand this to mean that the revision that's been15:5923 proposed by Mr. McHugh is that final line that starts15:5224 with 5.1.2.2, which is underlined?15:57	 18 project committee, and so he wouldn't have had to 16:05 19 submit this as a formal proposal 16:08 20 Q And has Mr Rosenstock ever been an employee 16:01 21 of ASHRAE? 16:06 22 A No 16:06 23 Q And when you say that he wouldn't have had to 16:03 24 submit this as a formal proposal, what why do you 16:06
18 release?15:5219AYes.1515:5220QAnd under section 5, "Proposed Change," where 15:5721it says, "Revised section 5.1 as follows," do you15:5322understand this to mean that the revision that's been15:5923proposed by Mr. McHugh is that final line that starts15:52	18 project committee, and so he wouldn't have had to 16:05 19 submit this as a formal proposal 16:08 20 Q And has Mr Rosenstock ever been an employee 16:01 21 of ASHRAE? 16:06 22 A No 16:06 23 Q And when you say that he wouldn't have had to 16:03

42 (Pages 162 - 165)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 44 of 98

A I and I think Mr. Barnes might have been 16:02	1 Form For Public Proposals in the International Codes. 16:06
2 on the project committee, too. As I'm recollecting the 16:04	2 This would have been for the 2007/2008 code development 16:01
3 roster you shown had shown me previously, I believe 16:00	3 cycle. 16:05
4 his name was on there. And for for a project 16:02	4 Q Is that for the code development of the ICC? 16:06
5 committee member to propose a change, they don't have 16:07	5 A Yes. 16:01
6 to file a continuous maintenance change proposal. They 16:09	6 Q Would that be for the IECC or for something 16:02
7 can just submit it as a motion to change it, either at 16:02	7 else? 16:09
8 the subcommittee level or at the full committee level. 16:07	8 A I need to look at the proposal. This would 16:00
9 They would never have to do it through the formal. 16:09	9 have been for the IECC. 16:07
10 They can choose to, but they have also already signed 16:02	10 Q And the company that's listed on the front of 16:08
11 the copyright release form as part of their membership 16:05	11 this document under section 1, "Jurisdiction/Company" 16:00
12 on the project committee. 16:08	12 is ASHRAE; is that correct? 16:05
13 Q So it's not necessary for them to fill out 16:09	13 A That's correct. 16:06
14 one of these forms? 16:02	14 Q Does ASHRAE submit material to be included in 16:00
15 A Correct. 16:03	15 the IECC? 16:06
16 Q And why would they fill out one of these 16:03	16 A Yes. 16:08
17 forms? 16:05	17 Q Why is it that ASHRAE submits material to be 16:09
18 A It may be just just because they want to, 16:07	18 included in the IECC? 16:03
19 to you know, put a maybe they're asking to do 16:02	19 A To make the IECC consistent with the current 16:04
20 that so we put it on a timeline. When it comes on a 16:04	20 version of 90.1. 16:09
21 form, there's a timeline that applies, a 13-month 16:08	21 Q And if you turn to the final page of this 16:07
22 window. Where if you submit it in the committee, there 16:03	22 document, ASHRAE0012344, could you tell me what that 16:09
23 isn't a timeline. 16:06	23 is? 16:08
24 So this is just maybe for his the reason 16:00	24 A This is a Copy Release for 2007/2008 16:08
25 Mr. Rosenstock did this was so that it would be handled 16:03	25 Proposals, Modifications and Public Comments Submitted 16:04
Page 166	Page 168
1 within 13 months 16:00	1 on ICC Codes from that's produced and published by 16:07
2 (Exhibit 1182 marked for identification) 16:04	2 the International Code Council. 16:01
3 Q (BY MR BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 16:05	3 Q And so this is a copyright release to the 16:08
4 marked as Exhibit 1182 Do you recognize this 16:06	4 ICC; is that correct? 16:00
5 document? 16:00	5 A That's correct. 16:01
6 A Yes This is a Form for Submittal of 16:01	6 Q And has ASHRAE entered into a copyright 16:04
7 Proposed Change to an ASHRAE Standard Under Continuous 16:04	7 release to the ICC for the its contributions to the 16:07
8 Maintenance, submitted by Shlomo Rosenfeld in 16:09	8 IECC? 16:04
9 June 11th, 2009 16:05	9 A Steve Comstock would have signed a copyright 16:08
10 Q And does this document include 16:03	10 release. 16:01
11 Mr Rosenfeld's proposed change under section 5? 16:07	11 (Exhibit 1184 marked for identification.) 16:00
12 A Yes, it does 16 02	12 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 16:16
13 Q Is the copyright release for the project 16:01	13 marked as Exhibit 1184. Do you recognize this 16:17
14 committee the same as the copyright releases for 16:04	14 document? 16:11
15 memberships that that we had discussed earlier 16:02	15 A Yes. This is a Public Code Change Proposal 16:13
16 today? 16 04	16 Form for Public Proposals in the International Codes 16:15
17 A The on the application form, the copyright 16:05	17 for the 2007/2008 Code Development Cycle. 16:19
18 language is the same as on our continuous maintenance 16:08	18 Q For the ICC; is that correct? 16:16
19 proposal forms and on our the comment forms, yes 16:03	19 A That is correct. 16:17
	20 O And an the first see a fittie deserves to 16:10
20 Q Thank you 16:08	20 Q And on the first page of this document, 16:10
20 Q Thank you 16:08 21 (Exhibit 1183 marked for identification) 16:00	20 Q And on the first page of this document, 10:10 21 where under section 2, "Copyright Release," there is 16:13
21 (Exhibit 1183 marked for identification) 16:00	21 where under section 2, "Copyright Release," there is 16:13 22 an "X" next to where it says "2007/2008 Cycle Copyright 16:18
21 (Exhibit 1183 marked for identification)16:0022 Q (BY MR BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 16:00	21 where under section 2, "Copyright Release," there is 16:13 22 an "X" next to where it says "2007/2008 Cycle Copyright 16:18
21 (Exhibit 1183 marked for identification)16:0022 Q (BY MR BECKER) I'm handing you what's been16:0023 marked as Exhibit 1183 This is a document Bates16:01	21 where under section 2, "Copyright Release," there is 16:1322 an "X" next to where it says "2007/2008 Cycle Copyright 16:1823 Release on File." Is that correct?16:13

43 (Pages 166 - 169)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 45 of 98

1 copyright release to the ICC for its contributions to 16:10 2 the IECC in 2007? 16:15	1 this copy of the Department of Energy's proposal to the 16:19 2 ICC? 16:15
3 A That's correct, Steve Comstock would have 16:19	3 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to scope. 16:19
4 issued a copyright release. 16:13	4 THE WITNESS: Because the Department of 16:10
5 Q Typically, would it be ASHRAE employees that 16:14	5 Energy would have gotten the we would have 16:13
6 would submit content to the ICC for incorporation into 16:16	6 given them the copyright release to submit 16:15
7 the IECC or would it be volunteers? 16:10	7 the code change proposal for language that 16:17
8 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to form. 16:16	8 was pulled directly from 90.1. 16:13
9 THE WITNESS: There is a process for 16:12	9 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Does that mean that the 16:12
10 which code change proposals are approved 16:13	10 Department of Energy will take language from 90.1 and 16:15
11 through ASHRAE. Steve Ferguson, as the 16:15	11 submit it to the ICC for inclusion in the IECC? 16:11
11 unough ASHRAE. Sieve reguson, as the 10.15 12 ASHRAE staff representative at the code 16:18	12 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to form. 16:12
1	
14 the code change proposals after they've gone 16:12	14 in they have not done it to my knowledge 16:15
15 through the process. 16:15	15 since this time frame; but yes, they did 16:19
16 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Who drafts the code change 16:19	16 submit it. 16:13
17 proposals? 16:12	17 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And they the Department 16:10
18 A It is Steve would put them in the form, 16:17	18 of Energy would do this in cooperation with ASHRAE? 16:13
19 Ferguson, but they would be they would come from the 16:11	19 A Yes, if it was language pulled they would 16:17
20 existing text of the standard that we're referencing. 16:14	20 get permission if it was language pulled verbatim from 16:10
21 Q Would they be exact quotes of the text that 16:11	21 90.1. I don't know if this is verbatim from 90.1. 16:14
22 was referenced? 16:15	22 Q Does ASHRAE believe that the Department of 16:18
23 A The rule in ASHRAE is code typically, it's 16:17	23 Energy needs permission in order to take a quote from 16:11
24 the exact text, but the rule in ASHRAE is for code 16:10	24 ASHRAE Standard 90.1 and submit it as a proposal to the 16:18
25 change proposals that are being submitted that submit 16:12 Page 170	25 IECC? 16:12 Page 172
1 proposed text from ASHRAE standards, they have to be 16:18	1 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection, calls for a 16:15
2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim. 16:11	2 legal conclusion. 16:19
2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim.16:113 Q And who determines that the text is16:18	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:10
 2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim. 16:11 3 Q And who determines that the text is 16:18 4 technically equivalent? 16:14 	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:104Q(BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's16:15
 2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim. 16:11 3 Q And who determines that the text is 16:18 4 technically equivalent? 16:14 5 A It first goes back to the project committee 16:15 	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:104Q(BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's16:155relationship to the ICC?16:16
 2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim. 16:11 3 Q And who determines that the text is 16:18 4 technically equivalent? 16:14 5 A It first goes back to the project committee 16:15 6 responsible for the particular standard being proposed 16:18 	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:104Q(BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's16:155relationship to the ICC?16:166AWe have multiple relationships with the ICC.16:12
 2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim. 16:11 3 Q And who determines that the text is 16:18 4 technically equivalent? 16:14 5 A It first goes back to the project committee 16:15 6 responsible for the particular standard being proposed 16:18 7 into code. After they have approved it as technically 16:11 	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:104Q(BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's16:155relationship to the ICC?16:166AWe have multiple relationships with the ICC.16:127QCould you please explain?16:19
 2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim. 16:11 3 Q And who determines that the text is 16:18 4 technically equivalent? 16:14 5 A It first goes back to the project committee 16:15 6 responsible for the particular standard being proposed 16:18 7 into code. After they have approved it as technically 16:11 8 equivalent or just approved its submittal, then it goes 16:17 	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:104Q(BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's16:155relationship to the ICC?16:166AWe have multiple relationships with the ICC.16:127QCould you please explain?16:198ASo I would say in terms of the IECC, ASHRAE16:10
 2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim. 16:11 3 Q And who determines that the text is 16:18 4 technically equivalent? 16:14 5 A It first goes back to the project committee 16:15 6 responsible for the particular standard being proposed 16:18 7 into code. After they have approved it as technically 16:11 8 equivalent or just approved its submittal, then it goes 16:17 9 to the code interaction subcommittee and they they 16:10 	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:104Q(BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's16:155relationship to the ICC?16:166AWe have multiple relationships with the ICC.16:127QCould you please explain?16:198ASo I would say in terms of the IECC, ASHRAE16:10990.1 would be in competition with that particular16:17
 2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim. 16:11 3 Q And who determines that the text is 16:18 4 technically equivalent? 16:14 5 A It first goes back to the project committee 16:15 6 responsible for the particular standard being proposed 16:18 7 into code. After they have approved it as technically 16:11 8 equivalent or just approved its submittal, then it goes 16:17 9 to the code interaction subcommittee and they they 16:10 10 approve submitting it. They're reviewing the process 16:15 	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:104Q(BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's16:155relationship to the ICC?16:166AWe have multiple relationships with the ICC.16:127QCould you please explain?16:198ASo I would say in terms of the IECC, ASHRAE16:10990.1 would be in competition with that particular16:1710document. And and then there's other things where16:19
 2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim. 16:11 3 Q And who determines that the text is 16:18 4 technically equivalent? 16:14 5 A It first goes back to the project committee 16:15 6 responsible for the particular standard being proposed 16:18 7 into code. After they have approved it as technically 16:11 8 equivalent or just approved its submittal, then it goes 16:17 9 to the code interaction subcommittee and they they 16:10 10 approve submitting it. They're reviewing the process 16:18 	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:104Q(BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's16:155relationship to the ICC?16:166AWe have multiple relationships with the ICC.16:127QCould you please explain?16:198ASo I would say in terms of the IECC, ASHRAE16:10990.1 would be in competition with that particular16:1710document. And and then there's other things where16:1911we work together on.16:15
 2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim. 16:11 3 Q And who determines that the text is 16:18 4 technically equivalent? 16:14 5 A It first goes back to the project committee 16:15 6 responsible for the particular standard being proposed 16:18 7 into code. After they have approved it as technically 16:11 8 equivalent or just approved its submittal, then it goes 16:17 9 to the code interaction subcommittee and they they 16:10 10 approve submitting it. They're reviewing the process 16:15 11 and making sure the process was followed. 16:18 12 Q Who drafts the content if it is technically 16:11 	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:104Q(BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's16:155relationship to the ICC?16:166AWe have multiple relationships with the ICC.16:127QCould you please explain?16:198ASo I would say in terms of the IECC, ASHRAE16:10990.1 would be in competition with that particular16:1710document. And and then there's other things where16:1911we work together on.16:1512QWhat kind of things does ASHRAE and the ICC16:13
 2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim. 16:11 3 Q And who determines that the text is 16:18 4 technically equivalent? 16:14 5 A It first goes back to the project committee 16:15 6 responsible for the particular standard being proposed 16:18 7 into code. After they have approved it as technically 16:11 8 equivalent or just approved its submittal, then it goes 16:17 9 to the code interaction subcommittee and they they 16:16 10 approve submitting it. They're reviewing the process 16:15 11 and making sure the process was followed. 16:18 12 Q Who drafts the content if it is technically 16:11 13 equivalent and not identical to the content from the 16:17 	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:104Q (BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's16:155relationship to the ICC?16:166A We have multiple relationships with the ICC.16:127Q Could you please explain?16:198A So I would say in terms of the IECC, ASHRAE16:10990.1 would be in competition with that particular16:1710document. And and then there's other things where16:1911we work together on.16:1512Q What kind of things does ASHRAE and the ICC16:1313work together on?16:10
 2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim. 16:11 3 Q And who determines that the text is 16:18 4 technically equivalent? 16:14 5 A It first goes back to the project committee 16:15 6 responsible for the particular standard being proposed 16:18 7 into code. After they have approved it as technically 16:11 8 equivalent or just approved its submittal, then it goes 16:17 9 to the code interaction subcommittee and they they 16:10 10 approve submitting it. They're reviewing the process 16:15 11 and making sure the process was followed. 16:18 12 Q Who drafts the content if it is technically 16:11 13 equivalent and not identical to the content from the 16:17 14 ASHRAE Standard 90.1? 16:11 	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:104Q(BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's16:155relationship to the ICC?16:166AWe have multiple relationships with the ICC.16:127QCould you please explain?16:198ASo I would say in terms of the IECC, ASHRAE16:10990.1 would be in competition with that particular16:1710document. And and then there's other things where16:1911we work together on.16:1512QWhat kind of things does ASHRAE and the ICC16:1313work together on?16:1014AWe have had ASHRAE members on the code16:10
2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim.16:113 Q And who determines that the text is16:113 Q And who determines that the text is16:184 technically equivalent?16:145 A It first goes back to the project committee16:156 responsible for the particular standard being proposed16:187 into code. After they have approved it as technically16:118 equivalent or just approved its submittal, then it goes16:179 to the code interaction subcommittee and they they16:1010 approve submitting it. They're reviewing the process16:1511 and making sure the process was followed.16:1812 Q Who drafts the content if it is technically16:1113 equivalent and not identical to the content from the16:1714 ASHRAE Standard 90.1?16:1115 A Members of the project committee.16:13	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:104Q (BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's16:155relationship to the ICC?16:166AWe have multiple relationships with the ICC.16:127QCould you please explain?16:198ASo I would say in terms of the IECC, ASHRAE16:10990.1 would be in competition with that particular16:1710document. And and then there's other things where16:1911we work together on.16:1512QWhat kind of things does ASHRAE and the ICC16:1313work together on?16:1014AWe have had ASHRAE members on the code16:1015council. We've had I ICC members participate in the 16:13
2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim.16:113 Q And who determines that the text is16:113 Q And who determines that the text is16:184 technically equivalent?16:145 A It first goes back to the project committee16:156 responsible for the particular standard being proposed16:187 into code. After they have approved it as technically16:118 equivalent or just approved its submittal, then it goes16:179 to the code interaction subcommittee and they they16:1010 approve submitting it. They're reviewing the process16:1511 and making sure the process was followed.16:1812 Q Who drafts the content if it is technically16:1113 equivalent and not identical to the content from the16:1714 ASHRAE Standard 90.1?16:1115 A Members of the project committee.16:1316 (Exhibit 1185 marked for identification.)16:10	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:104Q (BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's16:155relationship to the ICC?16:166AWe have multiple relationships with the ICC.16:127QCould you please explain?16:198ASo I would say in terms of the IECC, ASHRAE16:10990.1 would be in competition with that particular16:1710document. And and then there's other things where16:1911we work together on.16:1512QWhat kind of things does ASHRAE and the ICC16:1313work together on?16:1014AWe have had ASHRAE members on the code16:1015council. We've had I ICC members participate in the16:1316development of ASHRAE standards.16:18
2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim.16:113 Q And who determines that the text is16:113 Q And who determines that the text is16:184 technically equivalent?16:145 A It first goes back to the project committee16:156 responsible for the particular standard being proposed16:187 into code. After they have approved it as technically16:118 equivalent or just approved its submittal, then it goes16:179 to the code interaction subcommittee and they they16:1010 approve submitting it. They're reviewing the process16:1511 and making sure the process was followed.16:1812 Q Who drafts the content if it is technically16:1113 equivalent and not identical to the content from the16:1714 ASHRAE Standard 90.1?16:1115 A Members of the project committee.16:1316 (Exhibit 1185 marked for identification.)16:1017 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been16:19	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:104Q (BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's16:155relationship to the ICC?16:166A We have multiple relationships with the ICC.16:127Q Could you please explain?16:198A So I would say in terms of the IECC, ASHRAE16:10990.1 would be in competition with that particular16:1710document. And and then there's other things where16:1911we work together on.16:1512Q What kind of things does ASHRAE and the ICC16:1313work together on?16:1014A We have had ASHRAE members on the code16:1015council. We've had I ICC members participate in the 16:131616development of ASHRAE standards.16:1817(Exhibit 1186 marked for identification.)16:19
2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim.16:113 Q And who determines that the text is16:113 Q And who determines that the text is16:184 technically equivalent?16:145 A It first goes back to the project committee16:156 responsible for the particular standard being proposed16:187 into code. After they have approved it as technically16:118 equivalent or just approved its submittal, then it goes16:179 to the code interaction subcommittee and they they16:1010 approve submitting it. They're reviewing the process16:1511 and making sure the process was followed.16:1812 Q Who drafts the content if it is technically16:1113 equivalent and not identical to the content from the16:1714 ASHRAE Standard 90.1?16:1115 A Members of the project committee.16:1316 (Exhibit 1185 marked for identification.)16:1017 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been16:1918 marked as Exhibit 1185. Could you tell me what this16:11	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:104Q(BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's16:155relationship to the ICC?16:166AWe have multiple relationships with the ICC.16:127QCould you please explain?16:198ASo I would say in terms of the IECC, ASHRAE16:10990.1 would be in competition with that particular16:1710document. And and then there's other things where16:1911we work together on.16:1512QWhat kind of things does ASHRAE and the ICC16:1313work together on?16:1014AWe have had ASHRAE members on the code16:1015council. We've had I ICC members participate in the 16:131616development of ASHRAE standards.16:1817(Exhibit 1186 marked for identification.)16:1918Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been16:16
2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim. 16:11 3 Q And who determines that the text is 16:11 3 Q And who determines that the text is 16:18 4 technically equivalent? 16:14 5 A It first goes back to the project committee 16:15 6 responsible for the particular standard being proposed 16:18 7 into code. After they have approved it as technically 16:11 8 equivalent or just approved its submittal, then it goes 16:17 9 to the code interaction subcommittee and they they 16:10 10 approve submitting it. They're reviewing the process 16:15 11 and making sure the process was followed. 16:18 12 Q Who drafts the content if it is technically 16:11 13 equivalent and not identical to the content from the 16:17 14 ASHRAE Standard 90.1? 16:11 15 A Members of the project committee. 16:13 16 (Exhibit 1185 marked for identification.) 16:10 17 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 16:19 18 marked as Exhibit 1185. Could you tell me what this 16:11 19 document is? 16:14	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:104Q (BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's16:155relationship to the ICC?16:166AWe have multiple relationships with the ICC.16:127QCould you please explain?16:198ASo I would say in terms of the IECC, ASHRAE16:10990.1 would be in competition with that particular16:1710document. And and then there's other things where16:1911we work together on.16:1512QWhat kind of things does ASHRAE and the ICC16:1313work together on?16:1014AWe have had ASHRAE members on the code16:1015council. We've had I ICC members participate in the 16:131616development of ASHRAE standards.16:1817(Exhibit 1186 marked for identification.)16:1918Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been16:1619marked as Exhibit 1186. Do you recognize this16:17
2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim.16:113 Q And who determines that the text is16:113 Q And who determines that the text is16:184 technically equivalent?16:145 A It first goes back to the project committee16:156 responsible for the particular standard being proposed16:187 into code. After they have approved it as technically16:118 equivalent or just approved its submittal, then it goes16:179 to the code interaction subcommittee and they they16:1010 approve submitting it. They're reviewing the process16:1511 and making sure the process was followed.16:1812 Q Who drafts the content if it is technically16:1113 equivalent and not identical to the content from the16:1714 ASHRAE Standard 90.1?16:1115 A Members of the project committee.16:1316 (Exhibit 1185 marked for identification.)16:1017 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been16:1918 marked as Exhibit 1185. Could you tell me what this16:1119 document is?16:1420 A Yes, this is the Public Code Change Proposal16:15	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:104Q (BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's16:155relationship to the ICC?16:166AWe have multiple relationships with the ICC.16:127QCould you please explain?16:198ASo I would say in terms of the IECC, ASHRAE16:10990.1 would be in competition with that particular16:1710document. And and then there's other things where16:1911we work together on.16:1512QWhat kind of things does ASHRAE and the ICC16:1014AWe have had ASHRAE members on the code16:1015council. We've had I ICC members participate in the 16:131616development of ASHRAE standards.16:1817(Exhibit 1186 marked for identification.)16:1918Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been16:16marked as Exhibit 1186. Do you recognize this16:1720document?16:16
2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim. 16:11 3 Q And who determines that the text is 16:11 3 Q And who determines that the text is 16:18 4 technically equivalent? 16:14 5 A It first goes back to the project committee 16:15 6 responsible for the particular standard being proposed 16:18 7 into code. After they have approved it as technically 16:11 8 equivalent or just approved its submittal, then it goes 16:17 9 to the code interaction subcommittee and they they 16:10 10 approve submitting it. They're reviewing the process 16:15 11 and making sure the process was followed. 16:18 12 Q Who drafts the content if it is technically 16:11 13 equivalent and not identical to the content from the 16:17 14 ASHRAE Standard 90.1? 16:11 15 A Members of the project committee. 16:10 17 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 16:19 18 marked as Exhibit 1185. Could you tell me what this 16:11 19 document is? 16:14 20 A Yes, this is the Public Code Change Proposal 16:15 21 Form for Public Proposals in the International Codes 16:17 <td>2legal conclusion.$16:19$3THE WITNESS: Yes.$16:10$4Q (BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's$16:15$5relationship to the ICC?$16:16$6A We have multiple relationships with the ICC.$16:12$7Q Could you please explain?$16:19$8A So I would say in terms of the IECC, ASHRAE$16:10$990.1 would be in competition with that particular$16:17$10document. And and then there's other things where$16:19$11we work together on.$16:15$12Q What kind of things does ASHRAE and the ICC$16:13$13work together on?$16:10$14A We have had ASHRAE members on the code$16:10$15council. We've had I ICC members participate in the $16:13$16development of ASHRAE standards.$16:18$17(Exhibit 1186 marked for identification.)$16:19$18Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been $16:16$19marked as Exhibit 1186. Do you recognize this$16:17$20document?$16:16$21A Yes.$16:17$</td>	2legal conclusion. $16:19$ 3THE WITNESS: Yes. $16:10$ 4Q (BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's $16:15$ 5relationship to the ICC? $16:16$ 6A We have multiple relationships with the ICC. $16:12$ 7Q Could you please explain? $16:19$ 8A So I would say in terms of the IECC, ASHRAE $16:10$ 990.1 would be in competition with that particular $16:17$ 10document. And and then there's other things where $16:19$ 11we work together on. $16:15$ 12Q What kind of things does ASHRAE and the ICC $16:13$ 13work together on? $16:10$ 14A We have had ASHRAE members on the code $16:10$ 15council. We've had I ICC members participate in the $16:13$ 16development of ASHRAE standards. $16:18$ 17(Exhibit 1186 marked for identification.) $16:19$ 18Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been $16:16$ 19marked as Exhibit 1186. Do you recognize this $16:17$ 20document? $16:16$ 21A Yes. $16:17$
2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim.16:113 Q And who determines that the text is16:113 Q And who determines that the text is16:184 technically equivalent?16:145 A It first goes back to the project committee16:156 responsible for the particular standard being proposed16:187 into code. After they have approved it as technically16:118 equivalent or just approved its submittal, then it goes16:179 to the code interaction subcommittee and they they16:1010 approve submitting it. They're reviewing the process16:1511 and making sure the process was followed.16:1812 Q Who drafts the content if it is technically16:1113 equivalent and not identical to the content from the16:1714 ASHRAE Standard 90.1?16:1115 A Members of the project committee.16:1316 (Exhibit 1185 marked for identification.)16:1017 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been16:1918 marked as Exhibit 1185. Could you tell me what this16:1119 document is?16:1420 A Yes, this is the Public Code Change Proposal16:1521 Form for Public Proposals in the International Codes16:1722 for the 2009/2010 Code Development Cycle for the ICC.16:10	2legal conclusion. $16:19$ 3THE WITNESS: Yes. $16:10$ 4Q(BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's $16:15$ 5relationship to the ICC? $16:16$ 6AWe have multiple relationships with the ICC. $16:12$ 7QCould you please explain? $16:19$ 8ASo I would say in terms of the IECC, ASHRAE $16:10$ 990.1 would be in competition with that particular $16:17$ 10document. And and then there's other things where $16:19$ 11we work together on. $16:15$ 12QWhat kind of things does ASHRAE and the ICC $16:13$ 13work together on? $16:10$ 14AWe have had ASHRAE members on the code $16:10$ 15council. We've had I ICC members participate in the $16:13$ 16development of ASHRAE standards. $16:18$ 17(Exhibit 1186 marked for identification.) $16:19$ 18Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been $16:16$ 19marked as Exhibit 1186. Do you recognize this $16:17$ 20document? $16:16$ 21AYes. $16:17$ 22QAnd what is this document? $16:19$
2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim.16:113 Q And who determines that the text is16:113 Q And who determines that the text is16:184 technically equivalent?16:145 A It first goes back to the project committee16:156 responsible for the particular standard being proposed16:187 into code. After they have approved it as technically16:118 equivalent or just approved its submittal, then it goes16:179 to the code interaction subcommittee and they they16:1010 approve submitting it. They're reviewing the process16:1511 and making sure the process was followed.16:1812 Q Who drafts the content if it is technically16:1113 equivalent and not identical to the content from the16:1714 ASHRAE Standard 90.1?16:1115 A Members of the project committee.16:1316 (Exhibit 1185 marked for identification.)16:1017 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been16:1918 marked as Exhibit 1185. Could you tell me what this16:1119 document is?16:1420 A Yes, this is the Public Code Change Proposal16:1521 Form for Public Proposals in the International Codes16:1722 for the 2009/2010 Code Development Cycle for the ICC.16:1023 Q And who is this proposal on behalf of?16:16	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:104Q(BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's16:155relationship to the ICC?16:166AWe have multiple relationships with the ICC.16:127QCould you please explain?16:198ASo I would say in terms of the IECC, ASHRAE16:10990.1 would be in competition with that particular16:1710document. And and then there's other things where16:1911we work together on.16:1512QWhat kind of things does ASHRAE and the ICC161014AWe have had ASHRAE members on the code16:1015council. We've had I ICC members participate in the 16:1316development of ASHRAE standards.16:1817(Exhibit 1186 marked for identification.)16:1918Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been16:1619marked as Exhibit 1186. Do you recognize this16:1720document?16:1621AYes.16:1722QAnd what is this document?16:1923AThis is an email exchange with Dave Conover16:13
2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim.16:113 Q And who determines that the text is16:113 Q And who determines that the text is16:184 technically equivalent?16:145 A It first goes back to the project committee16:156 responsible for the particular standard being proposed16:187 into code. After they have approved it as technically16:118 equivalent or just approved its submittal, then it goes16:179 to the code interaction subcommittee and they they16:1010 approve submitting it. They're reviewing the process16:1511 and making sure the process was followed.16:1812 Q Who drafts the content if it is technically16:1113 equivalent and not identical to the content from the16:1714 ASHRAE Standard 90.1?16:1115 A Members of the project committee.16:1316 (Exhibit 1185 marked for identification.)16:1017 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been16:1918 marked as Exhibit 1185. Could you tell me what this16:1119 document is?16:1420 A Yes, this is the Public Code Change Proposal16:1521 Form for Public Proposals in the International Codes16:1722 for the 2009/2010 Code Development Cycle for the ICC.16:1023 Q And who is this proposal on behalf of?16:1624 A The Department of Energy.16:19	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:104Q(BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's16:155relationship to the ICC?16:166AWe have multiple relationships with the ICC.16:127QCould you please explain?16:198ASo I would say in terms of the IECC, ASHRAE16:10990.1 would be in competition with that particular16:1710document. And and then there's other things where16:1911we work together on.16:1512QWhat kind of things does ASHRAE and the ICC16:1014AWe have had ASHRAE members on the code16:1015council. We've had I ICC members participate in the 16:131616development of ASHRAE standards.16:1817(Exhibit 1186 marked for identification.)16:1918Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 16:1619marked as Exhibit 1186. Do you recognize this16:1720document?16:1621AYes.16:1722QAnd what is this document?16:1923AThis is an email exchange with Dave Conover16:1324and Doug Read about a comparison document for 90.1 and 16:17
2 technically equivalent if they are not verbatim.16:113 Q And who determines that the text is16:113 Q And who determines that the text is16:184 technically equivalent?16:145 A It first goes back to the project committee16:156 responsible for the particular standard being proposed16:187 into code. After they have approved it as technically16:118 equivalent or just approved its submittal, then it goes16:179 to the code interaction subcommittee and they they16:1010 approve submitting it. They're reviewing the process16:1511 and making sure the process was followed.16:1812 Q Who drafts the content if it is technically16:1113 equivalent and not identical to the content from the16:1714 ASHRAE Standard 90.1?16:1115 A Members of the project committee.16:1316 (Exhibit 1185 marked for identification.)16:1017 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been16:1918 marked as Exhibit 1185. Could you tell me what this16:1119 document is?16:1420 A Yes, this is the Public Code Change Proposal16:1521 Form for Public Proposals in the International Codes16:1722 for the 2009/2010 Code Development Cycle for the ICC.16:1023 Q And who is this proposal on behalf of?16:16	2legal conclusion.16:193THE WITNESS: Yes.16:104Q (BY MR. BECKER) What is ASHRAE's16:155relationship to the ICC?16:166AWe have multiple relationships with the ICC.16:127QCould you please explain?16:198ASo I would say in terms of the IECC, ASHRAE16:10990.1 would be in competition with that particular16:1710document. And and then there's other things where16:1911we work together on.16:1512QWhat kind of things does ASHRAE and the ICC161014AWe have had ASHRAE members on the code16:1015council. We've had I ICC members participate in the 16:1316development of ASHRAE standards.16:1817(Exhibit 1186 marked for identification.)16:1918Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been16:1619marked as Exhibit 1186. Do you recognize this16:1720document?16:1621AYes.16:1722QAnd what is this document?16:1923AThis is an email exchange with Dave Conover16:13

44 (Pages 170 - 173)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 46 of 98

1 Q And on the first page, Mr. Comstock says at 16:13	1 standards that ASHRAE provides free public online 16:25
2 the second email in the chain, the bottom of the second 16:14	2 access to are incorporated by reference into the CFR 16:28
3 email, he says, "Please advise. With all the ICC 16:17	3 and otherwise used by federal agencies in programs 16:22
4 controversy, we are being cautious." 16:11	4 either in their current or prior editions." Is that 16:25
5 Do you know what Mr. Comstock was referring 16:14	5 correct? 16:22
6 to there? 16:16	6 A That's correct. 16:22
7 A About the potential for the IECC becoming 16:21	7 Q Why is it that on the previous page, it says 16:24
8 referenced in EPAct instead of 90.1. 16:25	8 that "The CFR incorporates by reference 30 of ASHRAE's 16:27
9 Q And Mr. Comstock says two paragraphs above 16:20	
10 that, "Isn't our position that our standard is better 16:26	9 standards and related materials," but on the next page, 16:21
	10 it says that only five standards that are referenced in 16:26
11 than the IECC?" 16:29	11 the CFR are provided for free public online access? 16:21
12 Is Mr. Comstock referring to the to ASHRAE 16:24	12 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to the 16:29
13 Standard 90.1? 16:29	13 scope. 16:20
14 A Yes. 16:21	14 THE WITNESS: Without seeing the list of 16:25
15 Q And is ASHRAE's position that ASHRAE 90.1 is 16:21	15 the 30 references to know what they are, it's 16:26
16 better than the IECC?16:26	16 hard for me to comment on that from the CFR 16:28
17 A Yes. 16:29	17 database. And and so without knowing how 16:27
18 (Exhibit 1187 and Exhibit 1188 marked for 16:21	18 they're referenced or what they're 16:23
19 identification.) 16:29	19 referenced, and I don't know why what 16:25
20 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing 16:29	20 versions are on there, I believe more 16:20
21 you what's been marked as Exhibits 1187 and 1188. Do 16:21	21 versions of standards are now on our website. 16:22
22 you recognize these documents? 16:29	22 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And again, on that second 16:20
23 A Yes. 16:20	23 page, when it says "The CFR incorporates by reference 16:21
24 Q And the email 1187, which you are a recipient 16:27	24 30 of ASHRAE's standards and related materials," what 16:24
25 of, included the document 1188 as a attachment; is that 16:22	25 does it mean by "related materials"? 16:27
Page 174	Page 176
1 correct? 16:28	1 A Without seeing that list, I would have to say 16:24
2 A That appears to be what the attachment 16:23	2 could be user's manuals It could be other 16:29
3 describes this as, yes. 16:25	3 publications within ASHRAE It could be a referenced 16:23
4 Q And could you tell me what these documents 16:27	4 article I don't know without seeing the list 16:27
5 are? 16:29	5 Q Might that include the 1993 ASHRAE handbook? 16:29
6 A Exhibit 1187 is an email from Mark Ames that 16:20	6 A That may include the 1993 ASHRAE handbook, 16:22
7 provides the recipients of the email the comments that 16:27	7 yes 16:26
8 were submitted on standards incorporated by reference 16:21	8 Q Do you know if the 1993 ASHRAE handbook has 16:26
9 into the Code of Federal Regulations. 16:25	9 been incorporated by reference into any law or 16:21
10 And then a copy and it looks like there 16:21	10 regulation? 16:23
11 was also a copy of the request for comments and 16:23	11 A I don't know 16:24
12 extension of the comment period. 16:28	12 (Exhibit 1189 marked for identification) 16:24
13 Q And looking at Exhibit 1188, on the first 16:21	13 Q (BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing 16:28
14 page, if you look at the third paragraph down, it 16:28	
15 starts off by saying, "ASHRAE standards are currently 16:24	14 you what's been marked as Exhibit 1189 This is 16:29
A NEW ALL DV SAVIDO A NEW A E SIGNAGIOS OF CURPONIV 16:74	15 deserve the ACTID A D0027701 D
	15 document Bates number ASHRAE0027781 Do you recognize 16:32
16 referenced 130 times in the Code of Federal16:27	16 this document? 16:37
16referenced 130 times in the Code of Federal16:2717Regulations." Is that correct?16:20	16 this document? 16:37 17 A Yes 16:37
16 referenced 130 times in the Code of Federal16:2717 Regulations." Is that correct?16:2018 A That's correct.16:23	16 this document?16:3717AYes16:3718Q18QAnd could you tell me what this document is?16:39
16referenced 130 times in the Code of Federal16:2717Regulations." Is that correct?16:2018AThat's correct.16:2319QAnd then if you turn to the next page, on the16:26	16 this document? 16:37 17 A Yes 16:37
16 referenced 130 times in the Code of Federal16:2717 Regulations." Is that correct?16:2018 A That's correct.16:23	16 this document?16:3717 A Yes16:3718 Q And could you tell me what this document is?16:39
16referenced 130 times in the Code of Federal16:2717Regulations." Is that correct?16:2018AThat's correct.16:2319QAnd then if you turn to the next page, on the16:26	16 this document?16:3717AYes18QAnd could you tell me what this document is?19MRCUNNINGHAM: I'm going to object to16:33
16referenced 130 times in the Code of Federal16:2717Regulations." Is that correct?16:2018AThat's correct.16:2319QAnd then if you turn to the next page, on the16:2620last paragraph, the second-to-last sentence in the last16:21	16 this document?16:3717 A Yes16:3718 Q And could you tell me what this document is?16:3919 MR CUNNINGHAM: I'm going to object to16:3320 the scope here This appears to be something16:34
16referenced 130 times in the Code of Federal16:2717Regulations." Is that correct?16:2018AThat's correct.16:2319QAnd then if you turn to the next page, on the16:2620last paragraph, the second-to-last sentence in the last16:2121paragraph says, "The CFR incorporates by reference 3016:27	16 this document?16:3717AYes18QAnd could you tell me what this document is?19MRCUNNINGHAM: I'm going to object to16:3320the scope hereThis appears to be something16:3421that would have been covered with16:35
 16 referenced 130 times in the Code of Federal 16:27 17 Regulations." Is that correct? 16:20 18 A That's correct. 16:23 19 Q And then if you turn to the next page, on the 16:26 20 last paragraph, the second-to-last sentence in the last 16:21 21 paragraph says, "The CFR incorporates by reference 30 16:27 22 of ASHRAE's standards and related materials." Is that 16:22 	16 this document?16:3717A Yes16:3718Q And could you tell me what this document is?16:3919MR CUNNINGHAM: I'm going to object to16:3320the scope hereThis appears to be something16:3421that would have been covered with16:3522Mr Comstock as part of his topics16:37
16referenced 130 times in the Code of Federal16:2717Regulations." Is that correct?16:2018AThat's correct.16:2319QAnd then if you turn to the next page, on the 16:2620last paragraph, the second-to-last sentence in the last16:2121paragraph says, "The CFR incorporates by reference 3016:2722of ASHRAE's standards and related materials." Is that16:2223correct?16:28	16 this document?16:3717 A Yes16:3718 Q And could you tell me what this document is?16:3919 MR CUNNINGHAM: I'm going to object to16:3320 the scope hereThis appears to be something16:34121 that would have been covered with16:3522 Mr Comstock as part of his topics16:3723 MR BECKER: Ms Reiniche is copied on16:31

45 (Pages 174 - 177)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 47 of 98

1	chose to not depose her in her personal 16:30	1 Q And how did ASHRAE first become aware of 16:35
2	capacity as part of your effort to extend 16:30	2 Public Resource? 16:39
3	your number of depositions that you guys were 16:30	3 A I believe it was related to information about 16:32
4	doing a few months back. 16:30	4 a court case with SMACNA. 16:32
5	MR. BECKER: This is part of the 16:30	5 Q And what was the the context of that 16:36
6	authentication process. 16:30	6 litigation? 16:38
7	MR. CUNNINGHAM: It's outside the scope 16:30	7 A My recollection is that there was an 16:30
8	of the 30(b)(6) deposition. 16:31	8 organization that worked for SMACNA to look for 16:34
9	MR. BECKER: I'm having I'm having 16:31	9 copyrighted material. They found copyrighted material 16:38
10	her authenticate the document. 16:31	10 on Public.Resource.Org. They sent a letter saying take 16:31
11	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Do you plan to do 16:31	11 the stuff down, and then a lawsuit was filed by Public 16:37
12	anything else with it? 16:31	12 Resource against SMACNA. 16:32
13	MR. BECKER: No. 16:31	13 Q And so as a designee for ASHRAE, are you 16:37
14	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Why was it not 16:31	14 saying that the first that ASHRAE was aware of Public 16:33
15	authenticated with Mr. Comstock? 16:31	15 Resource was with the initiation of the SMACNA lawsuit? 16:36
16	MR. BECKER: I'm actually not entirely 16:31	16 A That was the email conversations were 16:32
17	certain if it was. 16:31	17 started with the SMACNA lawsuit. 16:36
18	THE COURT REPORTER: Entirely certain of 16:31	18 (Exhibit 1190 marked for identification.) 16:38
19	what? 16:31	19 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 16:32
20	MR. BECKER: If it was. 16:31	20 marked as Exhibit 1190. Do you recognize this 16:33
21	THE WITNESS: This is this is an 16:31	21 document? 16:38
22	email I received would have received from 16:31	22 A Yes. 16:34
23	Mick Schwedler asking for what type of 16:31	23 Q This document is an excuse me. Can you 16:39
24	copyright permission would be needed to show 16:31	24 tell me what this document is? 16:34
25	excerpts of some of the items in 90.1. 16:31	25 A This is an email from Jodi Scott to Jeff 16:35
	Page 178	Page 180
1	What appears to be missing is the email 16:33	1 Littleton about the legal actions and if what or if 16:39
2	I would have forwarded to Steve Comstock 16:35	2 we need to conduct a PR campaign in conjunction with 16:38
3	since it was published, and I don't have 16:38	3 the lawsuit. 16:34
4	authority to grant copyright permission when 16:30	4 Q Has ASHRAE conducted a I'm sorry, what 16:33
5	it's a published document. 16:33	5 does PR campaign stand for? 16:35
6	And then is Steve Comstock providing the 16:38	6 A Public like a press release-type campaign. 16:38
7	stipulations for the copyright for which Mick 16:34	7 Q Does that mean public relations? 16:34
8	could show 16:30	8 A Yes, thank you. 16:37
9	THE COURT REPORTER: For which what? 16:30	9 Q And has ASHRAE engaged in a public relations 16:39
10	THE WITNESS: Mick could show 16:30	10 campaign in conjunction with the lawsuit against16:34
11	portion or screenshots of 90.1. 16:32	11 Public.Resource.Org? 16:38
12	Q (BY MR. BECKER) Is that Mick Schwedler? 16:37	12 A No. 16:39
13	A Yes. 16:30	13 Q Are you sure of that? 16:35
14	Q Do you know Mr. Schwedler? 16:39	14 A I'm pretty sure. I haven't seen anything 16:38
15	A Yes. 16:30	15 come out. 16:30
16	Q And who is Mr. Schwedler employed by? 16:37	16 Q And this email says that the other 16:34
17	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Same objection. This 16:30	17 organizational participants in the lawsuit are ASTM UL 16:38
18	is still clearly outside the scope. 16:32	18 and NFPA. Who is UL? 16:32
19	THE WITNESS: Mick is employed by 16:36	19 A The L stands for laboratories. I think it's 16:30
20	Trane. 16:37	20 United Laboratories. 16:33
21	Q (BY MR. BECKER) And what is Trane? 16:37	21 Q Could it be Underwriters Laboratory? 16:36
22	A Trane is a engineering company, manufacturer. 16:38	22 A Underwriters. Thank you. That's right. 16:30
23	Q Ms. Reiniche, when did ASHRAE first become 16:36	23 Q Separate from any information that you may 16:30
	ware of Public Resource? 16:30	24 have been given by counsel, so no information that 16:32
25		
25	A I think it was around I want to say 2012. 16:35 Page 179	25 you've been given by counsel, do you know why it is 16:36 Page 181

46 (Pages 178 - 181)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 48 of 98

1 that Underwriters Laboratory is not a part of this 16:38	1 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Same objection. 16:47
2 litigation? 16:32	2 THE WITNESS: I believe all of the 16:42
3 A No, I do not. 16:33	3 conversations about the what whether or 16:43
4 Q Can you venture a guess as to why they're not 16:38	4 not to do a PR campaign was held in 16:45
5 part of this litigation? 16:31	5 conjunction with with legal counsel. So I 16:48
6 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the form. 16:33	6 don't believe I can answer that. 16:41
7 MR. FEE: Same objection. 16:34	7 Q (BY MR. BECKER) The document says, "An 16:42
8 THE WITNESS: My guess would be they 16:36	8 initial meeting of PR folks is being organized." Did 16:43
9 it's cost prohibitive for them to be part of 16:41	9 that meeting take place? 16:46
10the lawsuit. That would be my guess.16:44	10 A Yes, but I believe legal counsel was involved 16:41
11 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And is it not cost 16:49	11 in that meeting. 16:45
12 prohibitive for ASHRAE to be part of the lawsuit? 16:41	12 Q When did the meeting take place? 16:46
13 A I suppose it could be cost prohibitive. 16:48	13 A Sometime probably shortly after this date. 16:42
14 That's a decision made by our board of directors. 16:40	14 Q Do you know who was in attendance at that 16:48
15 Q When did ASHRAE first consider filing a 16:49	15 meeting? 16:41
16 lawsuit against Public.Resource.Org?16:43	16 A I don't know everyone that was in attendance 16:43
17 A It was probably if my understanding, it 16:48	17 at that meeting. 16:47
18 was after conversations that Jeff Littleton would have 16:45	18 Q Do you know which PR folks as is referenced 16:48
19 had with his counterparts at ASTM and NFPA.16:47	19 in the document were at that meeting? 16:41
20 Q And by that, do you mean the leadership of 16:48	20 A I would only know the ASHRAE person, which 16:43
21 ASTM and NFPA? 16:41	21 would have been Jodi Scott and Aman and Amanda Dean. 16:45
22 A I mean those with a similar title, not 16:43	22 Q So you don't know the names of any PR firms 16:41
23 they're whatever they're they don't their head 16:45	23 that attended that meeting? 16:44
24 staff person may be named C CEO or CFO instead of 16:47	24 A No, I do not. 16:46
25 executive VP. I can't remember what the titles of 16:43 Page 182	25 (Exhibit 1191 marked for identification.) 16:41 Page 184
1 their head person are. 16:41	1 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing 16:45
2 Q And do you know when that conversation took 16:41	2 you what's been marked as Exhibit 1191. 16:45
3 place? 16:41	3 MR. FEE: Matt, if these relate to 16:45
4 A Sometime after the the SMACNA thing in 16:41	4 public relations, the firms or anything 16:45
5 2012, so my guess is late 2012. 16:41	5 that's involving ASTM, I would like to have a 16:45
6 Q Why is it that ASHRAE was considering a PR 16:42	6 chance to review the documents before you 16:45
7 campaign in conjunction with the lawsuit? 16:42	7 have any questions on them. You haven't 16:45
8 MR. FEE: Objection. 16:42	8 provided me with any copies throughout the 16:45
9 To the extent that that calls for 16:42	9 the course of the day. 16:45
10 disclosure of any common interest privilege 16:42	10 MR. BECKER: My apologies. Because you 16:45
11 communications, I would instruct you not to 16:42	11 and your co-counsel had not been in 16:45
12 disclose those and ask that your lawyer 16:42	12 attendance at the previous ASHRAE deposition, 16:45
13 instruct you not to disclose those as well. 16:42	13 I did not expect that you are going to be in 16:45
14 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Same objection, and 16:42	14 attendance at this particular deposition. I 16:45
15 I'll instruct you not to not to disclose 16:42	15 do not have additional copies to provide 16:45
16 anything that would involve communications 16:42	16 you. 16:45
17 with counsel for ASHRAE or counsel for the 16:42	17 MR. FEE: That's fine. You're just 16:45
18 other SDOs who would have been involved in 16:42	18 going to have to wait until I read it; that's 16:45
19 those conversations. 16:42	19 all. 16:45
20 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the 16:42	20 MR. BECKER: You can read over his 16:45
21 question? 16:42	21 shoulder. 16:46
22 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Why is it that ASHRAE was 16:42	22 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Do you recognize this 16:46
23 considering a PR campaign in conjunction with the 16:42	23 document? 16:46
24 lawsuit? 16:42	24 MR. FEE: Hold on. Let me read the 16:46
25 MR. FEE: Same objections. 16:42	25 document before you ask any questions about 16:46
Page 183	Page 185

47 (Pages 182 - 185)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 49 of 98

1 it. Whenever you're done. 16:46	1 (Exhibit 1192 marked for identification.) 16:50	
2 (Discussion off written record.) 16:46	2 Q (By MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 16:57	
3 MR. FEE: Okay. Go ahead. 16:47	3 marked as Exhibit 1192. Do you recognize this 16:59	
4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you 16:47	4 document? 16:52	
5 recognize that document? 16:47	5 A Yes. 16:53	
6 A Yes, I do. 16:47	6 Q Okay. And what is this document? 16:55	
7 Q And what is that document? 16:47	7 A This is an email exchange between Claire 16:58	
8 A This is an email that came for came from 16:47	8 Ramspeck and I about seeing if I can become a member of 16	
9 ANSI. The I'm never going to remember what this 16:47	9 IPRPC. At that time, it was past the time where they 16:59	
10 acronym stands for, but it's from the IPRPC or sent to 16:47	10 approved the membership, and I indicated that I was on 16:53	
11 the IPRPC from Patricia Griffin, who's the counsel for 16:47	11 the on the Listserv already. And then there's a 16:56	
12 ANSI. And this is about her testimony before the 16:47	12 thing at the top about an update from IPRPC. 16:51	
13 committee on the judiciary subcommittee on courts, IP 16:47	13 Q In the earliest email on that chain on 16:58	
14 and Internet. 16:47	14 Exhibit 1192, Ms. Ramspeck writes to you, "Please look 16:51	
15 And then she provided a link to the live 16:47	15 into getting involved with this ASAP," and that refers 16:56	
16 stream and links to Mr. Malamud's published written 16:48	16 to the subject line "IPRPC"; is that correct? 16:59	
17 testimony where it's posted online in an article 16:48	17 A That's correct. 16:51	
18 online. And then there are some responses from 16:48	18 Q And then she says, "I think there are issues 16:52	
19 different members about the testimony. And then I 16:48	19 that we need to be tracking, and it will let you use 16:54	
20 forwarded this on to Jeff Littleton's and Claire's 16:48	20 your legal mind as well. Again, we can discuss more on 16:58	
21 attention. 16:48	21 Monday." 16:51	
22 Q What is the IPRPC? 16:48	22 What was Ms. Ramspeck referring to when she 16:58	
A It's a I always have to look up this 16:49	23 says "there are issues that we need to be tracking"? 16:51	
24 acronym. It's like a policy committee, like it's I 16:49	24 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to form. 16:57	
25 can never remember what the acronym stands for without 16:49 Page 186	25 THE WITNESS: Things like just copyright 16:59 Page 188	
	8	
1 looking. It's Intellectual Property Rights; something 16:48	1 issues, legal issues, some of that stuff is 16:53	
1 looking. It's Intellectual Property Rights; something16:482 along that line.16:47	1issues, legal issues, some of that stuff is16:532included in the IPRPC that would be of16:53	
2 along that line.16:473 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC?16:41		
2 along that line.16:473 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC?16:414 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:44	 2 included in the IPRPC that would be of 16:53 3 interest to ASHRAE that we track. 16:53 4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting 16:53 	
 2 along that line. 3 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC? 4 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:44 5 just an observing member; I am not a voting member. 16:47 	 2 included in the IPRPC that would be of 16:53 3 interest to ASHRAE that we track. 16:53 4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting 16:53 5 with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday? 16:53 	
 2 along that line. 3 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC? 16:41 4 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:44 5 just an observing member; I am not a voting member. 16:47 6 You can ask to be put on the Listserv and be provided 16:49 	 2 included in the IPRPC that would be of 16:53 3 interest to ASHRAE that we track. 16:53 4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting 16:53 5 with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday? 16:53 6 A I don't remember. I'd have to look at my 16:53 	
2 along that line.16:473 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC?16:414 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:445 just an observing member; I am not a voting member.16:476 You can ask to be put on the Listserv and be provided16:497 information.16:44	 2 included in the IPRPC that would be of 16:53 3 interest to ASHRAE that we track. 16:53 4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting 16:53 5 with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday? 16:53 6 A I don't remember. I'd have to look at my 16:53 7 calendar. 16:53 	
2 along that line.16:473 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC?16:414 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:445 just an observing member; I am not a voting member.16:476 You can ask to be put on the Listserv and be provided16:497 information.16:448 Q Can anyone ask to be put on the Listserv and 16:45	 2 included in the IPRPC that would be of 16:53 3 interest to ASHRAE that we track. 16:53 4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting 16:53 5 with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday? 16:53 6 A I don't remember. I'd have to look at my 16:53 7 calendar. 16:53 8 Q For the second email from the top in that 16:53 	
2 along that line.16:473 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC?16:414 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:445 just an observing member; I am not a voting member.16:476 You can ask to be put on the Listserv and be provided 16:497 information.16:448 Q Can anyone ask to be put on the Listserv and 16:459 be provided information?16:49	 2 included in the IPRPC that would be of 16:53 3 interest to ASHRAE that we track. 16:53 4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting 16:53 5 with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday? 16:53 6 A I don't remember. I'd have to look at my 16:53 7 calendar. 16:53 8 Q For the second email from the top in that 16:53 9 chain, Ms. Ramspeck writes to you and says, "Did you 16:53 	
2 along that line.16:473 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC?16:414 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:445 just an observing member; I am not a voting member.16:476 You can ask to be put on the Listserv and be provided 16:497 information.16:448 Q Can anyone ask to be put on the Listserv and 16:459 be provided information?16:4910 A As far as I know, anyone can send a note into 16:52	 2 included in the IPRPC that would be of 16:53 3 interest to ASHRAE that we track. 16:53 4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting 16:53 5 with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday? 16:53 6 A I don't remember. I'd have to look at my 16:53 7 calendar. 16:53 8 Q For the second email from the top in that 16:53 9 chain, Ms. Ramspeck writes to you and says, "Did you 16:53 10 know that there has been a big increase in the number 16:53 	
2 along that line.16:473 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC?16:414 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:445 just an observing member; I am not a voting member.16:476 You can ask to be put on the Listserv and be provided16:497 information.16:448 Q Can anyone ask to be put on the Listserv and 16:459 be provided information?16:4910 A As far as I know, anyone can send a note into 16:5211 ANSI and ask to participate.16:54	 2 included in the IPRPC that would be of 16:53 3 interest to ASHRAE that we track. 16:53 4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting 16:53 5 with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday? 16:53 6 A I don't remember. I'd have to look at my 16:53 7 calendar. 16:53 8 Q For the second email from the top in that 16:53 9 chain, Ms. Ramspeck writes to you and says, "Did you 16:53 10 know that there has been a big increase in the number 16:53 11 of copyrighted docs, including ASHRAE standards 16:54 	
2 along that line.16:473 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC?16:414 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:445 just an observing member; I am not a voting member.6 You can ask to be put on the Listserv and be provided7 information.16:448 Q Can anyone ask to be put on the Listserv and16:459 be provided information?16:4910 A As far as I know, anyone can send a note into16:5211 ANSI and ask to participate.12 Q Could you name other members of the IPRPC?16:53	 2 included in the IPRPC that would be of 16:53 3 interest to ASHRAE that we track. 16:53 4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting 16:53 5 with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday? 16:53 6 A I don't remember. I'd have to look at my 16:53 7 calendar. 16:53 8 Q For the second email from the top in that 16:53 9 chain, Ms. Ramspeck writes to you and says, "Did you 16:53 10 know that there has been a big increase in the number 16:53 11 of copyrighted docs, including ASHRAE standards 16:54 12 beginning in January? I'm not sure if IPRPC has had 16:54 	
2 along that line.16:473 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC?16:414 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:445 just an observing member; I am not a voting member.16:476 You can ask to be put on the Listserv and be provided 16:497 information.16:448 Q Can anyone ask to be put on the Listserv and 16:459 be provided information?16:4910 A As far as I know, anyone can send a note into 16:5211 ANSI and ask to participate.16:5412 Q Could you name other members of the IPRPC?16:5313 A Not off the top of my head, because they16:58	 2 included in the IPRPC that would be of 16:53 3 interest to ASHRAE that we track. 16:53 4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting 16:53 5 with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday? 16:53 6 A I don't remember. I'd have to look at my 16:53 7 calendar. 16:53 8 Q For the second email from the top in that 16:53 9 chain, Ms. Ramspeck writes to you and says, "Did you 16:53 10 know that there has been a big increase in the number 16:53 11 of copyrighted docs, including ASHRAE standards 16:54 12 beginning in January? I'm not sure if IPRPC has had 16:54 13 any updates on that yet." 16:54 	
2 along that line.16:473 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC?16:414 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:445 just an observing member; I am not a voting member.6 You can ask to be put on the Listserv and be provided7 information.16:448 Q Can anyone ask to be put on the Listserv and16:459 be provided information?10 A As far as I know, anyone can send a note into16:5211 ANSI and ask to participate.12 Q Could you name other members of the IPRPC?13 A Not off the top of my head, because they16:5814 aren't people that I normally work with everyday so 16:51	 2 included in the IPRPC that would be of 16:53 3 interest to ASHRAE that we track. 16:53 4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting 16:53 5 with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday? 16:53 6 A I don't remember. I'd have to look at my 16:53 7 calendar. 16:53 8 Q For the second email from the top in that 16:53 9 chain, Ms. Ramspeck writes to you and says, "Did you 16:53 10 know that there has been a big increase in the number 16:53 11 of copyrighted docs, including ASHRAE standards 16:54 12 beginning in January? I'm not sure if IPRPC has had 16:54 13 any updates on that yet." 16:54 	
2 along that line.16:473 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC?16:414 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:445 just an observing member; I am not a voting member.16:476 You can ask to be put on the Listserv and be provided16:497 information.16:448 Q Can anyone ask to be put on the Listserv and 16:459 be provided information?16:4910 A As far as I know, anyone can send a note into 16:5211 ANSI and ask to participate.16:5412 Q Could you name other members of the IPRPC?16:5313 A Not off the top of my head, because they16:5814 aren't people that I normally work with everyday so16:5115 but16:56	 2 included in the IPRPC that would be of 16:53 3 interest to ASHRAE that we track. 16:53 4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting 16:53 5 with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday? 16:53 6 A I don't remember. I'd have to look at my 16:53 7 calendar. 16:53 8 Q For the second email from the top in that 16:53 9 chain, Ms. Ramspeck writes to you and says, "Did you 16:53 10 know that there has been a big increase in the number 16:53 11 of copyrighted docs, including ASHRAE standards 16:54 12 beginning in January? I'm not sure if IPRPC has had 16:54 13 any updates on that yet." 16:54 14 Was Ms. Ramspeck referring to 16:54 15 Public.Resource.Org in that statement? 16:54 	
2 along that line.16:473 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC?16:414 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:445 just an observing member; I am not a voting member.16:476 You can ask to be put on the Listserv and be provided16:497 information.16:448 Q Can anyone ask to be put on the Listserv and 16:459 be provided information?16:4910 A As far as I know, anyone can send a note into 16:5211 ANSI and ask to participate.16:5412 Q Could you name other members of the IPRPC?16:5313 A Not off the top of my head, because they16:5814 aren't people that I normally work with everyday so16:5115 but16:5616 Q Is Patricia Griffin a member of the IPRPC?16:57	 2 included in the IPRPC that would be of 16:53 3 interest to ASHRAE that we track. 16:53 4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting 16:53 5 with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday? 16:53 6 A I don't remember. I'd have to look at my 16:53 7 calendar. 16:53 8 Q For the second email from the top in that 16:53 9 chain, Ms. Ramspeck writes to you and says, "Did you 16:53 10 know that there has been a big increase in the number 16:53 11 of copyrighted docs, including ASHRAE standards 16:54 12 beginning in January? I'm not sure if IPRPC has had 16:54 13 any updates on that yet." 16:54 14 Was Ms. Ramspeck referring to 16:54 15 Public.Resource.Org in that statement? 16:54 16 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to form. 16:54 	
2 along that line.16:473 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC?16:414 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:445 just an observing member; I am not a voting member.16:476 You can ask to be put on the Listserv and be provided 16:497 information.16:448 Q Can anyone ask to be put on the Listserv and 16:459 be provided information?16:4910 A As far as I know, anyone can send a note into 16:5211 ANSI and ask to participate.16:5412 Q Could you name other members of the IPRPC?16:5313 A Not off the top of my head, because they16:5814 aren't people that I normally work with everyday so16:5115 but16:5616 Q Is Patricia Griffin a member of the IPRPC?16:5717 A She is the staff liaison.16:52	 2 included in the IPRPC that would be of 16:53 3 interest to ASHRAE that we track. 16:53 4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting 16:53 5 with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday? 16:53 6 A I don't remember. I'd have to look at my 16:53 7 calendar. 16:53 8 Q For the second email from the top in that 16:53 9 chain, Ms. Ramspeck writes to you and says, "Did you 16:53 10 know that there has been a big increase in the number 16:53 11 of copyrighted docs, including ASHRAE standards 16:54 12 beginning in January? I'm not sure if IPRPC has had 16:54 13 any updates on that yet." 16:54 14 Was Ms. Ramspeck referring to 16:54 15 Public.Resource.Org in that statement? 16:54 16 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to form. 16:54 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16:54 	
2 along that line.16:473 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC?16:414 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:445 just an observing member; I am not a voting member.16:476 You can ask to be put on the Listserv and be provided16:497 information.16:448 Q Can anyone ask to be put on the Listserv and 16:459 be provided information?16:4910 A As far as I know, anyone can send a note into 16:5211 ANSI and ask to participate.16:5412 Q Could you name other members of the IPRPC?16:5313 A Not off the top of my head, because they16:5814 aren't people that I normally work with everyday so16:5115 but16:5616 Q Is Patricia Griffin a member of the IPRPC?16:5717 A She is the staff liaison.16:5218 Q What does that mean, staff liaison?16:59	 2 included in the IPRPC that would be of 16:53 3 interest to ASHRAE that we track. 16:53 4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting 16:53 5 with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday? 16:53 6 A I don't remember. I'd have to look at my 16:53 7 calendar. 16:53 8 Q For the second email from the top in that 16:53 9 chain, Ms. Ramspeck writes to you and says, "Did you 16:53 10 know that there has been a big increase in the number 16:53 11 of copyrighted docs, including ASHRAE standards 16:54 12 beginning in January? I'm not sure if IPRPC has had 16:54 13 any updates on that yet." 16:54 14 Was Ms. Ramspeck referring to 16:54 15 Public.Resource.Org in that statement? 16:54 16 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to form. 16:54 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16:54 18 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Was Ms. Ramspeck's purpose 16:54 	
2 along that line.16:473 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC?16:414 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:445 just an observing member; I am not a voting member.6 You can ask to be put on the Listserv and be provided7 information.16:448 Q Can anyone ask to be put on the Listserv and9 be provided information?10 A As far as I know, anyone can send a note into16:5412 Q Could you name other members of the IPRPC?13 A Not off the top of my head, because they14 aren't people that I normally work with everyday so15 but16:5616 Q Is Patricia Griffin a member of the IPRPC?16:5717 A She is the staff liaison.16:5218 Q What does that mean, staff liaison?19 A That means she's the person that there16:52	 2 included in the IPRPC that would be of 16:53 3 interest to ASHRAE that we track. 16:53 4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting 16:53 5 with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday? 16:53 6 A I don't remember. I'd have to look at my 16:53 7 calendar. 16:53 8 Q For the second email from the top in that 16:53 9 chain, Ms. Ramspeck writes to you and says, "Did you 16:53 10 know that there has been a big increase in the number 16:53 11 of copyrighted docs, including ASHRAE standards 16:54 12 beginning in January? I'm not sure if IPRPC has had 16:54 13 any updates on that yet." 16:54 14 Was Ms. Ramspeck referring to 16:54 15 Public.Resource.Org in that statement? 16:54 16 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to form. 16:54 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16:54 18 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Was Ms. Ramspeck's purpose 16:54 19 in asking you to join the IPRPC to follow the actions 16:54 	
2 along that line.16:473 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC?16:414 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:445 just an observing member; I am not a voting member.6 You can ask to be put on the Listserv and be provided7 information.16:448 Q Can anyone ask to be put on the Listserv and16:459 be provided information?10 A As far as I know, anyone can send a note into16:5211 ANSI and ask to participate.12 Q Could you name other members of the IPRPC?13 A Not off the top of my head, because they16:5814 aren't people that I normally work with everyday so16:5115 but16:5616 Q Is Patricia Griffin a member of the IPRPC?16:5717 A She is the staff liaison.16:5218 Q What does that mean, staff liaison?16:5220 might be one other person there that works with her,16:57	 2 included in the IPRPC that would be of 16:53 3 interest to ASHRAE that we track. 16:53 4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting 16:53 5 with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday? 16:53 6 A I don't remember. I'd have to look at my 16:53 7 calendar. 16:53 8 Q For the second email from the top in that 16:53 9 chain, Ms. Ramspeck writes to you and says, "Did you 16:53 10 know that there has been a big increase in the number 16:53 11 of copyrighted docs, including ASHRAE standards 16:54 12 beginning in January? I'm not sure if IPRPC has had 16:54 13 any updates on that yet." 16:54 14 Was Ms. Ramspeck referring to 16:54 15 Public.Resource.Org in that statement? 16:54 16 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to form. 16:54 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16:54 18 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Was Ms. Ramspeck's purpose 16:54 19 in asking you to join the IPRPC to follow the actions 16:54 20 of Public.Resource.Org? 16:54 	
2 along that line.16:473 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC?16:414 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:445 just an observing member; I am not a voting member.6 You can ask to be put on the Listserv and be provided7 information.16:448 Q Can anyone ask to be put on the Listserv and9 be provided information?16:4910 A As far as I know, anyone can send a note into16:5211 ANSI and ask to participate.12 Q Could you name other members of the IPRPC?16:5814 aren't people that I normally work with everyday so16:5115 but16:5616 Q Is Patricia Griffin a member of the IPRPC?16:5717 A She is the staff liaison.16:5218 Q What does that mean, staff liaison?16:5720 might be one other person there that works with her,16:5721 Elizabeth Neiman, but usually they're the ones that16:54	2included in the IPRPC that would be of16:533interest to ASHRAE that we track.16:534Q(BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting16:535with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday?16:536AI don't remember. I'd have to look at my16:537calendar.16:538QFor the second email from the top in that16:539chain, Ms. Ramspeck writes to you and says, "Did you16:5310know that there has been a big increase in the number16:5311of copyrighted docs, including ASHRAE standards16:5412beginning in January? I'm not sure if IPRPC has had16:5413any updates on that yet."16:5414Was Ms. Ramspeck referring to16:5415Public.Resource.Org in that statement?16:5416MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to form.16:5417THE WITNESS: Yes.16:5418Q(BY MR. BECKER) Was Ms. Ramspeck's purpose16:5419in asking you to join the IPRPC to follow the actions16:5420of Public.Resource.Org?16:5421MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to form.16:54	
 2 along that line. 16:47 3 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC? 16:41 4 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:44 5 just an observing member; I am not a voting member. 16:47 6 You can ask to be put on the Listserv and be provided 16:49 7 information. 16:44 8 Q Can anyone ask to be put on the Listserv and 16:45 9 be provided information? 16:49 10 A As far as I know, anyone can send a note into 16:52 11 ANSI and ask to participate. 16:54 12 Q Could you name other members of the IPRPC? 16:53 13 A Not off the top of my head, because they 16:58 14 aren't people that I normally work with everyday so 16:51 15 but 16:56 16 Q Is Patricia Griffin a member of the IPRPC? 16:57 17 A She is the staff liaison. 16:52 18 Q What does that mean, staff liaison? 16:52 20 might be one other person there that works with her, 16:57 21 Elizabeth Neiman, but usually they're the ones that 16:54 22 provide the agendas, help get the materials around, 16:59 	2included in the IPRPC that would be of16:533interest to ASHRAE that we track.16:534Q(BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting16:535with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday?16:536AI don't remember. I'd have to look at my16:537calendar.16:538QFor the second email from the top in that16:539chain, Ms. Ramspeck writes to you and says, "Did you16:5310know that there has been a big increase in the number16:5311of copyrighted docs, including ASHRAE standards16:5412beginning in January? I'm not sure if IPRPC has had16:5413any updates on that yet."16:5414Was Ms. Ramspeck referring to16:5415Public.Resource.Org in that statement?16:5416MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to form.16:5417THE WITNESS: Yes.16:5418Q(BY MR. BECKER) Was Ms. Ramspeck's purpose16:5419in asking you to join the IPRPC to follow the actions16:5420of Public.Resource.Org?16:5421MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to form,16:5422calls for speculation.16:54	
 2 along that line. 16:47 3 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC? 16:41 4 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:44 5 just an observing member; I am not a voting member. 16:47 6 You can ask to be put on the Listserv and be provided 16:49 7 information. 16:44 8 Q Can anyone ask to be put on the Listserv and 16:45 9 be provided information? 16:49 10 A As far as I know, anyone can send a note into 16:52 11 ANSI and ask to participate. 16:54 12 Q Could you name other members of the IPRPC? 16:53 13 A Not off the top of my head, because they 16:58 14 aren't people that I normally work with everyday so 16:51 15 but 16:56 16 Q Is Patricia Griffin a member of the IPRPC? 16:57 17 A She is the staff liaison. 16:52 18 Q What does that mean, staff liaison? 16:52 20 might be one other person there that works with her, 16:57 21 Elizabeth Neiman, but usually they're the ones that 16:54 22 provide the agendas, help get the materials around, 16:59 23 provide draft things to send to the committee. I 16:54 	2included in the IPRPC that would be of16:533interest to ASHRAE that we track.16:534Q(BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting16:535with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday?16:536AI don't remember. I'd have to look at my16:537calendar.16:538QFor the second email from the top in that16:539chain, Ms. Ramspeck writes to you and says, "Did you16:5310know that there has been a big increase in the number16:5311of copyrighted docs, including ASHRAE standards16:5412beginning in January? I'm not sure if IPRPC has had16:5413any updates on that yet."16:5414Was Ms. Ramspeck referring to16:5415Public.Resource.Org in that statement?16:5416MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to form.16:5417THE WITNESS: Yes.16:5418Q(BY MR. BECKER) Was Ms. Ramspeck's purpose16:5419in asking you to join the IPRPC to follow the actions16:5420of Public.Resource.Org?16:5421MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to form,16:5422calls for speculation.16:5423THE WITNESS: No. I had actually been16:54	
 2 along that line. 16:47 3 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC? 16:41 4 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:44 5 just an observing member; I am not a voting member. 16:47 6 You can ask to be put on the Listserv and be provided 16:49 7 information. 16:44 8 Q Can anyone ask to be put on the Listserv and 16:45 9 be provided information? 16:49 10 A As far as I know, anyone can send a note into 16:52 11 ANSI and ask to participate. 16:54 12 Q Could you name other members of the IPRPC? 16:53 13 A Not off the top of my head, because they 16:58 14 aren't people that I normally work with everyday so 16:51 15 but 16:56 16 Q Is Patricia Griffin a member of the IPRPC? 16:57 17 A She is the staff liaison. 16:52 18 Q What does that mean, staff liaison? 16:57 20 might be one other person there that works with her, 16:57 21 Elizabeth Neiman, but usually they're the ones that 16:54 22 provide the agendas, help get the materials around, 16:59 23 provide draft things to send to the committee. I 16:54 24 don't have a description for all the duties of the 16:52 	2included in the IPRPC that would be of16:533interest to ASHRAE that we track.16:534Q(BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting16:535with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday?16:536AI don't remember. I'd have to look at my16:537calendar.16:538QFor the second email from the top in that16:539chain, Ms. Ramspeck writes to you and says, "Did you16:5310know that there has been a big increase in the number16:5311of copyrighted docs, including ASHRAE standards16:5412beginning in January? I'm not sure if IPRPC has had16:5413any updates on that yet."16:5414Was Ms. Ramspeck referring to16:5415Public.Resource.Org in that statement?16:5416MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to form.16:5417THE WITNESS: Yes.16:5418Q(BY MR. BECKER) Was Ms. Ramspeck's purpose16:5420of Public.Resource.Org?16:5421MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to form,16:5422calls for speculation.16:5423THE WITNESS: No. I had actually been16:5424asked to join it a while back, just that my16:54	
 2 along that line. 16:47 3 Q And who is a member of the IPRPC? 16:41 4 A There's lots of people that are members. I'm 16:44 5 just an observing member; I am not a voting member. 16:47 6 You can ask to be put on the Listserv and be provided 16:49 7 information. 16:44 8 Q Can anyone ask to be put on the Listserv and 16:45 9 be provided information? 16:49 10 A As far as I know, anyone can send a note into 16:52 11 ANSI and ask to participate. 16:54 12 Q Could you name other members of the IPRPC? 16:53 13 A Not off the top of my head, because they 16:58 14 aren't people that I normally work with everyday so 16:51 15 but 16:56 16 Q Is Patricia Griffin a member of the IPRPC? 16:57 17 A She is the staff liaison. 16:52 18 Q What does that mean, staff liaison? 16:52 20 might be one other person there that works with her, 16:57 21 Elizabeth Neiman, but usually they're the ones that 16:54 22 provide the agendas, help get the materials around, 16:59 23 provide draft things to send to the committee. I 16:54 	2included in the IPRPC that would be of16:533interest to ASHRAE that we track.16:534Q(BY MR. BECKER) And did you have a meeting16:535with Ms. Ramspeck that following Monday?16:536AI don't remember. I'd have to look at my16:537calendar.16:538QFor the second email from the top in that16:539chain, Ms. Ramspeck writes to you and says, "Did you16:5310know that there has been a big increase in the number16:5311of copyrighted docs, including ASHRAE standards16:5412beginning in January? I'm not sure if IPRPC has had16:5413any updates on that yet."16:5414Was Ms. Ramspeck referring to16:5415Public.Resource.Org in that statement?16:5416MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to form.16:5417THE WITNESS: Yes.16:5418Q(BY MR. BECKER) Was Ms. Ramspeck's purpose16:5419in asking you to join the IPRPC to follow the actions16:5420of Public.Resource.Org?16:5421MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to form,16:5422calls for speculation.16:5423THE WITNESS: No. I had actually been16:54	

48 (Pages 186 - 189)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 50 of 98

1 for time at the time. 16:58	1 our Standard 188 posted on company websites 16:57	
2 Q (BY MR. BECKER) How did you know that she 16:50	2 without seeking permission from me first to 16:51	
3 was that Ms. Ramspeck was referring to 16:52	3 post it after the public review period has 16:53	
4 Public.Resource.Org when she said "Did you know that 16:56	4 ended. And I've sent letters to ask them to 16:56	
5 there has been a big increase in the number of 16:59	5 remove it, and they are removed. 16:58	
6 copyrighted docs beginning in January"? 16:51	6 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Is ASHRAE aware of 16:56	
7 A Because I knew about the SMACNA things, and 16:57	7 infringement or potential infringement on file-sharing 16:59	
8 so staff was watching to see what copyrighted documents 16:52	8 websites of ASHRAE standards? 16:53	
9 were out there, if they belonged to ASHRAE. 16:56	9 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Same objection. 16:55	
10 Q And so there was sufficient discussion of 16:56	10 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of those. 16:57	
11 Public.Resource.Org in February of 2013 that without 16:59	11 MR. BECKER: We can take a break here. 16:57	
12 even referencing Public.Resource.Org, you knew that 16:54	12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record 16:50	
13 Ms. Ramspeck was referring to Public.Resource.Org? 16:58	13 at 16:58. 16:52	
14 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to the form. 16:51	14 (Recess taken.) 17:19	
15 THE WITNESS: At that time, we would 16:55	15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going on the record 17:24	
16 have discussed Public.Resource.Org, and I 16:56	16 at 17:24. 17:26	
17 would have known that's what she was 16:59	17 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, could you 17:25	
18 referring to. 16:51	18 please refer again to Exhibits 1175 and 1176. Those 17:26	
19 Q (BY MR. BECKER) What is the purpose of the 16:59	19 are the certificates of registration from the copyright 17:22	
20 IPRPC? 16:52	20 office. 17:27	
21MR. FEE: Objection, lack of16:54	21 A Okay. 17:28	
22 foundation. 16:55	22 Q Ms. Reiniche, does ASHRAE claim to be the 17:20	
23 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. 16:56	23 author of standard of the editions of Standard 90.1 17:24	
24 THE WITNESS: It's it's dealing with 16:59	24 that are listed in Exhibit 1176? 17:20	
25 intellectual property mostly, things or 16:52 Page 190	25 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to the 17:27 Page 192	
1 things like patents and some, I guess, quasi 16:56	1 form. 17:27	
2 legal things. 16:56	2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17:28	
3 Q (BY MR. BECKER) How much of the discussion 16:56	3 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And does ASHRAE claim to be 17:2	
4 in IPRPC relates to Public.Resource.Org? 16:56	4 the author of the 1993 ASHRAE Handbook: Fundamentals as 17:22	
5 MR. FEE: Objection to form. 16:56	5 listed in Exhibit 1175? 17:28	
6 THE WITNESS: On the items I have either 16:56	6 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Same objection. 17:21	
7 seen in email or when I've been able to 16:56	7 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17:27	
8 participate remotely on their calls, minimal. 16:56	8 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Is ASHRAE the sole author of 17:28	
9 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Is ASHRAE concerned about 16:57	9 these works? 17:20	
10 alleged copyright infringement by other entities other 16:57	10 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Same objection. 17:20	
11 than Public.Resource.Org? 16:57	11 THE WITNESS: It's the members of the 17:27	
-		
12 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to scope and 16:57	12 project committee as as part of their 17:29	
12MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to scope and16:5713to the form.16:57	12project committee as as part of their17:2913membership that agreed to write the document17:23	
12MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to scope and16:5713to the form.16:5714THE WITNESS: Of course. We don't we16:57	12project committee as as part of their17:2913membership that agreed to write the document17:2314and and have it attributed to ASHRAE.17:25	
12MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to scope and16:5713to the form.16:5714THE WITNESS: Of course. We don't we16:5715don't allow other people to post copyrighted16:57	12project committee as as part of their17:2913membership that agreed to write the document17:2314and and have it attributed to ASHRAE.17:2515Q(BY MR. BECKER) And the members of the17:29	
12MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to scope and16:5713to the form.16:5714THE WITNESS: Of course. We don't we16:5715don't allow other people to post copyrighted16:5716material or anywhere else. If when we're16:57	12project committee as as part of their17:2913membership that agreed to write the document17:2314and and have it attributed to ASHRAE.17:2515Q(BY MR. BECKER) And the members of the17:2916project committee are not employees of ASHRAE, correct?17:20	
12MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to scope and16:5713to the form.16:5714THE WITNESS: Of course. We don't we16:5715don't allow other people to post copyrighted16:5716material or anywhere else. If when we're16:5717made aware of it, we ask for it to be16:57	12project committee as as part of their17:2913membership that agreed to write the document17:2314and and have it attributed to ASHRAE.17:2515Q(BY MR. BECKER) And the members of the17:2916project committee are not employees of ASHRAE, correct?17:2017AThat's correct.17:23	
12MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to scope and16:5713to the form.16:5714THE WITNESS: Of course. We don't we16:5715don't allow other people to post copyrighted16:5716material or anywhere else. If when we're16:5717made aware of it, we ask for it to be16:5718removed.16:57	12project committee as as part of their17:2913membership that agreed to write the document17:2314and and have it attributed to ASHRAE.17:2515Q(BY MR. BECKER) And the members of the17:2916project committee are not employees of ASHRAE, correct?17:2017AThat's correct.17:2318QAnd so what is the basis for ASHRAE's claim17:28	
12MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to scope and16:5713to the form.16:5714THE WITNESS: Of course. We don't we16:5715don't allow other people to post copyrighted16:5716material or anywhere else. If when we're16:5717made aware of it, we ask for it to be16:5718removed.16:5719Q(BY MR. BECKER) Where has ASHRAE seen16:57	12project committee as as part of their17:2913membership that agreed to write the document17:2314and and have it attributed to ASHRAE.17:2515Q(BY MR. BECKER) And the members of the17:2916project committee are not employees of ASHRAE, correct?17:2017AThat's correct.17:2318QAnd so what is the basis for ASHRAE's claim17:2819that it's the author of these works?17:21	
12MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to scope and16:5713to the form.16:5714THE WITNESS: Of course. We don't we16:5715don't allow other people to post copyrighted16:5716material or anywhere else. If when we're16:5717made aware of it, we ask for it to be16:5718removed.16:5719Q(BY MR. BECKER) Where has ASHRAE seen16:5720infringement of its standards?16:57	12project committee as as part of their17:2913membership that agreed to write the document17:2314and and have it attributed to ASHRAE.17:2515Q(BY MR. BECKER) And the members of the17:2916project committee are not employees of ASHRAE, correct?17:2017AThat's correct.17:2318QAnd so what is the basis for ASHRAE's claim17:2819that it's the author of these works?17:2120MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to the form,17:25	
12MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to scope and16:5713to the form.16:5714THE WITNESS: Of course. We don't we16:5715don't allow other people to post copyrighted16:5716material or anywhere else. If when we're16:5717made aware of it, we ask for it to be16:5718removed.16:5719Q(BY MR. BECKER) Where has ASHRAE seen16:5720infringement of its standards?16:5721MR. CUNNINGHAM: Same objection.16:57	12project committee as as part of their17:2913membership that agreed to write the document17:2314and and have it attributed to ASHRAE.17:2515Q(BY MR. BECKER) And the members of the17:2916project committee are not employees of ASHRAE, correct?17:2017AThat's correct.17:2318QAnd so what is the basis for ASHRAE's claim17:2819that it's the author of these works?17:2120MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to the form,17:2521calls for a legal conclusion.17:26	
12MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to scope and16:5713to the form.16:5714THE WITNESS: Of course. We don't we16:5715don't allow other people to post copyrighted16:5716material or anywhere else. If when we're16:5717made aware of it, we ask for it to be16:5718removed.16:5719Q(BY MR. BECKER) Where has ASHRAE seen16:5720infringement of its standards?16:5721MR. CUNNINGHAM: Same objection.16:5722THE WITNESS: I'm I'm not privy to16:57	12project committee as as part of their17:2913membership that agreed to write the document17:2314and and have it attributed to ASHRAE.17:2515Q(BY MR. BECKER) And the members of the17:2916project committee are not employees of ASHRAE, correct?17:2017AThat's correct.17:2318QAnd so what is the basis for ASHRAE's claim17:2819that it's the author of these works?17:2120MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to the form,17:2521calls for a legal conclusion.17:2622THE WITNESS: As a basis of the signed17:20	
12MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to scope and16:5713to the form.16:5714THE WITNESS: Of course. We don't we16:5715don't allow other people to post copyrighted16:5716material or anywhere else. If when we're16:5717made aware of it, we ask for it to be16:5718removed.16:5719Q(BY MR. BECKER) Where has ASHRAE seen16:5720infringement of its standards?16:5721MR. CUNNINGHAM: Same objection.16:5722THE WITNESS: I'm I'm not privy to16:5723every single time. I don't it's not16:57	12project committee as as part of their17:2913membership that agreed to write the document17:2314and and have it attributed to ASHRAE.17:2515Q(BY MR. BECKER) And the members of the17:2916project committee are not employees of ASHRAE, correct?17:2017AThat's correct.17:2318QAnd so what is the basis for ASHRAE's claim17:2819that it's the author of these works?17:2120MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to the form,17:2521calls for a legal conclusion.17:2622THE WITNESS: As a basis of the signed17:2023copyright assignments that all the members17:22	
12MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to scope and16:5713to the form.16:5714THE WITNESS: Of course. We don't we16:5715don't allow other people to post copyrighted16:5716material or anywhere else. If when we're16:5717made aware of it, we ask for it to be16:5718removed.16:5719Q(BY MR. BECKER) Where has ASHRAE seen16:5720infringement of its standards?16:5721MR. CUNNINGHAM: Same objection.16:5722THE WITNESS: I'm I'm not privy to16:57	12project committee as as part of their17:2913membership that agreed to write the document17:2314and and have it attributed to ASHRAE.17:2515Q(BY MR. BECKER) And the members of the17:2916project committee are not employees of ASHRAE, correct?17:2017AThat's correct.17:2318QAnd so what is the basis for ASHRAE's claim17:2819that it's the author of these works?17:2120MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to the form,17:2521calls for a legal conclusion.17:2622THE WITNESS: As a basis of the signed17:20	

49 (Pages 190 - 193)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 51 of 98

1 and that the members that submit change or 17:21	1 A These are the minutes from the 90.1 meeting 17:38	
2 the public that submits change proposals sign 17:26	2 for sure from Saturday, January 24th, 2004. Then 17:34	
3 when they submit a change proposal 17:29	3 from and it also includes the minutes from the 17:31	
4 Q (BY MR BECKER) Has ASHRAE ever compensated 17:24	4 meeting on Sunday, January January 25th, 2004. Hang 17:35	
5 any of the members of the project committee for the 17:26	5 on. They usually have more than another meeting. 17:35	
6 sale of any of the works at issue? 17:23	6 And from Monday, January 26, 2004. 17:30	
7 A No 17:26	7 Q And could you please turn to page 8? 17:33	
8 Q Ms Reiniche, is the project committee for 17:27	8 A 8? 17:39	
9 Standard 90 1 in charge of the selection and 17:23	9 Q Yes. Section 10 on page 8 includes the 17:39	
10 arrangement of the content of Standard 90 1? 17:28	10 marketing task force ad hoc subcommittee update. And 17:30	
11 A Yes 17:28	11 it says in the second and third sentence, it says, "The 17:39	
12 Q Is anybody else responsible for the selection 17:23	12 discussion included the question of who is the customer 17:33	
13 and arrangement of standard of the content of 17:27	13 for Standard 90.1. Chris stated that the subcommittee 17:37	
14 Standard 90 1? 17:23	14 has one customer that it has failed to fulfill the 17:32	
15 A The selection of the content, no The 17:24	15 needs of, and that is the Department of Energy, DOE." 17:37	
16 arrangement, they're not going to change the order, but 17:20	16 Does ASHRAE consider the Department of Energy 17:33	
17 the formatting in terms of, you know, the two-column 17:22	17 to be a customer? 17:35	
18 format, it could switch from one to two column after 17:26	18 A It would be one customer. 17:38	
	19AIf would be one customer:17.5619(Exhibit 1194 marked for identification.)17:31	
19 public review is done by public our publications 17:20 20 department 17:23	20 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing 17:38	
	21 you a document that has been marked as Exhibit 1194. 17:30	
21 Q And who made the decision to switch it from 17:24 22 one column to two column? 17:28	22 This is Bates number ASHRAE0006892. Can you tell me 17:34	
23 A That would have been Steve Comstock would 17:21		
24 have decided to I believe the standard was 17:24	24 A This document is an ASHRAE 90.1 questionnaire 17:34	
25 originally one column When they go out for public 17:27 Page 194	25 summary combined compiled by Valerie Block, the 17:38 Page 196	
1 review, we put it in one column, because it's easier 17:20	1 chair of the 90.1 marketing committee. 17:33	
2 for people to read one column, you know, and we don't 17:22	2 Q And can you tell me what the purpose of this 17:32	
3 have to mess with the formatting 17:25	3 questionnaire summary was? 17:35	
4 Then because of the length, I believe they 17:29	4 A The purpose of of the questionnaire or the 17:36	
5 switched to a two-column format for publication 17:21	5 summary? 17:39	
6 And and that's that's why they did it, so the 17:24	6 Q Of the summary itself. 17:31	
7 your printed copy isn't, you know, 3 inches thick 17:29	7 A It was to give information to the committee 17:32	
8 versus an inch and a half thick 17:24	8 on what the opinions of the users of the standard 17:37	
9 Q So when you say two-column format, you mean 17:27	9 were. 17:31	
10 the text appears in two columns on the page, as opposed 17:28	10 Q And which committee was that? 17:32	
11 to just being a single column on the page? 17:23	11 A 90.1. 17:33	
12 A That's correct 17:25	12 (Exhibit 1195 marked for identification.) 17:38	
13 Q And is that the only change in arrangement 17:23	13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing 17:38	
14 that's performed by ASHRAE staff? 17:27	14 you what's been marked as Exhibit 1195. Bates labeled 17:30	
15 A By publications, they might correct the 17:20	15 ASHRAE0024267. Do you recognize this document? 17:35	
16 numbering of a section if the committee changed 17:23	16 A This is yes. 17:30	
17 something and the numbering was off, but it's just to 17:25	17 Q And can you tell me what this document is? 17:32	
18 make it format correctly for on the publication 17:28	18 A This is a document where the president of 17:39	
19 side 17:23	19 ASHRAE was asking for the committee on utilities and 17:38	
20 (Exhibit 1193 marked for identification) 17:24	20 energy to consider a building energy labeling program 17:33	
20 (EXHIBIT 1195 marked for identification) 17:24 21 Q (BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing 17:30	21 that was being developed by ASHRAE. This is the 17:38	
22 you what's been marked as Exhibit 1193 This is Bates 17:31	22 building energy quotient, but it's not it's not a 17:36	
	22 building energy quotient, but it's not it's not a 17:56 23 90.1 tool. 17:31	
23 number ASHRAE0001628 Do you recognize this document? 17:37 24 A Yes 17:33		
LI IL 100 1/.JJ	24 (Exhibit 1196 marked for identification) 17.34	
25 O Can you tell me what this document is? 17:25	24 (Exhibit 1196 marked for identification.) 17:34 25 O (BV MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche I'm handing 17:34	
25 Q Can you tell me what this document is? 17:35 Page 195	24 (Exhibit 1196 marked for identification.) 17:34 25 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing 17:34 Page 197	

50 (Pages 194 - 197)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 52 of 98

1 you what's been marked as Exhibit 1196, ASHRAE Bates 17:35	1 meeting with different organizations in Washington, 17:41	
2 number 0024287. Do you recognize this document? 17:39	2 D.C. 17:41	
3 A Yes. 17:30	3 Q And when you say what would have been an 17:41	
4 Q And could you tell me what this document is? 17:32	4 ASHRAE leadership meeting with different organizations 1	
5 A This is a letter from who would have been 17:34	5 in Washington, D.C., do you mean it was a meeting that 17:41	
6 the president of ASHRAE at the time in 2009, Gordon 17:38	6 did occur? 17:41	
7 Holness, to President Obama talking about as we're 17:33	7 A Yes. 17:41	
8 working towards energy efficiency, where we have agreed 17:31	8 Q Is it clear to you who the participants of 17:42	
9 to try to the project committee to be 30 percent 17:35	9 this meeting would have been? 17:42	
10 more energy efficient from 2004, version of 17:31	10 MR. FEE: Can I see this document before 17:42	
11 Standard 90.1 to the 2010 version. 17:34	11you get into this any further?17:42	
12 And it also mentions our Building Energy 17:39	12 Okay. 17:42	
13 Quotient BEQ program that provides people with energy 17:32	13THE WITNESS: Well, I can tell from the17:42	
14 use of buildings and to ask that the while they're 17:36	14 ASHRAE side, based on this email, that Lynn 17:42	
15 implementing the executive order, that they look at 17:35	15 Bellenger, Ron Jarnigan, Tom Watson, Jeff 17:43	
16 considering using the building EQ program. 17:38	16 Littleton, Doug Read, and Mark Ames would 17:43	
17 (Exhibit 1197 marked for identification.) 17:39	17 have been at these meetings. Some of them I 17:43	
18 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing 17:33	18 can it appears from this that OSTP had 17:43	
19 you what's been marked as Exhibit 1197. Do you 17:34	19Kevin Hurst there.17:43	
20 recognize this document? 17:37	20 Q (BY MR. BECKER) That's fine if you 17:43	
21 A Yes. 17:30	21 A I mean, I would have to I mean, some of 17:43	
22 Q And can you tell me what this document is? 17:31	22 them I can tell that you know, who was there, I can 17:43	
23 A This is an ASHRAE Facts and Stats document 17:35	23 tell which organizations were there, but some I can 17:43	
24 that D.C. folks would leave with congressional staff 17:39	24 tell by the way the notes were written who was there, 17:43	
25 members. 17:37	25 and some I cannot. I can if it would be easier, I 17:44	
Page 198	Page 200	
1 Q And what was the purpose of leaving this with 17:37	1 can tell you what organizations appears. 17:44	
1QAnd what was the purpose of leaving this with 17:372congressional staff members?17:30	1 can tell you what organizations appears.17:442QYes, if you could say which organizations.17:46	
2 congressional staff members? 17:30	2 Q Yes, if you could say which organizations. 17:46	
2 congressional staff members?17:303 A Just to give you a give them information17:31	2 Q Yes, if you could say which organizations. 17:46 3 A So and this my recollection of the 17:40	
 2 congressional staff members? 17:30 3 A Just to give you a give them information 17:31 4 about what ASHRAE is, what our expertise is in, and 17:33 	2 Q Yes, if you could say which organizations. 17:46 3 A So and this my recollection of the 17:40 4 leadership meetings, typically it's more more than 17:46	
2 congressional staff members?17:303 A Just to give you a give them information17:314 about what ASHRAE is, what our expertise is in, and17:335 about our standards, our research program,17:30	2 Q Yes, if you could say which organizations. 17:46 3 A So and this my recollection of the 17:40 4 leadership meetings, typically it's more more than 17:46 5 one meeting, so they weren't all in one meeting 17:48	
 2 congressional staff members? 17:30 3 A Just to give you a give them information 17:31 4 about what ASHRAE is, what our expertise is in, and 17:33 5 about our standards, our research program, 17:30 6 publications, continuing education; just ASHRAE as a 17:33 	2 Q Yes, if you could say which organizations. 17:46 3 A So and this my recollection of the 17:40 4 leadership meetings, typically it's more more than 17:46 5 one meeting, so they weren't all in one meeting 17:48 6 together, so these would have been notes from all of 17:40	
 2 congressional staff members? 17:30 3 A Just to give you a give them information 17:31 4 about what ASHRAE is, what our expertise is in, and 17:33 5 about our standards, our research program, 17:30 6 publications, continuing education; just ASHRAE as a 17:33 7 whole 17:37 	2QYes, if you could say which organizations.17:463ASo and this my recollection of the17:404leadership meetings, typically it's more more than17:465one meeting, so they weren't all in one meeting17:486together, so these would have been notes from all of17:407their meetings.17:42	
2 congressional staff members?17:303 A Just to give you a give them information17:314 about what ASHRAE is, what our expertise is in, and17:335 about our standards, our research program,17:306 publications, continuing education; just ASHRAE as a17:337 whole17:378 (Exhibit 1198 marked for identification)17:39	2 Q Yes, if you could say which organizations. 17:46 3 A So and this my recollection of the 17:40 4 leadership meetings, typically it's more more than 17:46 5 one meeting, so they weren't all in one meeting 17:48 6 together, so these would have been notes from all of 17:40 7 their meetings. 17:42 8 So they would have talked with the EPA, 17:45 9 DOE sorry, the page is sticking FEMP, NEMA, ASE, 17:48 10 AHRI, NASEO, N-A-S-E-O, and that looks to be it. 17:42	
 2 congressional staff members? 17:30 3 A Just to give you a give them information 17:31 4 about what ASHRAE is, what our expertise is in, and 17:33 5 about our standards, our research program, 17:30 6 publications, continuing education; just ASHRAE as a 17:33 7 whole 17:37 8 (Exhibit 1198 marked for identification) 17:39 9 Q (BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing 17:34 	2 Q Yes, if you could say which organizations. 17:46 3 A So and this my recollection of the 17:40 4 leadership meetings, typically it's more more than 17:46 5 one meeting, so they weren't all in one meeting 17:48 6 together, so these would have been notes from all of 17:40 7 their meetings. 17:42 8 So they would have talked with the EPA, 17:45 9 DOE sorry, the page is sticking FEMP, NEMA, ASE, 17:48 10 AHRI, NASEO, N-A-S-E-O, and that looks to be it. 17:42 11 Q Okay. So it's all of the organizations that 17:48	
 2 congressional staff members? 17:30 3 A Just to give you a give them information 17:31 4 about what ASHRAE is, what our expertise is in, and 17:33 5 about our standards, our research program, 17:30 6 publications, continuing education; just ASHRAE as a 17:33 7 whole 17:37 8 (Exhibit 1198 marked for identification) 17:39 9 Q (BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing 17:34 10 you what's what's been marked as Exhibit 1198, Bates 17:35 	2 Q Yes, if you could say which organizations. 17:46 3 A So and this my recollection of the 17:40 4 leadership meetings, typically it's more more than 17:46 5 one meeting, so they weren't all in one meeting 17:48 6 together, so these would have been notes from all of 17:40 7 their meetings. 17:42 8 So they would have talked with the EPA, 17:45 9 DOE sorry, the page is sticking FEMP, NEMA, ASE, 17:48 10 AHRI, NASEO, N-A-S-E-O, and that looks to be it. 17:42	
 2 congressional staff members? 17:30 3 A Just to give you a give them information 17:31 4 about what ASHRAE is, what our expertise is in, and 17:33 5 about our standards, our research program, 17:30 6 publications, continuing education; just ASHRAE as a 17:33 7 whole 17:37 8 (Exhibit 1198 marked for identification) 17:39 9 Q (BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing 17:34 10 you what's what's been marked as Exhibit 1198, Bates 17:35 11 number ASHRAE0024393 Do you recognize this document? 17:30 	2 Q Yes, if you could say which organizations. 17:46 3 A So and this my recollection of the 17:40 4 leadership meetings, typically it's more more than 17:46 5 one meeting, so they weren't all in one meeting 17:48 6 together, so these would have been notes from all of 17:40 7 their meetings. 17:42 8 So they would have talked with the EPA, 17:45 9 DOE sorry, the page is sticking FEMP, NEMA, ASE, 17:48 10 AHRI, NASEO, N-A-S-E-O, and that looks to be it. 17:42 11 Q Okay. So it's all of the organizations that 17:48	
 2 congressional staff members? 17:30 3 A Just to give you a give them information 17:31 4 about what ASHRAE is, what our expertise is in, and 17:33 5 about our standards, our research program, 17:30 6 publications, continuing education; just ASHRAE as a 17:33 7 whole 17:37 8 (Exhibit 1198 marked for identification) 17:39 9 Q (BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing 17:34 10 you what's what's been marked as Exhibit 1198, Bates 17:35 11 number ASHRAE0024393 Do you recognize this document? 17:30 12 A Yes 17:37 	2QYes, if you could say which organizations.17:463ASo and this my recollection of the17:404leadership meetings, typically it's more more than17:405one meeting, so they weren't all in one meeting17:486together, so these would have been notes from all of17:407their meetings.17:428So they would have talked with the EPA,17:459DOE sorry, the page is sticking FEMP, NEMA, ASE,17:4810AHRI, NASEO, N-A-S-E-O, and that looks to be it.17:4211QOkay. So it's all of the organizations that17:4812are that are listed as individual acronyms at the17:4013top of each paragraph section?17:41	
 2 congressional staff members? 17:30 3 A Just to give you a give them information 17:31 4 about what ASHRAE is, what our expertise is in, and 17:33 5 about our standards, our research program, 17:30 6 publications, continuing education; just ASHRAE as a 17:33 7 whole 17:37 8 (Exhibit 1198 marked for identification) 17:39 9 Q (BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing 17:34 10 you what's what's been marked as Exhibit 1198, Bates 17:35 11 number ASHRAE0024393 Do you recognize this document? 17:30 12 A Yes 17:37 13 Q And what is this document? 17:37 	2QYes, if you could say which organizations.17:463ASo and this my recollection of the17:404leadership meetings, typically it's more more than17:405one meeting, so they weren't all in one meeting17:486together, so these would have been notes from all of17:407their meetings.17:428So they would have talked with the EPA,17:459DOE sorry, the page is sticking FEMP, NEMA, ASE,17:4810AHRI, NASEO, N-A-S-E-O, and that looks to be it.17:4211QOkay. So it's all of the organizations that17:4812are that are listed as individual acronyms at the17:4013top of each paragraph section?17:4115QAnd would those have been separate meetings17:44	
 2 congressional staff members? 17:30 3 A Just to give you a give them information 17:31 4 about what ASHRAE is, what our expertise is in, and 17:33 5 about our standards, our research program, 17:30 6 publications, continuing education; just ASHRAE as a 17:33 7 whole 17:37 8 (Exhibit 1198 marked for identification) 17:39 9 Q (BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing 17:34 10 you what's what's been marked as Exhibit 1198, Bates 17:35 11 number ASHRAE0024393 Do you recognize this document? 17:30 12 A Yes 17:37 13 Q And what is this document? 17:37 14 A This is another sort of fact sheet type thing 17:39 	2QYes, if you could say which organizations.17:463ASo and this my recollection of the17:404leadership meetings, typically it's more more than17:405one meeting, so they weren't all in one meeting17:486together, so these would have been notes from all of17:407their meetings.17:428So they would have talked with the EPA,17:459DOE sorry, the page is sticking FEMP, NEMA, ASE,17:4810AHRI, NASEO, N-A-S-E-O, and that looks to be it.17:4211QOkay. So it's all of the organizations that17:4812are that are listed as individual acronyms at the17:4013top of each paragraph section?17:4115QAnd would those have been separate meetings17:4416with each individually?17:46	
 2 congressional staff members? 17:30 3 A Just to give you a give them information 17:31 4 about what ASHRAE is, what our expertise is in, and 17:33 5 about our standards, our research program, 17:30 6 publications, continuing education; just ASHRAE as a 17:33 7 whole 17:37 8 (Exhibit 1198 marked for identification) 17:39 9 Q (BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing 17:34 10 you what's what's been marked as Exhibit 1198, Bates 17:35 11 number ASHRAE0024393 Do you recognize this document? 17:30 12 A Yes 17:37 13 Q And what is this document? 17:37 14 A This is another sort of fact sheet type thing 17:39 15 that ASHRAE does to educate the staff members of the 17:32 	2QYes, if you could say which organizations.17:463ASo and this my recollection of the17:404leadership meetings, typically it's more more than17:405one meeting, so they weren't all in one meeting17:486together, so these would have been notes from all of17:407their meetings.17:428So they would have talked with the EPA,17:459DOE sorry, the page is sticking FEMP, NEMA, ASE,17:4810AHRI, NASEO, N-A-S-E-O, and that looks to be it.17:4211QOkay. So it's all of the organizations that17:4812are that are listed as individual acronyms at the17:4013top of each paragraph section?17:4014ARight.17:4115QAnd would those have been separate meetings17:4416with each individually?17:4617:47	
 2 congressional staff members? 17:30 3 A Just to give you a give them information 17:31 4 about what ASHRAE is, what our expertise is in, and 17:33 5 about our standards, our research program, 17:30 6 publications, continuing education; just ASHRAE as a 17:33 7 whole 17:37 8 (Exhibit 1198 marked for identification) 17:39 9 Q (BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing 17:34 10 you what's what's been marked as Exhibit 1198, Bates 17:35 11 number ASHRAE0024393 Do you recognize this document? 17:30 12 A Yes 17:37 13 Q And what is this document? 17:37 14 A This is another sort of fact sheet type thing 17:39 15 that ASHRAE does to educate the staff members of the 17:32 16 legislature about ASHRAE's role in energy efficiency 17:36 	2QYes, if you could say which organizations.17:463ASo and this my recollection of the17:404leadership meetings, typically it's more more than17:405one meeting, so they weren't all in one meeting17:486together, so these would have been notes from all of17:407their meetings.17:428So they would have talked with the EPA,17:459DOE sorry, the page is sticking FEMP, NEMA, ASE,17:4810AHRI, NASEO, N-A-S-E-O, and that looks to be it.17:4211QOkay. So it's all of the organizations that17:4812are that are listed as individual acronyms at the17:4013top of each paragraph section?17:4115QAnd would those have been separate meetings17:4416with each individually?17:4617ASome of them could have been clumped17:4718together, some of them could have been separate.It's 17:41	
2congressional staff members?17:303AJust to give you a give them information17:314about what ASHRAE is, what our expertise is in, and17:335about our standards, our research program,17:306publications, continuing education; just ASHRAE as a17:337whole17:378(Exhibit 1198 marked for identification)17:399Q(BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing17:3410you what's what's been marked as Exhibit 1198, Bates17:3511number ASHRAE0024393Do you recognize this document?17:3012AYes17:3713QAnd what is this document?17:3714AThis is another sort of fact sheet type thing17:3216legislature about ASHRAE's role in energy efficiency17:3617and our views on how to get there17:31	2QYes, if you could say which organizations.17:463ASo and this my recollection of the17:404leadership meetings, typically it's more more than17:405one meeting, so they weren't all in one meeting17:486together, so these would have been notes from all of17:407their meetings.17:428So they would have talked with the EPA,17:459DOE sorry, the page is sticking FEMP, NEMA, ASE,17:4810AHRI, NASEO, N-A-S-E-O, and that looks to be it.17:4211QOkay. So it's all of the organizations that17:4812are that are listed as individual acronyms at the17:4013top of each paragraph section?17:4115QAnd would those have been separate meetings17:4416with each individually?17:4617ASome of them could have been clumped17:4718together, some of them could have been separate.It's 17:4119hard to tell from this email string how those occurred.17:43	
2congressional staff members?17:303AJust to give you a give them information17:314about what ASHRAE is, what our expertise is in, and17:335about our standards, our research program,17:306publications, continuing education; just ASHRAE as a17:337whole17:378(Exhibit 1198 marked for identification)17:399Q(BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing17:3410you what's what's been marked as Exhibit 1198, Bates17:3511number ASHRAE0024393 Do you recognize this document?17:3012AYes17:3713QAnd what is this document?17:3714AThis is another sort of fact sheet type thing17:3215that ASHRAE does to educate the staff members of the17:3216legislature about ASHRAE's role in energy efficiency17:3617and our views on how to get there17:3118(Exhibit 1199 marked for identification)17:33	2QYes, if you could say which organizations.17:463ASo and this my recollection of the17:404leadership meetings, typically it's more more than17:405one meeting, so they weren't all in one meeting17:486together, so these would have been notes from all of17:407their meetings.17:428So they would have talked with the EPA,17:459DOE sorry, the page is sticking FEMP, NEMA, ASE,17:4810AHRI, NASEO, N-A-S-E-O, and that looks to be it.17:4211QOkay. So it's all of the organizations that17:4812are that are listed as individual acronyms at the17:4013top of each paragraph section?17:4115QAnd would those have been separate meetings17:4716with each individually?17:4617ASome of them could have been clumped17:4718together, some of them could have been separate.It's 17:4119hard to tell from this email string how those occurred.17:4320But sometimes they have it where they're going to meet 17:49	
 2 congressional staff members? 17:30 3 A Just to give you a give them information 17:31 4 about what ASHRAE is, what our expertise is in, and 17:33 5 about our standards, our research program, 17:30 6 publications, continuing education; just ASHRAE as a 17:33 7 whole 17:37 8 (Exhibit 1198 marked for identification) 17:39 9 Q (BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing 17:34 10 you what's what's been marked as Exhibit 1198, Bates 17:35 11 number ASHRAE0024393 Do you recognize this document? 17:30 12 A Yes 17:37 13 Q And what is this document? 17:37 14 A This is another sort of fact sheet type thing 17:39 15 that ASHRAE does to educate the staff members of the 17:32 16 legislature about ASHRAE's role in energy efficiency 17:36 17 and our views on how to get there 17:31 18 (Exhibit 1199 marked for identification) 17:33 19 Q (BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing 17:42 	2QYes, if you could say which organizations.17:463ASo and this my recollection of the17:404leadership meetings, typically it's more more than17:405one meeting, so they weren't all in one meeting17:486together, so these would have been notes from all of17:407their meetings.17:428So they would have talked with the EPA,17:459DOE sorry, the page is sticking FEMP, NEMA, ASE,17:4810AHRI, NASEO, N-A-S-E-O, and that looks to be it.17:4211QOkay. So it's all of the organizations that17:4812are that are listed as individual acronyms at the17:4013top of each paragraph section?17:4115QAnd would those have been separate meetings17:4416with each individually?17:4617ASome of them could have been clumped17:4718together, some of them could have been separate.It's 17:4119hard to tell from this email string how those occurred.17:43	
2congressional staff members?17:303AJust to give you a give them information17:314about what ASHRAE is, what our expertise is in, and17:335about our standards, our research program,17:306publications, continuing education; just ASHRAE as a17:337whole17:378(Exhibit 1198 marked for identification)17:399Q(BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing17:3410you what's what's been marked as Exhibit 1198, Bates17:3511number ASHRAE0024393 Do you recognize this document?17:3012AYes17:3713QAnd what is this document?17:3714AThis is another sort of fact sheet type thing17:3216legislature about ASHRAE's role in energy efficiency17:3617and our views on how to get there17:3118(Exhibit 1199 marked for identification)17:3319Q(BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing17:4220you what's been marked as Exhibit 1199 This is Bates17:45	2QYes, if you could say which organizations.17:463ASo and this my recollection of the17:404leadership meetings, typically it's more more than17:405one meeting, so they weren't all in one meeting17:486together, so these would have been notes from all of17:407their meetings.17:428So they would have talked with the EPA,17:459DOE sorry, the page is sticking FEMP, NEMA, ASE,17:4810AHRI, NASEO, N-A-S-E-O, and that looks to be it.17:4211QOkay. So it's all of the organizations that17:4812are that are listed as individual acronyms at the17:4013top of each paragraph section?17:4115QAnd would those have been separate meetings17:4718together, some of them could have been separate.It's 17:4119hard to tell from this email string how those occurred.17:4320But sometimes they have it where they're going to meet 17:492121with these three organizations and then these three17:4222organizations; something like that.17:44	
 2 congressional staff members? 17:30 3 A Just to give you a give them information 17:31 4 about what ASHRAE is, what our expertise is in, and 17:33 5 about our standards, our research program, 17:30 6 publications, continuing education; just ASHRAE as a 17:33 7 whole 17:37 8 (Exhibit 1198 marked for identification) 17:39 9 Q (BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing 17:34 10 you what's what's been marked as Exhibit 1198, Bates 17:35 11 number ASHRAE0024393 Do you recognize this document? 17:30 12 A Yes 17:37 13 Q And what is this document? 17:37 14 A This is another sort of fact sheet type thing 17:39 15 that ASHRAE does to educate the staff members of the 17:32 16 legislature about ASHRAE's role in energy efficiency 17:36 17 and our views on how to get there 17:31 18 (Exhibit 1199 marked for identification) 17:33 19 Q (BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing 17:42 20 you what's been marked as Exhibit 1199 This is Bates 17:45 21 labeled ASHRAE0024624 Do you recognize this document? 17:40 	2QYes, if you could say which organizations.17:463ASo and this my recollection of the17:404leadership meetings, typically it's more more than17:405one meeting, so they weren't all in one meeting17:486together, so these would have been notes from all of17:407their meetings.17:428So they would have talked with the EPA,17:459DOE sorry, the page is sticking FEMP, NEMA, ASE,17:4810AHRI, NASEO, N-A-S-E-O, and that looks to be it.17:4211QOkay. So it's all of the organizations that17:4812are that are listed as individual acronyms at the17:4013top of each paragraph section?17:4014ARight.17:4115QAnd would those have been separate meetings17:4718together, some of them could have been separate.It's 17:4119hard to tell from this email string how those occurred.17:4320But sometimes they have it where they're going to meet17:4921with these three organizations and then these three17:42	
2congressional staff members?17:303AJust to give you a give them information17:314about what ASHRAE is, what our expertise is in, and17:335about our standards, our research program,17:306publications, continuing education; just ASHRAE as a17:337whole17:378(Exhibit 1198 marked for identification)17:399Q(BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing17:3410you what's what's been marked as Exhibit 1198, Bates17:3511number ASHRAE0024393 Do you recognize this document?17:3012AYes17:3713QAnd what is this document?17:3714AThis is another sort of fact sheet type thing17:3216legislature about ASHRAE's role in energy efficiency17:3617and our views on how to get there17:3118(Exhibit 1199 marked for identification)17:3319Q(BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, I'm handing17:4220you what's been marked as Exhibit 1199This is Bates17:4521labeled ASHRAE0024624 Do you recognize this document?17:4124AThese are this is an email that shows the17:4124AThese are this is an email that shows the17:42	2QYes, if you could say which organizations.17:463ASo and this my recollection of the17:404leadership meetings, typically it's more more than17:405one meeting, so they weren't all in one meeting17:486together, so these would have been notes from all of17:407their meetings.17:428So they would have talked with the EPA,17:459DOE sorry, the page is sticking FEMP, NEMA, ASE,17:4810AHRI, NASEO, N-A-S-E-O, and that looks to be it.17:4211QOkay. So it's all of the organizations that17:4812are that are listed as individual acronyms at the17:4013top of each paragraph section?17:4014ARight.17:4115QAnd would those have been separate meetings17:4718together, some of them could have been clumped17:4718together, some of them could have been separate.It's 17:4119hard to tell from this email string how those occurred.17:4320But sometimes they have it where they're going to meet 17:492121with these three organizations and then these three17:4222organizations; something like that.17:4423(Exhibit 1200 marked for identification.)17:4924Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 17:45	
2congressional staff members? $17:30$ 3AJust to give you a give them information $17:31$ 4about what ASHRAE is, what our expertise is in, and $17:33$ 5about our standards, our research program, $17:30$ 6publications, continuing education; just ASHRAE as a $17:33$ 7whole $17:37$ 8(Exhibit 1198 marked for identification) $17:39$ 9Q9Q9Q9Q9Q9Q9Q9Q9Q9Q9Q9Q9Q9Q9Q9Q9P9Q9Q9P9Q9P9Q9P9Q9P9Q9P9Q9P9Q9P9Q9P9Q9No9No9No9No9No9No9No9No9No9No9No9No9No9No9No9No9 </td <td>2QYes, if you could say which organizations.17:463ASo and this my recollection of the17:404leadership meetings, typically it's more more than17:405one meeting, so they weren't all in one meeting17:486together, so these would have been notes from all of17:407their meetings.17:428So they would have talked with the EPA,17:459DOE sorry, the page is sticking FEMP, NEMA, ASE,17:4810AHRI, NASEO, N-A-S-E-O, and that looks to be it.17:4211QOkay. So it's all of the organizations that17:4812are that are listed as individual acronyms at the17:4013top of each paragraph section?17:4014ARight.17:4115QAnd would those have been separate meetings17:4718together, some of them could have been clumped17:4718together, some of them could have been separate.17:4320But sometimes they have it where they're going to meet17:4921with these three organizations and then these three17:4222organizations; something like that.17:4423(Exhibit 1200 marked for identification.)17:49</td>	2QYes, if you could say which organizations.17:463ASo and this my recollection of the17:404leadership meetings, typically it's more more than17:405one meeting, so they weren't all in one meeting17:486together, so these would have been notes from all of17:407their meetings.17:428So they would have talked with the EPA,17:459DOE sorry, the page is sticking FEMP, NEMA, ASE,17:4810AHRI, NASEO, N-A-S-E-O, and that looks to be it.17:4211QOkay. So it's all of the organizations that17:4812are that are listed as individual acronyms at the17:4013top of each paragraph section?17:4014ARight.17:4115QAnd would those have been separate meetings17:4718together, some of them could have been clumped17:4718together, some of them could have been separate.17:4320But sometimes they have it where they're going to meet17:4921with these three organizations and then these three17:4222organizations; something like that.17:4423(Exhibit 1200 marked for identification.)17:49	

51 (Pages 198 - 201)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 53 of 98

1 ASHRAE0026916. Do you recognize this document? 17:42	1 A For 90.1, I would say it's another full-time 17:56		
2 A Yes, this looks yes. 17:40	2 job for the volunteers that work on that, with the 17:59		
3 Q And could you tell me what this document is? 17:43	3 amount of hours they spend on subcommittee calls where 17:		
4 A This this appears to look like a proposal 17:46	4 they're drafting the where smaller groups are 17:55 5 drafting it based on the expertise of the different 17:58		
5 to to get some funding it's not clear from him 17:49	5 drafting it based on the expertise of the different 17:58		
6 for the development of a new course for code officials 17:47	6 chapters within 90.1, in addition to meeting four times 17:50		
7 that specifically gives them information that's useful 17:43	7 a four times a year face-to-face, full subcommittee, 17:55		
8 to them when they need to verify compliance with the 17:47	8 full project committee members meetings for four 17:59		
9 standard for the purposes of receiving federal funding. 17:41	9 days straight from 8 in the morning until 10 at night. 17:52		
10 (Exhibit 1201 marked for identification.) 17:40	10 It's a huge time commitment for those volunteers. 17:55		
11 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 17:44	11 Q And he says, "particularly those that are 17:53		
12 marked as Exhibit 1201. It's Bates labeled 17:45	12 adopted into law." Why is it particularly those that 17:57		
13 ASHRAE0029650. Could you tell me what this document 17:49	13 are adopted into law that take time and resources? 17:52		
14 is? 17:47	14 A Because usually when it's something that's 17:57		
15 MR. CUNNINGHAM: We'd like a minute to 17:46	15 going to be adopted into law and it's going to be a 17:59		
16review this one, Matt.17:47	16 major change, it it generates a lot of comments, not 17:52		
17 Go ahead. 17:40	17 just one or two, talking 50 to a hundred lengthy 17:56		
18 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Have you reviewed it? 17:40	18 comments, because people in in the industry have 17:52		
19 MR. CUNNINGHAM: We have, correct. Yes. 17:41	19 different opinions and the committee has to listen to 17:55		
20 THE WITNESS: Okay. This is a an 17:45	20 those, look at the whatever technical justification 17:58		
21 email that Jeff Littleton sent to the ASHRAE 17:46	21 they submit, determine if if what they're submitting 17:51		
22 board of directors letting them know about 17:49	22 is cost justified, looking at, you know, what the 17:56		
23 a about Public Resource shipping 25 copies 17:42	23 change would do, and if that's truly what's being done 17:50		
24 of 73 different standards made by 10 or so 17:48	24 in the industry. 17:53		
25 different organizations. This did not 17:42	25 So what the more significant the change, 17:54		
Page 202	Page 204		
1 include ASHRAE. 17:44	1 the longer it takes to get something through the 17:56		
2 And sent it to purportedly sent it to 17:46	2 standards development process. 17:58		
3 media, Congress, Federal Trade Commission, 17:41	3 Q And are there other reasons why the standards 17:52		
4 including salaries of the CSOs of those 17:44	4 that are adopted into law would take greater time and 17:55		
5 organizations, and then indicating that 17:48	5 resources? 17:53		
6 they're going to post those online on 17:40	6 A I suppose that when you're if you define 17:57		
7 May 1st. 17:44	7 laws including the codes, then there's the time it 17:50		
8 Appears a member of the board responded 17:46	8 takes to present those changes to the code, to the 17:54		
9 back to Jeff asking why ASHRAE standards 17:40	9 testimony. Again, that's almost another full-time job, 17:57		
10 aren't included, and Jeff reported back that 17:43	10 the amount of time people spend at those code hearings, 17:51		
11 he he doesn't know, but right now they're 17:46	11 talking about the issues. 17:55		
12 happy to let someone else fight the battle 17:49	12 Q And are those code hearings before 17:50		
13 since we're not included. 17:42	13 governmental bodies? 17:52		
14 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And this is in March 2012, 17:50	14 A They're by code bodies. 17:53		
15 correct? 17:54	15 Q Code bodies. And what do you mean by "code 17:54		
16 A Correct. 17:55	16 bodies"? 17:56		
17 Q So ASHRAE was at the very least aware of 17:56	17 A International Code Council, NFPA, IATMO. 17:57		
18 Public Resource in March of 2012, correct?17:52	18 Q Does that also include time and resources 17:52		
19 A Correct. 17:56	19 spent working with government officials?17:58		
	20 A It could, if it's going into federal 17:52		
20 Q And Mr. Littleton says at the top of the page 17:52			
21 in the middle of the paragraph, "Developing standards, 17:56	21 regulations or federal law. 17:54		
21 in the middle of the paragraph, "Developing standards, 17:5622 particularly those that are adopted into law, takes 17:59	21 regulations or federal law.17:5422QWould it also include time and resources when 17:58		
 21 in the middle of the paragraph, "Developing standards, 17:56 22 particularly those that are adopted into law, takes 17:59 23 time and resources that someone must underwrite." 17:52 	21 regulations or federal law.17:5422 Q Would it also include time and resources when 17:5823 it's going into state regulations or state law?17:51		
 21 in the middle of the paragraph, "Developing standards, 17:56 22 particularly those that are adopted into law, takes 17:59 23 time and resources that someone must underwrite." 17:52 24 Can you tell me about the time and resources 17:58 	 21 regulations or federal law. 22 Q Would it also include time and resources when 17:58 23 it's going into state regulations or state law? 17:51 24 A Yes, if if people are aware it's it's 17:55 		
 21 in the middle of the paragraph, "Developing standards, 17:56 22 particularly those that are adopted into law, takes 17:59 23 time and resources that someone must underwrite." 17:52 	21 regulations or federal law.17:5422 Q Would it also include time and resources when 17:5823 it's going into state regulations or state law?17:51		

52 (Pages 202 - 205)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 54 of 98

1 (Ed.bil) 120: and Ed.bil) 120: and Ed.bil) 120: Could you table shown it is a semiatize in the semiatis the semiatis in the semiatize in the semiatize in the semiatize				
3Q(BY MR BECKER) the handing you what's been 17:593M.R. CUNNINGHAM: Same objection as the18:004matca as Exhibits 1202 and 12011202 is bits sampler 17:501118:015M. Remiche, could you tell me what heses 17:537TILE WITNESS: Integrees to be an email18:017documents are?17:567Talking about the - what was adopted in18:008A. Nueber 1202 is an email that eams from 17:589assimt regards to Standard 22: and18:029oragentarious, Nukh Ames and other17:569assimt Graphing for parmission to maybe get use of the 18:0111JCI, IA - IALD, ANSI, DWGP, ASE, and NAIOP17:5311training, and then Joli replying that if's18:0212Stahib 1202, which is a proposed rotions to the 17:5312coll formin18:021512Stahib 1202, which is a proposed rotions to the 17:5315Ferguson to a committee - this committee no18:0313Stahib 1202, which is a proposed rotion that likedo17:591516longer exists within ASIBAL - when it's - 18:0314Jourd Bard Bard 120117:5415Ferguson ta a committee - this committee no18:0314Jourd Bard Bard 17:5619something that he says, "Please sce below."18:0115QQ BY MR BECKERD, MA Kainsko, Tha handing 17:5919something that he says, "Please sce below."18:0315Pargez 20621An about the was hard 17:5519Something that could of lan	1 (Exhibit 1202 and Exhibit 1203 marked for 17:53	1 you what's been marked as Exhibit 1205. Could you tell 18:00		
4Is at decument:18:015ANIRATIONATION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	2 identification) 17:59	2 me what this document is? 18:07		
5ASIBA 10024591And 1203 is hates number ASIBA 1002459217.525THE WTNESS: It appears to be an email18.046MReiniche, condy you ell ne what these 17.536from - it starts with - from Broce Wilcox 18.047Jocumenta area17.569asking for permission to Standard 62.2 and 18.029Senater Potnanis Site UTC, AHR, NMA, Snyder Bierri, 17.5110material in tweer's namal of ref18.0111JCL, La - LALD, ANSI, DWOR, ASE, and NAIOP17.3311training, and then Jodi replying that it's18.0412Anti references the document that backed 17.5812officially adopted, and memning that Starl18.0714Eachibt 1204, marked for identifications)17.5916longer exists within ASIRAL - when it's -18.08159(Chabbit 1204 marked for identifications)17.5916longer exists within ASIRAL - when it's -18.031590(M K REICKER) Mis Reinics, Im hunding17.5017he says to members of SAC, that's the18.03191017.5820Q(B'M K BECKER) What was the standards18.01210Taky you find17.511821advisory committice, and shale with 17.5322AThere was a time when they hand - when XBRA Biele 18.0021advisory committice, start shale 18.0023is an ewal of the was 17.551118advisory committice, start shale18.0124is an ewal of the was 17.562020A Bie	3 Q (BY MR BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 17:59	3 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Same objection as the 18:00		
66from - it starts with - from Brace Wilcox18:047documents are?17:557talking about the - what was adopted in18:008ANomber 120 is an enalt and care from17:5510cultiformin in regards to Standard 62.2 and18:0010organizations, file UTC, AIRN, NMA, Snyker Dietric, 17:5110matrial in the user's manual or for18:0113Chi, L. A. NASI, NWC, ANS, MA, Snyker Dietric, 17:5111training, and then Jodi replying that ifs18:0213Eabrie J20, which is a proposed revision to the 17:53136:2.2 is now mandatory in California.18:0214And ther there is an email from Sieve18:0113:0215:0115:0115Forgason to a committee, and thatia'i Clargonitrecess sket of 17:5916Ionger crists within ASHRAE when if's18:0816(Exhibit 1204 marked for identification)17:5916Is standards advisory committee, and there was 18:0319year what's been marked a Schibbi 1204 Cody op17:5112advisory committee, and there was 18:0320A laced a second to read i17:5812advisory committee, and there was 18:0121Q (BY MR BECKER) MS fearman and Steve 17:5812advisory committee, and there was 18:0122A so this is anomal for Molein And 17:551220Q (BY MR, BECKER) MS fearman ad Steve 17:5823So moto: and and seve 17:551818:011124A so the obspect for cultiformia and 17:551211r-i	4 marked as Exhibits 1202 and 1203 1202 is Bates number 17:50	4 last document. 18:01		
7 documents set?17:567talking about thewhat was adopted in18:008 A Number 1202 is an exall that eame from17:588California in regards to Standard 62.2 and18:029 Senaro Formasi's suff to MAK Anses and other17:5610asking for permission to may beget use of the18:0710 organizations, like UTC, AFR, NEMA, Skyder Electric, 17:5110material in the user's manual or for18:0111 tritining, and then Jodking that it's18:0418:02officially dopted, and meaning that Standard18:0213 Eabihi 1203, which is a proposed revision to the17:5313G2.2 is now mandatory in California.18:0114 Energy Savings and Industrial Competitiveness Act of17:5014And then there is an email from Steve18:0115 20117:551516longer exists within ASIIRAE – when it's -18:0819 pose vlarks foor induction if 7:5118standards advisory committee, and there was18:0319 pose vlarks do a scalibit 1204 Could you17:5118standards advisory committee, and there was18:0321 Q Take your time17:5121advisory committee, and there was18:0122 A S this is an cuall fon Joli – well.17:5922A There was a time when they hadwhen ASIIRAE 18:0423 tis - was Joli Dumpa at the time, its tow John17:5523Q Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms and the ASIIRAE 18:0424 tis - was Joli Dumpa at the time, its tow John17:563Q A advisory committee to that REA525 ferguen about Standard 62 a unit ad	5 ASHRAE0024591 And 1203 is Bates number ASHRAE0024592 17:52	5 THE WITNESS: It appears to be an email 18:01		
8A Number 1202 is an email that came from17:588California in regards to Standard 62.2 and18:0299 senser Forman's suff to Mark Ams and other17:569asking for permission to mobe get use of the18:0710organizations, Mike UTCA, MIR, NAM, Skyder Electric, 17:5110material in the surs's manual of for18:0112And in effective entities the anomal entities in the surs's manual of for18:0118:0713Eshbit 120, which is a proposed revision to find 17:5312officially adopted, and maxing the Standard18:0214Energy Savings and Industrial Competitiveness Act of 17:5014And then there is an email from Steve18:0115Derguscous, Mike Mith, Saver Electric, 17:5115Ferguson to a committee - this committee no18:0515Joines et al. With High Could you17:5118standards advisory committee, and there was18:0116Lipser et al. With AMR BECKER) Mis Reinshe, Fundaming 17:591718standards advisory committee, and there was18:0120A Incel a second to real it17:5820Q(BY MR, BECKER) What was the standards18:0121A Incel a second to real it17:5821advisory committee 718:0222A Statis is a email from 51 - VCI17:5921advisory committee 718:0223A Statis is a cenal for 16:5117:5621advisory committee 718:0224Statis is a cenal for 16:5117:572121reoute hav	6 Ms Reiniche, could you tell me what these 17:53	6 from it starts with from Bruce Wilcox 18:04		
9 Senator Portmant's staft to Mark Ames and other 17:56 9 asking for permission to maybe get use of the 18:07 10 organizations, like UTC, AIRL, NEMA, Styder Ellectric, 17:51 10 material in the user's manual or for 18:01 12 And it references the document that's labeled 17:58 12 officially adopted, and meaning that Standard 18:02 13 Edibit 1201, which is a proposed revision to the 17:50 13 62:2 is now mandatory in California. 18:01 14 Forty Savings and Inductrial Competitiveness Act of 17:50 14 And then there is a manual from Struce 18:01 15 Out anaked for ideatification 17:59 15 Fergusson to a committee - this committee is 18:01 14 Park Here RECKER). MR BECKER MR Branchike, Pusading 17:59 18 standards advisory committee, and there of a manual for Nex 18:01 15 O (19 YMR, BECKER). MR as standards advisory committee, and there of a manual for Nex 18:01 18:02 14 A Laced scool to real it 17:58 20 Q (19 YMR, BECKER). MR as standards 18:01 12 Q Take your time 17:51 12 advisory committee or the standards 18:01 15 Fergusson about Standard 62 and its adop	7 documents are? 17:56	7 talking about the what was adopted in 18:00		
10organizations, like UTC, AFR, NEMA, Snyder Electric, 17.5110or material in the user's manual or for18.011112. And it redivense the document that labeled 17.5811training, and then Jodi replying that if's18.0213Exhibit 1203, which is a proposed revision to the17.531362.2 is now mandatory in California.18.0214Energy Swings and Industrial Competitivenses Act of17.5014And then there is an email from Steve18.0115201117.5515Ferguson do accommittee - this committee no18.0016(Exhibit 1204 marked for identification)17.5916longer exists within ASIRAE = when it's =18.0019you what's been marked as Exhibit 1204 cutd you if17.5110standards advisory committee, and there was18.0120AIneed second to read it17.5319standards advisory committee, and there was18.0121Qakey your time17.5121advisory committee, and there was18.0123idx - was load Dunlap at the time, it's and Joal17.5421advisory committee to just talk about 18.0024Stoch to be twee framenish, Max Shereman and Stove17.5614There was on the standards18.0123idx - was load Dunlap at the time, it's and Joal17.563QA when you way "adoption, it oouth have been membership issues.18.0525A mol steve Ferguson replied that he knew a17.5016A Theey - their topics were any policy-related 18.00 </td <td>8 A Number 1202 is an email that came from 17:58</td> <td>8 California in regards to Standard 62.2 and 18:02</td>	8 A Number 1202 is an email that came from 17:58	8 California in regards to Standard 62.2 and 18:02		
11 JC1, LA – LALD, ANSL DWCP, ASE, and NAIOP 17.53 11 training, and then Jodi replying that it's 18.02 12 And it references the document that's babeled (7.58) 12 Officially adopted, and meaning that Standard 18.02 14 Energy Savings and Industrial Competitiveness Act of (7.50) 13 62 2 is now mandatory in Culifornia. 18.07 15 Diff. (Edable 1204 marked for identification) 17.59 15 Ferguson to a committee – this committee no 18.08 16 (Edable 1204 marked for identification) 17.59 16 longer exists within ASHRAE – when if's - 18.08 19 please tell ne what his document is? 17.58 19 something infah fe says, "Please see below." 18.01 12 Q Take your time 17.51 21 advisory committee? 18.02 21 A So this is a meanif from Jodi - well 17.59 22 A There was a time when they had – when ASHRAE 18.04 23 ris was abid Dualpa at the time, if's now Jodi 17.54 24 A There was a time when ASHRAE 18.04 24 thas was about to be adopted in California and 17.55 25 Perguson abous Standard 62 2 and is adoption And 17.55 24 A There was a flow Advisory ad	9 Senator Portman's staff to Mark Ames and other 17:56	9 asking for permission to maybe get use of the 18:07		
12And it references the document that's labeled17.5812officially adopted, and meaning that Standard18:0213Edsibil 1203, which is a proposed revision to the17.53136.22 is now mandatory in California.18:0714Eacegy Savings and Industrial Competitiveness Act of17.5014And then there is an email from Size ve18:0115Officially adopted, and meaning that Standards18:0115Ferguson to a committee	10 organizations, like UTC, AHRI, NEMA, Snyder Electric, 17:51	10 material in the user's manual or for 18:01		
13Exhibit 1203, which is a proposed revision to the17:531362.2 is now mandatory in California.18:0714Energy Swings and Industrial Competitiveness Act of17:5014And then there is an email from Steve18:0115501117:5515Ferguson to a committee - this committee to its 0.80518:0316[Eshibit 1204 marked for identification]17:5916longer exists within ASHRAE - when it's -18:0317Q(BY MR BECKER) Ms Reinche, Pro handing17:5017he says to members of SAC, that's the18:0019please tile what this documents i?17:5819something that he says, "Please see below."18:0121QCal Za or time17:5922AThere was a time when they had - when ASHRAE 18:0423A so this is a nemail from Jodi - well,17:5922AThere was a time when they had - when ASHRAE 18:0423A so this is a nemail form Jodi - well,17:5922AThere was a time when they had - when ASHRAE 18:0424Scott - to Steve Emmerich, Max Sherman and Steve17:5823Idd the standards advisory committee to just talk about 18:0625Ferguson about Standard 22 and its adoption and17:561It - it was more like a policy-looking committee that 18:092New York. And Steve Emmerich, aked if anyone knew if 17:533QAnd when you say "adoption," do you mean18:074Iappenings in New York.17:561It - it was more like a policy-looking committee	11 JCI, IA IALD, ANSI, DWGP, ASE, and NAIOP 17:53	11 training, and then Jodi replying that it's 18:04		
14Energy Savings and Industrial Competitiveness Act of17:5014And then there is an email from Steve18:0115201117:5515Ferguson to a committee - min scommittee - min	12 And it references the document that's labeled 17:58	12 officially adopted, and meaning that Standard 18:02		
15201117.5515Ferguson to a committee this committee no18:0516(Exhibit 1204 marked for identification)17:5916longer exists within ASIRAE when it's18:0817Q(BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, Pm handing17:5018standards advisory committee, and there was18:0319please tell me what this document is?17:5819something that he says, "Please see below."18:0720AI need a second to read it17:5912advisory committee, and there was18:0121QTake your time17:5112advisory committee?18:0222ASo this is an email from Jodi - well, 17:5917:5825A There was a time when they had - when ASHRAE 18:0423it's was bodt Donhg at the time, it's now Jooi17:5524high-level issues related to standards. It could have 18:0025Ferguson about Standard 62 and its adoption And17:552page 2061that it was about to be adopted in California and17:553QAnd when you say "adoption," do you mean18:073adoption preprings in New York.17:5611they - their topics were any policy-cloated 18:065member that was in New York that was helpful in getting 17:533QAnd when you say "adoption," do you mean18:079And Tm not sure - oh, and then it appears17:579policies on how - and that kind of things.18:0610that you was an NST.17:58	13 Exhibit 1203, which is a proposed revision to the 17:53	13 62.2 is now mandatory in California. 18:07		
16(Exhibit 1204 marked for identification)17:5916longer exists within ASHRAE when it's18:0817Q(BY MR BECKER) Ms Reinche, I'm handing17:5017he says to members of SAC, that's the18:0018you what's been marked as Exhibit 1204 Could you17:5118standards advisory committee, and there was18:0320A 1 need a second to read it17:5819something that he says, "Please see below."18:0121QTake your time17:5120Q(BY MR, BECKER) What was the standards18:0122A soft is an email from lodi - well,17:5922AThere was a time when they hadwhen ASHRAE 18:0423it's - was Jodi Danlp at the time, it's now Jodi17:592224hadre standards advisory committee, and visory committee or just talk about 18:0624store Emmerich, Max Sherman and Steve17:5825been adoption, it could have been membership issues18:0525Ferguson about Standard 62 and its adoption And17:561111	14 Energy Savings and Industrial Competitiveness Act of 17:50	14 And then there is an email from Steve 18:01		
17Q(BY MR BECKER) Ms Reinche, I'm handing17:5017he says to members of SAC, that's the18:0018you what's been marked as Exhibit 1204Could you17:5118standards advisory committee, and there was18:0319please tell me what this document is?17:5820Q(BY MR BECKER) What was the standards18:0121QTake your time17:5121advisory committee?18:0222ASo this is an email fom Jodi - well,17:5423advisory committee?18:0223if's - was Jodi Dunlap at the time, if's now Jodi17:5524high-level issues related to standards. It could have 18:0025Ferguson about Standards 22 and its adoptionMat17:5524babp-level issues related to standards. It could have 18:0025Ferguson about to be adopted in California and17:561111 it was more like a policy-looking committee that18:095And Steve Ferguson replied that he knew a17:5622A They - their topics were any policy-related 18:066Mark State Billing code, so he may know something17:513QA They - their topics were any policy-related 18:066Mark State Billing code, so he may know something17:564A They - their topics were any policy-related 18:067the State Billing code, so he may know something17:5710QSimplify on a class record, the answer or my 18:0811the HE WITNESS:His boss at NIST.17:5811		15 Ferguson to a committee this committee no 18:05		
17Q(BY MR BECKER) Ms Reiniche, 1'm handing17:5017he says to members of SAC, that's the18:0018you what's been marked as Exhibit 1204 Could you17:5118standards advisory committee, and there was18:0319please tell me what this document is?17:5820Q(BY MR BECKER) What was the standards18:0121QTake your time17:5121advisory committee?18:0222ASo this is an enail from Jodi - well,17:5722AThere was a time when they had - when ASHRAE 18:0423if's - was Jodi Dunlap at the time, if's now Jodi17:5722AThere was a time when they had - when ASHRAE 18:0624Scott - to Steve Emmerich, Max Sherma and Steve17:5824high-level issues related to standards. It could have 18:0025Ferguson about to be adopted in California and17:562reported to the ASIRAE board of directors.18:053someone, meaning a member, might be close to the17:532reported to the ASIRAE board of directors.18:055And Yeve Ferguson replied that he knew a17:564adoption by reference into codes legislation and18:056more that was in New York17:576AThey - their topics were any policy-related 18:067the 62.1 ventilation tables into the IMC and the New17:531qenotytopic if they - they yus talked about18:0510that Strew Emmerich, sent that email chain to Andrew17:564A O	16 (Exhibit 1204 marked for identification) 17:59	16 longer exists within ASHRAE when it's 18:08		
19 please tell me what this document is? 17:58 19 something that he sarys, "Please see below." 18:07 20 A I need a second to read it 17:58 20 Q (BY MR, BECKER) What was the standards 18:01 21 Q J Take your time 17:51 21 advisory committee? 18:02 22 A So this is an email from Jodi - well, 17:59 22 alwo for momittee? 18:02 23 if's - was Jodi Dunlap at the time, if's now Jodi 17:54 23 had the standards advisory committee to just talk about 18:06 24 Sort to Steve Emmerich, Max Sherman and Steve 17:58 24 high-level issues related to standards. It could have 18:00 25 Ferguson about Standard 62 2 and its adoption And 17:56 1 It it was more like a policy-looking committee that 18:09 2 New York. And Steve Emmerich asked if anyone knew if 17:53 3 opt adoption, it could have been membership issues. 18:05 3 someone, meaning a member, might be close to the 17:53 3 Q And when you say "adoption," do you mean 18:07 4 happenings in New York. 17:56 5 regulation? 18:05 18:05 6 member that was in New York that was helpful in getting 17:53 4 adoption by reference into codes legislation and 18:07 18:05 6 A Threy - their topics were any policy-related 18:06 7 things, so it could be any type of adoption. They 18:00		17 he says to members of SAC, that's the 18:00		
20 A I need a second to read it 17:58 20 Q (BY MR. BECKER) What was the standards 18:01 21 Q Take your time 17:51 21 advisory committee? 18:02 22 A So this is an email from Jodi well, 17:59 22 A There was a time when they had when ASHRAE 18:04 23 if is was Jodi Dualap at the time; if's now Jodi 17:54 23 Had the standards advisory committee to just talk about 18:06 24 kigh-level issues related to standards. It could have 18:00 24 high-level issues related to standards. It could have 18:00 25 Ferguson about Standard 62 2 and its adoption And 17:55 11 I - it was more like a policy-looking committee that 18:09 2 New York. And Steve Erguson replied that he Knew a 17:50 3 Q And when you say "adoption," do you mean 18:07 3 Sontence, meaning a member, might be close to the 17:51 4 adoption hy reference into codes legislation and 18:09 5 And Steve Ferguson replied that he Knew a 17:50 6 A They wheir topics were any policy-related 18:06 6 member that was in New York that was helpful in greating	18 you what's been marked as Exhibit 1204 Could you 17:51	18 standards advisory committee, and there was 18:03		
21 Q. Take your time 17:51 21 advisory committee? 18:02 22 A. So this is an email from Jodi well, 17:59 22 A. There was a time when they had when ASHRAE 18:04 23 ifs was Jodi Dunlap at the time, ifs now Jodi 17:54 23 A. There was a time when they had when ASHRAE 18:04 24 Scott to Steve Emmerich, Max Sherman and Steve 17:55 24 Scott to Steve Emmerich, Max Sherman and Steve 17:56 25 Ferguson about Standard 62 2 and its adoption And 17:55 25 been adoption, it could have been membership issues. 18:05 3 someone, meaning a member, might be close to the 17:53 3 Q. And when you say "adoption," do you mean 18:07 4 happenings in New York. 17:56 3 Q. And when you say "adoption," do you mean 18:07 6 A. They their topics were any policy-related 18:06 7 things, so it could be any type of adoption. They 18:00 8 York State billing code, so he may know something. 17:51 9 policies on how - and that kind of things. 18:05 10 thet Steve Emmerich end that con Adrew I 17:59 13 The question w	19 please tell me what this document is? 17:58	19 something that he says, "Please see below." 18:07		
22 A So this is an email from Jodi – well, 17:59 22 A There was a time when they had – when ASHRAE 18:04 23 it's – was Jodi Dunlap at the time, it's now Jodi 17:54 23 had the standards advisory committee to just talk about 18:06 24 Southis is an email from Jodi – well, 17:58 24 high-level issues related to standards. It could have 18:00 25 Ferguson about Standard 62 2 and its adoption And 17:56 2 been adoption, it could have been membership issues. 18:05 3 someone, meaning a member, might be close to the 17:53 3 Q And when you say "adoption," do you mean 18:07 4 happenings in New York. 17:56 3 Q And when you say "adoption, They 18:00 18:05 6 member that was in New York that was helpful in getting 17:53 regulation? 18:05 10 A They – their topics were any policy–leated 18:06 7 the 62.1 ventilation tables into the IMC and the New 17:56 8 idin't approve it; they – they just talked about 18:02 9 policies on how – and that kind of things. 18:05 10 thasteve Erguson replied that he (Amew a 17:55 19 9 idin't approve it; they – they just talkled about 18:02 9 18:05 </td <td>20 A I need a second to read it 17:58</td> <td>20 Q (BY MR. BECKER) What was the standards 18:01</td>	20 A I need a second to read it 17:58	20 Q (BY MR. BECKER) What was the standards 18:01		
23 it's - was Jodi Dunlap at the time, it's now Jodi 17:54 23 had the standards advisory committee to just talk about 18:06 24 Scott - to Steve Emmerich, Max Sherman and Steve 17:58 24 high-level issues related to standards. It could have 18:00 25 Ferguson about Standard 6.2 and its adoption And 17:55 26 Page 206 1 that it was about to be adopted in California and 17:56 1 1 1	21 Q Take your time 17:51	21 advisory committee? 18:02		
24 Scott - to Steve Emmerich, Max Sherman and Steve 17:58 24 high-level issues related to standards. It could have 18:00 25 Ferguson about Standard 62 2 and its adoption And 17:55 Page 206 1 that it was about to be adopted in California and 17:55 Page 206 2 the association of the associat	22 A So this is an email from Jodi well, 17:59	22 A There was a time when they had when ASHRAE 18:04		
25 Ferguson about Standard 62 2 and its adoption And 17:55 25 been adoption, it could have been membership issues. 18:05 2 New York. And Steve Emmerich asked if anyone knew if 17:56 2 reported to the ASHRAE board of directors. 18:05 3 someone, meaning a member, might be close to the 17:56 3 Q And when you say "adoption," do you mean 18:07 4 happenings in New York. 17:56 Q And when you say "adoption," do you mean 18:07 5 And Steve Ferguson replied that he knew a 17:50 6 A They - their topics were any policy-related 18:06 7 the 62.1 ventilation tables into the IMC and the New 17:56 6 A They - their topics were any policy-related 18:02 9 And Tm not sure - oh, and then it appears 17:57 9 policies on how - and that kind of things. 18:02 10 the Steve Emmerich sent that email chain to Andrew 17:59 10 Q Simply for a clear record, the answer to my 18:08 11 personally, which is his boss at NIST. 17:59 13 The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:04 14 THE COURT REPORTER: 'His boss at 'MST <td>23 it's was Jodi Dunlap at the time, it's now Jodi 17:54</td> <td>23 had the standards advisory committee to just talk about 18:06</td>	23 it's was Jodi Dunlap at the time, it's now Jodi 17:54	23 had the standards advisory committee to just talk about 18:06		
Page 206Page 2081that it was about to be adopted in California and17:561It it was more like a policy-looking committee that18:092New York. And Steve Emmerich asked if anyone knew if17:533QAnd when you say "adoption," do you mean18:074happenings in New York.17:564adoption by reference into codes legislation and18:095And Steve Ferguson replied that he knew a17:505regulation?18:056member that was in New York that was helpful in getting 17:536A They their topics were any policy-related18:067the 62.1 ventilation tables into the IMC and the New17:519solicics on how and that kind of things.18:029And Tm not sure oh, and then it appears17:579policics on how and that kind of things.18:0510that Steve Emmerich sent that email chain to Andrew17:5910QSimply for a clear record, the answer to my18:0412THE COURT REPORTER: "His boss at NIST."17:5913The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:0414THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0814you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation 18:0615THE WITNESS: "His boss at NIST."17:5913The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:0414THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0814you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation 18:0615THE WITNESS: "His boss at NIST."17:5913The ques	24 Scott to Steve Emmerich, Max Sherman and Steve 17:58	24 high-level issues related to standards. It could have 18:00		
1 that it was about to be adopted in California and 17:56 1 It it was more like a policy-looking committee that 18:09 2 New York. And Steve Emmerich asked if anyone knew if 17:53 1:53 Commerce meaning a member, might be close to the 17:53 2 reported to the ASHRAE board of directors. 18:05 3 someone, meaning a member, might be close to the 17:53 3 Q And when you say "adoption," do you mean 18:07 4 happenings in New York. 17:56 4 adoption by reference into codes legislation and 18:07 5 And Steve Ferguson replied that he knew a 17:56 6 A They their topics were any policy-related 18:06 7 the 62.1 ventilation tables into the IMC and the New 17:56 7 things, so it could be any type of adoption. They 18:00 8 York State billing code, so he may know something. 17:51 8 didn't approve it; they they just talked about 18:02 9 And I'm not sure oh, and then it appears 17:57 10 Q Simply for a clear record, the answer to my 18:08 11 question, was that ay es or ano? I'll repeat my 18:01 12 THE COURT REPORTER: 'His boss at NIST.' 17:59 13 The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:04	25 Ferguson about Standard 62 2 and its adoption And 17:55	25 been adoption, it could have been membership issues. 18:05		
2New York. And Steve Emmerich asked if anyone knew if 17:532reported to the ASHRAE board of directors.18:053someone, meaning a member, might be close to the17:533QAnd when you say "adoption," do you mean18:074happenings in New York.17:564adoption by reference into codes legislation and18:095And Steve Ferguson replied that he knew a17:505regulation?18:056member that was in New York that was helpful in getting 17:536AThey their topics were any policy-related18:067the 62.1 ventilation tables into the IMC and the New17:566AThey they just talked about18:029And I'm not sure oh, and then it appears17:57910QSimply for a clear record, the answer to my18:0510that Steve Emmerich sent that email chain to Andrew17:5910QSimply for a clear record, the answer to my18:0811personally, which is his boss at NIST.17:5913The question, was that a yes or a no? I'l repeat my18:0414THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0814you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation18:0615THE WITNESS: N-I-S-T.18:0816MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:0116MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll go ahead and lodge18:0218Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0117arelevance objection to this document, like18:0214You mean adopt	Page 206	Page 208		
2New York. And Steve Emmerich asked if anyone knew if 17:532reported to the ASHRAE board of directors.18:053someone, meaning a member, might be close to the17:533QAnd when you say "adoption," do you mean18:074happenings in New York.17:564adoption by reference into codes legislation and18:095And Steve Ferguson replied that he knew a17:506AThey their topics were any policy-related18:067the 62.1 ventilation tables into the IMC and the New 17:566AThey their topics were any policy-related18:008York State billing code, so he may know something.17:5198didn't approve it; they they just talked about18:029And I'm not sure oh, and then it appears17:57910QSimply for a clear record, the answer to my18:0810that Steve Emmerich sent that email chain to Andrew17:5811question, was that a yes or a no? I'll repeat my18:0112THE COURT REPORTER: "His boss at NIST.17:5913The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:0414THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0815and regulation?18:0916MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll go ahead and lodge18:0816MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:0117a relevance objection to this document, like18:0219(EY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0119relate to any of the standards at issue here.18:0218	1 that it was about to be adopted in California and 17:56	1 It it was more like a policy-looking committee that 18:09		
3 someone, meaning a member, might be close to the17:533QAnd when you say "adoption," do you mean18:074 happenings in New York.17:564 adoption by reference into codes legislation and18:095And Steve Ferguson replied that he knew a17:505regulation?18:056member that was in New York that was helpful in getting17:536AThey their topics were any policy-related18:067the 62.1 ventilation tables into the IMC and the New17:568didn't approve it; they they just talked about18:029And I'm not sure oh, and then it appears17:579policies on how and that kind of things.18:0310that Steve Emmerich sent that email chain to Andrew17:5810QSimply for a clear record, the answer to my18:0811personally, which is his boss at NIST.17:5812question.18:031314you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation18:0414THE COURT REPORTER:NIST.17:5913The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:0414THE COURT REPORTER:NIST.18:0815and regulation?18:0315THE WITNESS:N-I-S-T.18:0816MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:0117a relevance objection to this document, like18:0917THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318several others we've seen today, does not18:0120Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know 18	2 New York. And Steve Emmerich asked if anyone knew if 17:53			
4 happenings in New York.17:564 adoption by reference into codes legislation and18:095And Steve Ferguson replied that he knew a17:505 regulation?18:056member that was in New York that was helpful in getting17:536A They their topics were any policy-related18:067the 62.1 ventilation tables into the IMC and the New17:568 didn't approve it; they they just talked about18:029And I'm not sure oh, and then it appears17:579 policies on how and that kind of things.18:0510that Steve Emmerich sent that email chain to Andrew17:5910Q Simply for a clear record, the answer to my18:0811personally, which is his boss at NIST.17:5811 question, was that a yes or a no?11 repeat my18:0112THE COURT REPORTER:"His boss at "what?17:5913The question was, when you say "adoption," do18:0414THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0814 you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation18:0115THE WITNESS: N-I-S-T.18:0816MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:0116MR. CUNNINGHAM:I'll go ahead and lodge18:0218Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0119relate to any of the standards at issue here.18:0520Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:012email?18:0621marked as Exhibit 1206. Could you please take a moment 18:092email?18:0723 <t< td=""><td></td><td>-</td></t<>		-		
5And Steve Ferguson replied that he knew a17:505regulation?18:056member that was in New York that was helpful in getting17:536AThey their topics were any policy-related18:067the 62.1 ventilation tables into the IMC and the New17:567things, so it could be any type of adoption. They18:008York State billing code, so he may know something.17:518didn't approve it; they they just talked about18:029And I'm not sure oh, and then it appears17:579policies on how and that kind of things.18:0510that Steve Emmerich sent that email chain to Andrew17:5910QSimply for a clear record, the answer to my18:0811personally, which is his boss at NIST.17:5912question, was that a yes or a no?111 repeat my18:0112THE COURT REPORTER:"His boss at NIST."17:5913The question was, when you say "adoption," do18:0414THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0814you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation18:0615THE WITNESS: "His boss at NIST."18:0816MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:0116MR. CUNNINGHAM: FII go ahead and lodge18:0218Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0117a relevance objection to this document, like18:0917THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318several others we've seen today, does not18:0219(Exhib	4 happenings in New York. 17:56			
6member that was in New York that was helpful in getting 17:536AThey their topics were any policy-related 18:067the 62.1 ventilation tables into the IMC and the New 17:567things, so it could be any type of adoption. They 18:008York State billing code, so he may know something.17:518didn't approve it; they they just talked about 18:029And I'm not sure oh, and then it appears 17:579policies on how and that kind of things.18:0510that Steve Emmerich sent that email chain to Andrew 17:5310QSimply for a clear record, the answer to my 18:0811personally, which is his boss at NIST.17:5912question. was that a yes or a no? I'll repeat my 18:0112THE COURT REPORTER: "His boss at NIST."17:5913The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:0414THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0814you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation 18:0615THE WITNESS: N-I-S-T.18:0816MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:0117a relevance objection to this document, like 18:0917THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318several others we've seen today, does not18:0120Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0120Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0121marked as Exhibit 1206. Could you please take a moment 18:0921who Michael Burnetter is that's referred to in this18:0121marked as Exhibit 1206. Could you please take a moment 18:09 <td>5 And Steve Ferguson replied that he knew a 17:50</td> <td></td>	5 And Steve Ferguson replied that he knew a 17:50			
8York State billing code, so he may know something.17:518didn't approve it; they they just talked about18:029And I'm not sure oh, and then it appears17:579policies on how and that kind of things.18:0510that Steve Emmerich sent that email chain to Andrew17:5310QSimply for a clear record, the answer to my18:0811personally, which is his boss at NIST.17:5811question, was that a yes or a no?111 repeat my18:0112THE COURT REPORTER:"His boss at NIST."17:5913The question was, when you say "adoption," do18:0414THE COURT REPORTER:NIST?18:0814you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation18:0615THE WITNESS:N-I-S-T.18:0815and regulation?18:0916MR. CUNNINGHAM:I'll go ahead and lodge18:0816MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:0117a relevance objection to this document, like18:0917THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318several others we've seen today, does not18:0218Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0120Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0121marked as Exhibit 1206. Could you please take a moment 18:0922email?18:0723MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the scope and 18:0621who Michael Burnetter is that's referred to in this18:0324relevance, seeing as how this again does not <td>6 member that was in New York that was helpful in getting 17:53</td> <td></td>	6 member that was in New York that was helpful in getting 17:53			
9And I'm not sure oh, and then it appears17:579policies on how and that kind of things.18:0510that Steve Emmerich sent that email chain to Andrew17:5310QSimply for a clear record, the answer to my18:0811personally, which is his boss at NIST.17:5811question, was that a yes or a no?111 repeat my18:0112THE COURT REPORTER:His boss at NIST.17:5913The question was, when you say "adoption," do18:0414THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0814you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation18:0615THE WITNESS: N-I-S-T.18:0815and regulation?18:0916MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll go ahead and lodge18:0816MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:0117a relevance objection to this document, like18:0917THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318several others we've seen today, does not18:0218Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.18:0419relate to any of the standards at issue here.18:0112marked as Exhibit 1206. Could you please take a moment18:0921who Michael Burnetter is that's referred to in this18:0121marked as Exhibit 1206. Could you please take a moment18:0922email?18:0723MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the scope and18:0623A I don't.18:0723MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the scope and18:0624(Exhibit 1205 marked for ident	7 the 62.1 ventilation tables into the IMC and the New 17:56	7 things, so it could be any type of adoption. They 18:00		
10that Steve Emmerich sent that email chain to Andrew17:5310QSimply for a clear record, the answer to my18:0811personally, which is his boss at NIST.17:5811question, was that a yes or a no?I'll repeat my18:0112THE COURT REPORTER:"His boss at" what?17:5912question, was that a yes or a no?I'll repeat my18:0113THE WITNESS:"His boss at NIST."17:5913The question was, when you say "adoption," do18:0414THE COURT REPORTER:NIST?18:0814you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation18:0615THE WITNESS:N-I-S-T.18:0815and regulation?18:0916MR. CUNNINGHAM:I'll go ahead and lodge18:0816MR. FEE:Objection to form.18:0117a relevance objection to this document, like18:0917THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318several others we've seen today, does not18:0218Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.18:0419relate to any of the standards at issue here.18:0120Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been18:0821who Michael Burnetter is that's referred to in this18:0121marked as Exhibit 1206.Could you please take a moment18:0922email?18:0723MR. CUNNINGHAM:18:0423MR. CUNNINGHAM:0bject to the scope and18:0623A I don't.18:0723M	8 York State billing code, so he may know something. 17:51	8 didn't approve it; they they just talked about 18:02		
11personally, which is his boss at NIST.17:5811question, was that a yes or a no?I'll repeat my18:0112THE COURT REPORTER: "His boss at "what?17:5912question.18:0313THE WITNESS: "His boss at NIST."17:5913The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:0414THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0814you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation18:0615THE WITNESS: N-I-S-T.18:0815and regulation?18:0916MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll go ahead and lodge18:0816MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:0117a relevance objection to this document, like18:0917THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318several others we've seen today, does not18:0218Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.18:0419relate to any of the standards at issue here.18:0520Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0121who Michael Burnetter is that's referred to in this18:0121marked as Exhibit 1206. Could you please take a moment 18:0922email?18:0723MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the scope and18:0623A I don't.18:0723MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the scope and18:0624(Exhibit 1205 marked for identification.)18:0324relevance, seeing as how this again does not18:0925Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:0825relate to Standard 90.1 or any				
12THE COURT REPORTER: "His boss at" what?17:5912 question.18:0313THE WITNESS: "His boss at NIST."17:5913The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:0414THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0814 you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation18:0615THE WITNESS: N-I-S-T.18:0814 you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation18:0616MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll go ahead and lodge18:0816MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:0117a relevance objection to this document, like18:0917THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318several others we've seen today, does not18:0218Q (BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.18:0419relate to any of the standards at issue here.18:0520Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0120Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0120Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 18:0821who Michael Burnetter is that's referred to in this18:0121marked as Exhibit 1206. Could you please take a moment 18:0922email?18:0723MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the scope and 18:0624(Exhibit 1205 marked for identification.)18:0324relevance, seeing as how this again does not 18:0925Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:0825relate to Standard 90.1 or any of the 18:01	10 that Steve Emmerich sent that email chain to Andrew 17:53	10 Q Simply for a clear record, the answer to my 18:08		
12THE COURT REPORTER: "His boss at" what?17:5912 question.18:0313THE WITNESS: "His boss at NIST."17:5913The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:0414THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0814 you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation18:0615THE WITNESS: N-I-S-T.18:0814 you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation18:0616MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll go ahead and lodge18:0816MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:0117a relevance objection to this document, like18:0917THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318several others we've seen today, does not18:0218Q (BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.18:0419relate to any of the standards at issue here.18:0520Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0120Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0120Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 18:0821who Michael Burnetter is that's referred to in this18:0121marked as Exhibit 1206. Could you please take a moment 18:0922email?18:0723MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the scope and 18:0624(Exhibit 1205 marked for identification.)18:0324relevance, seeing as how this again does not 18:0925Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:0825relate to Standard 90.1 or any of the 18:01	11 personally, which is his boss at NIST. 17:58	11 question, was that a yes or a no? I'll repeat my 18:01		
13THE WITNESS: "His boss at NIST."17:5913The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:0414THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0814 you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation 18:0615THE WITNESS: N-I-S-T.18:0815 and regulation?18:0916MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll go ahead and lodge18:0816MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:0117a relevance objection to this document, like18:0917THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318several others we've seen today, does not18:0218Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.18:0419relate to any of the standards at issue here.18:0519(Exhibit 1206 marked for identification.)18:0520Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0120Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been18:0821who Michael Burnetter is that's referred to in this18:0121marked as Exhibit 1206. Could you please take a moment18:0922email?18:0723MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the scope and18:0624(Exhibit 1205 marked for identification.)18:0324relevance, seeing as how this again does not18:0925Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:0825relate to Standard 90.1 or any of the18:01				
14THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0814 you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation18:0615THE WITNESS: N-I-S-T.18:0815 and regulation?18:0916MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll go ahead and lodge18:0816MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:0117a relevance objection to this document, like18:0917THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318several others we've seen today, does not18:0218Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.18:0419relate to any of the standards at issue here.18:0519(Exhibit 1206 marked for identification.)18:0520Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0120Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been18:0821who Michael Burnetter is that's referred to in this18:0121marked as Exhibit 1206. Could you please take a moment18:0922email?18:0722to read this document and tell me what it is?18:0423AI don't.18:0324relevance, seeing as how this again does not18:0924(Exhibit 1205 marked for identification.)18:0324relevance, seeing as how this again does not18:0925Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:0825relate to Standard 90.1 or any of the18:01	12 THE COURT REPORTER: "His boss at" what? 17:59			
15THE WITNESS: N-I-S-T.18:0815and regulation?18:0916MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll go ahead and lodge18:0816MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:0117a relevance objection to this document, like18:0916MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:0117a relevance objection to this document, like18:0917THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318several others we've seen today, does not18:0218Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.18:0419relate to any of the standards at issue here.18:0519(Exhibit 1206 marked for identification.)18:0520Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0120Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been18:0821who Michael Burnetter is that's referred to in this18:0121marked as Exhibit 1206. Could you please take a moment18:0922email?18:0622to read this document and tell me what it is?18:0423AI don't.18:0723MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the scope and18:0924(Exhibit 1205 marked for identification.)18:0324relevance, seeing as how this again does not18:0925Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:0825relate to Standard 90.1 or any of the18:01		12 question. 18:03		
16MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll go ahead and lodge18:0816MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:0117a relevance objection to this document, like18:0917THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318several others we've seen today, does not18:0218Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.18:0419relate to any of the standards at issue here.18:0519(Exhibit 1206 marked for identification.)18:0520Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0120Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been18:0821who Michael Burnetter is that's referred to in this18:0121marked as Exhibit 1206. Could you please take a moment18:0922email?18:0622to read this document and tell me what it is?18:0423AI don't.18:0723MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the scope and18:0624(Exhibit 1205 marked for identification.)18:0324relevance, seeing as how this again does not18:0925Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:0825relate to Standard 90.1 or any of the18:01	13THE WITNESS: "His boss at NIST."17:59	12 question.18:0313The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:04		
17a relevance objection to this document, like18:0917THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318several others we've seen today, does not18:0218Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.18:0419relate to any of the standards at issue here.18:0519(Exhibit 1206 marked for identification.)18:0520Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0120Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been18:0821who Michael Burnetter is that's referred to in this18:0120Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been18:0822email?18:0622to read this document and tell me what it is?18:0423AI don't.18:0723MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the scope and18:0624(Exhibit 1205 marked for identification.)18:0324relevance, seeing as how this again does not18:0925Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:0825relate to Standard 90.1 or any of the18:01	13THE WITNESS: "His boss at NIST."17:5914THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:08	12 question.18:0313The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:0414 you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation18:06		
19relate to any of the standards at issue here.18:0519(Exhibit 1206 marked for identification.)18:0520Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0120Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been18:0821who Michael Burnetter is that's referred to in this18:0120Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been18:0822email?18:0621marked as Exhibit 1206. Could you please take a moment18:0923AI don't.18:0723MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the scope and18:0624(Exhibit 1205 marked for identification.)18:0324relevance, seeing as how this again does not18:0925Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:0825relate to Standard 90.1 or any of the18:01	13 THE WITNESS: "His boss at NIST." 17:59 14 THE COURT REPORTER: NIST? 18:08 15 THE WITNESS: N-I-S-T. 18:08	12 question.18:0313The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:0414 you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation18:0615 and regulation?18:09		
20Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0121who Michael Burnetter is that's referred to in this18:0122email?18:0623AI don't.24(Exhibit 1205 marked for identification.)18:0325Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:0825Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:08	13THE WITNESS: "His boss at NIST."17:5914THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0815THE WITNESS: N-I-S-T.18:0816MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll go ahead and lodge18:08	12 question.18:0313The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:0414 you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation18:0615 and regulation?18:0916MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:01		
20Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0120Q(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been18:0821who Michael Burnetter is that's referred to in this18:0121marked as Exhibit 1206. Could you please take a moment18:0922email?18:0622to read this document and tell me what it is?18:0423AI don't.18:0723MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the scope and18:0624(Exhibit 1205 marked for identification.)18:0324relevance, seeing as how this again does not18:0925Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:0825relate to Standard 90.1 or any of the18:01	13THE WITNESS: "His boss at NIST."17:5914THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0815THE WITNESS: N-I-S-T.18:0816MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll go ahead and lodge18:0817a relevance objection to this document, like18:09	12 question.18:0313The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:0414 you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation18:0415 and regulation?18:0916MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:0117THE WITNESS: Yes.18:03		
22 email?18:0622 to read this document and tell me what it is?18:0423 A I don't.18:0723 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the scope and18:0624 (Exhibit 1205 marked for identification.)18:0324 relevance, seeing as how this again does not18:0925 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:0825 relate to Standard 90.1 or any of the18:01	13THE WITNESS: "His boss at NIST."17:5914THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0815THE WITNESS: N-I-S-T.18:0816MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll go ahead and lodge18:0817a relevance objection to this document, like18:0918several others we've seen today, does not18:02	12 question.18:0313The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:0414 you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation18:0415 and regulation?18:0916MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:0117THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.18:04		
22 email?18:0622 to read this document and tell me what it is?18:0423 A I don't.18:0723 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the scope and18:0624 (Exhibit 1205 marked for identification.)18:0324 relevance, seeing as how this again does not18:0925 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:0825 relate to Standard 90.1 or any of the18:01	13THE WITNESS: "His boss at NIST."17:5914THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0815THE WITNESS: N-I-S-T.18:0816MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll go ahead and lodge18:0817a relevance objection to this document, like18:0918several others we've seen today, does not18:0219relate to any of the standards at issue here.18:05	12 question.18:0313The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:0414 you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation18:0415 and regulation?18:0916MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:0117THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318Q(BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.18:0419(Exhibit 1206 marked for identification.)18:05		
23AI don't.18:0723MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the scope and relevance, seeing as how this again does not18:0624(Exhibit 1205 marked for identification.)18:0324relevance, seeing as how this again does not18:0925Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:0825relate to Standard 90.1 or any of the18:01	13THE WITNESS: "His boss at NIST."17:5914THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0815THE WITNESS: N-I-S-T.18:0816MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll go ahead and lodge18:0817a relevance objection to this document, like18:0918several others we've seen today, does not18:0219relate to any of the standards at issue here.18:0520Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:01	12 question.18:0313The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:0414 you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation 18:0615 and regulation?18:0916MR. FEE: Objection to form.17THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318Q19(Exhibit 1206 marked for identification.)20QQ(BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 18:08		
24 (Exhibit 1205 marked for identification.)18:0324relevance, seeing as how this again does not18:0925Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:0825relate to Standard 90.1 or any of the18:01	13THE WITNESS: "His boss at NIST."17:5914THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0815THE WITNESS: N-I-S-T.18:0816MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll go ahead and lodge18:0817a relevance objection to this document, like18:0918several others we've seen today, does not18:0219relate to any of the standards at issue here.18:0520Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0121who Michael Burnetter is that's referred to in this18:01	12 question.18:0313The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:0414 you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation 18:0615 and regulation?18:0916MR. FEE: Objection to form.17THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318Q (BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.19 (Exhibit 1206 marked for identification.)18:0520Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 18:0821marked as Exhibit 1206. Could you please take a moment 18:09		
25 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing 18:08 25 relate to Standard 90.1 or any of the 18:01	13THE WITNESS: "His boss at NIST."17:5914THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0815THE WITNESS: N-I-S-T.18:0816MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll go ahead and lodge18:0817a relevance objection to this document, like18:0918several others we've seen today, does not18:0219relate to any of the standards at issue here.18:0520Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0121who Michael Burnetter is that's referred to in this18:0122email?18:06	12 question.18:0313The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:0414 you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation 18:0615 and regulation?18:0916MR. FEE: Objection to form.17THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318Q (BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.19 (Exhibit 1206 marked for identification.)18:0520Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 18:0821marked as Exhibit 1206. Could you please take a moment 18:0922to read this document and tell me what it is?18:04		
Page 207 Page 209	13THE WITNESS: "His boss at NIST."17:5914THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0815THE WITNESS: N-I-S-T.18:0816MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll go ahead and lodge18:0817a relevance objection to this document, like18:0918several others we've seen today, does not18:0219relate to any of the standards at issue here.18:0520Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0121who Michael Burnetter is that's referred to in this18:0122email?18:0623AI don't.1818:07	12 question.18:0313 The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:0414 you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation 18:0615 and regulation?18:0916 MR. FEE: Objection to form.18:0117 THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.18:0419 (Exhibit 1206 marked for identification.)18:0520 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 18:0821 marked as Exhibit 1206. Could you please take a moment 18:0922 to read this document and tell me what it is?18:0423 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the scope and18:06		
1 dgc 207	13THE WITNESS: "His boss at NIST."17:5914THE COURT REPORTER: NIST?18:0815THE WITNESS: N-I-S-T.18:0816MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll go ahead and lodge18:0817a relevance objection to this document, like18:0918several others we've seen today, does not18:0219relate to any of the standards at issue here.18:0520Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, do you know18:0121who Michael Burnetter is that's referred to in this18:0122email?18:0623A I don't.18:0724(Exhibit 1205 marked for identification.)18:03	12 question.18:0313The question was, when you say "adoption," do 18:0414 you mean adoption by reference into codes, legislation 18:0615 and regulation?18:0916MR. FEE: Objection to form.17THE WITNESS: Yes.18:0318Q (BY MR. BECKER) Thank you.19 (Exhibit 1206 marked for identification.)20Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 18:0821marked as Exhibit 1206. Could you please take a moment 18:0922to read this document and tell me what it is?23MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to the scope and 18:0624relevance, seeing as how this again does not 18:09		

53 (Pages 206 - 209)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 55 of 98

1 standards at issue here. 18:03	1 if we could get comp copies to send to HUD to 18:01	
2 THE WITNESS: This email relates to 18:06	2 consider it, and Mark indicated that's 18:04	
3 Standard 161-2007. That's indoor air quality 18:08	3 probably very likely 18:07	
4 for commercial aircrafts. And it's about a 18:04	4 And then they ask for the where to 18:00	
5 congressional committee considering an FAA 18:09	5 send it, to Rhonda from Rhonda Dickerson, 18:03	
6 reauthorization bill and wants to know if 18:01	6 who I'm assuming is from HUD And instead of 18:08	
7 if the members of 161 are supportive of this 18:06	7 using the paper comment paper format, they 18:02	
8 bill. 18:01	8 sent a PDF copy that indicating it wasn't 18:08	
9 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And in the top email, 18:05	9 to be distributed outside of the HUD 18:12	
10 Mr. Weber's communication, he says, "Ladies and 18:01	10 manufacturing housing consensus committee and 18:15	
11 Gentlemen, below is an email from Ryan Colker, manager 18:06	11 not to reprint without ASHRAE permission 18:18	
12 of government affairs in the ASHRAE Washington office. 18:09	12 Q (BY MR BECKER) You are a recipient of this 18:13	
13 ASHRAE is encouraging legislators to adopt ASHRAE 18:03	13 email; is that correct? 18:15	
14 Standard 161-2007 and intends on sending the attached 18:07	14 A Yes 18:17	
15 letter early next week. Ryan would like to make the 18:03	15 Q What does HUD stand for? 18:10	
16 SSPC aware of the intentions and would like to know if 18:07	16 A Housing Urban Development 18:14	
17 their organizations are willing to support the 18:01	17 Q And is that a federal agency? 18:17	
18 adoption." 18:04	18 A Yes 18:11	
19 Is one of the roles of government ASHRAE's 18:09	19 Q Is it common for ASHRAE to provide copies of 18:10	
20 government affairs office in Washington, D.C., to 18:02	20 ASHRAE standards to members of government when they are 18:16	
21 encourage legislators to adopt ASHRAE standards? 18:06	21 considering incorporating that standard into 18:10	
22 A If it's relevant to a bill that the 18:01	22 legislation or regulation? 18:14	
22 A first steevant to a bin that the first firs		
	23 A If it's been requested, we typically will 18:17	
24QDoes that include encouraging legislators to18:0125adopt ASHRAE Standard 90.1?18:04	24 provide a copy for them to review, yes 18:10 25 Q And that would be a complimentary copy, 18:13	
Page 210	25 Q And that would be a complimentary copy, 18:13 Page 212	
1 A If it's related to a legislation that they're 18:07	1 correct? 18:11	
2 creating. 18:00	2 A That is correct. 18:11	
3 Q So if for clarity, if the if standard 18:01	3 (Exhibit 1208 marked for identification.) 18:11	
4 ASHRAE 90.1 is related to the legislation that's being 18:09	4 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing 18:11	
5 created, then the Washington office of ASHRAE might 18:03	5 you what's been marked as Exhibit 1208. This has been 18:11	
6 encourage the adoption of Standard 90.1 into that 18:08	6 produced as ASHRAE0024209. Do you recognize this 18:12	
7 legislation? 18:03	7 document? 18:12	
8 A Yes, in consultation with ASHRAE leadership 18:05	8 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to this document 18:12	
9 and those with relevant technical expertise. 18:09	9 as it also appears to relate to standards 18:12	
10 (Exhibit 1207 marked for identification.) 18:09	10 other than those that are at issue in this 18:12	
11 Q (BY MR. BECKER) I'm handing you what's been 18:07	11 case. 18:12	
12 marked as Exhibit 1207. Could you please take a moment 18:08	12 THE WITNESS: Yes, I recognize the 18:12	
13 to read this document and tell me what it is? 18:02	13 document. 18:12	
14 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Same objection as the 18:02	14 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And what is this document? 18:12	
15 last document. This is outside the scope and 18:03	15 A This is a document from Terry Townsend, who 18:12	
16 irrelevant, as it doesn't relate to the 18:06	16 would have been the president of ASHRAE in 2007, to 18:13	
10 Interevant, as it doesn't relate to the 18:00 17 standards at issue. 18:08	17 Andrew Fanara from EPA Energy Star Program. And it's 18:13	
17 standards at issue. 18:05 18 THE WITNESS: So this is an email where 18:05	17 Andrew Fanara from EFA Energy Star Program. And it's 18:13 18 about a draft report to Congress on server and 18:13	
18 THE WITNESS: So this is an email where 18:05 19 Mike Lubliner, who was involved in 62.2, said 18:09	19 datacenter energy efficiency. 18:13	
	20 And he's talking about the how ASHRAE is 18:13	
	21 involved in that and the majority of this deals with 18:13	
22 Steve Emmerich, who I believe was the chair 18:06	22 our technical committee 9.9, which is missing critical 18:13	
23 at that time, about who could speak on that. 18:08 24 And then they called Mork Weber if	23 facilities and the work they've done in the different 18:13	
24 And then they asked Mark Weber if we 18:03	24 publications they have, thermal guidelines for data 18:13	
could who is the staff liaison for 62.2, 18:07	25 processing equipment. And and then they had 18:13	

54 (Pages 210 - 213)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 56 of 98

1 indicated that 90.1 was not relevant for cooling in 18:15	1 Q Did you discuss the topics in the 30(b)(6) 18:10
2 datacenters. 18:11	2 notice with anyone other than counsel or Mark Ames or 18:13
3 And this was in 2000 90.1-2004. So 90.1 18:12	3 Claire Ramspeck or Steve Ferguson? 18:17
4 was going to evaluate whether datacenters could be 18:19	4 A No. 18:10
5 included, and then talking about the metrics for 18:13	5 Q Did you create any notes or other documents 18:11
6 datacenter energy efficiency, they we had 18:17	6 or outlines in preparation for this deposition today? 18:14
7 recommended the use of datacenter efficiency, rather 18:12	7 A No. 18:18
8 than power usage effectiveness. 18:17	8 Q What research did you do to prepare yourself 18:11
9 And then there's a list of different research 18:12	9 to answer questions on the topics in the notice? 18:14
10 projects that were attached, along with the list of the 18:16	10 A Well, since I helped I didn't have to do a 18:12
11 members of the technical committee 9.9. 18:10	11 ton of research, because I helped find a lot of 18:15
12 Q Thank you. 18:16	12 material that we submitted as part of the discovery, so 18:18
13 Ms. Reiniche, could you please tell me what 18:11	13 most of that stuff I knew. 18:11
14 you did in preparation for this deposition today? 18:13	14 Q Did you review any documents to prepare for 18:12
15 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'll go ahead and 18:10	15 deposition today? 18:16
16 counsel you not to you you can 18:11	16 A Only with legal counsel. 18:17
17 obviously answer the question in terms of 18:13	17 Q Did any documents that you reviewed refresh 18:15
18 when we met, how many times, how long, but 18:15	18 your memory as to any matter involved in the deposition 18:18
19 don't reveal any of the actual content of any 18:17	19 today? 18:12
20 communications that you had with any 18:11	20 A With that I reviewed with legal counsel or 18:14
21 attorneys. 18:13	21 that we 18:17
22 THE WITNESS: I met on approximately 18:14	22 Q That that you reviewed with legal counsel. 18:18
23 three separate occasions with legal counsel 18:18	23 A Yes. 18:11
24 to review potential questions that may be 18:11	24 Q Could you identify which documents those 18:12
25 asked. 18:16	25 were? 18:14
Page 214	Page 216
1 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And did you communicate with 18:18	1 A Of this stack? Out of the stack you have 18:16
2 anyone other than legal counsel in preparation for this 18:11	2 here? 18:10
3 deposition? 18:14	3 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Matt, what exactly are 18:10
4 A I I spoke with Mark Ames at our D.C. 18:17	4 you trying to get at here? What's what's 18:11
5 office to make sure I understood the process for how we 18:12	5 the purpose of this line of questioning? 18:13
6 worked with the federal government and state and local 18:17	6 MR. BECKER: So the question as to if a 18:15
7 officials. I spoke with Claire Ramspeck about some of 18:11	7 document has refreshed her recollection, then 18:19
8 the questions that would have related to leadership 18:15	8 that's a document that we're entitled to know 18:12
9 meetings and Steve Ferguson to make sure I did not 18:11	9 about. 18:15
10 misrepresent the code process.18:17	10 MR. CUNNINGHAM: You're saying you don't 18:19
11 Q Were there any other topics of discussion in 18:13	11 know about the documents you showed her 18:11
12 those meetings, other than the meetings with your 18:15	12 today? What's the 18:13
13 counsel? 18:19	13 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Were there documents other 18:14
14 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm going to again 18:12	14 than those that you've been shown today that refreshed 18:16
15 counsel that if you were seeking advice on a 18:13	15 your recollection? 18:18
16 topic that came out of a discussion with 18:18	16 A Not that I recall. I'm pretty sure 18:18
17 counsel, then that would be covered under the 18:10	17 everything we talked about is in these documents. 18:13
18 privilege. So don't reveal the substance of 18:12	18 Q Can you identify particular documents within 18:17
19any communications that occurred between you18:15	19 that stack that refreshed your recollection?18:10
20 and legal counsel. 18:17	20 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Refreshed her 18:24
21 THE WITNESS: There wasn't any other 18:19	21 recollection as to what, Matt? 18:24
22 additional conversations. 18:11	22 MR. BECKER: As to topics discussed in 18:26
23 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And you've reviewed the 18:19	23the deposition today.18:27
24 topics in the 30(b)(6) notice, correct? 18:12	24 THE WITNESS: Exhibit 1201. 18:29
25 A Correct. 18:16	
Page 215	25 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And that was a document that 18:25 Page 217

55 (Pages 214 - 217)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 57 of 98

1 you had reviewed prior to today? 18:26	1 green schools, specifically referencing ASHRAE Standard 18:25	
2 A Yes. 18:29	2 189.1 and the IGCC. And they were going to consider 18:29	
3 Exhibit 1191. That's it. 18:22	3 our edits, so he was asking for comments. 18:26	
4 Q Thank you, Ms. Reiniche. 18:26	4 THE COURT REPORTER: They weren't or 18:21	
5 Could you tell me, are you familiar with a 18:27	5 they were 18:22	
6 group called the Corner Bakery Group? 18:21	6 THE WITNESS: They were they were 18:24	
7 A Yes. 18:24	7 asking for comments, so Jeff responded with a 18:24	
8 Q And what is the Corner Bakery Group? 18:24	8 comment basic and then pulling myself and 18:28	
9 A It's a group of standards developers that 18:27	9 Claire Ramspeck and Steve Ferguson into the 18:21	
10 meet in D.C. at the Corner Bakery, down the street from 18:29	10 conversation, suggesting that they also 18:24	
11 ASHRAE, once a month to talk about issues of top you 18:24	11 encourage states to update their code minimum 18:29	
12 know, that relate to standards development 18:28	12 to be compliant with the version of 90.1 18:22	
13 organizations and have lunch. 18:22	13 recognized by DOE, which is EPAct. 18:25	
14 Q And does that tend to be the D.C. offices of 18:23	14 And they were considering a reference to 18:22	
15 the these standards development organizations? 18:29	15 our 30 percent advance energy design guide 18:23	
16 A Yes. 18:23	16 for K through 12 schools as a guidance 18:27	
17 Q Okay. And do they ever discuss Public 18:23	17 document. And then it looks like Mark sent 18:22	
18 Resource? 18:29	17 document. And then it looks ince Wark sent 16.22 18 out all the comments in one document at the 18:26	
19 A They have discussed it. 18:29	19 very last email. 18:27	
19 A Incy nave discussed it. 10.29 20 Q And do they ever discuss this litigation? 18:21	20 THE COURT REPORTER: "And they were 18:25	
21 A Not this litigation in particular, no. 18:24	21 going to consider our edits"? 18:26	
22 Q And how is it that you're familiar with the 18:21	22 THE WITNESS: Comments. 18:26	
23 Corner Bakery Group? 18:24	23 THE COURT REPORTER: "They were," is 18:26	
	24 what I'm 18:26	
24AThat was part of my discussions with Mark18:2525Ames.18:28	24 what i m 18.20 25 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18:26	
Page 218	Page 220	
I age 216	1 450 220	
1 Q Does Mark Ames participate in the Corner 18:23	1 THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. 18:26	
1 Q Does Mark Ames participate in the Corner 18:23 2 Bakery Group? 18:23	1 THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. 18:26 2 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of 18:26	
1 Q Does Mark Ames participate in the Corner 18:23 2 Bakery Group? 18:23 3 A Yes. 18:23	1 THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. 18:26 2 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of 18:26 3 this email, correct? 18:28	
1 Q Does Mark Ames participate in the Corner 18:23 2 Bakery Group? 18:23 3 A Yes. 18:23 4 Q Does anybody else from ASHRAE participate in 18:23	1 THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. 18:26 2 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of 18:26 3 this email, correct? 18:28 4 A Correct. 18:29	
1QDoes Mark Ames participate in the Corner18:232Bakery Group?18:233AYes.18:234QDoes anybody else from ASHRAE participate in18:235the Corner Bakery Group?18:23	1 THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. 18:26 2 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of 18:26 3 this email, correct? 18:28 4 A Correct. 18:29 5 (Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.) 18:24	
1 Q Does Mark Ames participate in the Corner 18:23 2 Bakery Group? 18:23 3 A Yes. 18:23 4 Q Does anybody else from ASHRAE participate in 18:23 5 the Corner Bakery Group? 18:23 6 A Doug Read probably did when he was still 18:23	1 THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. 18:26 2 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of 18:26 3 this email, correct? 18:28 4 A Correct. 18:29 5 (Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.) 18:24 6 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing 18:22	
1 Q Does Mark Ames participate in the Corner 18:23 2 Bakery Group? 18:23 3 A Yes. 18:23 4 Q Does anybody else from ASHRAE participate in 18:23 5 the Corner Bakery Group? 18:23 6 A Doug Read probably did when he was still 18:23 7 there. 18:23	1 THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. 18:26 2 Q (BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of 18:26 3 this email, correct? 18:28 4 A Correct. 18:29 5 (Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.) 18:24 6 Q (BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing 18:22 7 you what's been marked as Exhibit 1210. Do you 18:23	
1QDoes Mark Ames participate in the Corner18:232Bakery Group?18:233AYes.18:234QDoes anybody else from ASHRAE participate in18:235the Corner Bakery Group?18:236ADoug Read probably did when he was still18:237there.18:238QAnd are you familiar with an entity known as18:23	1THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.18:262Q(BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of18:263this email, correct?18:284ACorrect.18:295(Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.)18:246Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:227you what's been marked as Exhibit 1210. Do you18:238recognize this document?18:26	
1 Q Does Mark Ames participate in the Corner 18:23 2 Bakery Group? 18:23 3 A Yes. 18:23 4 Q Does anybody else from ASHRAE participate in 18:23 5 the Corner Bakery Group? 18:23 6 A Doug Read probably did when he was still 18:23 7 there. 18:23 8 Q And are you familiar with an entity known as 18:23 9 the Coalition for SDO Awareness? 18:23	1THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.18:262Q(BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of18:263this email, correct?18:284ACorrect.18:295(Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.)18:246Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:227you what's been marked as Exhibit 1210. Do you18:238recognize this document?18:269AYes.18:26	
1 Q Does Mark Ames participate in the Corner 18:23 2 Bakery Group? 18:23 3 A Yes. 18:23 4 Q Does anybody else from ASHRAE participate in 18:23 5 the Corner Bakery Group? 18:23 6 A Doug Read probably did when he was still 18:23 7 there. 18:23 8 Q And are you familiar with an entity known as 18:23 9 the Coalition for SDO Awareness? 18:23 10 A No. 18:24	1THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.18:262Q(BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of18:263this email, correct?18:284ACorrect.18:295(Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.)18:246Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:227you what's been marked as Exhibit 1210. Do you18:238recognize this document?18:269AYes.18:2610QAnd what is this document?18:27	
1QDoes Mark Ames participate in the Corner18:232Bakery Group?18:233AYes.18:234QDoes anybody else from ASHRAE participate in18:235the Corner Bakery Group?18:236ADoug Read probably did when he was still18:237there.18:238QAnd are you familiar with an entity known as18:239the Coalition for SDO Awareness?18:2310ANo.18:2411QAre you familiar with an entity known as18:24	1THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.18:262Q(BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of18:263this email, correct?18:284ACorrect.18:295(Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.)18:246Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:227you what's been marked as Exhibit 1210. Do you18:238recognize this document?18:269AYes.18:2610QAnd what is this document?18:2711AThis is an email that started from Tim Manz, 18:28	
1 Q Does Mark Ames participate in the Corner 18:23 2 Bakery Group? 18:23 3 A Yes. 18:23 4 Q Does anybody else from ASHRAE participate in 18:23 5 the Corner Bakery Group? 18:23 6 A Doug Read probably did when he was still 18:23 7 there. 18:23 8 Q And are you familiar with an entity known as 18:23 9 the Coalition for SDO Awareness? 18:23 10 A No. 18:24 11 Q Are you familiar with an entity known as 18:24 12 FleishmanHillard? 18:24	1THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.18:262Q(BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of18:263this email, correct?18:284ACorrect.18:295(Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.)18:246Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:227you what's been marked as Exhibit 1210. Do you18:238recognize this document?18:269AYes.18:2610QAnd what is this document?18:2711AThis is an email that started from Tim Manz, 18:2812who is was the Minnesota chapter president for18:34	
1QDoes Mark Ames participate in the Corner18:232Bakery Group?18:233AYes.18:234QDoes anybody else from ASHRAE participate in18:235the Corner Bakery Group?18:236ADoug Read probably did when he was still18:237there.18:238QAnd are you familiar with an entity known as18:239the Coalition for SDO Awareness?18:2310ANo.18:2411QAre you familiar with an entity known as18:2412FleishmanHillard?18:2413ANo.18:24	1THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.18:262Q(BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of18:263this email, correct?18:284ACorrect.18:295(Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.)18:246Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:227you what's been marked as Exhibit 1210. Do you18:238recognize this document?18:269AYes.18:2610QAnd what is this document?18:2711AThis is an email that started from Tim Manz, 18:2812who is was the Minnesota chapter president for18:3413ASHRAE, that indicating that the Minnesota18:37	
1QDoes Mark Ames participate in the Corner18:232Bakery Group?18:233AYes.18:234QDoes anybody else from ASHRAE participate in18:235the Corner Bakery Group?18:236ADoug Read probably did when he was still18:237there.18:238QAnd are you familiar with an entity known as18:239the Coalition for SDO Awareness?18:2310ANo.18:2411QAre you familiar with an entity known as18:2412FleishmanHillard?18:2413ANo.18:2414QAre you familiar with APCO Worldwide?18:24	1THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.18:262Q(BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of18:263this email, correct?18:284ACorrect.18:295(Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.)18:246Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:227you what's been marked as Exhibit 1210. Do you18:238recognize this document?18:269AYes.18:269AYes.18:2610QAnd what is this document?18:2711AThis is an email that started from Tim Manz, 18:2812who is was the Minnesota chapter president for18:3413ASHRAE, that indicating that the Minnesota18:3714chapter or he was representing the Minnesota chapter 18:32	
1QDoes Mark Ames participate in the Corner18:232Bakery Group?18:233AYes.18:234QDoes anybody else from ASHRAE participate in18:235the Corner Bakery Group?18:236ADoug Read probably did when he was still18:237there.18:238QAnd are you familiar with an entity known as18:239the Coalition for SDO Awareness?18:2310ANo.18:2411QAre you familiar with an entity known as18:2412FleishmanHillard?18:2413ANo.18:2414QAre you familiar with APCO Worldwide?18:2415ANo.18:24	1THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.18:262Q(BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of18:263this email, correct?18:284ACorrect.18:295(Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.)18:246Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:227you what's been marked as Exhibit 1210. Do you18:238recognize this document?18:269AYes.18:2610QAnd what is this document?18:2711AThis is an email that started from Tim Manz, 18:2812who is was the Minnesota chapter president for18:3413ASHRAE, that indicating that the Minnesota18:3714chapter or he was representing the Minnesota chapter 18:321515of ASHRAE at the State of Minnesota Mechanical Code18:36	
1QDoes Mark Ames participate in the Corner18:232Bakery Group?18:233AYes.18:234QDoes anybody else from ASHRAE participate in18:235the Corner Bakery Group?18:236ADoug Read probably did when he was still18:237there.18:238QAnd are you familiar with an entity known as18:239the Coalition for SDO Awareness?18:2310ANo.18:2411QAre you familiar with an entity known as18:2412FleishmanHillard?18:2413ANo.18:2414QAre you familiar with APCO Worldwide?18:2415ANo.18:2416(Exhibit 1209 marked for identification.)18:25	1THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.18:262Q(BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of18:263this email, correct?18:284ACorrect.18:295(Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.)18:246Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:227you what's been marked as Exhibit 1210. Do you18:238recognize this document?18:269AYes.18:2610QAnd what is this document?18:2711AThis is an email that started from Tim Manz, 18:2812who is was the Minnesota chapter president for18:3413ASHRAE, that indicating that the Minnesota18:3714chapter or he was representing the Minnesota chapter 18:321515of ASHRAE at the State of Minnesota Mechanical Code18:3616Advisory Committee, and he wanted to use several18:30	
1QDoes Mark Ames participate in the Corner18:232Bakery Group?18:233AYes.18:234QDoes anybody else from ASHRAE participate in18:235the Corner Bakery Group?18:236ADoug Read probably did when he was still18:237there.18:238QAnd are you familiar with an entity known as18:239the Coalition for SDO Awareness?18:2310ANo.18:2411QAre you familiar with an entity known as18:2412FleishmanHillard?18:2413ANo.18:2414QAre you familiar with APCO Worldwide?18:2415ANo.18:2416(Exhibit 1209 marked for identification.)18:2517Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:25	1THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.18:262Q(BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of18:263this email, correct?18:284ACorrect.18:295(Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.)18:246Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:227you what's been marked as Exhibit 1210. Do you18:238recognize this document?18:269AYes.18:269AYes.18:2610QAnd what is this document?18:2711AThis is an email that started from Tim Manz, 18:2812who is was the Minnesota chapter president for18:3413ASHRAE, that indicating that the Minnesota18:3714chapter or he was representing the Minnesota chapter 18:321515of ASHRAE at the State of Minnesota Mechanical Code18:3616Advisory Committee, and he wanted to use several18:3017sections from 90.1-2010 in the Minnesota Mechanical18:36	
1QDoes Mark Ames participate in the Corner18:232Bakery Group?18:233AYes.18:234QDoes anybody else from ASHRAE participate in18:235the Corner Bakery Group?18:236ADoug Read probably did when he was still18:237there.18:238QAnd are you familiar with an entity known as18:239the Coalition for SDO Awareness?18:2310ANo.18:2411QAre you familiar with an entity known as18:2412FleishmanHillard?18:2413ANo.18:2414QAre you familiar with APCO Worldwide?18:2415ANo.18:2416(Exhibit 1209 marked for identification.)18:2517Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:2518you what's been marked as Exhibit 1209. Do you18:25	1THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.18:262Q(BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of18:263this email, correct?18:284ACorrect.18:295(Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.)18:246Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:227you what's been marked as Exhibit 1210. Do you18:238recognize this document?18:269AYes.18:269AYes.18:2711AThis is an email that started from Tim Manz, 18:2812who is was the Minnesota chapter president for18:3413ASHRAE, that indicating that the Minnesota18:3714chapter or he was representing the Minnesota chapter 18:321515of ASHRAE at the State of Minnesota Mechanical Code18:3616Advisory Committee, and he wanted to use several18:3618Code and/or Minnesota Commercial Energy Code.18:31	
1QDoes Mark Ames participate in the Corner18:232Bakery Group?18:233AYes.18:234QDoes anybody else from ASHRAE participate in18:235the Corner Bakery Group?18:236ADoug Read probably did when he was still18:237there.18:238QAnd are you familiar with an entity known as18:239the Coalition for SDO Awareness?18:2310ANo.18:2411QAre you familiar with an entity known as18:2412FleishmanHillard?18:2413ANo.18:2414QAre you familiar with APCO Worldwide?18:2415ANo.18:2416(Exhibit 1209 marked for identification.)18:2517Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:2518you what's been marked as Exhibit 1209. Do you18:25	1THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.18:262Q(BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of18:263this email, correct?18:284ACorrect.18:295(Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.)18:246Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:227you what's been marked as Exhibit 1210. Do you18:238recognize this document?18:269AYes.18:269AYes.18:2610QAnd what is this document?18:2711AThis is an email that started from Tim Manz, 18:2812who is was the Minnesota chapter president for18:3413ASHRAE, that indicating that the Minnesota18:3714chapter or he was representing the Minnesota chapter18:3616Advisory Committee, and he wanted to use several18:3017sections from 90.1-2010 in the Minnesota Mechanical18:3618Code and/or Minnesota Commercial Energy Code.18:3119They were adopting the 2012 International18:37	
1QDoes Mark Ames participate in the Corner18:232Bakery Group?18:233AYes.18:234QDoes anybody else from ASHRAE participate in18:235the Corner Bakery Group?18:236ADoug Read probably did when he was still18:237there.18:238QAnd are you familiar with an entity known as18:239the Coalition for SDO Awareness?18:2310ANo.18:2411QAre you familiar with an entity known as18:2412FleishmanHillard?18:2413ANo.18:2414QAre you familiar with APCO Worldwide?18:2415ANo.18:2416(Exhibit 1209 marked for identification.)18:2517Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:2518you what's been marked as Exhibit 1209. Do you18:2519recognize this document?18:2520AThis is an email from Mark Ames to what18:26	1THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.18:262Q(BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of18:263this email, correct?18:284ACorrect.18:295(Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.)18:246Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:227you what's been marked as Exhibit 1210. Do you18:238recognize this document?18:269AYes.18:2610QAnd what is this document?18:2711AThis is an email that started from Tim Manz, 18:2812who is was the Minnesota chapter president for18:3413ASHRAE, that indicating that the Minnesota18:3714chapter or he was representing the Minnesota chapter18:3215of ASHRAE at the State of Minnesota Mechanical Code18:3616Advisory Committee, and he wanted to use several18:3017sections from 90.1-2010 in the Minnesota Mechanical18:3618Code and/or Minnesota Commercial Energy Code.18:3119They were adopting the 2012 International18:3720Mechanical Code and the 2012 International Energy18:32	
1QDoes Mark Ames participate in the Corner18:232Bakery Group?18:233AYes.18:234QDoes anybody else from ASHRAE participate in18:235the Corner Bakery Group?18:236ADoug Read probably did when he was still18:237there.18:238QAnd are you familiar with an entity known as18:239the Coalition for SDO Awareness?18:2310ANo.18:2411QAre you familiar with an entity known as18:2412FleishmanHillard?18:2413ANo.18:2414QAre you familiar with APCO Worldwide?18:2415ANo.18:2416(Exhibit 1209 marked for identification.)18:2517Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:2518you what's been marked as Exhibit 1209. Do you18:2519recognize this document?18:2520AThis is an email from Mark Ames to what18:2621appears to be our our advocacy group at that time.18:26	1THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.18:262Q(BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of18:263this email, correct?18:284ACorrect.18:295(Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.)18:246Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:227you what's been marked as Exhibit 1210. Do you18:238recognize this document?18:269AYes.18:269AYes.18:2610QAnd what is this document?18:2711AThis is an email that started from Tim Manz, 18:2812who is was the Minnesota chapter president for18:3413ASHRAE, that indicating that the Minnesota18:3714chapter or he was representing the Minnesota chapter 18:321515of ASHRAE at the State of Minnesota Mechanical Code18:3616Advisory Committee, and he wanted to use several18:3618Code and/or Minnesota Commercial Energy Code.18:3119They were adopting the 2012 International18:3720Mechanical Code and the 2012 International Energy18:3221Conservation Code by reference with amendments, so my18:35	
1QDoes Mark Ames participate in the Corner18:232Bakery Group?18:233AYes.18:234QDoes anybody else from ASHRAE participate in18:235the Corner Bakery Group?18:236ADoug Read probably did when he was still18:237there.18:238QAnd are you familiar with an entity known as18:239the Coalition for SDO Awareness?18:2310ANo.18:2411QAre you familiar with an entity known as18:2412FleishmanHillard?18:2413ANo.18:2414QAre you familiar with APCO Worldwide?18:2415ANo.18:2416(Exhibit 1209 marked for identification.)18:2517Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:2519recognize this document?18:2520AThis is an email from Mark Ames to what18:2621appears to be our our advocacy group at that time.18:2622And about a there was a national the national18:26	1THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.18:262Q(BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of18:263this email, correct?18:284ACorrect.18:295(Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.)18:246Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:227you what's been marked as Exhibit 1210. Do you18:238recognize this document?18:269AYes.18:269AYes.18:2610QAnd what is this document?18:2711AThis is an email that started from Tim Manz, 18:2812who is was the Minnesota chapter president for18:3413ASHRAE, that indicating that the Minnesota18:3714chapter or he was representing the Minnesota chapter 18:3215of ASHRAE at the State of Minnesota Mechanical Code18:3616Advisory Committee, and he wanted to use several18:3618Code and/or Minnesota Commercial Energy Code.18:3119They were adopting the 2012 International18:3720Mechanical Code and the 2012 International Energy18:3522assumption is he's asking to add these as the minutes18:39	
1QDoes Mark Ames participate in the Corner18:232Bakery Group?18:233AYes.18:234QDoes anybody else from ASHRAE participate in18:235the Corner Bakery Group?18:236ADoug Read probably did when he was still18:237there.18:238QAnd are you familiar with an entity known as18:239the Coalition for SDO Awareness?18:2310ANo.18:2411QAre you familiar with an entity known as18:2412FleishmanHillard?18:2413ANo.18:2414QAre you familiar with APCO Worldwide?18:2415ANo.18:2416(Exhibit 1209 marked for identification.)18:2517Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:2518you what's been marked as Exhibit 1209. Do you18:2519recognize this document?18:2520AThis is an email from Mark Ames to what18:2621appears to be our our advocacy group at that time.18:2622And about a there was a national the national18:2623conference of state legislature has two had two18:26	1THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.18:262Q(BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of18:263this email, correct?18:284ACorrect.18:295(Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.)18:246Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:227you what's been marked as Exhibit 1210. Do you18:238recognize this document?18:269AYes.18:269AYes.18:2610QAnd what is this document?18:2711AThis is an email that started from Tim Manz, 18:2812who is was the Minnesota chapter president for18:3413ASHRAE, that indicating that the Minnesota18:3714chapter or he was representing the Minnesota chapter18:3616Advisory Committee, and he wanted to use several18:3017sections from 90.1-2010 in the Minnesota Mechanical18:3618Code and/or Minnesota Commercial Energy Code.18:3119They were adopting the 2012 International18:3720Mechanical Code and the 2012 International Energy18:3221Conservation Code by reference with amendments, so my18:3522assumption is he's asking to add these as the minutes18:3923to that code.18:32	
1QDoes Mark Ames participate in the Corner18:232Bakery Group?18:233AYes.18:234QDoes anybody else from ASHRAE participate in18:235the Corner Bakery Group?18:236ADoug Read probably did when he was still18:237there.18:238QAnd are you familiar with an entity known as18:239the Coalition for SDO Awareness?18:2310ANo.18:2411QAre you familiar with an entity known as18:2412FleishmanHillard?18:2413ANo.18:2414QAre you familiar with APCO Worldwide?18:2415ANo.18:2416(Exhibit 1209 marked for identification.)18:2517Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:2519recognize this document?18:2520AThis is an email from Mark Ames to what18:2621appears to be our our advocacy group at that time.18:2622And about a there was a national the national18:2623conference of state legislature has two had two18:2624policies that were of interest to ASHRAE relating to18:26	1THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.18:262Q(BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of18:263this email, correct?18:284ACorrect.18:295(Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.)18:246Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:227you what's been marked as Exhibit 1210. Do you18:238recognize this document?18:269AYes.18:269AYes.18:2610QAnd what is this document?18:2711AThis is an email that started from Tim Manz, 18:2812who is was the Minnesota chapter president for18:3413ASHRAE, that indicating that the Minnesota18:3714chapter or he was representing the Minnesota chapter 18:321515of ASHRAE at the State of Minnesota Mechanical Code18:3616Advisory Committee, and he wanted to use several18:3017sections from 90.1-2010 in the Minnesota Mechanical18:3618Code and/or Minnesota Commercial Energy Code.18:3119They were adopting the 2012 International18:3720Mechanical Code and the 2012 International Energy18:3221Conservation Code by reference with amendments, so my18:3522assumption is he's asking to add these as the minutes18:3923to that code.18:3224And he sent that to well, to Publication18	
1QDoes Mark Ames participate in the Corner18:232Bakery Group?18:233AYes.18:234QDoes anybody else from ASHRAE participate in18:235the Corner Bakery Group?18:236ADoug Read probably did when he was still18:237there.18:238QAnd are you familiar with an entity known as18:239the Coalition for SDO Awareness?18:2310ANo.18:2411QAre you familiar with an entity known as18:2412FleishmanHillard?18:2413ANo.18:2414QAre you familiar with APCO Worldwide?18:2415ANo.18:2416(Exhibit 1209 marked for identification.)18:2517Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:2518you what's been marked as Exhibit 1209. Do you18:2519recognize this document?18:2520AThis is an email from Mark Ames to what18:2621appears to be our our advocacy group at that time.18:2622And about a there was a national the national18:2623conference of state legislature has two had two18:26	1THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.18:262Q(BY MR. BECKER) And you are a recipient of18:263this email, correct?18:284ACorrect.18:295(Exhibit 1210 marked for identification.)18:246Q(BY MR. BECKER) Ms. Reiniche, I'm handing18:227you what's been marked as Exhibit 1210. Do you18:238recognize this document?18:269AYes.18:269AYes.18:2610QAnd what is this document?18:2711AThis is an email that started from Tim Manz, 18:2812who is was the Minnesota chapter president for18:3413ASHRAE, that indicating that the Minnesota18:3714chapter or he was representing the Minnesota chapter18:3616Advisory Committee, and he wanted to use several18:3017sections from 90.1-2010 in the Minnesota Mechanical18:3618Code and/or Minnesota Commercial Energy Code.18:3119They were adopting the 2012 International18:3720Mechanical Code and the 2012 International Energy18:3221Conservation Code by reference with amendments, so my18:3522assumption is he's asking to add these as the minutes18:3923to that code.18:32	

56 (Pages 218 - 221)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 58 of 98

1 Wills, who worked in the D.C. office at that time. And 18:33	1 Q Do you know who would have drafted this 18:33
2 then then Mark Wills sent that on to Steve Ferguson 18:37	2 document? 18:38
3 and myself and copied Claire and Doug Read. 18:33	3 A This would have been drafted by Chris Mathis. 18:39
4 And then Steve Ferguson sent it on to Steve 18:39	4 Q Is Chris Mathis an ASHRAE employee? 18:33
5 Comstock to get permission to provide him, and then it 18:32	5 A No. 18:37
6 would have been up to Steve Comstock there's 18:37	6 Q Right before lunch, you were asked the 18:32
7 obviously something missing from this email. 18:31	7 following question: Does ASHRAE believe that it 18:36
8 Q By which you mean the the next email after 18:35	8 that it owns copyright and contributions to Standards 18:38
9 this? 18:37	9 90.1 or to the 1993 handbook by virtue of any other 18:31
10 A Right. Right. So either Steve Comstock 18:37	10 means, other than the copyright releases that we 18:36
11 responded back with language to provide to Mr. Manz or 18:33	11 discussed today. Do you recall being asked that 18:38
12 he responded directly to Mr. Manz. 18:36	12 question? 18:30
13 Q Does ASHRAE require that states or other 18:38	13 A Yes. 18:30
14 governmental bodies ask permission before reprinting 18:36	14 Q And when you answered that question, were you 18:31
15 part of Standard 90.1 in their codes or regulations? 18:30	15 answering with regard to ASHRAE's belief that it owns 18:34
16 A If they're requesting portions of 90.1, yes. 18:37	16 copyrights generated by ASHRAE employees or by 18:30
17 Q Does ASHRAE consider it to be copyright 18:30	17 third-parties? 18:34
18 infringement if a state were to publish part of 18:34	18 A By third-parties. 18:34
19 Standard 90.1 in their codes or regulations without 18:37	19 Q Today we've seen a number of documents that 18:36
20 getting permission from ASHRAE?18:30	20 addressed or where you mentioned green building 18:39
21 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection to the 18:33	21 standards or green building codes. When you were 18:32
22 form. 18:33	22 talking about green building standards, was that a 18:35
23 THE WITNESS: It depends on how it's 18:34	23 reference generally to Standard 90.1 or to some other 18:38
24 done. If it's part of a reference from the 18:36	24 ASHRAE standard? 18:33
25 IECC, we've already given permission through 18:30	25 A To another ASHRAE standard. 18:34
Page 222	Page 224
1 the IE ICC process. 18:35	1 Q What standard would that have been? 18:34
2 Q (BY MR. BECKER) But if it's not part of a 18:39	2 A 189.1. 18:34
3 portion from the IECC, does that mean that ASHRAE would 18:32	3 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Thank you. That's all 18:34
4 consider it to be copyright infringement? 18:36	4 I have. 18:34
5 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Same objection. 18:30	5 MR. FEE: I don't have any questions. 18:34
6 THE WITNESS: Yes, if we know about it. 18:31	6 MR. BECKER: I'd like to that's fine. 18:35
7 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Madam Reporter, may I 18:36	7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record 18:35
8 inquire where we are, as far as time on the 18:39	8 at 18:35. 18:35
9 record goes? 18:31	9 (Deposition concluded at 6:35 p.m.) 18:35
10 MR. FEE: We should have one minute 18:33	10
11 left. 18:35	11 18:35
	11 18:55
13 MR. BECKER: All right. Thank you very 18:38	13
14 much. 18:30	14
15 MR. CUNNINGHAM: You can finish this 18:30	15
16document if you had more on this document.18:31	16
17 MR. BECKER: Oh, no, that's that's 18:34	17
18 fine. 18:35	18
19MR. CUNNINGHAM: I have just a few18:36	19
20 questions, Ms. Reiniche, quickly. 18:37	20
21 EXAMINATION 18:36	21
22 BY MR. CUNNINGHAM: 18:36	22
23 Q First, I would like to ask you to look at 18:36	23
24 Exhibit 1159, which you were shown earlier today. 18:39	23
24 Exhibit 1157, which you were shown earlier today. 10.77	23
	24
25AOkay.18:33Page 223	

57 (Pages 222 - 225)

Case 1:13-cv-01215-TSC Document 204-49 Filed 11/13/19 Page 59 of 98

1 CERTIFICATE	
2 STATE OF GEORGIA:	
COUNTY OF FULTON:	
3	
I, SHARON A. GABRIELLI, HEREBY CERTIFY that	
4 the foregoing deposition was taken down by me in	
stenotype, and the questions and answers thereto were	
5 transcribed by means of computer-aided transcription,	
and that the foregoing represents a true and correct	
(the maximum of the starting represents a true and correct	
6 transcript of the testimony given by said witness.	
I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not kin or	
7 counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the	
regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor	
8 am I in any way financially interested in the result of	
said case.	
9 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my	
hand this 10th day of April, 2015	
10	
11	
SHARON A. GABRIELLI, RPR	
12 CCR-B-2002	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20oOo	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
Page 226	

[& - 122]

	1	1	1
&	1131 4:23 64:8,10	1153 5:22 87:17,19	1179 7:4 163:8,10
& 2:6,15 3:7 10:10	1132 4:24 65:1,3	89:20,24 90:2,24	1180 7:5 164:1,3
10:16 11:3 17:1	68:14,17,22 69:14	1154 5:23 90:7,9,20	1181 7:6 165:5,7
0	1133 4:25 68:6,8,14	1155 5:24 93:17	1182 7:7 167:2,4
	68:17	94:2 105:8	1183 7:8 167:21,23
0001618 80:11	1134 5:3 69:18,20	1156 5:25 107:13,16	1184 7:9 169:11,13
0002847 62:22	73:15,16 75:8 81:17	107:17,24 108:8	1185 7:10 171:16,18
0022825 75:16	83:1,4	109:17	1186 7:11 173:17,19
0024287 198:2	1135 5:4 71:20,22	1157 6:4 107:13,16	1187 7:12 174:18,21
0024586 147:16	73:15,18,22 75:4	107:18 108:3,8	174:24 175:6
01215 1:3 9:24	76:4 79:14,18,19	109:24 110:20	1188 7:13 174:18,21
02 62:1	81:2 83:18 84:8,11	113:4	174:25 175:13
03-01-2004 75:9	85:20,22 90:3	1158 6:5 117:10,12	1189 7:14 177:12,14
1	1136 5:5 74:7,9,23	1159 6:6 122:2,4	119 55:25
1 52:15 56:11 67:17	76:1	223:24	1190 7:15 180:18,20
72:13 83:1 107:16	1137 5:6 75:13,15	1160 6:7 127:19,21	1191 7:16 184:25
132:16 168:11	76:6,20	1161 6:8 131:3,5	185:2 218:3
1/30/2006 75:5	1138 5:7 76:10,12	1162 6:9 134:4,6	1192 7:17 188:1,3
10 4:6 67:22,23	77:15	1163 6:10 136:22,24	188:14
112:3 196:9 202:24	1139 5:8 76:25 77:2	1164 6:11 148:5,7	1193 7:18 195:20,22
204:9	77:13,20 78:2,18,21	149:5 150:14	1194 7:19 196:19,21
101 2:17	78:24 86:17	1165 6:12 148:23,25	1195 7:20 197:12,14
101 2.17 107 5:25 6:4	1140 5:9 78:5,7,13	149:8 151:13	1196 7:21 197:24
107 5.25 0.4 1075 1:20 9:15	78:22,25	1166 6:13 153:2,4	198:1
1075 1.20 9.15 1076 51:8	1141 5:10 79:3,5,12	1167 6:14 154:13,15	1197 7:22 198:17,19
1070 51.8 10th 226:9	79:17,18 80:13	1168 6:16 155:5,7	1198 7:23 199:8,10
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1142 5:11 80:8,10	1169 6:17 155:15,17	1199 7:24 199:18,20
11 54.2 155.20 1111 2:8	1143 5:12 80:15,17	117 6:5	11th 167:9
1111 2:8 1119 4:10 55:20,25	1144 5:13 81:4,6	1170 6:18 155:25	12 220:16
61:9	82:7	156:2,25 157:8,19	1200 7:25 201:23,25
1120 4:12 55:20	1145 5:14 81:19,21	158:4	1201 8:3 202:10,12
57:2 61:11	1146 5:15 82:9,11	1171 6:19 156:20,22	217:24
1121 4:13 55:20	83:5	157:8,19	1202 8:5 206:1,4,4,8
61:21	1147 5:16 83:6,8	1172 6:20 157:3,5	1203 8:4 206:1,4,5
1122 4:14 62:2,5	1148 5:17 83:20,22	157:19	206:13
1122 4:14 02.2,5 1123 4:15 62:8,10	84:11 85:5	1173 6:21 157:15,17	1204 8:6 206:16,18
1123 4:15 62:8,10 1124 4:16 62:14,16	1149 5:18 84:13,15	1174 6:22 157:24	1205 8:8 207:24
1124 4:10 02:14,10 1125 4:17 62:20,22	85:3	158:1	208:1
1125 4:17 62:20,22 1126 4:18 63:1,3	1150 5:19 85:7,9,19	1175 6:23 158:6,8	1206 8:10 209:19,21
1120 4:18 03:1,3 1127 4:19 63:7,9	1151 5:20 85:25	192:18 193:5	1207 8:12 211:10,12
112 7 4:19 63:7,9 1128 4:20 63:13,15	86:2,13,16 87:12,14	1176 6:24 159:3,5	1208 8:14 213:3,5
1128 4:20 63:13,13 1129 4:21 63:20,22	106:1	192:18,24	1209 8:15 219:16,18
1129 4:21 63:20,22 1130 4:22 64:2,4	1152 5:21 86:20,21	1177 6:25 159:22,24	1210 8:16 221:5,7
1130 4.22 04.2,4	87:8,11,15	1178 7:3 162:20,22	122 6:6
	, ,		
	1		1

[127 - 5]

	1		
127 6:7	19 17:14 54:13	2005 62:25 68:13	22 54:17
12th 3:10	97:25 153:16	91:4 150:23	221 8:16
13 8:13 69:4 112:17	195 7:18	2006 63:6 76:7	223 4:7
166:21 167:1	196 7:19	80:25 83:16 84:5	2300 2:18
130 175:16	197 7:20,21	85:2 140:20 141:4	23rd 62:13
131 6:8	1970 131:20	141:24 142:11	2454 140:16
134 6:9	1975 98:1	143:7 163:1	24th 196:2
136 6:10	198 7:22	2007 59:15 60:2	25 54:19 202:23
14 112:22	1989 154:17	63:12 76:23 85:18	25th 196:4
148 6:11,12	199 7:23,24	134:12 165:12	26 40:18 54:22
14th 163:1	1993 91:17 92:15,24	170:2 213:16	123:3 137:8 196:6
15 35:12 40:6,17	93:5 158:13,20,25	2007/2008 168:2,24	27 55:1
54:5	177:5,6,8 193:4	169:17,22	27th 62:19
153 6:13	224:9	2008 8:9,11 63:19	28 8:9 55:3
154 6:14	1994 15:19 153:23	64:1 91:5	29 8:7 55:4
155 6:16,17,18	153:24	2009 8:13 64:7 78:4	3
156 6:19	1998 16:13 154:19	86:10 87:5 167:9	
157 6:20,21,22	1999 17:13 131:20	198:6	3 53:15 56:5 67:18
158 6:23	131:21 133:1	2009/2010 171:22	131:17 195:7
159 6:24,25	158:15	201 7:25	3/94 153:22
16 54:7	19th 62:7 64:14	2010 56:15,19,21	30 1:13,18 50:8
161 210:7	1:13 1:3 9:24	59:6,22 60:3,18	51:10 52:8 60:23
161-2007 210:3,14	1st 81:15 82:5 203:7	64:14 129:1 131:11	144:2 175:21 176:8
162 7:3		131:15,21 132:15	176:15,24 178:8
163 7:4	2	133:15 137:8	198:9 215:24 216:1
164 7:5	2 53:8,10 56:10 61:9	143:20 198:11	220:15
165 7:6	67:18 69:24 72:13	2011 206:15	30329 15:24
167 7:7,8	84:6 109:24 119:1	2011 200.15 2012 179:25 183:5,5	30th 9:12 76:7 80:25
167 7:9	164:22 169:21	2012 179.25 185.5,5	83:16 84:5 85:2
16th 63:18 153:23	20 54:16 55:8 59:22	203.14,18 221.19	318-1218 2:20
153:24 154:4	2000 165:2 214:3	2013 59:4,25 190:11	34 35:12
1 <i>354:</i> 10	20004 2:9	2013 39.4,23 190.11 2014 8:7 20:1,2	3625 1:21
17 34.10 171 7:10	2001 65:7 68:23	2014 8.7 20.1,2 2015 1:18 9:12	3rd 63:12
171 7:10 173 7:11	69:12 105:23	226:9	4
	2002 1:24 62:7	202 2:10 8:3	4 8:11 52:5 67:19
174 7:12,13 177 7:14	226:12	202 2:10 8:3 2035289 1:25	161:1 162:13
177 7:14	2003 17:23,25 18:10		40.1.3 154:17
1791 15:23	62:13 66:13 155:13	206 8:4,5,6	40.1.3 134.17 41.3-1989 6:15
17th 64:1	155:22	207 8:8	41.5 - 1989 0.15 415 2:20 3:12
180 7:15	2004 19:5 59:15	209 8:10	415 2:20 5:12 4th 63:5 68:13
184 7:16	62:19 65:8 68:12,24	21 54:16	
188 7:17 192:1	69:7,16,23 81:15	211 8:12	5
189.1 27:21 35:12	82:5 123:4 196:2,4	213 8:14	5 53:23 67:20 78:14
144:10 220:2	196:6 198:10	219 8:15	87:7,10 106:3
189.1. 225:2		21st 64:6	110:21 163:20

[5 - active]

164:20 167:11	8/7 62:1	121:1,2 123:24	119:9 122:18 123:7
5.1 163:21	8/96 6:14	124:3,18 125:2,11	123:17 124:7
5.1.2.2 163:24	80 5:11,12	125:20,22 126:1,9	125:17 128:12,13
50 21:16 50:10	81 5:13,14	126:18,25 128:6,10	128:19 133:14
144:2 204:17	82 5:15	129:10,16,19 130:5	142:7 145:15
55 4:10,12,13	83 5:16,17	130:7,14,16,25	149:24 168:20
555 3:9	84 5:18	131:10,13,19	172:8,21,21 174:8
583 146:23,25 147:1	85 5:19,20	132:15,20 133:22	178:25 179:11
584 146:24	86 5:21	134:1,24 136:6,13	196:13 197:11
5th 63:11 65:7 68:24	87 5:22	136:18 140:22	90.2 35:12 104:3
105:23 154:18	875-2389 3:12	141:3 142:5 144:9	90.4 35:12 104:3
6	89 17:14	144:12 145:8 151:7	93 5:24
6 1:13 51:10 52:8	9	151:11 152:13	94 16:11
53:24 54:4 60:23	9 67:25 68:5	153:13 159:1	94104 3:11
62:24 67:11 77:9,13	9.9 213:22	162:18 163:1	94105 2:19
86:13 124:8 178:8	9.9 213:22 9.9. 214:11	171:14 172:10,24	99 17:15 109:8
215:24 216:1	9.9. 214:11 9/10/01 57:14	173:9,24 174:13,15	135:2
		191:24 192:23	9th 76:23 85:18
62 4:14,15,16,17 62.1 207:7	9/7/2001 57:11	194:9,10,14 196:1	165:12
	90 5:23 17:14	196:24 197:1,23	a
62.2 206:25 208:8	123:24	198:11 204:1,6	
208:13 211:19,21	90.1 12:11 22:6	209:25 210:25	a.m. 1:18
211:25	27:20,22 29:15,18	211:4,6 214:1,3	abide 120:19
63 4:18,19,20,21	29:20 30:11,19	220:12 222:15,16	ability 19:1
64 4:22,23	31:14 32:10,11,15	222:19 224:9,23	able 12:13,16 15:4
65 4:24	32:18,22 33:7 35:12	90.1-1989 153:15,20	150:10,11 191:7
68 4:25	35:13,20,24 36:15	90.1-1999 153:16	accept 38:24 71:4
69 5:3	36:19,24 37:3 46:2	154:11	73:10,14
6:35 225:9	47:5,7 56:20,21	90.1-2001 155:12,21	acceptance 39:10
7	57:3,25 58:7,10,14	156:8	accepted 47:9 71:8
7 67:20 94:14	59:3,6,16,22 60:2,7	90.1-2004 140:20	accepts 46:21 47:1
71 5:4	60:18 61:12 62:1,6	141:9,18,20,23	access 61:2 66:10
73 202:24	62:12,18,24 63:5,11	142:6 143:6,8	91:10 176:2,11
739-5353 2:10	63:18,25 64:6,13	150:20,25 159:21	achieve 143:1
74 5:5	65:7 68:12,23 69:12	90.1-2004. 163:4	acronym 39:20
75 5:6	69:16,23 91:17	214:3	186:10,24,25
76 5:7,8	92:14,24 93:4 96:9	90.1-2007 141:8	acronyms 32:2 41:6
78 5:9	97:14 98:1,6,9,16	159:17	201:12
79 5:10	98:22 103:10 104:2	90.1-2007. 160:6	act 28:17 30:7,8
7th 155:12,22	104:7,8,14,15,19,25	90.1-2010 159:13	43:3,8 140:16
8	105:3 106:6 109:3	221:17	142:23 206:14
	110:10 111:8	90.1-2010. 141:11	actions 118:25
8 67:21 111:4 196:7	113:15,17,24 114:2	90.1. 30:11 31:5,18	181:1 189:19
196:8,9 204:9	114:6,9 116:19,20	36:22 65:6 103:15	active 112:6
	117:1,5 120:24	104:13 118:14	
		107.13 110.17	

[activities - application]

activities 52:16	adopting 120:22	agreed 193:13 198:8	159:16,20 160:6
119:16 144:7 146:4	221:19	agrees 56:23 157:14	163:4 186:9,12
147:17	adoption 110:22	ahead 72:10 186:3	187:11,25 206:11
actual 214:19	111:2,6,19,21	202:17 207:16	ansi's 157:22
ad 118:17,21 196:10	122:18 123:16,16	214:15	answer 59:14 60:24
add 14:15,16,21	124:9,11 130:16	ahri 201:10 206:10	147:4 184:6 209:10
93:23 221:22	144:12 206:25	aided 226:5	214:17 216:9
added 91:2 96:18,19	208:25 209:3,4,7,13	air 1:6,14 10:18	answered 224:14
111:1	209:14 210:18	18:19 51:11 99:12	answering 224:15
addenda 96:21	211:6	102:14 210:3	answers 13:22,22,23
160:5 163:3	adoptions 123:15	aircrafts 210:4	14:14 52:23 53:4
addendum 69:23	144:8 145:7,8	al 8:9,10 9:20	94:16 226:4
74:14 75:21 81:11	advance 99:2,8	albuquerque 137:7	antithetical 124:11
82:2 84:2,20 161:2	109:13,15 220:15	alleged 191:10	anybody 33:17,21
161:4,23	advancing 112:3	allow 74:3 89:1,11	45:14 194:12 219:4
adding 40:24	140:8	189:25 191:15	anymore 112:24
addition 25:20	advantage 13:4	allowed 72:19 73:6	anyway 13:19
41:18 59:6 148:13	127:14,15	alternative 33:4	apart 77:11
149:9 204:6	advice 215:15	aman 184:21	apco 219:14
additional 77:19,22	advise 174:3	amanda 184:21	apersily 8:10
144:6 185:15	advisory 47:21	amended 51:9	apologies 94:3
215:22	208:18,21,23	amendments 221:21	185:10
address 15:22	221:16	american 1:3,5,13	appeals 20:25 67:22
addressed 224:20	advocacy 219:21	9:19 10:17 34:6,7	appear 13:10 77:13
addresses 15:25	advocate 140:21	35:8 45:1 51:10	79:22 80:12 88:10
adds 77:23	145:7	140:15 142:22	89:19 90:2 108:7
administration	advocated 142:10	ames 114:23 115:7	153:18 160:22
19:18	affairs 115:10,13,14	115:16 175:6	appearances 2:2 3:3
administrative	115:17,25 116:6	200:16 206:9 215:4	appeared 75:24
25:15 115:18	128:22 148:2	216:2 218:25 219:1	appears 68:14,17
administrator 18:14	210:12,20	219:20	90:18 108:3 123:2
19:4,10,12	affect 50:16 51:4	amount 89:8 101:19	151:15,17 165:2
adopt 31:8,13 32:13	99:11,22	141:13 204:3	175:2 177:20 179:1
32:14 50:21 111:8	affiliated 65:19	205:10	195:10 200:18
128:11 141:11	162:7	andrew 8:6 207:10	201:1 202:4 203:8
150:23 151:2,23	affiliation 164:15	213:17	207:9 208:5 213:9
210:13,21,25	agencies 147:24	angela 34:2	219:21
211:21	148:2 176:3	annual 135:16,17,19	apples 114:12
adopted 32:17 51:1	agency 105:19	ansi 6:14 21:14 43:2	applicable 107:2
109:3 113:15	212:17	44:13,13,18,24,25	applicant 106:24
123:24,24 124:5	agendas 187:22	58:25 104:15,25	application 22:16
203:22 204:12,13	ago 11:9,20 102:3	105:3 110:22 111:3	23:20,21 24:5 28:14
204:15 205:4 207:1	agree 9:9 87:25	111:5,18,20,22	77:6 78:12 86:6
208:7,12	88:11,16 89:1,10	114:11 154:16	87:2 94:10 167:17
	91:8	155:11,21 159:12	

[applications - ashrae0001610]

applications 24.24	18:23 19:4 20:10,13	130:4,7,19,25	212:20 213:16,20
applications 24:3,4 28:1,17,19 29:12	20:18 24:19,22 27:9	131:24 132:5,15	212:20 213:10,20
95:9	28:2 29:9 30:18	133:17,18,22 134:1	220:1 221:13,15
	32:22 33:13 34:8	134:11,14,16,18,21	220.1 221.13,13
applied 18:13			
applies 53:3 166:21	40:1,2 42:19 46:9	135:7,7,23 136:6,11	224:4,7,16,24,25
apply 59:16 100:13	47:16 48:8,10,18	136:13,16,18,19,20	ashrae's 32:10 33:6
153:10 193:24	49:19 50:13 52:8	137:7 140:13,20,21	53:4 56:21 58:23
applying 24:6,16	56:7,12,13,15,16,17	141:18,23 143:25	98:25 99:5 101:23
appointed 40:7	56:23 58:19 59:5,21	145:2 146:10,10,17	109:11 121:4,12
appointments 40:16	60:18 61:9,23 62:22	146:19,20,23 147:9	127:15 130:5 143:3
approval 36:8 39:13	64:15,18,20 65:22	147:16,22 148:2	151:5,9 173:4
39:15 95:2	66:11 68:12 70:7,10	149:13,20,21,22,25	174:15 175:22
approve 26:8,17,17	70:17 71:1,4,7,12	150:3,20 152:11	176:8,24 193:18
26:20 42:4 95:4,14	71:16 72:15 73:4,4	153:5,12 154:7,16	199:16 210:19
95:25 161:2,4	73:10 75:15,20	155:11,21 158:13	224:15
171:10 209:8	76:17 77:11,25	158:15,20,25 159:1	ashrae000024591
approved 29:13	78:11 79:1 80:2,10	159:12,16,20 160:5	8:5
38:19 57:13 94:24	81:11 82:2,20 84:2	160:6,17,19 161:16	ashrae0001590-15
94:25 121:6 152:21	84:20 85:14 87:1	161:17,19 162:8,9	6:23
170:10 171:7,8	88:3,24 91:2,13,16	162:11,15 163:3,4	ashrae0001592
188:10	91:17,21,24 92:4,15	163:15 164:8,16,24	159:6
approves 26:21,22	92:16,22,24 93:3	165:10,21 167:7	ashrae0001592-15
approving 26:4,5	94:11,21 96:9 97:6	168:12,14,17 169:6	6:24
27:6 28:6 42:6,8	97:8,13,14 98:20	169:25 170:5,11,12	ashrae0001594
98:3	99:8,18 100:7,8,14	170:23,24 171:1,14	159:14
approximately 9:13	100:18 101:11,12	171:25 172:18,22	ashrae0001598 94:3
40:6 109:7 214:22	101:13 103:21,22	172:24 173:8,12,14	ashrae0001598-15
april 8:7,9 63:5	104:7,12,13,15,19	173:16 174:12,15	5:24
165:12 226:9	104:25 105:3 108:2	175:15 176:1 177:3	ashrae0001599
architects 119:2	108:6,17,21,24	177:5,6,8 179:23	105:9
area 29:25 43:23	109:14,17,25 110:3	180:1,13,14 181:4,9	ashrae0001600 5:15
102:15,17	110:7,12,15,18,18	182:12,15 183:6,17	82:16
areas 29:23	110:22 111:3,3,5,17	183:22 184:20	ashrae0001601 5:12
arena 50:19	111:22,25 112:7,15	185:12 189:3,11	ashrae0001602-16
arrangement	113:4,5,9,14,16,21	190:9 191:9,19	5:13
194:10,13,16	114:9,13,25 115:8	192:6,8,22 193:3,4	ashrae0001604 5:16
195:13	115:15,24 116:1,5	193:8,14,16 194:4	83:9
art 109:15	117:24,25 118:2,15	195:14 196:16,24	ashrae0001605 5:19
article 177:4 186:17	118:19,20,23 119:4	197:19,21 198:1,6	85:10
articulate 146:13	119:10 120:13	198:23 199:4,6,15	ashrae0001606 5:14
asap 188:15	121:1,2,6,8,14	199:25 200:4,14	81:22
ase 201:9 206:11	122:23 123:24	202:21 203:1,9,17	ashrae0001608-16
ashrae 2:14 6:13,14	124:17 125:18,22	208:16,22 209:2	5:17
11:17,18,23 12:2,5	126:8 128:22,23,25	210:12,13,13,21,25	ashrae0001610
12:9 18:4,6,9,12,16	129:9,10,14,16,18	211:4,5,8 212:11,19	84:23

[ashrae0001610-1611 - ashrae0024575]

ashrae0001610-16	ashrae0002895-29	ashrae0012340-12	ashrae0022821-22
5:18	4:19	7:8	5:3
ashrae0001612 5:22	ashrae0002902	ashrae0012344	ashrae0022823 74:9
88:2	63:23	168:22	ashrae0022823-22
ashrae0001614	ashrae0002902-29	ashrae0013632	5:5
106:11	4:21	63:16	ashrae0022825-22
ashrae0001616	ashrae0003496	ashrae0013632-13	5:6
86:22	122:23	4:20	ashrae0022827 5:23
ashrae0001616-16	ashrae0003496-35	ashrae0013961	90:13
5:21	6:6	159:25	ashrae0024209
ashrae0001618 5:11	ashrae0003500	ashrae0013961-13	213:6
ashrae0001628	124:8	6:25	ashrae0024209-24
195:23	ashrae0003506	ashrae0013966	8:14
ashrae0001628-16	126:3	160:25	ashrae0024235-24
7:18	ashrae0003509	ashrae0013973	6:12
ashrae0002467 65:3	126:11	161:7	ashrae0024238
ashrae0002467-24	ashrae0005359	ashrae0013982	148:12
4:24	64:11	161:25	ashrae0024245-24
ashrae0002469 68:9	ashrae0005359-53	ashrae001592	6:11
ashrae0002469-24	4:23	159:10	ashrae0024250
4:25	ashrae0005677	ashrae001596	150:16
ashrae0002471-24	131:6	159:18	ashrae0024267
7:5	ashrae0005677-57	ashrae001613-1615	197:15
ashrae0002553-25	6:8	5:20	ashrae0024267-02
4:13	ashrae0005679	ashrae0016488 7:4	7:20
ashrae0002561-25	131:18	ashrae0016583 64:4	ashrae0024287 7:21
4:14	ashrae0005856	ashrae0016583-16	ashrae0024373 7:12
ashrae0002578	117:13	4:22	ashrae0024374-24
62:16	ashrae0005856-58	ashrae0018975-18	7:13
ashrae0002578-25	6:5	7:10	ashrae0024388 7:22
4:16	ashrae0005859	ashrae0020220-20	ashrae0024393 7:23
ashrae0002610	118:25	7:7	199:11
62:10	ashrae0006892	ashrae0022813 5:10	ashrae0024558
ashrae0002610-26	196:22	ashrae0022814 77:3	136:25
4:15	ashrae0011934	ashrae0022814-22	ashrae0024558-24
ashrae0002835 57:7	162:23	5:8	6:10
ashrae0002835-02	ashrae0011934-11	ashrae0022817-22	ashrae0024560
4:12	7:3	5:9	137:15
ashrae0002847-28	ashrae0012247-12	ashrae0022819 5:4	ashrae0024568
4:17	7:9	71:23	140:11
ashrae0002872 63:3	ashrae0012324-12	ashrae0022820 5:7	ashrae0024570
ashrae0002872-28	7:6	76:13	143:16
4:18	ashrae0012340	ashrae0022821	ashrae0024575
ashrae0002895 63:9	167:24	69:20	138:4

[ashrae0024581 - bakery]

ashrae0024581	ashrae0029498-29	assist 146:14	author 192:23 193:4
144:6	6:19	assistant 19:17	193:8,19
ashrae0024591	ashrae0029501-29	25:16 115:19	authority 53:16,19
206:5	6:16	associates 17:1	179:4
ashrae0024592	ashrae0029503	association 1:4 41:8	authorship 53:12
206:5	155:18	assume 108:11	authorship 55.12 av 163:3
ashrae0024592-24	ashrae0029503-29	136:4	available 56:17
8:4	6:17	assumes 38:18	avenue 2:8
ashrae0024624	ashrae0029505-29	assumes 58.18 assuming 61:6	awards 24:22
199:21	6:20	212:6	aware 13:19 29:11
ashrae0024624-24	ashrae0029508-29	assumption 221:22	36:18 37:5 39:13,15
7:24	6:21	assure 149:12 150:5	52:7 91:15,19,21,24
ashrae0025561	ashrae0029512-29	assuring 149:18	111:2,20 112:16
127:22	6:22	astm 1:3 2:4 10:20	116:18 117:8
ashrae0025561-25	ashrae0029650	56:7 181:17 182:19	124:25 129:22
6:7	202:13	182:21 185:5	131:1 138:23
ashrae0026227	ashrae0029650-29	atlanta 1:21 9:16	144:22 150:2
107:17	8:3	15:23	179:24 180:1,14
ashrae0026227-26	ashrae0029659-29	attached 210:14	191:17 192:6,10
5:25	7:16	214:10	203:17 205:24
ashrae0026229	ashrae0029704 7:15	attachment 108:8	210:16
107:18	ashrae0029734-29	108:14 174:25	awareness 219:9
	1 7.17	17/5.2	L
ashrae0026229-26 6:4	7:17 ashrae003502 125:9	175:2 attend 48:4	b
6:4	ashrae003502 125:9	attend 48:4	b 1:3,13,24 51:10
6:4 ashrae0026233	ashrae003502 125:9 ashrae005865	attend 48:4 attendance 112:5	b 1:3,13,24 51:10 52:8 60:23 178:8
6:4	ashrae003502 125:9 ashrae005865 119:21	attend 48:4	b 1:3,13,24 51:10 52:8 60:23 178:8 215:24 216:1
6:4 ashrae0026233 134:7 ashrae0026233-26	ashrae003502 125:9 ashrae005865 119:21 ashrae006892-6899	attend 48:4 attendance 112:5 184:14,16 185:12 185:14	b 1:3,13,24 51:10 52:8 60:23 178:8 215:24 216:1 226:12
6:4 ashrae0026233 134:7 ashrae0026233-26 6:9	ashrae003502 125:9 ashrae005865 119:21 ashrae006892-6899 7:19	attend 48:4 attendance 112:5 184:14,16 185:12 185:14 attended 184:23	b 1:3,13,24 51:10 52:8 60:23 178:8 215:24 216:1 226:12 bachelor's 16:5,8
6:4 ashrae0026233 134:7 ashrae0026233-26	ashrae003502 125:9 ashrae005865 119:21 ashrae006892-6899	attend 48:4 attendance 112:5 184:14,16 185:12 185:14 attended 184:23 attending 10:3	 b 1:3,13,24 51:10 52:8 60:23 178:8 215:24 216:1 226:12 bachelor's 16:5,8 back 28:8,12,13
6:4 ashrae0026233 134:7 ashrae0026233-26 6:9 ashrae0026916 7:25	ashrae003502 125:9 ashrae005865 119:21 ashrae006892-6899 7:19 asked 131:25 132:1 132:18 189:24	attend 48:4 attendance 112:5 184:14,16 185:12 185:14 attended 184:23 attending 10:3 attends 36:5	 b 1:3,13,24 51:10 52:8 60:23 178:8 215:24 216:1 226:12 bachelor's 16:5,8 back 28:8,12,13 29:6,7 33:12 37:10
6:4 ashrae0026233 134:7 ashrae0026233-26 6:9 ashrae0026916 7:25 202:1	ashrae003502 125:9 ashrae005865 119:21 ashrae006892-6899 7:19 asked 131:25 132:1	attend 48:4 attendance 112:5 184:14,16 185:12 185:14 attended 184:23 attending 10:3 attends 36:5 attention 186:21	 b 1:3,13,24 51:10 52:8 60:23 178:8 215:24 216:1 226:12 bachelor's 16:5,8 back 28:8,12,13 29:6,7 33:12 37:10 37:12,17 38:6,8,18
6:4 ashrae0026233 134:7 ashrae0026233-26 6:9 ashrae0026916 7:25 202:1 ashrae0027383-27	ashrae003502 125:9 ashrae005865 119:21 ashrae006892-6899 7:19 asked 131:25 132:1 132:18 189:24 207:2 211:21,24	attend 48:4 attendance 112:5 184:14,16 185:12 185:14 attended 184:23 attending 10:3 attends 36:5	 b 1:3,13,24 51:10 52:8 60:23 178:8 215:24 216:1 226:12 bachelor's 16:5,8 back 28:8,12,13 29:6,7 33:12 37:10 37:12,17 38:6,8,18 38:20 39:19 46:17
6:4 ashrae0026233 134:7 ashrae0026233-26 6:9 ashrae0026916 7:25 202:1 ashrae0027383-27 8:15	ashrae003502 125:9 ashrae005865 119:21 ashrae006892-6899 7:19 asked 131:25 132:1 132:18 189:24 207:2 211:21,24 214:25 224:6,11	attend 48:4 attendance 112:5 184:14,16 185:12 185:14 attended 184:23 attending 10:3 attends 36:5 attention 186:21 attorneys 10:2	 b 1:3,13,24 51:10 52:8 60:23 178:8 215:24 216:1 226:12 bachelor's 16:5,8 back 28:8,12,13 29:6,7 33:12 37:10 37:12,17 38:6,8,18 38:20 39:19 46:17 58:22 59:1 61:5
6:4 ashrae0026233 134:7 ashrae0026233-26 6:9 ashrae0026916 7:25 202:1 ashrae0027383-27 8:15 ashrae0027781	ashrae003502 125:9 ashrae005865 119:21 ashrae006892-6899 7:19 asked 131:25 132:1 132:18 189:24 207:2 211:21,24 214:25 224:6,11 asking 13:21 166:19	attend 48:4 attendance 112:5 184:14,16 185:12 185:14 attended 184:23 attending 10:3 attends 36:5 attention 186:21 attorneys 10:2 214:21	 b 1:3,13,24 51:10 52:8 60:23 178:8 215:24 216:1 226:12 bachelor's 16:5,8 back 28:8,12,13 29:6,7 33:12 37:10 37:12,17 38:6,8,18 38:20 39:19 46:17 58:22 59:1 61:5 68:4 70:24 75:8
6:4 ashrae0026233 134:7 ashrae0026233-26 6:9 ashrae0026916 7:25 202:1 ashrae0027383-27 8:15 ashrae0027781 177:15	ashrae003502 125:9 ashrae005865 119:21 ashrae006892-6899 7:19 asked 131:25 132:1 132:18 189:24 207:2 211:21,24 214:25 224:6,11 asking 13:21 166:19 178:23 189:19	attend 48:4 attendance 112:5 184:14,16 185:12 185:14 attended 184:23 attending 10:3 attends 36:5 attention 186:21 attorneys 10:2 214:21 attributed 193:14	 b 1:3,13,24 51:10 52:8 60:23 178:8 215:24 216:1 226:12 bachelor's 16:5,8 back 28:8,12,13 29:6,7 33:12 37:10 37:12,17 38:6,8,18 38:20 39:19 46:17 58:22 59:1 61:5 68:4 70:24 75:8 76:7 84:24 93:20
6:4 ashrae0026233 134:7 ashrae0026233-26 6:9 ashrae0026916 7:25 202:1 ashrae0027383-27 8:15 ashrae0027781 177:15 ashrae0027781-27	ashrae003502 125:9 ashrae005865 119:21 ashrae006892-6899 7:19 asked 131:25 132:1 132:18 189:24 207:2 211:21,24 214:25 224:6,11 asking 13:21 166:19 178:23 189:19 197:19 203:9 208:9	attend 48:4 attendance 112:5 184:14,16 185:12 185:14 attended 184:23 attending 10:3 attends 36:5 attention 186:21 attorneys 10:2 214:21 attributed 193:14 audible 14:4	 b 1:3,13,24 51:10 52:8 60:23 178:8 215:24 216:1 226:12 bachelor's 16:5,8 back 28:8,12,13 29:6,7 33:12 37:10 37:12,17 38:6,8,18 38:20 39:19 46:17 58:22 59:1 61:5 68:4 70:24 75:8 76:7 84:24 93:20 96:2 97:23 105:8,8
6:4 ashrae0026233 134:7 ashrae0026233-26 6:9 ashrae0026916 7:25 202:1 ashrae0027383-27 8:15 ashrae0027781 177:15 ashrae0027781-27 7:14	ashrae003502 125:9 ashrae005865 119:21 ashrae006892-6899 7:19 asked 131:25 132:1 132:18 189:24 207:2 211:21,24 214:25 224:6,11 asking 13:21 166:19 178:23 189:19 197:19 203:9 208:9 220:3,7 221:22	attend 48:4 attendance 112:5 184:14,16 185:12 185:14 attended 184:23 attending 10:3 attends 36:5 attention 186:21 attorneys 10:2 214:21 attributed 193:14 audible 14:4 audio 9:7	 b 1:3,13,24 51:10 52:8 60:23 178:8 215:24 216:1 226:12 bachelor's 16:5,8 back 28:8,12,13 29:6,7 33:12 37:10 37:12,17 38:6,8,18 38:20 39:19 46:17 58:22 59:1 61:5 68:4 70:24 75:8 76:7 84:24 93:20 96:2 97:23 105:8,8 113:6 121:11,24
6:4 ashrae0026233 134:7 ashrae0026233-26 6:9 ashrae0026916 7:25 202:1 ashrae0027383-27 8:15 ashrae0027781 177:15 ashrae0027781-27 7:14 ashrae0027814-27	ashrae003502 125:9 ashrae005865 119:21 ashrae006892-6899 7:19 asked 131:25 132:1 132:18 189:24 207:2 211:21,24 214:25 224:6,11 asking 13:21 166:19 178:23 189:19 197:19 203:9 208:9 220:3,7 221:22 assign 53:20	attend 48:4 attendance 112:5 184:14,16 185:12 185:14 attended 184:23 attending 10:3 attends 36:5 attention 186:21 attorneys 10:2 214:21 attributed 193:14 audible 14:4 audio 9:7 august 62:19	 b 1:3,13,24 51:10 52:8 60:23 178:8 215:24 216:1 226:12 bachelor's 16:5,8 back 28:8,12,13 29:6,7 33:12 37:10 37:12,17 38:6,8,18 38:20 39:19 46:17 58:22 59:1 61:5 68:4 70:24 75:8 76:7 84:24 93:20 96:2 97:23 105:8,8 113:6 121:11,24 136:14 150:8 171:5
6:4 ashrae0026233 134:7 ashrae0026233-26 6:9 ashrae0026916 7:25 202:1 ashrae0027383-27 8:15 ashrae0027781 177:15 ashrae0027781-27 7:14 ashrae0027814-27 7:11	ashrae003502 125:9 ashrae005865 119:21 ashrae006892-6899 7:19 asked 131:25 132:1 132:18 189:24 207:2 211:21,24 214:25 224:6,11 asking 13:21 166:19 178:23 189:19 197:19 203:9 208:9 220:3,7 221:22 assign 53:20 assigned 34:9,11,23	attend 48:4 attendance 112:5 184:14,16 185:12 185:14 attended 184:23 attending 10:3 attends 36:5 attention 186:21 attorneys 10:2 214:21 attributed 193:14 audible 14:4 audio 9:7 august 62:19 authentic 64:17	 b 1:3,13,24 51:10 52:8 60:23 178:8 215:24 216:1 226:12 bachelor's 16:5,8 back 28:8,12,13 29:6,7 33:12 37:10 37:12,17 38:6,8,18 38:20 39:19 46:17 58:22 59:1 61:5 68:4 70:24 75:8 76:7 84:24 93:20 96:2 97:23 105:8,8 113:6 121:11,24 136:14 150:8 171:5 178:4 189:24 203:9
6:4 ashrae0026233 134:7 ashrae0026233-26 6:9 ashrae0026916 7:25 202:1 ashrae0027383-27 8:15 ashrae0027781 177:15 ashrae0027781-27 7:14 ashrae0027814-27 7:11 ashrae0027817-27	ashrae003502 125:9 ashrae005865 119:21 ashrae006892-6899 7:19 asked 131:25 132:1 132:18 189:24 207:2 211:21,24 214:25 224:6,11 asking 13:21 166:19 178:23 189:19 197:19 203:9 208:9 220:3,7 221:22 assign 53:20 assigned 34:9,11,23 35:1,8,13 41:16,17	attend 48:4 attendance 112:5 184:14,16 185:12 185:14 attended 184:23 attending 10:3 attends 36:5 attention 186:21 attorneys 10:2 214:21 attributed 193:14 audible 14:4 audio 9:7 august 62:19 authentic 64:17 71:12 122:24	 b 1:3,13,24 51:10 52:8 60:23 178:8 215:24 216:1 226:12 bachelor's 16:5,8 back 28:8,12,13 29:6,7 33:12 37:10 37:12,17 38:6,8,18 38:20 39:19 46:17 58:22 59:1 61:5 68:4 70:24 75:8 76:7 84:24 93:20 96:2 97:23 105:8,8 113:6 121:11,24 136:14 150:8 171:5 178:4 189:24 203:9 203:10 222:11
6:4 ashrae0026233 134:7 ashrae0026233-26 6:9 ashrae0026916 7:25 202:1 ashrae0027383-27 8:15 ashrae0027781 177:15 ashrae0027781-27 7:14 ashrae0027814-27 7:11 ashrae0027817-27 8:16	ashrae003502 125:9 ashrae005865 119:21 ashrae006892-6899 7:19 asked 131:25 132:1 132:18 189:24 207:2 211:21,24 214:25 224:6,11 asking 13:21 166:19 178:23 189:19 197:19 203:9 208:9 220:3,7 221:22 assign 53:20 assigned 34:9,11,23 35:1,8,13 41:16,17 assignment 53:17	attend 48:4 attendance 112:5 184:14,16 185:12 185:14 attended 184:23 attending 10:3 attends 36:5 attention 186:21 attorneys 10:2 214:21 attributed 193:14 audible 14:4 audio 9:7 august 62:19 authentic 64:17 71:12 122:24 authenticate 178:10	 b 1:3,13,24 51:10 52:8 60:23 178:8 215:24 216:1 226:12 bachelor's 16:5,8 back 28:8,12,13 29:6,7 33:12 37:10 37:12,17 38:6,8,18 38:20 39:19 46:17 58:22 59:1 61:5 68:4 70:24 75:8 76:7 84:24 93:20 96:2 97:23 105:8,8 113:6 121:11,24 136:14 150:8 171:5 178:4 189:24 203:9 203:10 222:11 background 16:4
6:4 ashrae0026233 134:7 ashrae0026233-26 6:9 ashrae0026916 7:25 202:1 ashrae0027383-27 8:15 ashrae0027781 177:15 ashrae0027781-27 7:14 ashrae0027814-27 7:11 ashrae0027817-27 8:16 ashrae0029496	ashrae003502 125:9 ashrae005865 119:21 ashrae006892-6899 7:19 asked 131:25 132:1 132:18 189:24 207:2 211:21,24 214:25 224:6,11 asking 13:21 166:19 178:23 189:19 197:19 203:9 208:9 220:3,7 221:22 assign 53:20 assigned 34:9,11,23 35:1,8,13 41:16,17 assignment 53:17 56:13,22 94:13	attend 48:4 attendance 112:5 184:14,16 185:12 185:14 attended 184:23 attending 10:3 attends 36:5 attention 186:21 attorneys 10:2 214:21 attributed 193:14 audible 14:4 audib 9:7 august 62:19 authentic 64:17 71:12 122:24 authenticate 178:10 authenticated	 b 1:3,13,24 51:10 52:8 60:23 178:8 215:24 216:1 226:12 bachelor's 16:5,8 back 28:8,12,13 29:6,7 33:12 37:10 37:12,17 38:6,8,18 38:20 39:19 46:17 58:22 59:1 61:5 68:4 70:24 75:8 76:7 84:24 93:20 96:2 97:23 105:8,8 113:6 121:11,24 136:14 150:8 171:5 178:4 189:24 203:9 203:10 222:11 background 16:4 19:1 24:12,20
6:4 ashrae0026233 134:7 ashrae0026233-26 6:9 ashrae0026916 7:25 202:1 ashrae0027383-27 8:15 ashrae0027781 177:15 ashrae0027781-27 7:14 ashrae0027814-27 7:11 ashrae0027817-27 8:16 ashrae0029496 156:3	ashrae003502 125:9 ashrae005865 119:21 ashrae006892-6899 7:19 asked 131:25 132:1 132:18 189:24 207:2 211:21,24 214:25 224:6,11 asking 13:21 166:19 178:23 189:19 197:19 203:9 208:9 220:3,7 221:22 assign 53:20 assigned 34:9,11,23 35:1,8,13 41:16,17 assignment 53:17 56:13,22 94:13 assignments 40:10	attend 48:4 attendance 112:5 184:14,16 185:12 185:14 attended 184:23 attending 10:3 attends 36:5 attention 186:21 attorneys 10:2 214:21 attributed 193:14 audible 14:4 audio 9:7 august 62:19 authentic 64:17 71:12 122:24 authenticate 178:10 authenticated 178:15	 b 1:3,13,24 51:10 52:8 60:23 178:8 215:24 216:1 226:12 bachelor's 16:5,8 back 28:8,12,13 29:6,7 33:12 37:10 37:12,17 38:6,8,18 38:20 39:19 46:17 58:22 59:1 61:5 68:4 70:24 75:8 76:7 84:24 93:20 96:2 97:23 105:8,8 113:6 121:11,24 136:14 150:8 171:5 178:4 189:24 203:9 203:10 222:11 background 16:4

[balance - bottom]

balance 36:3 42:19	beautiful 126:6	167:3,22 169:12	belong 106:25
114:8	beauty 119:25	170:16 171:17	belonged 190:9
-	becker 3:8 4:6 10:9		0
balanced 21:11,13		172:9,17 173:4,18	belongs 106:22
21:15 48:15 50:3,6	10:9 11:1,2 13:16	174:20 176:22	benchmark 142:6
ballot 38:22,23	23:12 28:23 31:6,21	177:13,23 178:5,9	benefit 113:16,24,25
39:12	31:25 33:3,12 46:25	178:13,16,20	139:2
ballots 36:8	48:23 49:2,6,19	179:12,21 180:19	beq 198:13
bankruptcy 16:17	50:24 51:7 52:4	182:11 183:22	bert 34:4
barnes 164:25 166:1	55:10,13,16,24 57:5	184:7 185:1,10,20	best 15:8 30:25
barnes's 164:15,19	57:8 61:8,17 62:3,9	185:22 186:4 188:2	better 99:24 120:10
based 35:3,5 50:3,6	62:15,21 63:2,8,14	189:4,18 190:2,19	174:10,16
95:24 133:5 200:14	63:21 64:3,9 65:2	191:3,9,19 192:6,11	beverly 34:3
204:5	66:7 67:13 68:7	192:17 193:3,8,15	beyond 126:19,20
baseline 141:7,12,24	69:19 70:12,17 71:1	194:4 195:21	137:22,22 146:15
142:3,11 143:6,9	71:21 72:12,24 74:6	196:20 197:13,25	bias 21:22 24:11
150:19	74:8,22 75:14 76:11	198:18 199:9,19	big 27:24 189:10
baselines 140:20	77:1,18 78:6,24	200:20 201:24	190:5
basic 108:18 220:8	79:4,21 80:9,16	202:11,18 203:14	bill 143:12,13 210:6
basically 12:19	81:5,20 82:10,14,17	206:3,17 207:20,25	210:8,22
96:15	83:7,21 84:14,21,24	208:20 209:18,20	billing 207:8
basis 193:18,22	85:8 86:1,21 87:18	210:9 211:11	bingaman 142:24
bates 57:7 62:10,16	88:1,3,13 90:8,12	212:12 213:4,14	biographical 24:18
62:22 63:9,15,22	90:14 92:4,8,13,22	215:1,23 217:6,13	bit 45:8 151:15,18
64:4,10 65:3 68:8	93:11,20 100:1,14	217:22,25 219:17	blake 2:16 10:15
69:20 71:22 74:9	102:3 103:9,20	221:2,6 223:2,13,17	blank 91:13 105:4
75:15 76:12 77:2	104:18,24 105:7	225:6	block 196:25
80:10,11 81:21	107:15 109:6,16	becoming 174:7	board 40:9 48:2,2
82:15 83:8 84:22	113:23 116:11,18	beginning 98:10	48:16 95:4 98:4
85:9 86:22 88:2	117:11 118:9	128:9 159:24	121:23 122:1
90:13 94:2 105:9	120:12 122:3,12,17	189:12 190:6	182:14 202:22
106:10 107:17,18	122:21 123:14	behalf 10:20 113:14	203:8 209:2
117:13 118:24	124:25 125:8	113:21,21 171:23	bockius 2:6
119:20 122:23	127:13,20 128:20	behavior 28:18	bodies 26:5 28:6
127:21 131:5,18	130:4 131:4,17	belief 224:15	45:7,9,12 98:3
134:6 136:24	132:1,8,17 133:10	believe 24:7 31:15	110:7 205:13,14,15
137:14 155:17	134:5 136:11,23	67:19 71:1,11 92:22	205:16 222:14
156:2 159:5 167:23	138:24 139:24	93:3 143:23 160:8	body 26:4 39:12,13
177:15 195:22	145:10,17 146:25	166:3 172:22	46:9 142:19
196:22 197:14	147:7,13,16 148:6	176:20 180:3 184:2	book 158:24
198:1 199:10,20	148:21,24 152:2,9	184:6,10 194:24	books 99:14 148:14
201:25 202:12	153:3 154:14 155:6	195:4 211:22 224:7	149:10,19
206:4,5	155:16 156:1,21	believes 152:19	boss 207:11,12,13
battle 203:12	157:4,16,25 158:7	157:22	bottom 24:9 75:5
bcunningham 2:21	159:4,23 162:21	bellenger 200:15	76:22 82:22 85:16
	163:9 164:2 165:6		86:8 105:22 127:13

[bottom - charlotte]

128:7,7 145:17	0	140:7	60:8,11,14 65:10
174:2	C	cause 43:7 141:14	66:9 67:19 69:5,8
box 61:5 88:4,14	c 30:7 90:19 157:1	caution 51:22	70:9 72:2 76:16
box 01.9 00.4,14 boxes 106:4	182:24 226:1,1	caution 174:4	80:20 82:20 85:14
break 14:20 55:12	calendar 189:7	ccr 1:24 226:12	96:24 124:6 130:22
55:13 116:12 152:3	california 2:19 3:9	cell 9:5	135:22 152:21
192:11	3:11 207:1 208:8,13	ceo 182:24	
	call 67:2 95:7		157:21 163:15,20
breaks 14:19	called 42:25 93:9	certain 89:8 104:4	164:8,19,23 165:10
bring 119:4	152:15 218:6	178:17,18	166:5,6,7 167:7,11
brought 41:25 61:5	calls 70:15 173:1	certificate 158:12	167:25 169:15
121:24	183:9 189:22 191:8	159:11,15,19	170:10,14,16,25
bruce 208:6	193:21 204:3	certificates 192:19	171:20 172:7 194:1
bsr 160:5 163:3	calm 158:5 161:14	certification 114:17	194:2,3,16 195:13
build 31:9 32:18,19	161:18	159:11	204:16,23,25
147:20	calm's 161:15,21	certify 226:3,6	changed 25:21,23
builder 32:18	campaign 181:2,5,6	cetera 120:1	43:7 75:2 120:7,9
building 22:24	181:10 183:7,23	cfo 182:24	130:9,10,12,13,20
27:22 99:3,8 102:24	184:4	cfr 175:21 176:2,8	130:21 152:20
104:4 109:15 110:2	capacity 11:12,18	176:11,16,23	195:16
111:6,19,21,23	13:14 125:6 143:10	chain 8:6,8,10,12	changes 15:1,3,4
112:22 113:16	178:2	53:11 109:21 174:2	37:5,18 38:4,9 39:4
119:2 127:4,5,6,9	capitol 140:12	188:13 189:9	41:22 42:9,10,21,23
127:10,12,17	caption 9:19	207:10	42:24 43:14 44:2
137:16,20 138:6,14	caption 9.19 carl 3:18 10:14	chair 26:3,5,17,21	45:22 46:10 94:16
139:11 140:7,12,17	carmen 34:1	26:23 28:4,5 29:3	95:23 96:1 157:12
141:23 142:25		36:2 37:13,14 38:24	157:23 205:8
144:8,13,16 145:7,8	carolina 131:16	40:10,11,14,14 48:1	changing 39:5,7
146:2 148:11,14	case 1:3 9:19,22,24	48:1 95:9 106:25	130:25
149:10 150:9,10,20	12:2,6,7,10 13:10	131:10,13 133:14	chapter 110:3 120:9
150:24 151:3	53:13 56:3 151:25	152:22 156:6	137:4 221:12,14,14
197:20,22 198:12	180:4 213:11 226:7	157:13 197:1	chapters 115:24
198:16 224:20,21	226:8	211:22	119:5 145:2,4,18,22
224:22	categories 21:25	chairs 21:9 47:25	204:6
buildings 30:5,14,21	22:2,6,13 23:12	138:9	characteristic
31:9 99:12 105:5,6	48:16 49:23 50:4,5	challenges 124:9	156:13
109:5 136:3 137:22	50:9 57:19 105:10	chance 15:1 101:18	characterization
138:16 142:15,16	106:4,6,12,20	185:6	113:19 123:10
143:7,9 149:15	categorized 24:8	change 15:18 20:23	139:17
143:7,9 149:15	category 21:17,18	21:3 25:24 26:1	characterize 113:8
,	21:19 22:15 24:7		114:8
built 147:21 219:25	50:11 105:12,15	36:11,12 37:11,21 37:24,25 38:15,18	
bullet 140:19 143:2	106:15,22,25 107:5		characters 89:9,15
144:8 146:2 153:21	107:8,11	38:19,20 39:1,1,3,4	charge 34:7 135:13
burnetter 207:21	caucus 137:16,20	39:6,10 42:25 43:7	194:9
business 12:14,17	138:6,14 139:12	43:17,19 44:6 45:6	charlotte 131:16
15:21,25 50:18 51:5		45:11,15 58:16 60:6	

[check - committee]

check 88:17 106:4	32:4,5,6,14,16,17	coding 89:15	175:7,11 204:16,18
109:9 113:6 119:12	41:4 45:3,5,6,7,9,11	colker 128:8,14,20	220:3,7,18,22
136:10,15 150:11	45:12,25 46:2,3,4	128:23 129:2	commercial 30:5,10
checked 25:14	46:21,21,24 47:1,1	210:11	30:14,20 109:5
checks 25:6 88:13	47:3,3,7,8 50:19,21	collaborative	127:17 141:17,19
choice 32:20	51:1 110:2,7 111:9	112:25	141:20,23 142:8,15
choose 32:13 50:22	113:16 114:1	college 16:7	143:7,9 150:21
136:1 166:10	120:20 121:1	columbia 1:1 9:24	210:4 221:18
chose 178:1	122:18 125:10,15	column 120:8	commission 203:3
chris 117:24 118:1	125:19,21 126:13	194:17,18,22,22,25	commitment 204:10
196:13 224:3,4	126:14,16,19,21	195:1,2,5,9,11	committee 21:10
church 15:13,16	127:18 137:23	columns 120:8	24:6,10 25:2,17
circle 15:23	138:9,18 140:17	195:10	26:3,16,20,22 27:14
cite 104:19	141:5 143:5,15	combined 196:25	27:19 28:5,6 29:3
claim 12:9 192:22	144:8,16 145:7	come 14:13,19 36:3	29:10 36:2,3,6
193:3,18	149:22 150:10,22	45:13 96:22 102:18	37:12,21 38:2,6,18
claimed 12:20,24	150:24 167:25	102:21 139:4	39:11,19 40:5,8,18
13:7	168:2,4 169:2,15,17	170:19 181:15	40:20,21 41:2,13,15
claiming 13:4	170:10,12,14,16,23	comes 27:7 45:13	42:5,17,19 43:4,5,9
claire 33:14 188:7	170:24 171:7,9,20	166:20	43:11,11,22,25 44:7
215:7 216:3 220:9	171:22 172:7	coming 45:15 96:17	45:14 46:15 47:24
222:3	173:14 175:9,16	100:20,25 102:10	47:24 48:2,7,8,20
claire's 186:20	202:6 205:8,10,12	comment 15:4 27:24	48:21,23 49:1,9,10
clarify 68:22 120:25	205:14,15,15,17	37:4,19 38:2 58:15	49:14,16,16 50:2,6
clarity 211:3	207:8 215:10	69:22 74:13 87:23	52:24,25 56:16,20
classes 114:17	220:11 221:15,18	87:24 88:5,8,11,12	57:4,22,23 58:2,6
121:14	221:18,20,21,23	88:16 89:5,18 90:17	60:9 61:10,12 65:16
clean 140:16 142:23	codes 22:19,20	91:4,6 95:18 96:22	66:19 77:6,12,25
clear 39:8 49:5	30:10 31:21 35:19	101:18 161:1,24	78:12 86:6 87:2
104:23 200:8 202:5	46:18 99:24 100:1,4	167:19 175:12	94:11 95:2,6,9,11
209:10	100:5 110:7 111:6	176:16 193:25	95:12,15,19,22 96:4
clearly 129:4,9	111:19,21,23	212:7 220:8	96:6,16,23 97:5,7
155:3 179:18	123:16,19 126:23	commented 126:1	97:10 98:5 107:1
click 88:15 91:8	126:24 127:1	commenter 70:6	116:19,20,21,22
clicked 88:25	131:11,15 138:16	commenters 58:15	117:2,2,21 118:10
close 66:20 207:3	141:21,21 142:17	58:19,20 95:20,21	118:11,13,16,21
closest 145:5	142:19,25 143:4,24	193:25	137:5 152:23
clumped 201:17	144:13 145:8 146:3	commenting 70:22	157:11,11 160:4
cluster 112:23	148:14 149:10,19	75:19 81:10 82:1	163:1 165:18 166:2
coalition 137:17,21	150:11,20 151:2,3	84:1,19	166:5,8,12,22
137:24 139:12	168:1 169:1,16	comments 27:5	167:14 171:5,15
140:9 219:9	171:21 205:7 209:4	29:24 43:10 70:7,9	186:13,24 187:23
coalitions 137:15	209:14 222:15,19	88:19 95:19,24	193:12,16 194:5,8
code 22:22,23,24	224:21	100:20,24 124:17	195:16 197:1,7,10
30:5,11 31:13,19		160:5 163:2 168:25	197:19 198:9 204:8

[committee - contribution]

204:19 208:15,15	completely 14:14	conduct 12:13,16	consistently 36:10
204.19 208.13,15	completing 28:10	181:2	construct 102:24
210:5 212:10	complex 150:12	conducted 181:4	consult 152:22
210.3 212.10 213:22 214:11		conference 131:10	consultants 57:20
	compliance 22:10		
221:16	22:15,19 23:4,11,14	131:15 219:23	consultation 37:14
committees 20:12	32:11,15 33:1,3	conflict 24:11 37:20	211:8
21:10,13,14 24:21	106:7,15 124:4	37:22 38:1 98:18	consulting 149:23
25:22 26:13,19,25	128:11 148:16	conflicts 36:10,13	contact 34:20 80:5,6
26:25 41:18,21,24	149:12,13,16,21	37:1 98:13	145:25
45:16 46:13 47:20	150:1,6,13 202:8	conformity 98:13	contain 66:15,21
49:7,8,13,13,20,22	compliant 31:2,7	confused 26:9	72:3 75:22 76:18
50:7,13 79:2 112:7	220:12	confusion 141:14	163:5
112:8 118:19,22	complied 72:8	congress 100:22	contains 94:12
132:4	complimentary	138:11,20,25 139:3	content 66:22,24
common 41:24	212:25	139:13,25 140:5	122:12 170:6
183:10 212:19	comply 31:3,10,17	146:15 203:3	171:12,13 194:10
communicate 215:1	32:22 121:3	213:18	194:13,15 214:19
communication	complying 149:15	congressional	contents 103:12
210:10	149:18	137:16 138:6,14	context 180:5
communications	component 53:12	139:12 140:7	continuation 156:24
51:23 183:11,16	composes 40:4	198:24 199:2 210:5	157:19
214:20 215:19	137:24	conjunction 181:2	continue 9:8 126:13
comp 212:1	computer 226:5	181:10 183:7,23	continued 5:1 6:2
company 92:20	comstock 124:22	184:5	7:1 8:1 135:7 157:9
99:23 168:10,11	169:9 170:3 174:1,5	conover 173:23	continuing 3:3
179:22 192:1	174:9,12 177:22	consecutive 141:7	162:14 199:6
comparing 73:15,21	178:15 179:2,6	consensus 101:3,9	continuous 58:16
86:11,15 87:6,10	194:23 222:5,6,10	101:14 102:5	60:5,7,11,13 65:6
114:12 128:6	comstock's 51:13	114:11,12 212:10	66:9 67:24 68:11
comparison 129:12	concern 39:15 129:3	conservation 150:22	69:5,8 72:1 76:17
129:15 173:24	151:5,9	221:21	80:19 82:20 83:12
comparisons 114:6	concerned 128:16	consider 182:15	85:14 96:12,23
compensated 194:4	130:4,19,21 150:4	196:16 197:20	133:2 163:15 164:9
competition 173:9	191:9	212:2 220:2,21	165:10 166:6 167:7
competitiveness	concerning 52:24	222:17 223:4	167:18
206:14	147:8 151:6,10	consideration 94:24	continuously 96:19
competitors 13:5	concerns 151:13	considered 44:6	contract 147:3,8
compiled 196:25	concluded 225:9	120:1 151:4	contribute 37:2
complained 125:1	conclusion 70:16	considering 129:14	117:5
complaints 124:17	173:2 193:21	183:6,23 198:16	contributed 92:14
complete 21:7 23:24	conclusions 93:10	210:5 212:21	98:15
28:22,24 29:1	concrete 103:10	220:14	contributing 57:24
120:15	conditioning 1:6,14	consistency 40:22	58:6,10,14
completed 56:18	10:18 18:19 51:11	consistent 101:5	contribution 161:21
1	102:14	168:19	162:15
	102.14	100.17	102.13

[contributions - cunningham]

	01.01.04.00 5.5.0.0		
contributions 77:24	91:21,24 92:5,5,9,9	166:15 168:12,13	56:13 74:15 127:9
92:23 93:4 160:21	92:15,16,23,25 93:4	169:4,5,18,19,23,24	131:12 178:18
161:3 169:7 170:1	93:6 94:13 158:13	170:3 175:1,17,18	179:9 180:4 207:12
224:8	159:12,16,20 160:9	175:23 176:5,6	207:14 220:4,20,23
controversial 27:3	160:12 161:8 162:1	188:16,17 193:16	221:1
27:10,16 29:17,18	163:5,17 164:10,13	193:17 195:12,15	courts 186:13
29:21	164:24 165:13,16	202:19 203:15,16	cover 104:3,4
controversy 27:25	166:11 167:13,14	203:18,19 212:13	158:18
174:4	167:17 169:3,6,9,21	213:1,2 215:24,25	covered 29:25
convention 103:22	169:22 170:1,4	221:3,4 226:5	158:23 177:21
conversation 107:24	172:6 178:24 179:4	correcting 152:11	215:17
156:4,25 183:2	179:7 188:25	correction 57:13	covers 29:24 158:17
220:10	191:10 192:19	158:5	158:19
conversations 9:4	193:23 222:17	correctly 30:18 61:7	create 99:14 102:9
180:16 182:18	223:4 224:8,10	195:18	102:10,13 216:5
183:19 184:3	copyrighted 180:9,9	correlate 65:14	created 94:22 211:5
215:22	189:11 190:6,8	corynne 3:19 10:10	creating 98:25
convey 53:17	191:15	cost 124:9,15,18,24	118:4 211:2
cooling 18:20 214:1	copyrights 53:20	125:2 127:2,2,2,8,8	creation 97:14
cooperated 111:18	224:16	143:24 144:4 182:9	critical 213:22
cooperating 110:21	corner 57:9 68:18	182:11,13 204:22	csos 203:4
111:5	82:23 85:17 86:8	council 32:4,6 95:3	ctcc 137:12
cooperation 172:18	105:22 164:23	137:4,12 146:6	cttc 137:6 146:6
copied 177:23 222:3	218:6,8,10,23 219:1	169:2 173:15	cunningham 2:16
copies 6:14 165:3	219:5	205:17	4:7 10:15,16 13:11
185:8,15 202:23	correct 14:14,17	counsel 2:2 3:3 9:17	23:5 28:20 30:24
212:1,19	17:21,24 22:8 30:22	51:24 56:6,7 70:12	31:11,23 32:24 33:8
copy 134:15 164:14	33:5 37:15 52:13	72:8 147:7 181:24	46:19 48:19,25 49:3
168:24 172:1	53:8,24 54:2,5,8,11	181:25 183:17,17	50:14 51:2,20 55:7
175:10,11 195:7	54:14,17,20,23 55:1	184:5,10 185:11	55:11,15 60:20
212:8,24,25	57:25 59:17 68:20	186:11 214:16,23	61:13 65:25 67:10
copyright 53:9,11	68:25 69:1 72:13,14	215:2,13,15,17,20	70:4,15,19 72:10,17
53:12,16,18 69:25	73:2 76:4,5,8,9 80:4	216:2,16,20,22	73:24 77:16 78:19
70:2,11,18,23 71:2	89:13 96:5 97:11,12	226:7,7	79:15 88:7 92:2,6
72:3,7,15,25 73:5	97:21,23 102:17,20	counter 112:18	92:10,18 93:8 99:20
73:16,17,17,21	104:18 105:10,11	counterclaims 1:12	100:10 101:25
75:23,23 76:3,19	105:13,14,16,17,19	counterparts 182:19	103:4,13 104:10
77:8,12,14,14 78:14	105:20 106:4,5,8,9	county 226:2	109:1,12 113:18
78:16,17 79:10,13	106:13,14 107:3,8	couple 27:18 40:23	118:5 120:2 122:8
81:2,17 82:6,25	109:18,19,22,23	104:1 135:18	122:15,19 123:9
83:18,18 84:7,7	117:5 139:10	course 185:9 191:14	124:19 125:4
85:4,20 86:11,12,15	147:24,25 149:6,7	202:6	128:15 129:21
86:16 87:7,10,11	152:24,25 154:19	courses 99:14	131:22 132:2,21
89:21 90:1,2,21,23	154:20 155:2	court 1:1 9:23 10:5	136:8 138:22
90:24 91:1,13,15,16	156:12,17,18 161:6	13:24 14:1 49:17	139:16 145:9,12
	1.00.1.2,1,10.101.0	1.2.1.1.1.1.1.7.1.1	107.10 1 10.7,14

[cunningham - develop]

146 00 147 1 11	1 4 010 10		170 1
146:22 147:1,11	datacenter 213:19	defendants 9:18	depose 178:1
148:18 170:8 172:3	214:6,7	define 205:6	deposed 10:23 11:5
172:12 173:1	datacenters 214:2,4	defined 49:25	11:11,15 13:18
176:12 177:19,25	date 9:11 57:14	definition 22:17,18	125:5
178:7,11,14 179:17	66:17,18 68:18	23:16	deposition 1:13 9:7
182:6 183:14 184:1	74:25 75:3,10 78:2	definitions 23:17,18	9:14 13:10,20 14:25
188:24 189:16,21	81:13 82:3,22,24	23:19 106:12	51:10,13 52:2 178:8
190:14,23 191:12	84:25 85:17 86:9	degree 16:5,6 37:23	185:12,14 214:14
191:21 192:9,25	87:3 105:21 148:14	102:12	215:3 216:6,15,18
193:6,10,20 202:15	149:10 153:24	degrees 17:9 24:20	217:23 225:9 226:4
202:19 207:16	154:3,22 184:13	157:1,1,2,2	depositions 178:3
208:3 209:23	dated 8:7,9,10,12	delineate 129:4	describe 27:1 123:1
211:14 213:8	68:12 71:5,9 134:11	delineated 129:10	described 28:18
214:15 215:14	155:12,21	delineates 131:19	29:15 96:8
217:3,10,20 222:21	dates 57:9 68:15	demonstrate 133:8	describes 21:20
223:5,7,15,19,22	153:18,21 154:10	department 29:8	175:3
225:3	dave 173:23	34:24 35:1 108:1,6	describing 29:16
current 31:14	day 135:9 185:9	108:16,22,25	96:3 101:1
168:19 176:4	226:9	109:11 110:4,6,11	description 4:9 5:2
currently 175:15	days 204:9	110:14,17 111:1,13	6:3 7:2 8:2 187:24
customary 136:16	deal 41:23 42:19	111:15,18,24 112:1	design 11:25 12:3,4
136:19	133:25	113:5,9,14,22	12:13 20:15 32:16
customer 196:12,14	dealing 47:12	115:25 116:6 121:7	99:18 112:19
196:17,18	190:24	121:8,9,13,19	220:15
cutting 150:8	deals 115:21,23	134:11,19,22	designated 120:4
cv 1:3 9:24	124:23 137:21	135:13,22,24,25	122:11,14 132:16
cycle 96:15 168:3	213:21	136:12,17 141:2	designee 1:15 52:8
169:17,22 171:22	dean 184:21	143:14 171:24	180:13
d	december 19:5	172:1,4,10,17,22	designer 106:7
d 1:3 142:24	56:11 62:24 64:1	194:20 196:15,16	destroyed 59:21,24
	decide 95:22 107:2	departments 150:9	59:24 60:3,4,15,16
d.c. 2:9 116:3,7 137:4,11,13,21	126:12	depaul 16:7	60:19 61:4
	decided 194:24	depend 130:9	destroying 65:23
139:19 140:13	decides 46:22	depended 125:25	destruction 65:24
146:14,15 198:24	106:21	135:12	determination
200:2,5 210:20	decision 121:21,25	dependent 125:25	38:25 39:16 106:19
215:4 218:10,14	139:5 145:5 182:14	depending 23:15	120:23 142:4
222:1	194:21	25:21 42:12 95:2,14	determine 107:7
daily 64:23	decisions 139:7	125:23 152:23	141:2 204:21
data 213:24	deem 31:3,17	depends 23:8,15	determined 157:11
database 66:3,4,6,7	deemed 121:2	33:10 37:22 40:12	determines 143:3
66:10,12,14,21	defendant 1:10 3:6	42:3,7 46:3 99:25	171:3
87:24 88:12 90:17	10:12 11:3 12:5,7	103:5 115:5,5	develop 130:1
91:4,6 176:17	51:9	135:12 222:23	203:25

[developed - doing]

developed 21.22	divertion 20.2	62.17 22 62.4 10 16	194.7 10 195.22 25
developed 21:23	direction 38:2	62:17,23 63:4,10,16 63:23 64:5,11 65:4	184:7,19 185:23,25
46:8 98:1 126:2	directly 37:2 172:8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	186:5,7 188:4,6
197:21	222:12	65:9,10,22,24 67:6	193:13 195:23,25
developers 47:13	director 33:16	68:9,18,24 69:21	196:21,23,24
138:1,8 218:9	115:10,13,13,14	70:18,22 71:12,13	197:15,17,18 198:2
developing 52:16	directors 40:9 95:4	71:15,23,25 72:1,3	198:4,20,22,23
99:6 152:10 203:21	182:14 202:22	73:10,25 74:10,12	199:11,13,21,23
development 20:17	209:2	75:6,9,16,18,22	200:10 202:1,3,13
47:15,17 52:18	disagrees 157:20	76:7,13,15,18,23	206:12,19 207:17
99:11,15 100:8,8	disapprove 161:22	77:3,5,8 78:8,10	208:2,4 209:22
101:16 110:8 112:4	disclose 183:12,13	79:6,8,9,12,22,25	211:13,15 213:7,8
117:5 126:18,22	183:15	80:2,12,18,21,23	213:13,14,15 217:7
132:11 168:2,4	disclosure 183:10	81:1,7,13,16,23,25	217:8,25 219:19
169:17 171:22	discovered 154:2	82:12,15,18,25 83:9	220:17,18 221:8,10
173:16 202:6 205:2	discovery 4:11	83:11,14,17,23,25	223:16,16 224:2
212:16 218:12,15	56:11 91:14 147:10	84:3,4,6,16,18,25	documentation
dickerson 8:12	216:12	85:3,10,12 86:3,5	20:21,22 21:2,6
212:5	discuss 188:20	86:23,25 87:20,22	23:24
dictate 95:15	216:1 218:17,20	90:10,15,20 92:21	documents 27:7
differ 77:18 114:4	discussed 92:17	94:4,7,9,12,16	59:22 60:17 64:18
126:25	93:1,6 129:17	95:13,24 96:5,7	71:18 73:15 77:24
difference 26:24	167:15 190:16	104:16 105:21,23	78:25 101:16
39:2 45:24 49:12	217:22 218:19	107:24 108:3,10	107:19,22 130:1
74:2 78:20 87:14	224:11	117:13,16,19,20	132:7 153:18 165:3
92:4,8 96:11,11,13	discussing 37:4 94:5	118:4 122:5,22,24	174:22 175:4 185:6
96:14 129:25	132:15 151:14	123:1,5,10 127:24	190:8 206:7 216:5
differences 73:22	211:21	131:6,8,17 132:14	216:14,17,24
87:13 129:4,10	discussion 128:5	134:7,9 136:25	217:11,13,17,18
different 22:2 28:17	156:10 157:9 186:2	137:2,9,11 148:8,22	224:19
31:19 42:11 48:16	190:10 191:3	149:1,4 153:5,7,12	doe 110:9 112:4,13
80:11 92:21 107:4,9	196:12 215:11,16	154:15 155:8,10,18	112:15,22 113:4,17
107:11 114:10	discussions 98:17	155:23 156:3,23	116:19 117:1,4
137:25 138:8	129:24 156:25	157:6,17,18 158:2,9	119:4,10,15 128:6,9
141:21 142:17	218:24	158:11 159:7,24,25	130:24 134:16
144:23 186:19	dissolved 121:10,20	160:2,3,9,22 162:22	135:7 142:3 143:3,4
200:1,4 202:24,25	distinction 132:19	162:24 163:5,11,13	146:10,10,19,20,23
204:5,19 213:23	distributed 212:9	163:17 164:4,6,10	147:6,9 196:15
214:9	district 1:1,1 9:23	164:22 165:8 167:5	201:9 220:13
differentiate 129:5	9:23	167:10,23,24	doemou.doc 108:11
129:19	divide 34:14	168:11,22 169:14	doing 21:22 38:10
differs 77:17 78:17	docs 189:11 190:6	169:20 171:19	41:6 65:17 127:3
difficult 144:3	doctor 16:6	173:10,20,22,24	129:14,15 137:13
direct 123:16	document 21:6	174:25 177:15,16	138:15 140:6 150:8
directed 110:2	51:12,15,18 57:2,6	177:18 178:10	178:4
	57:10 60:21 62:5,11	179:5 180:21,23,24	

[dollars - energy]

dollars 12:21	eastern 16:5	effort 178:2	160:18 161:18
double 36:1 136:14	eastern 10:5 echo 129:3	enort 178:2 egs 1:3 9:24	162:10 165:20
double 30.1 130.14 doubt 122:22		eighth 160:8	224:4
doug 114:23 115:12	economy 150:7 edit 37:10	either 27:14 44:19	employees 48:8,10
0		46:20 50:15 57:12	49:19 50:13 53:20
129:2,5 173:24	editing 20:24 98:19		
200:16 219:6 222:3	119:25	72:19 73:6 96:22	97:8,13 99:18 100:7
doug's 115:10,12	edition 59:16,22	98:17 166:7 176:4	115:15 116:19
draft 36:23 58:15	60:2 153:16,17	191:6 222:10	117:1,4 118:19
69:23 74:14 75:20	155:2,12,21 156:8	elaborate 45:8	170:5 193:16
81:11 82:2 84:2,20	158:14 159:13,17	elaborating 70:13	224:16
96:20 98:9 100:14	159:21	elected 40:7,8 78:21	empowering 145:18
108:3,5,7 117:8	editions 60:18	electric 206:10	enacted 69:15,15
187:23 213:18	153:23 176:4	electronic 3:19	100:2 103:12
drafted 224:1,3	192:23	10:11 59:8,11,18	encompass 23:2
drafting 95:13 96:4	editorial 37:13	72:20 73:7,8 74:3	encourage 149:21
100:16,18 101:22	38:24 39:1,3,7,9,17	79:10 126:7	149:25 210:21
204:4,5 210:23	44:2,6 157:10,12,14	electronically 67:2	211:6 220:11
drafts 20:24 21:3	edits 37:17 98:12	elements 53:10	encouraging 145:22
36:9,9,15,25 41:23	220:3,21	elizabeth 187:21	210:13,24
42:7 43:5 96:6 97:1	educate 114:13	email 8:6,6,8,8,10	ended 192:4
170:16 171:12	139:13 199:15	8:10,12,12 38:7,11	endorsed 113:17
191:25	educating 139:2	38:14 98:22,22	ends 89:8 95:4
draw 100:14	146:15	107:24 109:18,21	energy 29:23 30:5,7
drive 67:3,8	education 139:1	128:2,4,5 156:4,13	30:8,15,20 32:1,4
due 123:15 151:3	199:6	156:24 157:7,18	99:12 102:25 104:3
duly 10:23	educational 16:4	158:3 173:23 174:2	105:3 108:1,6,16,22
dunlap 206:23	139:22	174:3,24 175:6,7	108:25 109:4,11
duties 21:21 36:21	effective 69:11,12	177:24 178:22	110:6,11,14,17
187:24	127:8 143:24 144:4	179:1 180:16,25	111:1,14,16,18,24
dwgp 206:11	effectiveness 214:8	181:16 186:8 188:7	112:1,22 113:5,9,14
e	efficiency 30:10,16	188:13 189:8 191:7	113:22 120:24
e 30:7 89:7 90:19	30:20 32:5 99:12	199:24 200:14	126:18 127:7,17
140:20 201:10	104:4 105:3 109:4	201:19 202:21	131:11,15 133:7
226:1,1	126:19 140:18	206:8,22 207:10,22	134:11,19,23
earlier 6:14 52:23	141:13 142:13,20	208:5,14 210:2,9,11	135:14,22,24,25
94:17 140:21	198:8 199:16	211:18 212:13	136:3,12,17 138:18
153:23 167:15	213:19 214:6,7	219:20 220:19	140:16,17 141:2,3,8
223:24	efficient 29:23	221:3,11,25 222:7,8	141:9,10,13 142:5
earliest 188:13	102:25 112:22	emmerich 8:6	142:13,20,23,25
early 210:15	120:24 127:7,17	206:24 207:2,10	143:1,14 146:3
easier 116:8 120:10	136:3 138:18 141:3	211:22	149:15 150:20,21
195:1 200:25	141:8,9,10 142:5	employ 226:7	150:24 171:24
easiest 27:1	149:15 198:10	employed 179:16,19	172:5,10,18,23
	219:25	employee 23:7	196:15,16 197:20
		146:18,19,19	197:20,22 198:8,10

[energy - exhibit]

	1		
198:12,13 199:16	136:7,13,18 141:1	example 22:18	63:1,3,7,9,13,15,20
206:14 213:17,19	150:23 151:20	27:20 42:21 89:6	63:22 64:2,4,8,10
214:6 220:15	174:8 220:13	102:8 104:2 141:8	65:1,3 68:6,8,14,22
221:18,20	eq 198:16	191:25	68:23 69:14,18,20
energy's 110:4	equal 101:19	examples 27:18	71:20,22 74:7,9,23
172:1	equation 155:3	exception 34:18	74:24 75:4,8,13,15
enforce 150:12	equipment 144:4	80:11 83:2 85:21	75:24 76:4,6,10,12
151:24	213:25	excerpts 178:25	76:20,25 77:2,13,15
enforcement 120:17	equivalent 31:13	exchange 157:7,18	77:20 78:2,5,7,13
148:15 149:11	45:17 123:25 128:6	158:4 173:23 188:7	78:18 79:3,5,12,13
150:5 151:1,6,10,22	128:10,17 130:6	excluded 12:19,24	80:8,10,13,13,15,17
engage 145:18	171:2,4,8,13	excom 41:9,11,12	81:2,4,6,17,19,21
engaged 144:12	eric 157:12	121:23 122:1	82:7,9,11 83:1,6,8
181:9	errata 6:13,14 44:4	excuse 22:13 51:16	83:18,20,22 84:8,11
engineering 37:23	153:12,15,20,22	56:1 58:18 68:16	84:11,13,15 85:3,4
103:16 179:22	154:4,11,16,18,22	75:23 77:21 86:11	85:7,9,19,20,25
engineers 1:6,14	155:11,20 156:5,8	86:13 87:6 109:17	86:2,12,13,16,17,20
10:19 51:11 119:2	156:14,16,17	113:23 116:22	86:21 87:8,11,12,17
158:22	erratum 152:16,25	139:14 140:22	87:19 89:20,24 90:2
ensure 148:16	153:9 157:9	148:21 149:20	90:3,7,9,20,24
entail 21:4,5	erroneous 13:8	150:17 180:23	93:17 94:2 105:8,9
enter 87:23 88:9	error 154:2 156:18	executing 53:16	106:1 107:13,13,17
89:9,11,13 91:7	errors 152:12	executive 33:20	107:18 108:8,8,9
135:8,23 136:4	especially 59:11	41:13 47:23,24	109:16,17,22,24
entered 66:3 88:6	112:7	48:21 182:25	110:20 113:4
89:5,18 169:6	esq 2:7,16 3:8	198:15	117:10,12 122:2,4
entering 87:24	essentially 99:4	exhibit 4:8,9,10,12	127:19,21 131:3,5
entirely 178:16,18	132:5 150:18	4:13,14,15,16,17,18	134:4,6 136:22,24
entirety 32:14 45:21	establish 121:7	4:19,20,21,22,23,24	148:5,7,23,25 149:4
entities 191:10	143:5,15 147:22	4:25 5:2,3,4,5,6,7,8	149:8 150:14
entitled 217:8	et 8:9,10 9:20 120:1	5:9,10,11,12,13,14	151:13 153:2,4
entity 219:8,11	etheridge 34:4	5:15,16,17,18,19,20	154:13,15 155:5,7
envelope 138:17	evaluate 214:4	5:21,22,23,24,25	155:15,17,25 156:2
environment 147:21	event 43:9 135:16	6:3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11	156:20,22,25 157:3
219:25	135:19	6:12,13,14,16,17,18	157:5,8,15,16,24
environmental	eventually 113:4	6:19,20,21,22,23,24	158:1,4,6,8 159:3,5
99:13	everyday 187:14	6:25 7:2,3,4,5,6,7,8	159:22,24 162:20
epa 201:8 213:17	evidence 53:19	7:9,10,11,12,13,14	162:22 163:8,10
epact 30:4,6,12,19	exact 22:17,18	7:15,16,17,18,19,20	164:1,3 165:5,7
30:23 31:10,22	170:21,24	7:21,22,23,24,25	167:2,4,21,23
109:4 120:17,21	exactly 12:23 46:1	8:2,3,4,5,6,8,10,12	169:11,13 171:16
123:13 127:14,15	83:3 114:3 217:3	8:14,15,16 51:8	171:18 173:17,19
127:16 128:19	examination 4:2	55:20,20,20,25 57:1	174:18,18 175:6,13
130:9,11,13,25	10:25 52:5,9 223:21	61:9,11,21 62:2,5,8	177:12,14 180:18
134:24,25 135:5		62:10,14,16,20,22	180:20 184:25

[exhibit - form]

105 0 100 1 0 14	175.10	100 01 101 5	m 104 22 105 4
185:2 188:1,3,14	extension 175:12	190:21 191:5	firms 184:22 185:4
192:24 193:5	extent 183:9	200:10 209:16	first 10:23 11:16
195:20,22 196:19	extra 26:2	223:10 225:5	18:2 41:16 42:1,4
196:21 197:12,14	eyes 129:23	feeling 27:12	43:24 51:18 52:1
197:24 198:1,17,19	f	fees 110:17	66:11 72:25 73:5,17
199:8,10,18,20	f 157:1 226:1	fellowship 146:10	73:21 77:14 84:9
201:23,25 202:10	faa 210:5	146:11,20,23 147:9	91:2 97:16,17
202:12 206:1,1,13	face 204:7,7	femp 201:9	104:22 126:5
206:16,18 207:24	facilitate 136:12	fenwick 3:7 10:10	134:21 146:2
208:1 209:19,21	facilitating 112:5	11:3	148:12 149:9
211:10,12 213:3,5	facilities 213:23	fenwick.com 3:13	159:10 163:2
217:24 218:3	facility 59:13	ferguson 8:8,8 34:3	169:20 171:5 174:1
219:16,18 221:5,7		35:14,15,23 36:19	175:13 179:23
223:24	fact 90:4 120:18	36:23 38:4,9 170:11	180:1,14 182:15
exhibits 5:1 6:2 7:1	151:3,19 199:14	170:19 206:25	192:2 223:23
8:1 64:16 68:17	factors 13:3,3,6,6	207:5 208:15 215:9	five 24:13 44:15,15
73:15 78:24,25	125:24	216:3 220:9 222:2,4	50:8 175:25 176:10
91:12 107:16,16	facts 198:23	ferguson's 35:18	fix 37:11
157:19 174:21	failed 196:14	field 87:25	fixed 44:3
192:18 206:4	fall 22:15	fields 24:25	fleishmanhillard
existed 98:24	falls 122:9 132:15	fifth 118:24	219:12
existing 170:20	141:5	fight 203:12	floor 3:10
exists 112:24 150:19	familiar 18:19 64:20	file 44:19 164:25	flow 120:10
208:16	71:15 117:16 218:5	166:6 169:23 192:7	flux 141:13
exo 48:2	218:22 219:8,11,14	filed 56:3 180:11	focused 122:20
expand 119:14	family 16:16	files 61:1	folks 128:8 184:8,18
expanding 144:17	fanara 213:17	filing 182:15	198:24
expect 185:13	far 58:22 187:10	fill 79:1 88:4 166:13	follow 30:23 31:17
expected 52:11	223:8	166:16	44:14 58:25 96:25
_	favor 53:17	filled 21:8 24:25	147:13 189:19
expensive 127:4	featured 76:19		
experience 13:18 16:14	features 112:19	final 36:14,15	followed 171:11
	february 52:3 64:14	163:23 168:21	following 140:15
expert 102:19,22	165:2 190:11	finally 47:23	142:22 161:21
expertise 35:6 43:23	federal 29:22 30:2,9	financially 226:8	162:13 189:5 224:7
101:4,21,24 102:6,7	100:22 106:17	find 38:8 61:3 66:24	follows 10:24
102:15,17 103:2,11	111:9 151:20 175:9	154:24 216:11	163:21
103:16,18 147:23	175:16 176:3 202:9	finds 152:18	followup 148:9
199:4 204:5 211:9	203:3 205:20,21	fine 51:21 55:15	149:5 150:14
experts 101:15	212:17 215:6	185:17 200:20	force 117:14 118:12
explain 26:10 173:7	fee 2:7 10:20,20	223:18 225:6	196:10
explained 143:25	104:11,20 105:1	finish 28:9 223:15	foregoing 226:4,5
144:1	117:6 134:3 182:7	fire 1:4	form 13:11 23:5
expression 53:18	183:8,25 185:3,17	firm 16:21,22,25	24:5,11 27:13,15
extend 178:2	185:24 186:3	17:7,12,18,20	28:20 30:24 31:11
			31:23 32:24 33:8

[form - grassroots]

40.15.46.10.10		1 1 7 1 2	05 10 05 110 10
42:15 46:12,19	forwarded 95:1	generally 151:3	95:18,25 110:18
50:14 51:2 60:11	179:2 186:20	224:23	171:5,8 223:9
61:13 65:18,25	found 23:18 128:17	generate 29:24	going 27:4,10 44:19
67:10 69:22 70:4,19	180:9	generated 96:23	44:21 51:4 55:7,17
71:2,4,8 72:11,16	foundation 3:19	224:16	55:22 60:20 72:10
72:17,23,24 73:3	10:11 190:22	generates 89:6	73:24 74:17,20 93:8
74:13 75:3,19 76:16	four 16:19,20 17:12	204:16	93:14,18 96:20
77:7,16 78:19,21	17:20 40:12,15,21	gentlemen 210:11	107:25 108:19
79:15 80:3,19 81:10	40:22 96:15,16	georgia 1:21 9:17	111:22 116:13,16
82:1,19 83:13 84:1	143:2 204:6,7,8	15:24 18:1,3,5	124:19 131:2 144:2
84:19 85:13 88:7	fourth 148:12 149:8	226:2	152:4,7 177:19
92:2,6,18 99:20	150:17 153:22	gestures 14:5	185:13,18 186:9
100:10 101:25	frame 132:23	getting 113:15	192:12,15 194:16
103:4,13 104:10,20	134:12 135:4	188:15 207:6	201:20 203:6
109:1,12 117:6	172:13,15	222:20	204:15,15 205:20
118:5 128:15	francisco 2:19 3:11	gg 6:14 153:22	205:23 214:4
129:21 131:22	free 126:6 176:1,11	give 13:1 22:17	215:14 220:2,21
134:3 136:8 138:22	front 168:10	27:18 110:9 197:7	225:7
163:14 164:7 165:9	frontier 3:19 10:11	199:3,3	good 121:6 123:8,8
165:13 166:11,21	fulfill 196:14	given 52:23 92:15	123:11 133:25
167:6,17 168:1	fulks 34:3	115:3,3 131:9,14	150:8
169:16 170:8,18	full 15:12 36:6	172:6 181:24,25	gordon 198:6
171:21 172:12	89:11 96:20 148:13	222:25 226:6	gosh 13:12
182:6 188:24	149:9 166:8 204:1,7	gives 24:12,19 65:11	gotten 150:12 172:5
189:16,21 190:14	204:8 205:9	202:7	government 23:3,7
191:5,13 193:1,20	fulton 226:2	giving 13:23 15:8	23:13 52:17 105:16
209:16 222:22	fundamentals	70:7,24,25	105:19 114:14
formal 165:19,24	158:14,16,21,25	go 9:9 14:20 23:11	115:10,13,14,17,22
166:9	193:4	23:13 27:11,19	115:25 116:6
format 37:7,9 59:9	funding 110:11	37:11 38:8,10 41:16	128:22 147:17,20
59:11 97:21 98:24	121:7 147:4,5 202:5	42:11,14,15 51:22	147:23 148:1,2
119:24 194:18	202:9	70:24 72:10 74:15	151:4,20 205:19
195:5,9,18 212:7	funds 110:15	87:25 88:15 90:16	210:12,19,20
formatting 120:7,15	further 109:21	95:3,17 96:2,21	212:20 215:6
194:17 195:3	156:25 157:7	97:17 103:18	governmental
formed 43:12	200:11 226:6	111:23 113:6	205:13 222:14
forms 25:6,14 26:8	future 128:13 143:3	126:19,20 136:14	governments 114:13
28:22,24 29:1 53:17	g	136:20 144:24	145:11
56:14,14,15,19,22	gabrielli 1:24 10:6	186:3 194:25	graduate 16:12 17:8
60:14 166:14,17	226:3,11	202:17 207:16	graduated 17:15,17
167:19,19	gain 91:10	214:15	grant 179:4
forth 14:19 132:12	general 22:11 36:20	goal 136:5	grassroots 115:24
forward 37:15	106:7 221:25	goals 108:20 136:2	144:17,21,25 145:1
41:20 87:25 88:15	100.7 221.23	goes 27:5 39:12,19	145:6,22 146:8
97:25 142:13		42:5 58:15 89:8	

[greater - identification]

	I		1
greater 133:7 205:4	226:9	harder 150:12	honest 32:3
green 27:21 126:23	handbook 91:17	he'll 35:25,25 38:2	hope 132:12
126:23,24,24 127:1	92:15,24 93:5	head 22:6 33:11	horner 9:10
127:1 138:16	158:14,15,21,25	41:7 182:23 183:1	hour 55:8
148:11,11 220:1	177:5,6,8 193:4	187:13	hours 204:3 223:12
224:20,21,22	224:9	header 153:14	house 143:12 148:10
greening 219:25	handed 148:22	heading 56:11	housing 212:10,16
griffin 186:11	handing 51:7 55:24	healthy 219:25	hr 140:16
187:16	57:1 61:20 62:4,9	heard 18:16	hud 211:20 212:1,6
group 34:19 45:6	62:15,21 63:2,8,14	hearing 56:12,13	212:9,15
48:3 49:15 140:5,8	63:21 64:3,9 65:2	hearings 170:13	huge 204:10
218:6,6,8,9,23	68:7 69:19 71:21	205:10,12	hundred 204:17
219:2,5,21	74:8 75:14 76:11	heating 1:5,14 10:17	hurst 200:19
group's 123:3	77:1 78:6 79:4 80:9	18:20 51:11 102:14	i
groups 47:21 138:5	80:16 81:5,20 82:10	held 9:14,22 184:4	
204:4	83:7,21 84:14 85:8	help 21:10 36:2	i.e. 128:10 ia 206:11
growing 131:20	86:1,21 87:18 90:8	41:18 103:15 136:5	
132:19,23	94:1 117:11 122:3	136:12 139:7	iald 206:11 iapmo 45:10 100:5
guarantee 59:10	127:20 131:4 134:5	149:24 187:22	iatmo 205:17
guess 51:3 124:15	136:23 148:6,24	helped 216:10,11	icc 32:7 45:9 168:4
128:12 134:12	153:3 154:14 155:6	helpful 207:6	
136:2 182:4,8,10	155:16 156:1,21	helping 36:4	169:1,4,7,18 170:1 170:6 171:22 172:2
183:5 191:1	157:4,16,25 158:7	helps 25:3	170:0 171:22 172:2
guessing 52:2 155:3	159:4,23 162:21	hereunto 226:9	172:11 175:3,0,12
guidance 41:19	163:9 164:2 165:6	hi 11:2	icec 129:5
220:16	167:3,22 169:12	high 94:21 124:16	idea 109:6 133:16
guide 220:15	171:17 173:18	124:18 125:3	147:8
guideline 46:8 74:14	174:20 177:13	137:16,20,21 138:5	identical 80:13
75:20 77:12 78:11	180:19 185:1 188:2	138:6,14,16 139:11	86:17,18 90:2,23
81:11 82:2 84:2,20	195:21 196:20	140:6 208:24	171:13
87:1 94:11 95:6	197:13,25 198:18	higher 26:4 27:6	identification 55:21
guidelines 164:8	199:9,19 201:24	34:15	62:2,8,14,20 63:1,7
213:24	202:11 206:3,17	hill 114:16,20 115:1	63:13,20 64:2,8
guys 177:25 178:3	207:25 209:20	115:20 116:9	65:1 68:6 69:18
h	211:11 213:4	history 108:21	71:20 74:7 75:13
hakkar 162:1	219:17 221:6	121:4	76:10,25 78:5 79:3
hakkarainen 162:2	handled 166:25	hit 88:11 89:9	80:8,15 81:4,19
162:3,7,10	handwritten 74:4	hmm 140:14	82:9 83:6,20 84:13
hakkarainen's	hang 196:4	hoc 118:17,21	85:7,25 86:20 87:17
162:15	happened 107:10	196:10	90:7 93:17 107:14
half 195:8	happening 205:25	hold 19:11,19,25	117:10 122:2
hand 74:4 82:22	happenings 207:4	20:7 185:24	127:19 131:3 134:4
85:17 86:8 105:22	happy 203:12	holness 198:7	136:22 148:5,23
107:15 164:23	hard 176:16 201:19	home 142:21	153:2 154:13 155:5
_			

[identification - international]

155.15 25 156.20	implementation	incomposition 120.7	initiation 44:20
155:15,25 156:20 157:3,15,24 158:6	implementation 109:25	incorporation 130:7	180:15
, , ,		136:13,18 170:6	
159:3,22 162:20	implementing 198:15	incorrect 37:7,9 increase 119:1	initiative 112:23
163:8 164:1 165:5			innovation 112:23
167:2,21 169:11	important 102:21	189:10 190:5	inquire 223:8
171:16 173:17	146:1 149:13 155:4	increased 123:6	insert 73:11,14 90:5
174:19 177:12	inbox 221:25	index 4:1	inserted 161:11
180:18 184:25	inch 158:14 195:8	indicate 95:21	inside 158:18
188:1 195:20	inches 195:7	indicated 188:10	insofar 92:10 93:9
196:19 197:12,24	include 53:8 70:17	212:2 214:1	120:2
198:17 199:8,18	70:20,21 77:8 78:13	indicates 158:4	instance 43:8 71:7
201:23 202:10	79:12 82:6,25 83:17	indicating 203:5	141:17,19,22
206:2,16 207:24	89:20 90:20 104:21	212:8 221:13	153:19 161:10
209:19 211:10	106:6,16,17 121:16	indications 128:9	instances 114:6
213:3 219:16 221:5	133:1 145:14,15	individual 23:10	125:1
identify 10:4 103:20	155:1 156:8 163:17	78:12 80:6 87:2	institute 45:1
216:24 217:18	164:10 165:13	140:10 145:2,25	instruct 183:11,13
iecc 31:24,25 32:10	167:10 177:5,6	161:11,13 201:12	183:15
32:11,20,21 33:2,7	203:1 205:18,22	individually 53:21	intellectual 187:1
113:24 114:2,7,8,10	210:24	140:4 201:16	190:25
121:3,3 123:22,23	included 77:19	individuals 78:25	intends 210:14
124:3 125:16 128:6	79:19 132:25 150:9	91:7 99:17,25 100:7	intent 97:24
128:10,11,18 129:7	168:14,18 174:25	114:22 118:18	intentions 210:16
129:11,15,19 130:5	189:2 196:12	125:1 163:6	interaction 41:4
130:14,25 140:20	203:10,13 214:5	indoor 99:12,13	45:3,5 110:2 171:9
141:4,15,16,24	includes 79:9	210:3	interest 21:17,18,19
142:9,11,12,14,18	105:13 106:11	industrial 206:14	21:24 22:2,6 24:7
142:19 143:7	138:7 164:12 196:3	industry 18:20	24:11 48:16 49:23
150:25 168:6,9,15	196:9	22:10 42:25 43:17	50:3,5,9,10,10
168:18,19 169:8	including 52:17	48:14 101:17 106:7	57:19 101:21,23
170:2,7 172:11,25	53:11,19 110:1	204:18,24	105:10,12,15 106:4
173:8,25 174:7,11	189:11 203:4 205:7	influencing 138:19	106:7,12,20,21,24
174:16 222:25	inclusion 172:11	inform 118:6	107:5,8,11 183:10
223:3	incoming 40:10,14	information 12:12	189:3 219:24
ies 159:12	incomplete 28:9,18	12:15 14:15,16	interested 147:21
iesna 155:11,21	29:11	66:14 79:10 80:6	226:8
159:16,20 160:5,6	incorporate 138:21	102:10,18 107:1	interfaces 148:3
163:3,4	139:6,8	132:13 155:2 180:3	interfere 9:7
igcc 144:10 220:2	incorporated 9:21	181:23,24 187:7,9	international 1:3
illness 15:9	44:5 136:7 175:8	197:7 199:3 202:7	10:21 32:1,4,6 41:7
image 140:12	176:2 177:9	infringement	47:10,15,17,19
images 119:25	incorporates 175:21	191:10,20 192:7,7	100:4 110:22 111:9
imc 207:7	176:8,23	222:18 223:4	150:21 168:1 169:2
impact 128:13	incorporating	initial 43:5 184:8	169:16 171:21
	139:14,14 212:21		205:17 221:19,20

[internet - kslaw.com]

internet 98:23	issued 43:1 96:21	judiciary 186:13	69:7 71:7 79:25
186:14	170:4	july 64:6	89:14 91:1 99:12
internship 146:24	issues 41:20,24 48:5	june 8:11 15:19	101:19 102:10
interpret 132:6	56:11 126:12	63:18 123:3 137:8	103:11 104:8,13,16
interpretation 41:6	139:13 146:1	167:9	109:14 112:17,21
46:6,13,13,14 95:1	188:18,23 189:1,1	juris 16:6	117:23 119:6,10
interpreting 157:21	205:11 208:24,25	jurisdiction 46:22	120:13 121:21,24
interprets 46:11	218:11	51:6 124:5,7 168:11	123:18 124:12
intersociety 41:8	items 36:1 178:25	jurisdictions 130:17	125:14,18 126:1,15
47:11	191:6	144:24 148:15	126:17 127:4,5
introduced 51:12	j	149:11 150:4	128:23,25 130:2
142:24	j 2:7,16	justification 204:20	131:23,24 133:10
involve 20:19 21:9	j 2.7,10 james 161:14	justified 127:2	133:21 136:1
23:25 183:16	january 65:7 68:13	204:22	137:12 138:3 145:3
involved 11:18,25	68:24 69:6 76:7	k	145:19 146:12
22:19,20 24:14,22	80:25 83:16 84:5	k 140:16 157:2	147:11 155:2
97:13 138:5,8,25	85:2 154:18 189:12	220:16	160:14 164:24
145:1 183:18	190:6 196:2,4,4,6	kathleen 17:1,2,3,5	166:19 171:25
184:10 188:15	jarnigan 200:15	katrina 25:9,10 34:2	172:21 174:5
211:19 213:21	jci 206:11	36:2	176:15,19 177:4,8
216:18	jd 16:12	keep 58:19,22 61:1	177:11 179:14
involvement 20:15	jeff 33:18 114:23	66:2 80:2 122:20	181:25 183:2
47:16	115:1,2 121:22	keeping 58:25	184:14,16,18,20,22
involves 20:20,20	122:1 142:24	keeping 56.25 kent 148:9 149:2,5	187:10 189:10
involving 185:5	180:25 182:18	150:15	190:2,4 191:24
ip 159:13,17,21,21	186:20 200:15	kept 66:6	194:17 195:2,7
186:13	202:21 203:9,10	kevin 2:7 10:20	200:22 202:22
iprpc 186:10,11,22	2202:21 203:3,10	200:19	203:11 204:22
187:3,12,16 188:9	jenkins 15:15,16	kin 226:6	207:8,20 210:6,16
188:12,16 189:2,12	jerry 156:6	kind 11:14 13:1	217:8,11 218:12
189:19 190:20	jim 115:20 158:5	22:14,21 66:14	223:6 224:1
191:4	jkfee 2:11	112:10 118:9	knowing 176:17
irc 142:18	job 1:25 11:18 18:2	173:12 209:9	knowledge 31:1
iron 59:9,12,19 61:2	18:13,23 21:21	king 2:15 10:16	52:22 53:2 65:24
61:5 67:5 165:4	204:2 205:9	knew 190:7,12	66:1 103:8 133:5
irrelevant 211:16	jobs 23:23	207:2,5 216:13	172:14
isaac 9:10	jodi 180:25 184:21	know 13:20 14:9,22	knowledgeable
iso 110:23 111:3	206:22,23,23	21:7 22:5 24:5,20	52:12
issue 11:16 38:20	208:11	27:4,15 29:19,20	known 32:7 124:23
44:4 52:17 53:13	join 137:18 189:19	33:11 35:7 36:4,4	190:17 219:8,11
66:5 151:4 152:10	189:24	39:7 43:1,24 44:21	knows 133:25
152:16,18,25 194:6	jonathan 163:16	46:18 48:5 50:8,17	krumm 17:1,3,5
207:19 210:1	jones 34:2	51:4,5 58:21 60:17	kslaw.com 2:21
211:17 213:10	Ĩ	61:4 65:17 66:11	

[l - looking]

1	182:20 199:25	levels 34:15 112:6	littleton 33:18
	200:4 201:4 211:8	lewis 2:6	114:23 115:1
I 181:19	215:8	liaison 25:19 26:15	121:22 181:1
lab 164:17	learning 110:2	34:22 35:20,24	182:18 200:16
labeled 61:6 117:13	leave 44:11 198:24	36:19 39:22 41:7	202:21 203:20
122:6 159:10,14,18	leaves 37:20 120:3	47:10 48:3,11 57:20	littleton's 33:19
160:25 197:14	leaving 199:1	95:10,16,17 187:17	186:20
199:21 201:25	leblanc 34:4	187:18 211:25	live 126:13 186:15
202:12 206:12	left 57:9 82:22 86:8	liaisons 41:17 57:21	llp 2:6 3:7
labeling 197:20	105:22 124:5	57:22 187:25	loads 35:3
laboratories 181:19	105.22 124.5	lighting 157:13	local 46:22 112:6
181:20	legal 1:20 9:11,15	limbo 28:11	115:21,22 145:10
laboratory 181:21	10:6 16:14 18:25		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
182:1		limited 13:14 43:4,8	
lack 190:21	70:15 93:10 173:2	53:19	146:7 150:9 151:4
ladies 210:10	181:1 184:5,10	line 60:21 64:24	215:6
language 73:9 77:19	188:20 189:1 191:2	72:22,25 79:18	located 9:15 116:3,7
77:22 79:9 92:20	193:21 214:23	84:10 88:10 129:13	lodge 207:16
101:5 117:9 143:17	215:2,20 216:16,20	129:13,15,15	log 61:1 90:16
167:18 172:7,10,19	216:22	163:23 187:2	logged 88:5 89:5,17
172:20 222:11	legislation 100:25	188:16 217:5	90:18
largely 151:3	101:22 102:11	link 186:15	logs 61:3
larger 140:5	140:12 142:2 209:4	links 186:16	long 13:13 16:18
larry 160:13,14	209:14 211:1,4,7	lisle 34:3	19:3,11,19,25 99:3
late 183:5	212:22	list 21:24 22:7 24:5	108:24 116:9
latest 31:4 120:22	legislator 102:16	61:11 138:2 156:9	127:14 133:16,21
law 13:24 16:7,16	legislators 210:13	156:15 176:14	138:2 156:15
16:24 17:15,17	210:21,24	177:1,4 214:9,10	214:18
29:22 30:2,9 100:17	legislature 199:16	listed 23:8 58:11	longer 133:19 205:1
103:12,18 138:21	210:23 219:23	109:17,20 138:9	208:16
139:6,9,15 143:18	length 195:4	154:10 168:10	look 22:8,16 23:6,16
144:5 177:9 203:22	lengthy 204:17	192:24 193:5	32:3 38:11 74:23
204:12,13,15 205:4	letter 36:8 38:22,23	201:12	80:3 107:2,5,6
205:21,23	39:12 88:25 160:3	listen 204:19	114:5 133:18 135:6
laws 100:15,19	180:10 198:5	listening 10:13	146:16 156:6
130:8,16 140:23	210:15	listing 106:3	158:18 159:2 160:7
205:7	letters 89:6 192:4	lists 56:14,15,17,18	165:1 168:8 175:14
lawsuit 11:17,19,23	letting 202:22	56:20 58:19,20	180:8 186:23
180:11,15,17 181:3	level 26:3,14,14,14	61:10 147:23 153:9	188:14 189:6
181:10,17 182:10	26:19,24,25 27:2,21	listserv 187:6,8	198:15 202:4
182:12,16 183:7,24	27:22,23 29:16,16	188:11	204:20 223:23
lawyer 183:12	29:20 30:15 32:16	litigation 180:6	looking 23:9 36:3
leadership 108:1	41:16 42:7,8 94:21	182:2,5 218:20,21	61:8 64:15 74:22
114:15,25 135:21	110:9 126:17	little 45:8 96:11	81:12 84:3,24 85:16
136:20 142:23	144:16 151:24	151:15,18	86:8 103:7 175:13
	166:8,8 208:24		187:1 204:22 209:1

[looks - mean]

	1		1
looks 25:18 39:16	115:9 128:21	93:17 94:2 107:13	martha 156:11
175:10 201:10	210:11	107:16 117:10,12	match 88:18
202:2 220:17	managers 34:23	119:20 122:2,4	material 70:8,21
loses 44:24	35:1	124:8 127:19,21	98:19 122:7 168:14
losing 12:20	mandatory 208:13	131:3,5 134:4,6	168:17 180:9,9
lost 105:4	manner 28:17	136:22,24 148:5,7	191:16 208:10
lot 20:20 29:24	manning 34:1	148:23,25 153:2,4	216:12
35:25 120:20	manual 208:10	154:13,15 155:5,7	materials 1:3 9:20
125:24 137:25	manuals 177:2	155:15,17,25 156:2	175:22 176:9,24,25
204:16 216:11	manufacturer	156:20,22 157:3,5	187:22
lots 187:4	179:22	157:15,17,24 158:1	mathis 118:1 224:3
low 133:1	manufacturing	158:6,8 159:3,5,22	224:4
lubliner 211:19	212:10	159:24 162:20,22	mathis's 117:24
lunch 93:12,16 94:5	manz 221:11 222:11	163:8,10 164:1,3	matt 51:20 55:7
139:20 218:13	222:12	165:5,7 167:2,4,21	72:11 73:25 122:8
224:6	march 1:18 8:13	167:23 169:11,13	131:23 185:3
lynn 200:14	9:12 62:13 76:23	171:16,18 173:17	202:16 217:3,21
m	81:15 82:5 85:18	173:19 174:18,21	matter 216:18
madam 223:7	105:23 203:14,18	177:12,14 180:18	matters 11:14
maiden 15:15	mark 8:10,12 34:1,1	180:20 184:25	matthew 3:8 10:9
main 34:20	34:17 35:8 44:15	185:2 188:1,3	11:2
maintenance 58:16	69:3 72:6 114:23	195:20,22 196:19	mature 132:19
60:6,7,11,13 65:6	157:20 158:4 175:6	196:21 197:12,14	maturity 131:20
66:9 67:24 68:12	200:16 206:9	197:24 198:1,17,19	132:20 133:7
69:5,8 72:2 76:17	211:24 212:2 215:4	199:8,10,18,20	max 206:24
80:19 82:20 83:12	216:2 218:24 219:1	201:23,25 202:10	mbecker 3:13
85:15 96:12,24	219:20 220:17	202:12 206:1,4,16	mcfarlin 34:2
97:14 133:3 163:16	221:25 222:2	206:18 207:24	mchugh 163:16,23
164:9 165:10 166:6	mark's 115:9	208:1 209:19,21	mcsherry 3:19
167:8,18	marked 51:8 55:21	211:10,12 213:3,5	10:11
major 144:8 153:14	55:25 57:2 61:21	219:16,18 221:5,7	mean 21:12 22:21
204:16	62:2,4,8,10,14,16,20	market 121:14	28:4,4,21 31:7,9
majority 34:10,21	62:22 63:1,3,7,9,13	141:14	32:12 33:6 34:7
47:14 213:21	63:15,20,22 64:2,4	marketing 102:12	37:18,19 47:2 50:7
makers 145:19,23	64:8,10 65:1,3,18	117:14 118:8,10,11	57:12 58:1,2 59:5
146:1	68:6,8 69:18,20	118:12,19 121:4,7,8	60:6 63:12 68:21
making 21:5,12	71:20,22 72:13 74:7	121:9,11,13,16,19	88:20 92:19,22
29:12 36:4 98:12	74:9 75:13,15 76:10	122:9 123:3 196:10	96:13 100:17 102:2
139:5 171:11	76:12,25 77:2 78:5	197:1	102:7 105:22
malamud 3:18	78:7 79:3,5 80:8,10	marketplace 12:20	106:21 113:10,12
10:14	80:15,17 81:4,6,19	12:25 13:5 117:22	116:20 121:1
malamud's 186:16	81:21 82:9,11 83:6	125:12	123:23 124:2
manager 19:17,24	83:8,20,22 84:13,15	markey 140:17	126:20 128:14,18
20:6,10 34:6 35:19	85:7,9,25 86:2,20	married 15:19	130:6,11,15 131:21
	87:17,19 90:7,9		132:9 136:1 144:11

[mean - multiple]

	115 05 110 0		
151:17 163:22	117:25 118:2	memorized 138:3	missed 72:22 94:4
169:25 172:9	131:24 133:17,19	memory 216:18	missing 73:19 79:17
176:25 181:7	145:25 146:17,18	mentioned 42:14	179:1 213:22 222:7
182:20,22 187:18	160:17 161:17	47:23 60:5 113:13	mission 99:3,5
195:9 200:5,21,21	162:9 164:16	160:10 224:20	109:14
205:15 209:3,14	165:17 166:5 187:3	mentions 198:12	mistaken 142:1
222:8 223:3	187:5,5,16 188:8	mess 195:3	mister 162:6
meaning 21:7,15	203:8 207:3,6	met 13:6 97:24	model 45:7,9,12
207:3 208:12	members 26:21 29:3	143:15 214:18,22	100:15,18 143:3
means 14:5 31:12	40:6,8,19 45:14	method 27:23,25	modifications
32:13 33:4 42:21	48:7,13,17,18 49:20	31:3 43:18	168:25
50:9 52:11 57:11	49:22 56:16,20	metrics 214:5	modified 143:5,15
75:5,10 93:5 124:3	57:18 61:10,12 95:8	mexico 137:7	modify 70:10
125:15 126:17	96:18 98:8,15	meyers 34:3	moment 46:17 102:3
187:19 224:10	114:20 118:21	michael 207:21	209:21 211:12
226:5	136:17 138:9,10,20	michigan 16:6 18:1	monday 1:18 188:21
meant 60:1 129:6	138:25 139:3,13,25	mick 178:23 179:7	189:5 196:6
measure 141:10,12	140:4 146:6,15	179:10,12,19	month 140:3 166:21
mechanical 221:15	163:1 171:15	microphones 9:2,6	218:11
221:17,20	173:14,15 186:19	middle 125:10	months 69:4 167:1
mechanism 151:22	187:4,12 193:11,15	150:18 203:21	178:4
mechanisms 148:16	193:23 194:1,5	mike 211:19	moot 56:23
149:12 150:5 151:1	198:25 199:2,15	millions 12:21	morgan 2:6
151:6,10	204:8 208:17 210:7	mind 70:13 188:20	morganlewis.com
media 203:3	212:20 214:11	minds 129:19	2:11
medication 15:9	membership 20:21	mine 89:7	morning 204:9
meet 136:11,17	21:2,6 23:21 24:2,3	minimal 191:8	motion 56:23 166:7
139:24 140:1,2,4	24:4 26:6,7,18,20	minimum 30:4,10	motions 157:10
143:4 151:2 201:20	28:1,3,16 29:4,11	30:11,13,15,19	mountain 59:9,12
218:10	36:1 41:23 42:4	109:4 111:9 126:13	59:20 61:2,6 67:5
meeting 35:4 69:7	50:2 67:18 77:7	126:14,16,17 127:7	165:4
107:25 123:3 137:7	78:12 79:1 86:7	127:17 137:23	mous 136:4
140:7,10 184:8,9,11	87:2 94:10 95:8,11	138:18 220:11	move 18:5 37:15
184:12,15,17,19,23	96:14 100:13	minnesota 221:12	107:8
189:4 196:1,4,5	110:17,19 112:5	221:13,14,15,17,18	moved 18:1,3
200:1,4,5,9 201:5,5	166:11 188:10	minute 202:15	107:11 121:10
204:6	193:13,24 208:25	223:10	moves 41:19
meetings 36:6,7	memberships 50:3	minutes 20:23 36:17	moving 40:25
200:17 201:4,7,15	167:15	38:12,13,16 48:12	142:12
204:8 215:9,12,12	memorandum	55:8 196:1,3 221:22	multiple 40:19
meets 137:21 143:5	108:5,16 113:3	mischaracterization	41:17 65:19 134:18
member 60:9 78:22	134:10,15 135:23	145:13	145:4 173:6
78:23 87:15,15 88:9	135:23	misrepresent	
97:20 110:16,18	memorandums	215:10	
111:15 112:7	134:18,22 135:8		

[n - october]

	201.0.206.10	10.10	(0.00.(5.05.(5.10
n	nema 201:9 206:10	november 18:10	60:20 65:25 67:10
n 89:7 90:19 201:10	network 67:3,8	155:12,22 163:1	70:4,19 72:11,17
207:15	never 166:9 186:9	number 9:24 47:6	73:25 77:16 78:19
naiop 206:11	186:25	50:7,22 52:15 53:8	79:15 92:2,6,10,18
name 4:3 9:10,25	new 27:13 36:16	53:10,15,24 54:2,5	93:8 99:20 100:10
11:2 15:12,15,18	37:12 39:12 40:21	54:7,10,13,22 55:1	101:25 103:4,13
16:24 24:6 25:5,8	40:25 43:1 46:7,7	57:7 62:10,16,22	104:10 109:1,12
73:11,12,14 87:24	67:17 75:3 95:5	63:9,15,22 64:4,10	113:18 118:5 120:2
88:4,5,10,21,25	96:18 97:3 111:1	65:3,11,12,14 66:17	123:9 124:19 125:4
89:4,4,12,16,17,18	115:20 135:14	68:8,8 69:20,24	128:15 129:21
90:5,18 91:7 161:11	137:7 202:6 207:2,4	71:22 72:13,13 74:9	131:22 136:8
164:18 166:4	207:6,7	75:15 76:12 77:2	138:22 139:16
187:12	newest 120:23	80:10 81:21 82:15	172:3 177:19 182:6
named 182:24	news 121:6 123:8,8	83:8 84:22 85:9	209:23 213:8
names 15:14 88:14	123:11	86:22 88:2 90:13	objection 61:13
88:17 184:22	nfpa 45:9 47:2,3,5,7	94:2,14 103:24,25	70:14 88:7 104:11
naming 103:22	56:7 100:5 181:18	103:25 104:1 105:9	104:20 105:1 117:6
naseo 201:10	182:19,21 205:17	106:11 107:17,18	132:21 134:3 145:9
national 1:4 45:1	nicole 34:1	117:13 118:25	145:12 170:8
140:17 142:25	night 204:9	119:21 122:23	172:12 173:1
150:19 151:24	ninth 160:8	123:6 127:21 131:6	176:12 179:17
219:22,22	nist 207:11,13,14	131:18 132:16	182:7 183:8,14
nature 12:8 13:2	nm 142:24	134:6 136:24	184:1 188:24
nature 12.8 15.2 near 128:7	nods 14:5	137:14 159:5	189:16,21 190:14
	nonexclusive 70:8	167:24 177:15	190:21,23 191:5,12
necessarily 29:21 37:6 59:7,15 102:16	nonpolicy 26:14,14	178:3 189:10 190:5	191:21 192:9,25
necessary 103:2	26:24 27:7,11,22	195:23 196:22	193:6,10,20 207:17
148:15 149:11	42:8	198:2 199:11 206:4	208:3 209:16
148:13 149:11	nonsafety 21:15	206:5,8 224:19	211:14 222:21
	normal 127:4	numbered 155:17	223:5
need 14:4 22:16	156:19	156:2	objections 183:25
43:19 44:1 95:23	normally 156:15	numbering 195:16	objectives 124:10
96:1 103:14 104:7	187:14	195:17	observing 187:5
109:9 113:6 146:16	north 131:16	numbers 12:22,23	obstacle 120:1
148:13 149:9	northeast 9:16	13:7 80:12	obstacles 119:21
151:23 168:8 181:2	15:23	nw 2:8	obtain 79:1
188:19,23 202:8	note 9:2 57:6 88:1	0	obviously 51:21
206:20	187:10		214:17 222:7
needed 103:18	notes 133:4 144:15	o 201:10	occasions 214:23
178:24	199:25 200:24	oath 13:24	occur 107:25 200:6
needs 44:3,5 95:22	201:6 216:5	obama 198:7	occurred 51:24
103:17 172:23	notice 44:1 51:9	object 13:11 23:5	152:12 201:19
196:15	215:24 216:2,9	28:20 30:24 31:11	215:19
neiman 187:21	notification 44:20	31:23 32:24 33:8	october 78:4 86:10
		46:19 50:14 51:2	87:5
			57.5

[office - participating]

	r	1	
office 137:4,12	176:1,11 186:17,18	outcome 143:21	161:7,8,22 162:13
139:20 144:7,11	203:6	outlines 216:6	162:14 164:22,22
146:14 158:13	000 3:21 8:18	outside 58:5 60:22	168:21 169:20
159:12,16,20	225:10 226:20	92:11 99:15 178:7	174:1 175:14,19,25
192:20 210:12,20	operations 53:5	179:18 211:15	176:7,9,23 195:10
211:5 215:5 222:1	opinions 197:8	212:9	195:11 196:7,9
offices 147:23 148:1	204:19	outstanding 4:11	201:9 203:20
218:14	opportunities	overdo 49:8	pages 58:11 125:8
official 22:22 23:13	145:24	overhaul 120:15	126:3,11 160:10
108:5	opposed 27:11	oversee 20:17 21:1	paper 59:9,19 67:4
officially 208:12	195:10	100:18	68:4 98:24 165:3
officials 106:18	opposing 12:1	overseeing 20:22	212:7,7
114:14 119:2	option 32:11,15,19	oversees 45:6	paperwork 44:17
147:21 149:22	33:1,3 124:4 130:24	oversight 25:22 26:3	107:2,7
150:10 202:6	oranges 114:12	27:2,6 29:17 41:15	paradigm 126:12
205:19 215:7	order 4:10 79:1	47:14 49:16,17	paragraph 84:9
oh 73:19 105:4	87:25 88:8,11 91:10	95:16	85:22,22 89:23
118:1 137:25 138:3	91:16 103:3,11	owned 17:7,7	148:13 149:9
207:9 223:17	172:23 194:16	owner 127:5,9	150:18 175:14,20
okay 11:7,14,22	198:15	ownership 53:11,12	175:21 201:13
13:16 14:1 15:20	ordered 56:13,15	owns 70:10 71:2	203:21
16:10,24 17:16,23	61:10	92:23 93:3 224:8,15	paragraphs 174:9
10.10,211/.10,23	01110	12.23 13.3 227.0,13	paragraphs 1/4.7
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5	ordinances 100:15		parentheses 126:4
		р	4
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5	ordinances 100:15	p p 30:7 153:16	parentheses 126:4
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5 22:9 23:2,12 34:25	ordinances 100:15 100:19	p p 30:7 153:16 155:12 156:8	parentheses 126:4 137:17
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5 22:9 23:2,12 34:25 35:23 45:11 51:14	ordinances 100:15 100:19 ordinarily 65:23	p p 30:7 153:16 155:12 156:8 p.m. 225:9	parentheses 126:4 137:17 part 23:19 24:1
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5 22:9 23:2,12 34:25 35:23 45:11 51:14 60:25 61:22 89:20	ordinances 100:15 100:19 ordinarily 65:23 80:2	p p 30:7 153:16 155:12 156:8 p.m. 225:9 packet 162:25	parentheses 126:4 137:17 137:17 part 23:19 24:1 29:21 47:6,7 48:7
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5 22:9 23:2,12 34:25 35:23 45:11 51:14 60:25 61:22 89:20 94:15 95:17 97:24	ordinances 100:15 100:19 ordinarily 65:23 80:2 organization 47:19	p p 30:7 153:16 155:12 156:8 p.m. 225:9 packet 162:25 page 4:3,9 5:2 6:3	parentheses 126:4 137:17 137:17 part 23:19 24:1 29:21 47:6,7 48:7 109:3 118:10 10
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5 22:9 23:2,12 34:25 35:23 45:11 51:14 60:25 61:22 89:20 94:15 95:17 97:24 101:12 102:3 106:2	ordinances 100:15 100:19 ordinarily 65:23 80:2 organization 47:19 110:23 120:9 140:9	p p 30:7 153:16 155:12 156:8 p.m. 225:9 packet 162:25 page 4:3,9 5:2 6:3 7:2 8:2 52:5 53:23	parentheses 126:4 137:17 137:17 part 23:19 24:1 29:21 47:6,7 48:7 109:3 118:10 123:13 123:13 125:25 125:25
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5 22:9 23:2,12 34:25 35:23 45:11 51:14 60:25 61:22 89:20 94:15 95:17 97:24 101:12 102:3 106:2 111:17 117:4,15	ordinances 100:15 100:19 ordinarily 65:23 80:2 organization 47:19 110:23 120:9 140:9 180:8	p p 30:7 153:16 155:12 156:8 p.m. 225:9 packet 162:25 page 4:3,9 5:2 6:3 7:2 8:2 52:5 53:23 54:4 56:5,10 61:9	parentheses 126:4 137:17 137:17 part 23:19 24:1 29:21 47:6,7 48:7 109:3 118:10 123:13 125:25 138:1 141:1 142:19
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5 22:9 23:2,12 34:25 35:23 45:11 51:14 60:25 61:22 89:20 94:15 95:17 97:24 101:12 102:3 106:2 111:17 117:4,15 118:3 122:19	ordinances 100:15 100:19 ordinarily 65:23 80:2 organization 47:19 110:23 120:9 140:9 180:8 organizational 77:7	p p 30:7 153:16 155:12 156:8 p.m. 225:9 packet 162:25 page 4:3,9 5:2 6:3 7:2 8:2 52:5 53:23 54:4 56:5,10 61:9 72:6 74:23,25 76:22	parentheses 126:4 137:17 137:17 part 23:19 24:1 29:21 47:6,7 48:7 109:3 118:10 123:13 125:25 138:1 141:1 142:19 143:23 152:9 157:8
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5 22:9 23:2,12 34:25 35:23 45:11 51:14 60:25 61:22 89:20 94:15 95:17 97:24 101:12 102:3 106:2 111:17 117:4,15 118:3 122:19 127:23 132:2 135:3	ordinances 100:15 100:19 ordinarily 65:23 80:2 organization 47:19 110:23 120:9 140:9 180:8 organizational 77:7 78:22 86:7 87:15	p p 30:7 153:16 155:12 156:8 p.m. 225:9 packet 162:25 page 4:3,9 5:2 6:3 7:2 8:2 52:5 53:23 54:4 56:5,10 61:9 72:6 74:23,25 76:22 81:12 84:3 106:10	parentheses 126:4 137:17 137:17 part 23:19 24:1 29:21 47:6,7 48:7 109:3 118:10 123:13 125:25 138:1 141:1 142:19 143:23 152:9 157:8 164:21 166:11 166:11 166:11 166:11
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5 22:9 23:2,12 34:25 35:23 45:11 51:14 60:25 61:22 89:20 94:15 95:17 97:24 101:12 102:3 106:2 111:17 117:4,15 118:3 122:19 127:23 132:2 135:3 142:14 147:1	ordinances 100:15 100:19 ordinarily 65:23 80:2 organization 47:19 110:23 120:9 140:9 180:8 organizational 77:7 78:22 86:7 87:15 181:17	p p 30:7 153:16 155:12 156:8 p.m. 225:9 packet 162:25 page 4:3,9 5:2 6:3 7:2 8:2 52:5 53:23 54:4 56:5,10 61:9 72:6 74:23,25 76:22 81:12 84:3 106:10 110:20 118:24	parentheses 126:4 137:17 137:17 part 23:19 24:1 29:21 47:6,7 48:7 109:3 118:10 123:13 125:25 138:1 141:1 142:19 143:23 152:9 157:8 164:21 166:11 177:22 178:2,5
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5 22:9 23:2,12 34:25 35:23 45:11 51:14 60:25 61:22 89:20 94:15 95:17 97:24 101:12 102:3 106:2 111:17 117:4,15 18:3 122:19 127:23 132:2 135:3 142:14 147:1 152:17 153:1 186:3	ordinances 100:15 100:19 ordinarily 65:23 80:2 organization 47:19 110:23 120:9 140:9 180:8 organizational 77:7 78:22 86:7 87:15 181:17 organizations 24:13	p p 30:7 153:16 155:12 156:8 p.m. 225:9 packet 162:25 page 4:3,9 5:2 6:3 7:2 8:2 52:5 53:23 54:4 56:5,10 61:9 72:6 74:23,25 76:22 81:12 84:3 106:10 110:20 118:24 119:14,20 123:5	parentheses 126:4 137:17 part 23:19 24:1 29:21 47:6,7 48:7 109:3 118:10 123:13 125:25 138:1 141:1 142:19 143:23 152:9 157:8 164:21 166:11 177:22 178:2,5 182:1,5,9,12 193:12
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5 22:9 23:2,12 34:25 35:23 45:11 51:14 60:25 61:22 89:20 94:15 95:17 97:24 101:12 102:3 106:2 111:17 117:4,15 118:3 122:19 127:23 132:2 135:3 142:14 147:1 152:17 153:1 186:3 188:6 192:21	ordinances 100:15 100:19 ordinarily 65:23 80:2 organization 47:19 110:23 120:9 140:9 180:8 organizational 77:7 78:22 86:7 87:15 181:17 organizations 24:13 136:5 200:1,4,23	p 30:7 153:16 155:12 156:8 p.m. 225:9 packet 162:25 page 4:3,9 5:2 6:3 7:2 8:2 52:5 53:23 54:4 56:5,10 61:9 72:6 74:23,25 76:22 81:12 84:3 106:10 110:20 118:24 119:14,20 123:5 124:8 125:10	parentheses 126:4 137:17 137:17 part 23:19 24:1 29:21 47:6,7 48:7 109:3 118:10 123:13 125:25 138:1 141:1 142:19 143:23 152:9 157:8 164:21 166:11 177:22 178:2,5 182:1,5,9,12 193:12 216:12 218:24 216:12
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5 22:9 23:2,12 34:25 35:23 45:11 51:14 60:25 61:22 89:20 94:15 95:17 97:24 101:12 102:3 106:2 111:17 117:4,15 18:3 122:19 127:23 132:2 135:3 142:14 147:1 152:17 153:1 186:3 188:6 192:21 200:12 201:11	ordinances 100:15 100:19 ordinarily 65:23 80:2 organization 47:19 110:23 120:9 140:9 180:8 organizational 77:7 78:22 86:7 87:15 181:17 organizations 24:13 136:5 200:1,4,23 201:1,2,11,21,22	p 30:7 153:16 155:12 156:8 p.m. 225:9 packet 162:25 page 4:3,9 5:2 6:3 7:2 8:2 52:5 53:23 54:4 56:5,10 61:9 72:6 74:23,25 76:22 81:12 84:3 106:10 110:20 118:24 119:14,20 123:5 124:8 125:10 127:13 128:8	parentheses 126:4 137:17 part 23:19 24:1 29:21 47:6,7 48:7 109:3 118:10 123:13 125:25 138:1 141:1 142:19 143:23 152:9 157:8 164:21 166:11 177:22 178:2,5 182:1,5,9,12 193:12 216:12 218:24 222:15,18,24 223:2 143:23 152:9
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5 22:9 23:2,12 34:25 35:23 45:11 51:14 60:25 61:22 89:20 94:15 95:17 97:24 101:12 102:3 106:2 111:17 117:4,15 118:3 122:19 127:23 132:2 135:3 142:14 147:1 152:17 153:1 186:3 188:6 192:21 200:12 201:11 202:20 218:17	ordinances 100:15 100:19 ordinarily 65:23 80:2 organization 47:19 110:23 120:9 140:9 180:8 organizational 77:7 78:22 86:7 87:15 181:17 organizations 24:13 136:5 200:1,4,23 201:1,2,11,21,22 202:25 203:5	p 30:7 153:16 155:12 156:8 p.m. 225:9 packet 162:25 page 4:3,9 5:2 6:3 7:2 8:2 52:5 53:23 54:4 56:5,10 61:9 72:6 74:23,25 76:22 81:12 84:3 106:10 110:20 118:24 119:14,20 123:5 124:8 125:10 127:13 128:8 131:17 137:14	parentheses 126:4 137:17 part 23:19 24:1 29:21 47:6,7 48:7 109:3 118:10 123:13 125:25 138:1 141:1 142:19 143:23 152:9 157:8 164:21 166:11 177:22 178:2,5 182:1,5,9,12 193:12 216:12 218:24 222:15,18,24 223:2 participants 181:17
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5 22:9 23:2,12 34:25 35:23 45:11 51:14 60:25 61:22 89:20 94:15 95:17 97:24 101:12 102:3 106:2 111:17 117:4,15 118:3 122:19 127:23 132:2 135:3 142:14 147:1 152:17 153:1 186:3 188:6 192:21 200:12 201:11 202:20 218:17 221:1 223:25	ordinances 100:15 100:19 ordinarily 65:23 80:2 organization 47:19 110:23 120:9 140:9 180:8 organizational 77:7 78:22 86:7 87:15 181:17 organizations 24:13 136:5 200:1,4,23 201:1,2,11,21,22 202:25 203:5 206:10 210:17 218:13,15 organized 184:8	p 30:7 153:16 155:12 156:8 p.m. 225:9 packet 162:25 page 4:3,9 5:2 6:3 7:2 8:2 52:5 53:23 54:4 56:5,10 61:9 72:6 74:23,25 76:22 81:12 84:3 106:10 110:20 118:24 119:14,20 123:5 124:8 125:10 127:13 128:8 131:17 137:14 138:4,10 140:11,15	parentheses 126:4 137:17 part 23:19 24:1 29:21 47:6,7 48:7 109:3 118:10 123:13 125:25 138:1 141:1 142:19 143:23 152:9 157:8 164:21 166:11 177:22 178:2,5 182:1,5,9,12 193:12 216:12 218:24 222:15,18,24 223:2 participants 181:17 200:8 180:17 180:18 181:17
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5 22:9 23:2,12 34:25 35:23 45:11 51:14 60:25 61:22 89:20 94:15 95:17 97:24 101:12 102:3 106:2 111:17 117:4,15 118:3 122:19 127:23 132:2 135:3 142:14 147:1 152:17 153:1 186:3 188:6 192:21 200:12 201:11 202:20 218:17 221:1 223:25 older 120:20,25	ordinances 100:15 100:19 ordinarily 65:23 80:2 organization 47:19 110:23 120:9 140:9 180:8 organizational 77:7 78:22 86:7 87:15 181:17 organizations 24:13 136:5 200:1,4,23 201:1,2,11,21,22 202:25 203:5 206:10 210:17 218:13,15	p 30:7 153:16 155:12 156:8 p.m. 225:9 packet 162:25 page 4:3,9 5:2 6:3 7:2 8:2 52:5 53:23 54:4 56:5,10 61:9 72:6 74:23,25 76:22 81:12 84:3 106:10 110:20 118:24 119:14,20 123:5 124:8 125:10 127:13 128:8 131:17 137:14 138:4,10 140:11,15 142:22 143:10,16	parentheses 126:4 137:17 part 23:19 24:1 29:21 47:6,7 48:7 109:3 118:10 123:13 125:25 138:1 141:1 142:19 143:23 152:9 157:8 164:21 166:11 177:22 178:2,5 182:1,5,9,12 193:12 216:12 218:24 222:15,18,24 223:2 participants 181:17 200:8 participate 24:14
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5 22:9 23:2,12 34:25 35:23 45:11 51:14 60:25 61:22 89:20 94:15 95:17 97:24 101:12 102:3 106:2 111:17 117:4,15 118:3 122:19 127:23 132:2 135:3 142:14 147:1 152:17 153:1 186:3 188:6 192:21 200:12 201:11 202:20 218:17 221:1 223:25 older 120:20,25 121:1,3	ordinances 100:15 100:19 ordinarily 65:23 80:2 organization 47:19 110:23 120:9 140:9 180:8 organizational 77:7 78:22 86:7 87:15 181:17 organizations 24:13 136:5 200:1,4,23 201:1,2,11,21,22 202:25 203:5 206:10 210:17 218:13,15 organized 184:8 oriented 110:3 original 98:6	p 30:7 153:16 155:12 156:8 p.m. 225:9 packet 162:25 page 4:3,9 5:2 6:3 7:2 8:2 52:5 53:23 54:4 56:5,10 61:9 72:6 74:23,25 76:22 81:12 84:3 106:10 110:20 118:24 119:14,20 123:5 124:8 125:10 127:13 128:8 131:17 137:14 138:4,10 140:11,15 142:22 143:10,16 144:6 145:18,24	parentheses 126:4 137:17 part 23:19 24:1 29:21 47:6,7 48:7 109:3 118:10 123:13 125:25 138:1 141:1 142:19 143:23 152:9 157:8 164:21 166:11 177:22 178:2,5 182:1,5,9,12 193:12 216:12 218:24 222:15,18,24 223:2 participants 181:17 200:8 participate 24:14 50:13,23,25 99:18
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5 22:9 23:2,12 34:25 35:23 45:11 51:14 60:25 61:22 89:20 94:15 95:17 97:24 101:12 102:3 106:2 111:17 117:4,15 118:3 122:19 127:23 132:2 135:3 142:14 147:1 152:17 153:1 186:3 188:6 192:21 200:12 201:11 202:20 218:17 221:1 223:25 older 120:20,25 121:1,3 once 18:3 20:2 96:19	ordinances 100:15 100:19 ordinarily 65:23 80:2 organization 47:19 110:23 120:9 140:9 180:8 organizational 77:7 78:22 86:7 87:15 181:17 organizations 24:13 136:5 200:1,4,23 201:1,2,11,21,22 202:25 203:5 206:10 210:17 218:13,15 organized 184:8 oriented 110:3 original 98:6 originally 26:15	p 30:7 153:16 155:12 156:8 p.m. 225:9 packet 162:25 page 4:3,9 5:2 6:3 7:2 8:2 52:5 53:23 54:4 56:5,10 61:9 72:6 74:23,25 76:22 81:12 84:3 106:10 110:20 118:24 119:14,20 123:5 124:8 125:10 127:13 128:8 131:17 137:14 138:4,10 140:11,15 142:22 143:10,16 144:6 145:18,24 146:9 148:12 149:8	parentheses 126:4 137:17 part 23:19 24:1 29:21 47:6,7 48:7 109:3 118:10 123:13 125:25 138:1 141:1 142:19 143:23 152:9 157:8 164:21 166:11 177:22 178:2,5 182:1,5,9,12 193:12 216:12 218:24 222:15,18,24 223:2 participants 181:17 200:8 participate 24:14 50:13,23,25 99:18 100:7 117:7 173:15
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5 22:9 23:2,12 34:25 35:23 45:11 51:14 60:25 61:22 89:20 94:15 95:17 97:24 101:12 102:3 106:2 111:17 117:4,15 118:3 122:19 127:23 132:2 135:3 142:14 147:1 152:17 153:1 186:3 188:6 192:21 200:12 201:11 202:20 218:17 221:1 223:25 older 120:20,25 121:1,3 once 18:3 20:2 96:19 140:3 144:1 218:11 ones 42:4 102:23 187:21	ordinances 100:15 100:19 ordinarily 65:23 80:2 organization 47:19 110:23 120:9 140:9 180:8 organizational 77:7 78:22 86:7 87:15 181:17 organizations 24:13 136:5 200:1,4,23 201:1,2,11,21,22 202:25 203:5 206:10 210:17 218:13,15 organized 184:8 oriented 110:3 original 98:6 originally 26:15 194:25	p 30:7 153:16 155:12 156:8 p.m. 225:9 packet 162:25 page 4:3,9 5:2 6:3 7:2 8:2 52:5 53:23 54:4 56:5,10 61:9 72:6 74:23,25 76:22 81:12 84:3 106:10 110:20 118:24 119:14,20 123:5 124:8 125:10 127:13 128:8 131:17 137:14 138:4,10 140:11,15 142:22 143:10,16 144:6 145:18,24 146:9 148:12 149:8 150:16,18 153:8,8	parentheses 126:4 137:17 part 23:19 24:1 29:21 47:6,7 48:7 109:3 118:10 123:13 125:25 138:1 141:1 142:19 143:23 152:9 157:8 164:21 166:11 177:22 178:2,5 182:1,5,9,12 193:12 216:12 218:24 222:15,18,24 223:2 participants 181:17 200:8 participate 24:14 50:13,23,25 99:18 100:7 117:7 173:15 187:11 191:8 219:1 219:4 participating 19:4 194:4 194:4
18:5,8,11 20:9 22:5 22:9 23:2,12 34:25 35:23 45:11 51:14 60:25 61:22 89:20 94:15 95:17 97:24 101:12 102:3 106:2 111:17 117:4,15 118:3 122:19 127:23 132:2 135:3 142:14 147:1 152:17 153:1 186:3 188:6 192:21 200:12 201:11 202:20 218:17 221:1 223:25 older 120:20,25 121:1,3 once 18:3 20:2 96:19 140:3 144:1 218:11 ones 42:4 102:23	ordinances 100:15 100:19 ordinarily 65:23 80:2 organization 47:19 110:23 120:9 140:9 180:8 organizational 77:7 78:22 86:7 87:15 181:17 organizations 24:13 136:5 200:1,4,23 201:1,2,11,21,22 202:25 203:5 206:10 210:17 218:13,15 organized 184:8 oriented 110:3 original 98:6 originally 26:15	p 30:7 153:16 155:12 156:8 p.m. 225:9 packet 162:25 page 4:3,9 5:2 6:3 7:2 8:2 52:5 53:23 54:4 56:5,10 61:9 72:6 74:23,25 76:22 81:12 84:3 106:10 110:20 118:24 119:14,20 123:5 124:8 125:10 127:13 128:8 131:17 137:14 138:4,10 140:11,15 142:22 143:10,16 144:6 145:18,24 146:9 148:12 149:8	parentheses 126:4 137:17 part 23:19 24:1 29:21 47:6,7 48:7 109:3 118:10 123:13 125:25 138:1 141:1 142:19 143:23 152:9 157:8 164:21 166:11 177:22 178:2,5 182:1,5,9,12 193:12 216:12 218:24 222:15,18,24 223:2 participants 181:17 200:8 participate 24:14 50:13,23,25 99:18 100:7 117:7 173:15 187:11 191:8 219:1 219:4 219:4 219:4 219:4 219:4

[participation - president]

participation 52:17	198:13 204:18	phones 9:5	portions 45:22
112:6 137:15	205:10,24	physically 88:20,23	222:16
138:13 145:25	perceived 125:19	pick 9:3	portman's 206:9
particular 21:23	percent 21:16 50:10	place 9:5 99:24	position 19:8,19,25
22:3 24:16 42:12	144:3 198:9 220:15	183:3 184:9,12	23:3 25:11,13 33:15
43:17,20 52:8,12	performance 137:16	places 150:8	33:19 34:5 35:18
103:21,22 106:20	137:20,22 138:6,14	plaintiff 2:4,14 12:5	117:24 132:3
114:19 124:6	138:16 139:11	plaintiffs 1:7	174:10,15
143:18 164:14	140:6	plan 42:10 178:11	positions 115:8
171:6 173:9 185:14	performed 195:14	planning 48:6	positive 32:1 135:15
217:18 218:21	period 131:20,21	plans 41:23 42:10	136:9
particularly 132:10	132:19,20,23 133:7	played 20:16	possible 130:10,18
203:22 204:11,12	175:12 192:3	plays 21:21	post 191:15 192:3
parties 9:8 10:4	periods 133:6	please 9:2,5 14:9,15	203:6
224:17,18 226:7,7	permission 56:7	14:21 15:11,21 26:9	posted 100:22
partnering 119:11	70:23 172:20,23	64:12 65:4 69:21	186:17 192:1
partnership 119:4	178:24 179:4 192:2	72:6 106:1 150:15	posting 18:13
119:16	208:9 212:11 222:5	156:22 157:5 158:1	potential 174:7
parts 21:7 53:12	222:14,20,25	159:9 173:7 174:3	192:7 214:24
party 12:1	permissions 221:25	188:14 192:18	pound 158:14
passive 125:13	persily 8:6	196:7 206:19	power 214:8
patents 191:1	person 16:22,23	208:19 209:21	pr 181:2,5 183:6,23
patricia 186:11	17:6 21:20 22:14,17	211:12 214:13	184:4,8,18,22
187:16	22:19,24,24 24:24	point 14:8 18:17,20	practice 16:15,21
pay 124:14	25:1,5,8,13 27:14	19:21 34:20 59:21	112:9
pays 24:14 110:17	28:13 29:2 34:15	61:14,16,18 131:25	pre 56:15,21
pdf 212:8	35:3,4 41:19 48:12	143:19 144:8 146:2	predicts 27:9
peachtree 1:20 9:16	88:19 106:22	153:21	preparation 214:14
pekka 162:1,5	114:15 115:20	pointed 129:24	215:2 216:6
penalty 151:21	116:25 127:5	points 36:10,25	prepare 52:12,20
pending 56:11	131:23 182:24	140:19 143:2	216:8,14
pennsylvania 2:8	183:1 184:20	policies 209:9	prepared 14:25 53:7
people 21:16 27:24	187:19,20	219:24	53:15,23 54:1,4,7
33:25 34:10,12 36:5	person's 89:16	policy 26:3,13,19,25	54:10,13,16,19,22
40:17,23 49:23 50:8	personal 11:12	27:1,7,11,19,21,22	54:25 55:3 77:24
50:12 57:24 58:5,9	125:6 178:1	29:16,16,20 30:7,8	present 3:17 10:2
58:13 65:19 95:8	personally 124:25	41:5 42:7 46:5	135:8 205:8
100:13 101:17,19	207:11	58:21,24,25 60:22	presentation 123:2
102:9 104:8,24	personnel 52:18	65:24 94:25 116:19	131:9 137:3
107:10 114:19	112:5	116:20 145:19,23	presentations
115:19 116:8 118:7	persons 53:16 56:14	146:1 186:24 209:1	139:19
119:8 123:12	56:19	209:6	presented 117:21
124:14 149:15,18	pertains 68:23 94:4	political 16:9	president 33:20
155:2 187:4,14	peterson 148:10	portion 126:1	115:3,4 134:13
191:15 195:2	149:3,5 150:15	179:11 223:3	197:18 198:6,7

[president - providing]

213:16 221:12	212:3 219:6	39:22 41:17,20,24	65:5,11 67:7 68:11
press 130:2 181:6	procedures 18:14	43:3,9,10,11 44:19	68:15 69:5,8,10,14
pretty 36:20 181:14	18:25 19:3 41:5	45:14,16 46:15	83:4 85:23 111:7
217:16		48:20 49:8,14,20,22	
	46:5,10 94:25		125:11,15,19 168:1 168:25 169:16
prevent 12:16	proceed 10:8 89:1	50:2,6,7,13 52:24	
prevented 12:13	proceeding 89:3	56:16,20 57:3,23	170:10,14,17,25
preventing 15:8	process 13:15 18:25	58:2,6 60:9 61:10	171:21 194:2
previous 13:17	24:1 25:21,23,24	61:11 77:6,12 78:11	propose 37:24,25
15:14 48:22 75:24	29:12 37:16 42:3,6	79:2 86:6 87:1	45:15 60:8 127:3
76:19 80:13 82:7	42:8,9,12 43:20	94:11 95:5,10,16	143:4,14 152:21
85:4 152:12,20,21	44:13,14 45:6 47:8	96:6 97:10 98:5	166:5
176:7 185:12	52:16 96:2,3,8,9,25	107:1 112:8 116:21	proposed 4:10 37:5
previously 49:25	98:8 99:15,19 100:9	116:22 117:2,21	38:4,9,12,19 46:9
51:8,12 166:3	101:3,9,14,22,24	160:4 163:1 165:18	46:21 47:1,3 76:16
print 57:15	102:5 111:22	166:2,4,12 167:13	82:19 85:13 97:20
printed 57:14 62:6	114:10,11,12,16	171:5,15 193:12,16	97:23 142:2 143:12
62:12 65:7 69:6	117:8 152:10,11,15	194:5,8 198:9 204:8	143:13,17,19
73:3,7 195:7	170:9,15 171:10,11	projects 46:7,8	160:21 161:1,22
printing 119:24	178:6 205:2 215:5	49:13 67:17 113:12	162:15 163:14,20
153:22	215:10 223:1	214:10	163:23 164:7,19,23
printout 153:4,8	processes 36:8	promote 111:2	165:9 167:7,11
prior 18:20 35:5	42:11,14 129:25	promoted 19:6,9,14	171:1,6 206:13
59:1,2,10 60:18	processing 213:25	19:16,21,23 20:2,4	proposer 65:11,14
69:6 93:23 94:5	produce 56:13,15	promoting 109:25	66:17
113:19 136:17	61:10	110:21 111:19,20	proposes 27:13
139:17 143:10	produced 71:12	138:15 144:12	proposing 142:5
145:13 156:14	91:12,13,18,20,22	promotion 111:5	protection 1:4
176:4 218:1	91:25 122:22 147:9	144:10	prove 149:16,16
priorities 117:21	169:1 213:6	proper 101:15	provide 34:13,15,17
118:7	product 12:19 43:20	properly 21:8	41:18 61:11,17
priority 119:1	102:24 123:12	property 187:1	110:11,14 111:14
private 9:4 52:18	production 56:18	190:25	117:4 119:11
privilege 183:10	products 121:14	proposal 45:17	132:13 185:15
215:18	professional 112:4	58:17 60:11,14	187:22,23 212:19
privy 191:22	program 144:21,25	65:12,16,17,20	212:24 222:5,11
pro 138:15	145:1,6 197:20	66:10,17,20,22,25	provided 13:24 53:4
probably 23:16 38:5	198:13,16 199:5	72:2 80:20 96:24	64:16,18 66:5
51:25 59:8,18 66:2	213:17	125:21,23 165:19	111:10,12 147:5
67:11 80:5 97:25	programs 110:1	165:24 166:6	149:4 176:11 185:8
98:1 104:14 108:9	114:17 176:3	167:19,25 168:8	186:15 187:6,9
109:2 111:11,13	prohibitive 182:9,12	169:15 171:20,23	provides 110:6
113:11 120:18	182:13	172:1,7,24 194:3	149:22 175:7 176:1
121:23 129:24	project 21:9,12 24:6	202:4	198:13
140:10 156:15	26:15 27:13 28:5,5	proposals 20:23	providing 13:22
182:17 184:13	29:3 36:2,6 39:19	21:3 45:7,12 46:7	112:8 179:6

[public - recognize]

public 20:22 21:2	publishing 59:25	questionnaire	reaffirmed 43:15
24:15 27:5 36:14	60:3 112:13	196:24 197:3,4	reaffirming 42:19
39:14 41:23 42:6	pubs 156:7	questions 13:15,21	44:22
44:10 56:8,22 60:10	pull 45:22	27:15 39:10 48:22	realize 14:13
,	1		
60:10 67:20 69:22	pulled 132:24 172:8	93:9 97:22 185:7,25	really 23:16 50:16
74:14 75:19 81:10	172:19,20	214:24 215:8 216:9	118:1 138:15
82:1 84:1,19 88:9	pulling 220:8	223:20 225:5 226:4	reason 13:9 14:4
91:14,18,22,25	purportedly 203:2	quick 152:2	29:19 44:5 64:17
95:14,18 96:2,21	purpose 41:22 42:9	quickly 223:20	70:13 71:11 101:4,5
129:20,23 160:4	67:19 94:23 95:5	quote 172:23	102:6 122:22 150:3
163:2 167:25 168:1	97:1,3,19 98:25	quotes 170:21	166:24
168:25 169:15,16	99:2 100:24 101:2	quotient 197:22	reasons 50:22 99:22
171:20,21 176:1,11	102:4 108:15,18	198:13	100:6 205:3
179:24 180:2,11,14	109:11 118:3	r	reauthorization
181:6,7,9 185:4	121:12 137:9,11	r 13:3,6 89:7 90:19	210:6
191:25 192:3 194:2	138:13,19,24	157:2 226:1	recall 14:20 217:16
194:19,19,25	154:21 158:20	ramspeck 33:14	224:11
202:23 203:18	189:18 190:19	1	receive 27:4
218:17	197:2,4 199:1 217:5	188:8,14,22 189:5,9 189:14 190:3,13	received 65:5,12
public.resource.org	purposely 177:25		66:18 68:11,15
1:9 3:18 9:21	purposes 99:6 202:9	215:7 216:3 220:9	95:24 124:17
181:11 182:16	pushing 138:17	ramspeck's 33:15	178:22,22
189:15,20 190:4,11	put 43:25 72:20	189:18	receiving 202:9
190:12,13,16 191:4	75:3 88:18,21,25	ran 57:14	recess 55:19 74:19
191:11	92:21 107:4 127:5,6	range 34:18	93:16 116:15 152:6
public.resource.or	127:10,11 144:5	reach 101:18 119:14	192:14
51:9	154:3 166:19,20	136:5 144:21	recipient 174:24
public.resource.org.	170:18 187:6,8	read 73:19 114:23	212:12 221:2
10:13 11:4 180:10	195:1	115:16 129:2,6	recipients 109:18,20
publication 20:23	puts 44:9	173:24 185:18,20	128:1 175:7
21:3 36:15 39:14		185:24 195:2	recognize 71:23
67:21 77:25 96:1	q	200:16 206:20	74:10 75:16 76:13
112:9,10,12 156:14	qualified 18:22	209:22 211:13	77:3 78:7 79:5
195:5,18 221:24	quality 99:13,13	219:6 222:3	80:21 81:6,22 82:11
publications 177:3	210:3	read's 115:7,12	
1	quasi 191:1	readability 119:25	83:9,22 84:15 85:10
194:19 195:15	question 14:9,10	120:6	86:2,22 87:19 90:9
199:6 213:24	25:3 42:13 51:21	reader 120:10	94:6 107:19 122:4
publicly 130:24	100:12 147:5	readily 56:17	127:24 131:6 134:7
publish 112:15	156:11 183:21	reads 61:23	136:25 148:7,25
161:2,4 222:18	196:12 209:11,12	reaffirm 44:11	153:5 154:15 155:7
published 44:16,23	209:13 214:17	reaffirmation 41:3	155:23 158:8 159:6
62:1 131:2 133:15	217:6 224:7,12,14	42:16,18 43:1 44:8	159:25 163:10
154:1 169:1 179:3,5	questioning 60:21	reaffirmations	164:3,17 167:4,24
186:16	122:20 217:5	42:23 43:13	169:13 173:19
			174:22 177:15

[recognize - release]

100.20 105.22	141.21.142.10	f h - J - 017.7.14	102.17.22.104.0
180:20 185:22	141:21 143:10	refreshed 217:7,14	192:17,22 194:8
186:5 188:3 195:23	175:8,21 176:2,8,23	217:19,20	195:21 196:20
197:15 198:2,20	177:9 209:4,14	refrigerating 1:5,14	197:13,25 198:18
199:11,21 202:1	220:14 221:21	10:18	199:9,19 206:6,17
213:6,12 219:19	222:24 224:23	refrigeration 51:11	207:20,25 213:4
221:8	referenced 29:22	regard 224:15	214:13 218:4
recognized 119:21	30:1,4,19 45:21	regarding 107:25	219:17 221:6
125:9 220:13	127:16 128:18	151:6,10 156:4	223:20
recollecting 166:2	138:10 142:9 159:1	regards 24:15 43:13	reject 28:8,21,25
recollection 51:19	170:22 174:8	52:8 53:3 111:25	73:4
139:18 180:7 201:3	175:16 176:10,18	112:17,18 118:24	rejected 28:2
217:7,15,19,21	176:19 177:3	132:11 208:8	relate 151:5,9 185:3
recommend 95:10	184:18	regional 112:22	207:19 209:25
recommendation	references 43:6 44:1	register 100:23	211:16 213:9
44:8 107:6	47:5,7 123:15 143:8	registration 158:12	218:12
recommendations	144:22 145:20	159:11,15,19	related 1:12 46:10
126:4,5	164:21 176:15	192:19	139:13 146:8 158:4
recommended	206:12	regular 226:7	175:22 176:9,24,25
214:7	referencing 123:7	regularly 135:11	180:3 191:24
record 9:1,9 13:22	145:21 170:20	regulation 100:3	208:24 209:6 211:1
15:12 24:18 38:3	190:12 220:1	101:1,22 104:6,7	211:4 215:8
44:25 55:17,22 57:5	referred 101:21	177:10 209:5,15	relates 30:10 151:19
58:21,23 74:16,17	104:6 207:21	212:22	191:4 210:2
74:20 82:15 84:21	referring 29:8 42:2	regulations 31:8	relating 219:24
88:1 90:12 93:14,18	66:8 77:11 100:2	130:8,16 140:23	relations 147:17
93:21 98:20 116:13	104:5,8 105:8	175:9,17 205:21,23	181:7,9 185:4
116:16 152:4,7	110:24 112:10,14	222:15,19	relationship 32:9
186:2 192:12,15	112:18 119:17,18	regulator 22:25	113:8 161:15 173:5
209:10 223:9 225:7	120:16 122:16	102:16	relationships 47:12
recorded 38:13	123:7,18 126:8,15	regulators 106:16	147:20 173:6
recording 9:8	137:19 143:11	rehn 56:6	release 69:25 70:3
records 58:22,25	146:3 161:5 174:5	reiniche 1:16 4:5	70:18 72:4,7 73:1,5
59:6,15 60:2 98:23	174:12 188:22	10:1,22 11:2 15:7	73:16,17,17,22
133:18 136:15	189:14 190:3,13,18	15:11,13 16:3 33:13	75:23 77:9,13,14
165:1	refers 105:9 109:24	51:7,16,16 55:24	78:14,16,17 81:17
redacted 79:22,25	111:4 112:3 123:6	56:2 57:1,8 61:8,20	82:7 83:1 84:7
80:3,5,7	125:14 140:24	74:22 76:11 91:1,11	86:11,12,15,16 87:7
refer 106:1 111:6	141:1,16 188:15	93:20 94:1,3,20	87:10,11 89:21 90:1
140:25 141:18	reflect 99:5 101:23	107:20 116:18	90:3,21,23,24 91:2
142:14 192:18	135:22	117:11,17 122:10	130:2 161:8 162:1
reference 32:15,25	reflected 38:16,23	122:21 125:5	163:18 164:11,13
42:22 43:25 45:19	69:10,14 101:24	127:24 131:4 132:6	164:24 165:14,16
104:22 124:13	102:19 151:13	132:8,13,17 152:9	166:11 167:13
128:11 130:10,13	refresh 216:17	174:20 177:13,23	168:24 169:3,7,10
134:25 139:8		179:23 185:1 186:4	169:21,23 170:1,4
Ĺ			

[release - role]

172:6 181:6	represent 10:5	142:10,12,15,18,20	95:18 96:2,21 97:21
released 154:5,18	91:11 108:13	142:21,21 143:7	98:19 107:1 160:4
releases 72:15 75:24	representation	150:22	163:2 185:6 191:25
76:4,19 79:13 81:2	56:22	resolution 95:21	192:3 194:19 195:1
83:18 84:8 85:4,20	representative 77:7	101:19	202:16 212:24
91:13,15,21 92:5,9	86:7 105:18 137:17	resource 56:8,23	214:24 216:14
92:17,25 93:6 160:9	170:12	91:14,18,22,25	reviewed 25:2 36:1
160:12 163:6	representatives	179:24 180:2,12,15	52:4 202:18 215:23
167:14 224:10	138:7 140:10	202:23 203:18	216:17,20,22 218:1
releasing 154:21	148:10	218:18	reviewing 20:21,24
relevance 207:17	representing 9:10	resources 203:23,24	28:1 29:2,4 171:10
209:24	10:6,12,16 11:3	204:13 205:5,18,22	reviews 20:22 21:2
relevant 210:22	221:14	respond 69:4	28:16 36:9,14,25
211:9 214:1	represents 56:18	responded 66:20	39:14 44:9,16 46:9
remember 13:13	226:5	125:18 129:2 203:8	95:19
32:2 47:6 144:14	reprint 6:14 155:1	220:7 222:11,12	revised 57:11 75:5
182:25 186:9,25	156:7,16,18 212:11	responds 95:20	76:6,23 78:3 80:24
189:6	reprinting 222:14	responses 14:5	81:14 82:4,24 83:15
remembered 93:22	republish 44:23	186:18	84:4 85:1,17 86:9
remind 135:1	request 46:14 72:8	responsibilities	87:4 105:23 143:3
remotely 10:3 191:8	147:14 175:11	189:25	163:21
remove 192:5	requested 212:23	responsible 49:15	revising 44:21
removed 191:18	requesting 136:21	171:6 194:12	revision 43:3,9 59:2
192:5	222:16	rest 78:1 93:12	75:2,3 163:22
repeat 183:20	requests 4:11	96:24	206:13
209:11	147:15	restraint 11:24 12:9 result 226:8	revisions 59:1
repeated 126:5 rephrase 14:10	require 42:20 58:22 103:11 222:13	retain 65:23	161:22
22:13	required 42:5 43:2	retention 58:21,23	rhonda 8:12 212:5,5 right 15:1 17:14
replied 207:5	78:25 88:4 91:7	60:22	30:3 49:11 55:13
replying 208:11	141:2 203:25	retired 115:11	68:18 73:20 85:17
report 4:10 33:13,17	requirement 31:1	returning 41:14	116:11 154:6,8
33:21 56:3 57:15	124:5	75:4,8	164:23 181:22
65:5 66:16 117:14	requirements 39:4	rev 75:9	201:14 203:11
123:3 213:18	151:2	reveal 214:19	222:10,10 223:13
reported 1:23	requires 27:2 44:14	215:18	224:6
203:10 209:2	150:23 151:20	revenue 12:21	rights 53:18 70:8
reporter 10:5 14:2	requiring 29:17	124:10	92:16 187:1
49:17 74:15 127:9	research 112:9,12	review 13:18 15:1	rigorous 33:7
131:12 178:18	112:13,15 113:1	27:6 33:9 36:1 40:3	rise 133:1
179:9 207:12,14	199:5 214:9 216:8	41:22,23 42:6 43:5	risk 125:12,19
220:4,20,23 221:1	216:11	43:23,24 44:10 46:7	risks 125:9
223:7	residential 105:5	67:20 69:23 71:18	role 21:20 22:21
reports 33:23 35:15	132:24,25 133:1	74:14 75:20 81:11	41:14 64:20 110:4
122:13	141:5,16,19 142:9	82:2 84:2,20 95:14	132:10 199:16

[roles - sentence]

roles 20:9 210:19	save 67:3	science 16:9	92:4,8 113:6 119:22
roll 36:15 40:24	saved 67:4	sciences 99:3,9	128:9 132:18
96:16	saving 67:1 148:11	109:15	136:15 147:2,3,17
rolls 40:20	savings 133:7 143:1	scope 41:22 42:9	190:8 200:10
ron 200:15	149:17 206:14	60:22 67:19 92:11	208:19
room 10:3	saw 18:13	94:24 95:5 97:2,3	seeing 94:15 128:8
rose 165:11	saving 12:18 35:21	97:19 120:3 122:10	133:4 144:14
rosenfeld 167:8	40:16 84:10 129:3	124:20 125:4 172:3	148:18,20 149:17
rosenfeld's 167:11	175:15 180:10,14	176:13 177:20	176:14 177:1,4
rosenstock 165:11	217:10	178:7 179:18	188:8 209:24
165:17,20 166:25	says 43:6 44:20 56:6	191:12 209:23	seeking 192:2
roster 22:8 23:7	56:12 57:11 61:9	211:15	215:15
57:3,13,15,16,18,22	69:24 73:11 75:9	scott 180:25 184:21	seen 51:15,25 79:13
58:1,3,4,11 61:23	76:6 78:21 84:11	206:24	122:6,7 130:5
61:25 62:6,12,18,24	88:5 108:11 110:21	screenshots 179:11	181:14 191:7,19
63:5,11,18,25 64:6	118:25 119:3,14,15	screensnots 179.11 sdo 219:9	207:18 224:19
64:13 166:3	119:21 121:4	sdos 183:18	selection 194:9,12
rosters 64:15,21,23	123:14 124:9 125:9	second 2:17 11:17	194:15
rotate 36:4	125:10 126:11,12	73:21 74:16,23,25	self 110:2
rotating 40:12,15	125:10 120:11,12	81:12 84:3 85:22	senate 143:13
96:15	137:16 138:4	89:23 90:2 110:20	senator 142:24
roughly 96:15	140:11,13,15,19	123:5 153:21 174:2	206:9
rpr 226:11	142:22,23 143:3	174:2 175:20	send 28:8,12 29:6,7
rule 1:13 45:16 46:4	144:6,7 145:18,24	176:22 189:8	37:10 39:11 72:20
51:10 157:22	146:9 147:17,20	196:11 206:20	97:22,25 187:10,23
170:23,24	148:13 149:9	secretariat 47:18,20	212:1,5
rules 13:18 21:14	150:16,19 153:21	secretary 25:12,15	sending 28:13
31:16 36:5 46:11	157:21 161:1	115:18	210:14
ryan 128:8,20 129:2	163:21 164:22	section 36:11,12	sends 37:17,19
210:11,15	169:22 174:1,3,9	77:9,13 78:14 83:1	senior 20:6,10 108:1
ryan's 129:3	175:21,25 176:7,10	84:6 86:13 87:7,10	115:9 132:10
résumé 18:15	176:23 181:16	106:3 109:24 111:4	sense 43:4
	184:7 188:18,23	112:3,17,21 121:11	sensitive 9:3
<u>S</u>	189:9 196:11,11	162:13 163:20,21	sent 38:5,6,14,17,20
s 79:16 160:5 161:23	203:20 204:11	164:20 167:11	67:5 73:3 152:22
201:10 207:15	208:17,19 210:10	168:11 169:21	160:3 162:25
s.1462. 142:23	scarborough 115:21	195:16 196:9	180:10 186:10
sac 208:17	schedule 34:15 52:2	201:13	192:4 202:21 203:2
safety 21:17	189:25	sections 221:17	203:2 207:10 212:8
salaries 203:4	schedules 35:4	sector 52:18	220:17 221:24
sale 194:6	school 17:15,17	security 140:16	222:2,4
san 2:19 3:11	schools 220:1,16	see 17:11 23:7 30:3	sentence 77:23 83:3
satisfy 31:22	schwedler 178:23	44:2 51:18 56:2,5	101:10 175:20
saturday 196:2	179:12,14,16	56:10,24 66:16	196:11
		69:24 84:11 89:4,17	
		55.2 · 5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

[separate - standard]

separate 39:23	si 153:17 155:21	skalko's 133:4	spielvogel's 160:21
43:11 116:6 118:15	sic 137:12 154:17	slide 146:5	split 35:2
181:23 201:15,18	side 103:19 195:19	slightly 25:21 48:16	splitting 83:2
214:23	200:14	smacna 180:4,8,12	spls 26:16,17,20,21
september 62:7	sign 70:25 72:19	180:15,17 183:4	26:22 29:3 39:14,16
153:23,24 154:4	73:13 193:24,25	190:7	39:20,23 40:1,2,4
sequential 103:25	194:2	smaller 204:4	40:23,25 41:14,15
served 20:12	signature 24:9	snyder 206:10	42:1,15 57:20 95:17
server 213:18	72:21,22,25 73:7	society 1:3,5,14 9:20	107:5
session 139:22	74:3,5 79:18	10:17 51:10 112:6	spoke 125:22 215:4
sessions 119:5,7,11	signed 21:8 24:17	115:4,4	215:7
119:18,19	56:14,19 71:5,8	software 110:8	spots 72:9,12
set 141:6 142:3	73:5,8 88:21 108:4	sole 193:8	srs 43:8 44:8
143:22,23 226:9	113:4,7 134:15	solutions 1:20 9:11	sspc 106:6 210:16
sets 140:17	135:15 147:4 161:8	9:15 10:7	stack 217:1,1,19
seven 223:12	161:10 163:6	somebody 22:18	staff 25:1,5,19 29:2
seventh 160:7	164:13 165:16	23:3 27:4 71:2	34:13,17,22 35:3,20
sharing 192:7	166:10 169:9	88:17,21 102:15	35:23 36:19 39:2,10
sharon 1:24 10:6	193:22	152:18	41:18 43:24 48:3,4
226:3,11	signees 56:17	soon 135:5	48:11,12 57:21
sheet 6:14 67:3,11	significance 70:2,5	sorry 17:2,14,15	97:20 98:8,15
67:17,18,18,20,20	74:24 82:22	26:9 29:10 30:6,13	111:15 114:15,16
67:21,22,23,25 68:5	significant 125:12	41:10 46:17 70:12	114:19,20 115:1
153:22 154:16	128:13 204:25	91:5 126:20 132:17	116:25 117:25
155:11,20 156:5	signify 57:10 153:25	151:17 181:4 201:9	118:23 131:24
199:14	signing 79:11	sort 199:14	136:11,16,19
sheets 67:14,16	signs 71:2	sounds 13:17	146:15 152:22
sherman 206:24	similar 36:12	source 147:22	170:12 182:24
shift 126:12	182:22	spalding 2:15 10:16	187:17,18,25 190:8
shingles 25:9,10	similarly 60:13	speak 32:2 135:25	195:14 198:24
34:2	154:10	211:23	199:2,15 206:9
shipping 202:23	simply 104:7 209:10	speaks 73:25 132:5	211:25
shlomo 167:8	sincere 94:3	special 30:1 156:7	stage 42:3
short 12:14	single 40:1,2 61:5,15	specific 25:11,13	stance 129:4,9
shortly 184:13	65:20 191:23	49:3 150:3	stand 44:25 181:5
shoulder 185:21	195:11	specifically 34:22	212:15
show 76:23 89:16	sir 23:22	122:16 202:7 220:1	standard 6:15 12:11
178:24 179:8,10	sit 139:21	speculation 189:22	21:23 22:1 24:16
showed 217:11	site 59:13	spell 30:6	27:21,24 29:15,18
shown 66:19 166:3	sits 28:10	spelled 100:5	29:25 30:19,20
166:3 217:14	six 50:9 147:23	spend 204:3 205:10	32:10,22 33:7 35:13
223:24	148:1	spent 205:19	39:4,24 42:24 43:2
shows 21:22 22:17	sixth 150:16 160:7	spielvogel 157:20	43:8,14 44:11 45:18
89:13 90:17 133:7	skalko 131:10	160:13,14,16,18	45:21,24 46:1,2,14
138:5 160:4 199:24	133:11,16,21,24,25		46:15,23 49:4,15

[standard - subject]

50:25 56:20,21 57:3	20:10,16,18 21:15	started 17:18 18:8	194:23 206:24,24
57:24 58:7,10,14	21:17 22:3,6 26:15	52:1 66:11 91:3	207:2,5,10 208:14
59:3,16,22 60:7,9	26:16,20,22,22 29:5	96:10 97:16,17	211:22 215:9 216:3
60:18 61:12 68:12	29:7,8,10 34:6,8,14	98:22,22 119:13	220:9 222:2,4,4,6
68:19,23 69:12,16	34:18,19,21 35:7,9	133:2,15 144:21	222:10
70:10 74:14 75:20	35:11,19 39:14,22	180:17 221:11	steven 8:6 131:23
76:17 77:11 78:11	40:3,5,8,18,20,21	starting 52:5 121:11	133:10 165:11
81:11 82:2,20 84:2	41:2,2,3 42:5,16,18	153:11	sticking 201:9
84:10,12,20 85:14	42:20,22 43:18 44:8	starts 57:7 90:5	stipulations 179:7
87:1 92:24 94:11,21	45:1 46:8,10,18	94:23 153:14	stop 89:3,8 93:11
95:5,23 96:9 97:14	47:13,15,17,19 48:1	162:23 163:23	storage 59:13
99:18 100:8 103:10	48:2,8,23,25 49:6,9	175:15 208:6	story 12:14
103:10,21 104:1,15	49:9,10,13,16 52:18	state 15:11,21 84:22	straight 204:9
104:19,25 105:3	52:24 91:17 92:14	124:4,7 145:3,4,18	strategic 48:6
111:22 112:8	93:4 94:21 95:2,10	145:23,25 146:7	stream 186:16
113:15 114:2 119:1	95:11,16 96:4 99:1	151:4 205:23,23	street 1:20 2:17 3:9
119:15 123:24	99:6,11,15 100:2	207:8 215:6 219:23	9:16 218:10
124:10,15,16,18	101:6 103:23 104:5	221:15 222:18	stretch 138:16
125:2 126:25	110:1,22,23,23	226:2	string 201:19
129:10,16,19 130:5	111:3,5,19,20	stated 196:13	stringent 114:7,9
130:7,7,16 131:19	112:14 114:17	statement 99:3,5	125:11,16,20,22
132:20 134:1	121:16 124:24	124:12 189:15	150:24
136:13,18 140:22	126:23,24 127:1	statements 24:15	stuff 36:21 59:10
141:24 143:5,15	132:11 137:25	states 1:1 9:23 31:2	96:22 98:18 101:3,8
144:9,9,12 145:8,14	138:8,17,21 139:15	31:6,7,9 120:19,20	102:5,19 142:20,21
150:20 151:7,11	142:25 144:23	123:6 128:10 130:8	180:11 189:1
152:13 153:12,20	145:16 164:8 171:1	130:17 136:3	216:13
154:11,17,22,25	173:16 175:8,15,22	145:10 150:23	style 119:25
155:1,12,21 159:1	176:1,9,10,21,24	151:1,20,23 158:13	sub 46:13
159:13,17,21 160:6	189:11 191:20	159:12,15,19	subcategory 105:16
162:16 163:4,15	192:8 199:5 202:24	220:11 222:13	subcommittee 26:16
165:10 167:7	203:9,21,25 205:2,3	stats 198:23	36:7 37:13,14 39:22
170:20 171:6,14	207:19 208:18,20	status 4:10 24:9	40:5,9 41:4,5,6,7,8
172:24 174:10,13	208:23,24 210:1,21	44:24 56:3 69:8	42:18 44:9 45:4,5
192:1,23,23 194:9	211:17 212:20	95:21	46:6 47:11 95:1,10
194:10,13,14,24	213:9 218:9,12,15	stenotype 226:4	95:16 152:23
196:13 197:8	224:8,21,22	step 33:12 37:16	157:13 166:8 171:9
198:11 202:9	standing 46:15	stephanie 1:16 4:5	186:13 196:10,13
206:25 208:8,12	125:12	9:25 10:22 15:13,16	204:3,7
209:25 210:3,14,25	stands 39:21 137:6	89:7 90:19	subcommittees
211:3,6 212:21	181:19 186:10,25	stephen 131:9	40:19 41:1 42:12
220:1 222:15,19	star 213:17	steve 8:8,8 34:2	48:1,5 49:11 98:2
224:23,24,25 225:1	start 17:13 18:11	35:14,15,18 124:22	subject 94:5 103:3
standards 6:13	42:15 100:16	165:11 169:9 170:3	188:16
19:10,12,17,24 20:6	134:21	170:11,18 179:2,6	

[subjects - tell]

subjects 122:13,15	suffice 31:22	t	task 67:3,11,14,16
submit 44:17 45:23	sufficient 32:23		67:17,17,18,20,20
70:9 72:1 76:16	190:10	t 30:7 160:5 207:15	67:21,22,23,25 68:5
88:16 95:8 97:4,5	suggest 129:3	226:1,1	117:14 118:12
100:20 165:19,24	suggesting 220:10	table 12:12,16,22,24	123:3 196:10
166:7,22 168:14	suggests 106:24	13:7	teach 119:8
170:6,13,25 172:6	suggests 100.24 suite 1:21 2:18	tables 207:7	tech 95:3 137:4,12
		tag 47:21	146:6
172:11,16,24	summary 13:2	take 55:12,13	
193:25 194:1,3	196:25 197:3,5,5,6	116:11 129:3 152:2	technical 13:1,2
204:21	sunday 196:4	172:10,23 180:10	17:9 27:14 43:4,22
submits 70:6 168:17	support 34:13,16,17	184:9,12 192:11	43:25 44:7 47:19,21
194:2	35:3 210:17	204:13 205:4	57:21 97:5,7 103:2
submittal 82:19	supported 110:8	206:21 209:21	103:11,16,17 112:7
83:12 85:13 163:14	supporting 109:25	211:12	147:22 204:20
164:7 165:9 167:6	111:7,10,13 112:4	taken 9:17 11:4	211:9 213:22
171:8	139:12 140:9	55:19 74:19 116:15	214:11
submitted 18:15	supportive 210:7	129:9 152:6 192:14	technically 45:17
28:13 43:10 46:14	suppose 18:24 33:18	226:4	171:2,4,7,12
56:6 58:16 60:12	110:16 182:13	takes 203:22 205:1,8	technological 127:3
65:10 92:20 94:24	205:6	talk 24:3 48:4	technology 33:16
97:4,6 111:8 125:16	supposed 31:2,12	108:18 113:1	127:3 137:5
125:19,21 163:16	120:21,22	114:15,20,24	telecon 3:18
165:11 167:8	sure 21:5,10,12	115:19 116:8	telephone 10:14
168:25 169:25	23:10,17,24 24:24	139:21 145:22	tell 14:15,16 16:3
170:25 175:8	26:11 27:20,20 36:4	208:23 218:11	23:10,17 39:20 57:2
216:12	36:9 49:4 50:20	talked 201:8 209:8	57:8,17 61:24 62:5
submitting 88:19	88:18 94:23 97:21	217:17	62:11,17,23 63:3,10
100:24 171:10	99:13 100:11 102:1	talking 21:9 48:20	63:16,23 64:5,11
204:21	140:1 143:25	48:21,22 97:15,16	65:4,9 68:9 69:21
subpoint 119:15	146:12 152:24	104:16 112:12	74:24 77:5,21,22
124:10	171:11 181:13,14	115:5 120:18 141:3	78:2,10,16 79:8
subpoints 119:3	189:12 196:2 207:9	141:4 143:19	80:17,23 81:9,13,25
subsection 110:21	215:5,9 217:16	144:17 145:21	82:3,17,21 83:11,14
subsequent 68:19	susan 34:3	144.17 145.21	83:25 84:4,18,25
98:16 142:6,12	swear 10:7	204:17 205:11	85:12,16 86:5,9,17
subset 40:17 158:3	switch 55:11 194:18		86:25 87:3,12,22
substance 51:23	194:21	208:7 211:20	88:13,20,23 90:14
215:18	switched 67:23	213:20 214:5	94:6,9,12,15 107:22
substantive 37:11	195:5	224:22	108:15 117:19,23
38:21,25 39:3,3,5	sworn 10:23	talks 114:25 157:10	118:6 131:8 134:9
39:11,17,18 42:20	system 44:20 89:6	tanishe 34:3	155:10,18 156:3,22
43:7 44:6 157:10,21	systems 112:22	target 141:12 143:1	157:5,17 158:1,11
157:22		targets 140:18 143:4	158:18 159:9 160:2
successes 121:5		143:6,16,23	162:23 165:7
SACCOSCO 121.0			168:22 171:18
			100.22 1/1.10

Page 35

[tell - trainer]

175:4 177:18	74:6 75:12 79:21	148:21 158:3 166:1	115:10,12 182:22
180:24 195:25	86:19 87:16 93:25	179:25 181:19	titled 124:9 137:15
196:22 197:2,17	94:19 105:7,25	188:18	titles 182:25
198:4,22 200:13,22	155:14 167:20	third 21:18 40:20	today 15:8 20:7 25:8
200:23,24 201:1,19	181:8,22 209:18	77:23 84:9 96:16	52:24 53:8 92:17
202:3,13 203:24	214:12 218:4	119:20 137:14	93:1,6,23 94:17
206:6,19 208:1	223:13 225:3	144:8 153:11,14,20	167:16 207:18
209:22 211:13	theirs 13:6	175:14 196:11	214:14 216:6,15,19
214:13 218:5	theoretically 150:17	224:17,18	217:12,14,23 218:1
telling 44:17 146:6	150:19	thousands 27:5	223:24 224:11,19
tells 57:18 65:10	thereto 226:4	three 19:13,14 36:16	today's 9:11
66:19 138:2	thermal 11:25 12:3	44:17 126:5,11	tom 200:15
ten 11:8 44:22	12:4,13 213:24	141:7 143:1 201:21	ton 216:11
154:22,24	thick 195:7,8	201:21 214:23	tool 158:22 197:23
tend 218:14	thing 27:17 44:3	tied 104:2	top 8:6,8,10,12 22:5
tenth 160:8	46:12 48:6 101:7	till 20:1	33:10 57:9 68:18
term 40:12,16 60:5	103:1 108:10 111:1	tim 221:11	131:18 187:13
126:16	126:2 127:3 136:21	time 9:12 10:2 18:14	188:12 189:8
terms 36:3 42:2,2	183:4 188:12	18:24 19:6 57:20,25	201:13 203:20
113:15 173:8	199:14	58:7,10,14 59:25	210:9 218:11
194:17 214:17	things 19:1 20:20	60:3,8 61:15,18	topic 27:10,16 43:17
terrorism 112:18	24:21,22 27:23	66:3 69:9 96:18	50:16 52:15,20,23
terry 134:13 213:15	35:25 98:13 99:14	98:22 128:21	53:3,8,10,15,23
test 27:23,25 43:18	100:20,23,25	132:23,25 134:12	54:1,5,7,10,13,19,22
43:20	101:13 110:10	135:4 138:7 143:18	54:25 132:16
testified 10:23 13:14	113:2,12 114:18	143:20 144:18,20	158:18 215:16
testify 113:14,20,21	117:22 121:15	150:7 151:8 156:6	topics 27:3 52:5,9
testifying 13:12	138:15,17 139:4,4	172:13,15 188:9,9	52:13,15 53:7 54:16
testimony 15:8	139:22 144:4	190:1,1,15 191:23	55:3,11 60:23 120:3
93:23 111:10,13	156:12 173:10,12	198:6 203:23,24	122:10 158:17,23
113:19 139:17	187:23 188:25	204:1,10,13 205:4,7	177:22 209:6
145:13 148:9 149:2	190:7,25 191:1,2	205:9,10,18,22	215:11,24 216:1,9
149:5 150:15	209:7,9	206:21,23 208:22	217:22
186:12,17,19 205:9	think 11:9 12:15	211:23 219:21	townsend 134:13
226:6	17:14 19:13 22:11	222:1 223:8	213:15
testing 1:3 9:20	26:9 36:21 40:6	timeline 131:19	track 189:3
text 36:23 37:2,5,18	64:17 72:18,21	133:9 166:20,21,23	tracking 188:19,23
57:24 58:6,10,14	73:25 93:11 98:1	times 11:8 45:22	tracks 65:17 66:4
92:14 98:9,16 161:1	103:6 111:23	133:6 175:16 204:6	trade 11:24 12:9
170:20,21,24 171:1	112:24 122:9	204:7 214:18	203:3
171:3 195:10	124:15 129:6	title 19:11,16,23	train 119:5,6,8,17
thane 56:6	132:14,18,22,24,24	20:1,4,7 41:22 42:9	119:19
thank 13:16 14:7,12	133:5,14 135:2	53:11 67:6,12,18	trainer 119:5,6,17
15:6,20 16:2 45:2	137:5 140:2 143:22	94:23 95:5 97:1,3	119:19
55:6 57:16 62:3	144:15,16 146:5,7	97:19 104:21 115:9	

[training - voting]

Ansining 110.1.2.7	100.14 200.7	unnublished 15(.5	102.10
training 110:1,3,7	199:14 209:7	unpublished 156:5	venture 103:19
119:11,16 148:16	typed 73:11	156:16	182:4
149:12,22,23 150:5	types 26:13	update 188:12	venue 112:9
208:11	typical 118:18	196:10 220:11	verbatim 171:2
trainings 110:9	typically 21:21 27:2	updated 44:1	172:20,21
trane 179:20,21,22	27:23 43:16 45:13	updates 43:25	verify 22:8 202:8
transcribed 226:5	45:20 96:19 101:2	142:24 189:13	veritext 1:20 9:11
transcript 14:24	102:4 118:22 127:1	updating 43:6	9:15 10:6
226:6	135:16 170:5,23	152:10	versa 114:9
transcription 226:5	201:4 212:23	ups 36:15	version 27:22 31:4
transfer 137:5	u	urban 212:16	31:14,19 33:10
treated 156:14	u 13:3,6	usage 214:8	36:16 56:19 65:7
tried 52:1 101:18	u.s. 47:20,22 148:10	use 46:22,23 48:17	69:12,13 120:22,24
true 154:9 226:5	ul 181:17,18	68:5 70:8 72:15	121:3 133:15 142:4
truly 204:23	um 140:14	119:1 122:18 124:7	142:7,12 156:18,19
trust 11:16	um 140:14 unbiased 147:22	140:21 142:6,11	168:20 198:10,11
trusts 16:16	undiased 147:22 underlined 126:14	158:22 188:19	220:12
try 14:10 45:20		198:14 208:9 214:7	versions 56:21
122:19 198:9	163:24	221:16	98:16 114:5 120:20
trying 29:22 52:1	understand 13:23	useful 202:7	120:25 121:1
117:22 133:8 217:4	14:1,8,24 30:18	user 22:11 105:13	140:22 152:12
tullie 15:23	83:4,5 85:23 102:12	105:15,16 106:7	176:20,21
turn 9:5 56:5 106:10	102:23 103:3,14	user's 177:2 208:10	versus 39:1 78:22
138:4 150:14,15	120:11 126:8 139:4	users 88:3 197:8	102:11 127:6 195:8
161:7,25 168:21	149:24 163:22	uses 91:16 103:22	vetted 101:17
175:19 196:7	understanding	124:3 140:19 142:3	vice 33:20 40:10,14
turning 56:10	108:5,16 113:3	143:6,8	48:1 114:9 115:4
two 11:8,10,19	132:9 134:10,16,19	usually 29:4,22 97:6	videographer 9:1
16:22 19:20 25:22	134:22 135:8,24	146:13 187:21	55:17,22 74:17,20
26:4,5,13 31:14,15	137:10 182:17	196:5 204:14	93:14,18 116:13,16
72:9,12,15 73:23	understands 37:23	utc 206:10	152:4,7 192:12,15
75:23 76:18 79:13	37:24 38:1	utilities 197:19	223:12 225:7
	understood 215:5		
81:1 83:17 84:7	underway 119:4,16	utility 22:10 106:8	videotaped 1:13
85:19 87:13 120:8	underwrite 203:23	V	viewed 125:11
120:23 125:8 126:3	underwriters	v 9:20 133:11	views 199:17
129:12 130:1	181:21,22 182:1	valerie 196:25	virtue 92:24 93:5
140:19 141:20,21	unfair 13:4	vangeem 156:11	132:4 224:9
174:9 194:17,18,22	unhappy 101:20	varied 25:7	voice 119:25
195:5,9,10 204:17	united 1:1 9:22	varies 99:25 135:12	volunteer 136:20
219:23,23	130:8,17 136:3	variety 158:17	volunteers 97:8,9,11
type 22:24 27:16	158:13 159:12,15	various 49:23 99:22	144:23 170:7 204:2
44:3 48:6 95:15	159:19 181:20	153:20	204:10
101:7 103:1 104:4	university 16:6,7	ventilation 207:7	vote 37:12 39:18
126:2 136:21	university 10.0,/		voting 24:9 57:18
178:23 181:6			187:5

IVD - 2	Z
---------	---

vp 182:25	weber's 34:5 210:10	179:10,19 182:8	write 19:1 193:13
vs 1:8	website 24:19 88:4	183:20 184:2	writes 128:8 188:14
W	88:24 91:2 153:5,9	188:25 189:17,23	189:9
	176:21	190:15,24 191:6,14	writing 49:15 102:8
w 150:15	websites 192:1,8	191:22 192:10	103:6
wait 185:18	week 210:15	193:2,7,11,22	written 36:10 37:8
walk 94:20	weigh 130:24	200:13 202:20	50:20 186:2,16
want 19:5 39:11	went 88:24 98:3	207:13,15 208:5	200:24
44:18 50:20,25	120:7	209:17 210:2	wrong 148:22
51:21 55:12 69:7	west 3:7 10:10 11:3	211:18 213:12	152:19 155:3
99:23 101:3,8 102:4	whereof 226:9	214:22 215:21	wrote 37:6
102:9,15,18 104:14	whispering 9:3	217:24 220:6,22,25	
109:8 124:14	white 156:6	222:23 223:6 226:6	X
126:13,19 127:5,6	widespread 124:11	226:9	x 160:5 169:22
127:10,11 129:1	widget 102:9,10,13	word 75:3	У
141:6 166:18	wilcox 208:6	wording 37:25 38:3	yeah 16:22 33:1
179:25	willing 210:17	work 18:5 23:9 25:3	49:2 55:10,16 67:9
wanted 14:21 38:8	wills 11:16 16:16	36:18 41:23 42:10	98:11 104:24
49:4 93:23 156:5	222:1,2	42:10 47:14 51:6	115:24 140:1
221:16	window 166:22	64:24 71:16 108:19	223:12
wanting 46:3	wishing 45:18	109:11 113:10,10	year 16:10 17:15,17
wants 32:18 101:13	witness 4:3 9:25	114:14 115:15,16	19:20 25:25 31:15
149:23 210:6	10:7 13:12 23:6	120:13 149:20,21	40:11,12,15,15,17
washington 2:9	28:21 30:25 31:12	152:17 173:11,13	40:24 44:15 58:20
116:3,7 140:13	31:24 32:25 33:9	187:14 204:2	68:19 69:3,5 95:3
144:7,11 200:1,5	46:20 49:18 50:15	213:23	96:15,19 104:15
210:12,20 211:5	51:3,22,25 60:25	worked 17:6 24:12	115:4,4,5 204:7
watching 190:8	61:14 66:1 67:11	112:25 133:22	years 11:9,10,19
watson 200:15	70:5,20 72:8,18	180:8 215:6 222:1	16:19,20 17:12,21
waxman 140:17	74:2 77:17 78:20	working 17:12 18:9	19:13,14 24:13 25:7
way 24:14 27:1,8	79:16 88:8 92:7,12	18:12 20:24 43:21	31:4,15 36:16 40:21
38:16 68:4 78:17	92:19 99:21 100:11	95:13 108:21,24	40:22 44:15,17,22
92:13 96:25 98:4,17	102:1 103:5,14	135:14 158:23	96:17 120:23
104:19 147:12	104:12,21 105:2	198:8 205:19	133:20 135:18
151:23 152:20	109:2,13 113:20	works 26:12 36:2	141:7 143:1 154:22
200:24 226:8	117:7 118:6 120:3,5	52:16 53:13,18	154:24
ways 108:19 111:17	123:11 124:21	93:12 115:19,22	york 207:2,4,6,8
we've 55:7 101:18	125:7 127:11	145:7 146:13	Z
129:22,23 173:15	128:16 129:22	152:10 164:17	z 88:25
207:18 222:25	131:14 132:22	187:20 193:9,19	L 00.23
224:19	136:9 138:23	194:6	
web 154:2	139:18 145:14	world 99:23 126:14	
weber 8:10,12 34:1	147:2 148:20 170:9	126:16	
34:17 35:8 157:20 211:24	172:4,13 173:3	worldwide 219:14	
L11.L4	176:14 178:21		

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

Rule 30

(e) Review By the Witness; Changes.

(1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
(A) to review the transcript or recording; and
(B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.

(2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF SEPTEMBER 1, 2014. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.